

## Manuscript Details

<b>Manuscript number</b>	IFSET_2018_1083_R1
<b>Title</b>	High-pressure homogenisation combined with blanching to turn lettuce waste into a physically stable juice
<b>Article type</b>	Research Paper

### Abstract

Lettuce waste was blanched, ground, pre-homogenised at 40 MPa and subjected to high pressure homogenization (HPH) at 80 (1 pass) and 150 MPa (1, 10 passes) to obtain an ingredient intended for blended juice formulation. When lettuce was subjected to HPH without previous blanching, physically unstable juices were obtained. By contrast, the combination of HPH with a blanching pre-treatment allowed obtaining juices showing no physical separation and characterised by a bright green colour. This high stability was attributed to the modification of lettuce fibrous structure and to a 90% and 60% inactivation of polyphenoloxidase and pectin methylesterase, respectively. Juices presented a phenolic content of  $3.5 \pm 1.3$  mg GAE/100 g and a microbial count at least 1 Log lower than that of corresponding not-blanched sample and below limits usually indicated for juice quality (4.7 Log CFU/g). During storage (4 °C), no phase separation was observed but microbial counts rapidly increased, suggesting the need for a further stabilization step.

<b>Keywords</b>	High pressure homogenization; lettuce; waste valorisation; juice; enzyme activity
<b>Corresponding Author</b>	Stella Plazzotta
<b>Order of Authors</b>	Stella Plazzotta, Lara Manzocco

## Submission Files Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

Cover Letter2.docx [Cover Letter]

Answer to reviewers.docx [Response to Reviewers]

Highlights2.docx [Highlights]

Manuscript\_HPH\_lettuce\_juice\_2.docx [Manuscript File]

Figures2.docx [Figure]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

Dear Editor,

We send to your attention the research article entitled "**High-pressure homogenisation combined with blanching to turn lettuce waste into a physically stable juice**" by Stella Plazzotta and Lara Manzocco (Ref: IFSET\_2018\_1083), modified and corrected according to referees' suggestions.

Best regards,

Stella Plazzotta

Ref: IFSET\_2018\_1083

Title: High-pressure homogenisation combined with blanching to turn lettuce waste into a physically stable juice

Journal: Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies

### **Answer to the editors and reviewers:**

#### **Reviewer 1**

1. In the abstract, the word “submitted to” may be changed to “treated with” or “subjected to”. The word submitted is not appropriate to the context.

The word was changed, as suggested (line 7).

2. In introduction (line no. 28) “ready to eat...” may be changed to “ready to drink...”

The word was changed, as suggested (line 28).

3. The lines 37-39, 45-47 and 56-58 may be rephrased with the help of native English speaker/editor.

Sentences were rephrased, as suggested (lines 37-39, 49-51 and 62-64).

4. The sentence given in lines 62-69 may not be appropriate for introduction, those information may be included in results and discussion part in appropriate place.

Part of these lines was moved from the Introduction section to the Results and Discussion one (lines 202-206).

5. Lines 76- 84 are not needed in introduction. It may be included in Materials and Methods in appropriate form.

These lines were drastically reduced (lines 79-82), removing double information, already present in the Materials and Methods section.

6. Chapter 2.6 and 2.7 may be cut short, since the manuscript is not dealing any image processing related information

Chapters 2.6 and 2.7 were cut short, as suggested (lines 118-125).

7. In results and discussion, the chapter 3.1 and 3.2 may be splitted appropriately in to different paras in order to increase the readability or sub headings may be provided suitably.

Heading and text of section 3.1 were improved to increase clarity (lines 187-191). In addition, section 3.1 and 3.2 were split into different parts in order to increase the readability. Thus, proper sub headings were provided, as suggested.

#### **Reviewer 2**

1. Microbial load analysis immediately after HPH and over storage of 15 days is only provided for blanched samples. Is information on non-blanched lettuce juices for comparative reasons also available?

The storage test was only performed on blanched lettuce samples since not-blanched ones presented, immediately after HPH treatment (Table 3), a microbial load higher than that recommended for juice quality. Mention to this decision is reported in lines 345-348.

2. Energy input of HPH treatment should be stated.

As suggested by the reviewer, energy inputs were calculated and inserted in the paper. To this aim, energy density developed by HPH treatment was calculated as indicated in Materials and methods section (lines 107-113), reported in Table 1 and discussed in lines 191.

3. In section 3.1: Are you referring in this section only to the non-blanching samples? Please clarify.

Heading and text of section 3.1 were improved to increase clarity (lines 187-191). In addition, section 3.1 and 3.2 were split into different parts in order to increase the readability. Thus, proper sub headings were provided, as suggested by the referee 1.

4. Figure 2A: No phase separation for the non-blanching, grounded sample is displayed. Is this correct? Table 2 suggests a pronounced phase separation of the non-blanching, grounded sample. A picture of the blanching lettuce waste would be appreciated to stress that no phase separation at all is occurring.

As correctly remarked by the referee, no phase separation was observed in the Ground samples, independently on the application of blanching pre-treatment. The picture relevant to not-blanching ground sample clearly showed the presence of particle aggregates with different size. This was better described in lines 196-197. Nevertheless, upon storage for 24 h no visible phase separation was observed (line 257-258). As detailed in the text, this result could be attributed to the good ability of vegetable fibres to hold water (lines 258-260). Pictures of the two samples are shown in Table 2.

5. Figure 5: Why is data of control and HPH80 not shown? Please include the data to ease comparison.

As suggested by the referee, data relevant to HPH80 sample were added to Figure 5. Figure 5 focuses on lettuce juice microbial stability. For this reason, the reference sample was represented by the ground lettuce sample and not by lettuce leaves.

6. State microbial load quantitatively in abstract and the obtained reduction. Line 14 Please state the microbial limits for juice quality.

Requested information was added in the abstract (lines 14-15).

7. Line 29 freshlikelihood – use a more scientific term  
The term was changed, as requested (line 30).

8. Line 41 quantify dietary fibres and polyphenol content  
Requested information was added (line 41). In particular, data were retrieved from our previous publication: Plazzotta, S., Manzocco, L., & Nicoli, M. C. (2017). Fruit and vegetable waste management and the challenge of fresh-cut salad. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 63, 51–59.

9. Line 44 please formulate clearer  
The sentence was rephrased (lines 46-51).

10. Line 45 what other techniques have been applied to improve the shelf life of freshly blended juices.

Requested information and relevant literature were added (lines 45-51).

11. Line 53 “...processing, such...”  
The sentence was rephrased (line 56-60).

12. Lines 53 – 55 To clarify and support, it would be helpful to support this argument with literature.

Relevant literature was added (lines 62).

13. Line 113 Which color space was used? Hunter scale is named L, a, b. and CIELAB defined coordinates with L\*, a\* and b\*- please specify.

The CIELAB scale was used. The mistake was corrected (line 117).

14. Line 126 Specify volume of cylinders and tested sample volumes.  
Requested details were added (line 127).

15. Line 134 Please change the rpm quantification to ref units to ease comparison with other literature.

The text was modified, as suggested (line 135).

16. Line 144 – 145 already includes results. Similarly in lines 156 – 157. Moreover, standard deviation of the PPO activity equals almost 90% of the measured value. Please provide reasoning for such a high standard deviation.

Lines reporting results relevant to PPO and PME activity were moved from Materials and Methods section to the Results and Discussion one (lines 239-240; 271-272). Typing error (0.004 and not 0.040) was corrected.

17. Line 156 – 157 Measuring pH difference per minute accurately with four digits after the comma seems unlikely.

A pH value with two conventional digits was measured and used to compute PME activity (lines 271-272). The latter was taken as the slope of the regression curve representing the pH as a function of time. PME activity, whose units are pH/min is presented as a value with four digits after the comma.

18. Line 160 which supernatant is referred to here?

The text was clarified, by adding the reference to the paragraph describing the supernatant preparation (line 159).

19. Line 163 UV-VIS instead of US-VIS

The text was modified (line 162).

20. Line 229 easily

The text was modified (line 244).

21. Line 331 – 333 Can you explain why the  $a^*$  value for the 10x 150 MPa HPH treatment does not change at all upon storage and thus is even below the  $a^*$  value of other samples after 15 days?

Discussion about the differences in greenness of ground samples and samples subjected to 1 pass HPH was improved (lines 220-223; 303-307). In addition, we hypothesised that the high temperature reached on multiple HPH passes promoted intense degradation of polyphenols and pigments during the treatment. As a consequence, negligible changes in juice colour were observed on further storage due to a low concentration of degradable pigments remaining in the sample. The text was clarified (lines 220-223, 303-307, 356-360, 364-365).

22. Line 349 What is an interesting phenol content – please specify more scientifically.

The term “interesting” was changed in “partially maintained” (line 381).

23. Line 356 – 357 Please support your comments on increasing usage of HPH as processing operation in industry, good feasibility and cost effectiveness of HPH with references.

In order to support these conclusive comments without adding references in the Conclusion section, the Introduction section was integrated with literature data relevant to the increasing industrial usage of HPH (lines 56-60).

24. How can 1.7 Log CFU/g be the detection limit but values for Log CFU/g are reported as  $1.70 \pm 0.22$  Log CFU/g?

Microbial enumerations and statistical elaboration were checked. Table 3 was corrected accordingly.

1 HPH of blanched lettuce waste produces physically stable bright-green juices

2 HPH of blanched lettuce waste leads to partial retention of polyphenols

3 Blanching and HPH do not allow lettuce waste juice microbial stabilization

4

5 *Industrial application:* Solid waste generated by fresh-cut processing of lettuce could be valorised by the

6 application of blanching and HPH, leading to an innovative ingredient potentially exploitable in the

7 formulation of healthy blended juices, smoothies and comminuted food. This effort is worth making

8 considering that HPH is being increasingly introduced as processing operation in various industrial

9 contexts, showing good feasibility and cost effectiveness, and could allow valorisation of different leaf

10 discards.

1 **High-pressure homogenisation combined with blanching to turn lettuce waste into a physically**  
2 **stable juice**

3 Plazzotta, S. \*, & Manzocco, L.

4 Department of Agricultural, Food, Environmental and Animal Sciences, University of Udine, Italy

5 \*e-mail: [stella.plazzotta@uniud.it](mailto:stella.plazzotta@uniud.it); Tel: +39 0432-558137

6 **Abstract**

7 Lettuce waste was blanched, ground, pre-homogenised at 40 MPa and subjected to high pressure  
8 homogenization (HPH) at 80 (1 pass) and 150 MPa (1, 10 passes) to obtain an ingredient intended for  
9 blended juice formulation. When lettuce was subjected to HPH without previous blanching, physically  
10 unstable juices were obtained. By contrast, the combination of HPH with a blanching pre-treatment  
11 allowed obtaining juices showing no physical separation and characterised by a bright green colour. This  
12 high stability was attributed to the modification of lettuce fibrous structure and to a 90% and 60%  
13 inactivation of polyphenoloxidase and pectin methylesterase, respectively. Juices presented a phenolic  
14 content of  $3.5 \pm 1.3$  mg GAE/100 g and a microbial count at least 1 Log lower than that of corresponding  
15 not-blanched sample and below limits usually indicated for juice quality (4.7 Log CFU/g). During storage  
16 (4 °C), no phase separation was observed but microbial counts rapidly increased, suggesting the need for  
17 a further stabilization step.

18 *Industrial application:* Solid waste generated by fresh-cut processing of lettuce could be valorised by the  
19 application of blanching and HPH, leading to an innovative ingredient potentially exploitable in the  
20 formulation of healthy blended juices, smoothies and comminuted food. This effort is worth making  
21 considering that HPH is being increasingly introduced as processing operation in various industrial  
22 contexts, showing good feasibility and cost effectiveness, and could allow valorisation of different leaf  
23 discards.

24 **Keywords:**

25 High pressure homogenization, lettuce, waste valorisation, juice, enzyme activity

26 **1 Introduction**

27 Increasing consumer demand for low-caloric foods with fresh-like characteristics and high nutritional  
28 quality has encouraged the research of alternative vegetable products. In this context, ready to drink  
29 juices, smoothies and enriched beverages are experiencing an increasing market demand due to their  
30 fresh-like sensory attributes, health benefits, convenience and clean-label (Yi et al., 2018). Among these  
31 products, blends of freshly extracted fruit and vegetable juices offer the possibility to develop new  
32 products, which present innovative flavours and improved nutritional quality, due to the high  
33 concentration of fibres and antioxidants as well as to the low caloric content (De Carvalho, Maia, De  
34 Figueiredo, De Brito, & Rordrigues, 2007). Vegetables used to produce commercial blended juices  
35 include tomato and carrot but also leaf-vegetables such as spinach, celery, kale and parsley (Hao, Zhou,  
36 Koutchma, Wu, & Warriner, 2016).

37 Fresh-cut processing of *Iceberg* lettuce generates huge waste amounts (up to 50% of the initial lettuce  
38 head weight). Lettuce waste is currently transported to centralized plants, where it is co-composted or  
39 co-digested with other organic wastes. Beside requiring high transport and disposal costs, these  
40 management strategies are not able to properly valorise lettuce waste. The latter, in fact, presents a high  
41 content in dietary fibres (28.9 g/100 g dry weight) and polyphenols (1.9 mg GAE/g dry weight), and can  
42 be supplied continuously and in large quantity by the fresh-cut industry (Plazzotta, Manzocco, & Nicoli,  
43 2017). For these reasons, lettuce waste could be considered an interesting raw material for producing  
44 healthy blended juices.

45 Different formulation and processing strategies have been investigated in order to guarantee an adequate  
46 shelf-life of fresh blended juices, which is limited by microbial, enzymatic and physical alterations.

47 Formulation strategies include water activity reduction, nutrient restriction, acidification and use of anti-



48 microbial additives (Raybaudi-Massilia, Mosqueda-Melgar, Soliva-Fortuny, & Martín- Belloso, 2009).  
49 Among process innovations, high hydrostatic pressure is an established technique for fresh juices  
50 processing, since it allows microbial and enzymatic stabilization, while maintaining their nutritional and  
51 sensory characteristics (Deliza, Rosenthal, Abadio, Silva, & Castillo, 2005). However, this technology  
52 cannot provide an adequate physical stability of the juices, which undergo rapid phase separation during  
53 storage (Laboissière et al., 2007). Although the addition of hydrocolloids (e.g. pectin, carboxymethyl  
54 cellulose, and sodium alginate) have been repeatedly suggested to control juice sedimentation (Ibrahim  
55 et al., 2011), this strategy hardly fits with consumer expectations for clean label products, leading to the  
56 need for alternative solutions. In this regard, high-pressure homogenization (HPH) is a promising  
57 technique, particularly suitable for continuous production of fluid foods and increasingly introduced as  
58 processing operation in different industrial contexts, showing a good scaling-up potential (Calligaris,  
59 Foschia, Bartolomeoli, Maifreni, & Manzocco, 2012; Martínez-Monteagudo, Yan, & Balasubramaniam,  
60 2017). In particular, HPH has been demonstrated to represent a valid alternative to cloudiness  
61 preservatives, due to its ability of modifying the structure-forming properties of plant fibre suspensions  
62 in the juice (Bengtsson & Tornberg, 2011; Van Buggenhout et al., 2015). HPH treatments, in fact, not  
63 only reduce the size of suspended particles, decreasing sedimentation rate, but also modify fibre physico-  
64 chemical properties, such as water holding, swelling and structuring capacity (Van Buggenhout et al.,  
65 2015). HPH has been shown to be particularly effective in physical stabilization of different products,  
66 including tomato and banana juices (Calligaris et al., 2012; Colle, Van Buggenhout, Van Loey, &  
67 Hendrickx, 2010). Pressures between 50 and 150 MPa are generally applied for juice HPH treatment and  
68 the juice can also be recirculated in the homogenizer to increase treatment intensity without necessarily  
69 increase treatment pressure (Karacam, Sahin, & Oztop, 2015; Yi et al., 2018).

70 Although the recognised efficacy of HPH in reducing particle size of vegetable suspensions, tissue  
71 disruption is often responsible for a rapid juice colour depletion, due to the activity of oxidative enzymes

72 on phenols and natural-occurring pigments (Liu, Liu, Liu, et al., 2009). The inactivation of these enzymes  
73 by the application of blanching prior to HPH can allow obtaining a colour-stable product. In this case,  
74 the vegetable is subjected to a “heat-shock” treatment, during which a heat treatment is rapidly followed  
75 by a quick cooling of the product. Plant tissue enzymes are thus inactivated, leading to a reduced colour  
76 change upon further HPH treatment and storage (Devece et al., 1999).

77 The objective of the current work was to evaluate if HPH, combined with blanching, could be used to  
78 turn lettuce waste into a value-added ingredient, possibly exploitable in the formulation of blended juices,  
79 smoothies and comminuted food. Hereto, the work was divided in three parts. In the first one, lettuce  
80 waste was subjected to different homogenisation treatments. In the second part, the effect of a blanching  
81 pre-treatment before homogenisation was evaluated. Finally, a storage test was performed on blanched  
82 lettuce juices.

## 83 2 Materials and methods

### 84 2.1 Lettuce waste preparation

85 A 10-kg batch of *Iceberg* lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* var. *capitata*) was purchased at the local market and  
86 stored overnight at 4 °C. After removal of bruised and spoiled parts, outer leaves were manually removed  
87 from lettuce heads, simulating operations that are industrially carried out during fresh-cut lettuce  
88 processing. Lettuce waste amounted to  $274 \pm 23$  g/kg of the entire processed lettuce, which is in  
89 agreement with amounts commonly collected in a fresh-cut lettuce head process. Lettuce waste was  
90 washed with flowing water ( $18 \pm 1$  °C) and sanitized 20 min in a chlorinated bath containing 200 mg/L  
91 of NaClO with a 100 g/L lettuce/water ratio. Lettuce waste was then rinsed with flowing water and  
92 centrifuged in a manual kitchen centrifuge (mod. ACX01, Moulinex, France) for 1 min (Plazzotta et al.,  
93 2017).

### 94 2.2 Blanching

95 Lettuce waste was immersed in water (100 g/L lettuce/water ratio) at 90 °C for 30 s and then immediately  
96 placed 1 min into an ice bath (100 g/L lettuce/water ratio). After that, lettuce waste was accurately dried  
97 using absorbing paper and stored at 20 °C for 10 min before treatment.

### 98 2.3 Grinding

99 Lettuce waste was ground using a domestic grinder (MC3001, Moulinex, Milan, Italy) at ambient  
100 temperature for 5 min.

### 101 2.4 High pressure homogenisation (HPH)

102 A continuous lab-scale high-pressure homogeniser (Panda Plus 2000, GEA Niro Soavi, Parma, Italy)  
103 supplied with two PS type homogenisation valves with a flow rate of 10 L/h was used. Ground lettuce  
104 waste (150 g) was pre-homogenised at 40 MPa to reduce valve obstruction risk. High pressure  
105 homogenisation treatments were then conducted at 80 and 150 MPa. Moreover, at 150 MPa, 10  
106 subsequent cycles were performed. The different combinations of treatments performed on lettuce waste  
107 and the identification of sample names are reported in Table 1. The energy density ( $E_V$ , J/g) transferred

108 from the homogenisation valve to the sample was determined as described by Stang, Schuchmann, and  
109 Schubert (2001), according to eq. 1:

$$110 E_V = \Delta P \quad (\text{eq. 1})$$

111 where  $\Delta P$  is the pressure difference operating at the valve (MPa). The energy density of multiple passes  
112 HPH was calculated as the sum of the energy density values of the corresponding single HPH pass  
113 (Calligaris et al., 2016). The energy density developed by HPH treatments is reported in Table 1.

### 114 2.5 Colour

115 Colour was determined using a tristimulus colorimeter (Chromameter-2 Reflectance, Minolta, Osaka,  
116 Japan) equipped with a CR-300 measuring head. The instrument was standardized against a white tile.  
117 Colour was expressed in  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  CIELAB scale parameters.

118 2.6 *Image acquisition*

119 Images were acquired using an image acquisition cabinet (Immagini & Computer, Bareggio, Italy)  
120 equipped with a digital camera (EOS 550D, Canon, Milan, Italy) and 4 frosted photographic floodlights  
121 (23 W).

122 2.7 *Optical microscopy*

123 Samples were observed at room temperature using a Leica DM 2000 optical microscope, images taken  
124 at 200X magnification using a Leica EC3 digital camera and elaborated with the Leica Suite Las EZ  
125 software (Leica Microsystems, Heerburg, Switzerland).

126 2.8 *Phase separation*

127 Samples (40 mL) were poured in 50 mL-graduated cylinders for 24 h at 4 °C. Phase separation was  
128 visually assessed and expressed as volume percentage of separated phase.

129 2.9 *Viscosity*

130 Rheological analyses were performed using a RS6000 Rheometer (Thermo Scientific RheoStress, Haake,  
131 Germany), equipped with a Peltier system for temperature control. Measures were performed using a  
132 bob-cup geometry at 20 °C. Flow curves were recorded increasing shear rate from 0.1 to 100 s<sup>-1</sup>.

133 2.10 *Supernatant preparation*

134 Samples were poured in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and the supernatant was collected after centrifugation  
135 (Hittich MIKRO 20, Centrifuge, Tuttlingen, Germany) at 8518 g for 15 min.

136 2.11 *Polyphenoloxidase activity*

137 The polyphenoloxidase (PPO) activity was assayed spectrophotometrically (Shimadzu UV-2501PC, UV-  
138 Vis recording spectrophotometer, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) at 25 °C according to the  
139 methodology of Kahn (1985). The reaction was started by the addition of 200 µL of supernatant to 1.8

140 mL of 0.1 mol/L potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 and  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  mol/L L-Dopa (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy).  
141 The absorbance at 420 nm was monitored every 10 s for 10 min. The changes in absorbance per min  
142 were calculated by linear regression, applying the pseudo zero order kinetic model. The eventual final  
143 stationary phase was excluded from regression data. The slope of the very first linear part of the reaction  
144 curve was used to determine PPO activity ( $k_{PPO}$ ). PPO activity was expressed as the percentage activity  
145 as compared to that of the Ground sample not subjected to blanching or HPH treatments (Table 1).

#### 146 2.12 *Pectin methylesterase activity*

147 Pectin methylesterase (PME) activity was measured using the method described by Martin-Diana et al.  
148 (2005) with some modifications. Briefly, the initial pH of 10 g of sample ( $3.1 \pm 0.3$ ) was adjusted at 7.5  
149 using NaOH 1 M (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). After that, 0.2 mL of NaOH 0.05 M were added, and during  
150 the time required by each sample to reach again a pH value of 7.5, pH was continuously monitored using  
151 a pHmeter (pH-Meter BASIC 20, Crison, Barcelona, Spain) equipped with a measuring head for liquids  
152 (52 02, Crison, Barcelona, Spain). The changes in pH per min were calculated by linear regression,  
153 applying the pseudo zero order kinetic model. The eventual final stationary phase was excluded from  
154 regression data. The slope of the very first linear part of the reaction curve was used to determine PME  
155 activity ( $k_{PME}$ ). PME activity was expressed as the percentage activity as compared to that of the Ground  
156 sample not subjected to blanching or HPH treatments (Table 1).

#### 157 2.13 *Total polyphenolic content*

158 Total polyphenolic content (TPC) was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (Singleton & Rossi,  
159 1965). The reaction mixture contained 50  $\mu$ L of supernatant (paragraph 2.10), 2 mL distilled water and  
160 250  $\mu$ L of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After 1 min, 1 mL of a sodium carbonate-water solution (0.15  
161 g/mL) was added and the solution was mixed using a vortex for 30 s (MIX10, Falc Instruments, Treviglio,  
162 Italy). After 2 h reaction at ambient temperature, mixture absorbance was read at 750 nm using UV-Vis

163 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2501PC, UV-Vis recording spectrophotometer, Shimadzu  
164 Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). A calibration curve was made with standard solutions of gallic acid in the  
165 range 0.1-1000 mg/L ( $R^2=0.99$ ). Results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100  
166 g of sample.

#### 167 2.14 *Microbial analyses*

168 For microbiological analyses, 25 g of Control sample was diluted with 100 mL Maximum Recovery  
169 Diluent (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and homogenised for 1 min in a Stomacher (PBI International, Milan,  
170 Italy). By contrast, Ground, Pre-homogenized, HPH 80, HPH 150 and HPH 150x10 samples (Table 1)  
171 were directly used. Serial dilutions of each suspension were made in Maximum Recovery Diluent  
172 (Oxoid) and analysed for microbial counts. Appropriate aliquots (0.1 or 1 g) were spread on agar plates.  
173 Plate Count Agar (Oxoid) and Man Ragosa Sharpe (MRS) were used for enumeration of total bacterial  
174 count and lactic acid bacteria respectively, and plates were incubated for 48 h at 30 °C. Oxytracycline-  
175 Glucose- Yeast Extract (OGY) agar (Oxoid), was used for enumeration of yeasts, and plates were  
176 incubated for 72 h at 28 °C.

#### 177 2.15 *Sample storage*

178 Aliquots of 50 mL of sample were introduced in sterile falcon tubes and stored for up to 15 days at 4 °C  
179 in a refrigerated cell. At increasing time during storage, samples were removed from the refrigerator,  
180 equilibrated at 22 °C and analysed.

#### 181 2.16 *Data analysis*

182 Analyses were carried out at least three times in two replicated experiments. Analysis of variance  
183 ( $p<0.05$ ) and linear regression analysis were performed using R (The R foundation for statistical  
184 computing, v.3.1.1).

## 185 3 Results and discussion

### 186 3.1 *Effect of high pressure homogenisation without blanching pre-treatment*

187 In the first part of the study, the effect of HPH treatments on lettuce waste was investigated. Hereto,  
188 lettuce waste was subjected to grinding, equilibrated at room temperature, and pre-homogenised at 40  
189 MPa, to avoid valve blockage. The obtained lettuce dispersion was then homogenised at 80 and 150 MPa,  
190 the latter treatment being applied for 1 or 10 cycles. Based on eq. 1, samples were thus subjected to  
191 increasing energy densities from 40 up to 1540 J/g (Table 1). Grinding and pre-homogenisation increased  
192 sample temperature by 2 and 5 °C, respectively. By contrast, further HPH application resulted in a  
193 progressive temperature increase, so that samples reached 38, 65 and 85 °C after treatments at 80, 150  
194 and 150 MPa applied for 10 passes, respectively.

#### 195 3.1.1 *Tissue structure*

196 Ground lettuce waste showed a non-homogenous appearance due to the presence of particle aggregates  
197 with different size. The visual homogeneity of samples progressively increased with the HPH treatment  
198 intensity, as also confirmed by microscopic images (Table 2). Tissue cellular organization was well-  
199 evident in both Control and Ground samples. The 40 MPa-pre-homogenised sample still presented a  
200 number of intact cells, although the broken cell material was the most abundant. No intact cells were  
201 observed in samples subjected to HPH treatments (HPH 80, HPH 150, HPH 150x10), in which the broken  
202 cell material appeared uniformly distributed. HPH is well-known to promote vegetable tissue disruption,  
203 due to the highly energetic phenomena taking place during the treatment. During HPH, in fact, the fluid  
204 is forced to pass through a narrow gap, leading to rapid acceleration followed by sudden pressure drop.  
205 In this way, the fluid undergoes simultaneous intense stresses, including elongational forces, cavitation  
206 and turbulent flow (Stang et al., 2001). In this regard, similar disruptive effects have been reported upon  
207 HPH treatment of tomato and algae (Bot et al., 2017; Samarasinghe, Fernando, Lacey, & Faulkner, 2012).

### 208 3.1.2 Colour

209 Grinding promoted a visible change in lettuce colour, as confirmed by the sensible decrease in luminosity  
210 ( $L^*$ ) and yellow point ( $b^*$ ), and the concomitant increase of red point ( $a^*$ ) (Table 2). These results suggest  
211 a significant loss of the original lettuce green colour, in favour of a brownish one. The application of 40  
212 MPa pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments up to 150 MPa, inverted this tendency, leading to a bright  
213 green colour, as suggested by the increase in  $L^*$  and the decrease of  $a^*$ . By contrast, upon 10 passes at  
214 150 MPa, samples tended again to become more brownish (Table 2). Such results can be attributed to  
215 the effect of different phenomena taking place simultaneously. The significant browning induced by  
216 grinding can be attributed to the decompartmentalization of oxidative enzymes and their phenolic  
217 substrates upon tissue disruption, leading to polymerized brown derivatives (Espín, Jolivet, & Wichers,  
218 1998). Tissue disruption was further promoted by pre-homogenization and HPH, as well-evidenced by  
219 microscopic images (Table 2), resulting in smaller particles with higher surface area, which promoted an  
220 increase in light scattering and thus in sample luminosity ( $L^*$ ) (Ahmed, Shivhare, & Raghavan, 2000).  
221 In addition, HPH has been reported to effectively release chlorophyll from intracellular spaces (Carullo  
222 et al., 2018), possibly accounting for the greener colour (lower  $a^*$  value) of samples subjected to one  
223 HPH pass up to 150 MPa as compared to Ground sample. The further loss of green upon 10 passes at  
224 150 MPa can be possibly attributed to the pronounced thermal effect of this treatment, leading to the  
225 degradation of both chlorophyll and polyphenols (Espín et al., 1998; Koca, Karadeniz, & Burdurlu,  
226 2007).

### 227 3.1.3 Total phenolic content and polyphenoloxidase activity

228 To further study the role of oxidative phenomena upon HPH treatment of lettuce waste, total phenolic  
229 content (TPC) and polyphenoloxidase (PPO) activity were evaluated (Figure 1). Despite the inherent  
230 vegetable variability and the application of different extraction parameters and quantification methods,  
231 the TPC value of the Ground sample (about 14 mg GAE/100 g of lettuce waste) (Figure 1) resulted in



232 the range reported in the literature for green-leaf lettuce. In this regard, a TPC of 18 and 14 mg/100 g  
233 fresh weight were obtained by Llorach, Martínez-Sánchez, Tomás-Barberán, Gil, & Ferreres (2008) and  
234 Llorach, Tomás-Barberán, & Ferreres (2004), respectively. A TPC value similar to that observed in the  
235 Ground sample was also found upon the 40 MPa-pre-homogenisation treatment of lettuce waste. By  
236 contrast, a further increase in HPH intensity up to 150 MPa led to a progressive reduction in the TPC,  
237 while the application of 10 passes at 150 MPa did not promote a further phenol loss (Figure 1A). This  
238 can be attributed to both the thermal effect of HPH treatment, leading to phenol degradation and the  
239 activation of PPO (Figure 1B). In particular, Ground sample presented a PPO activity of  $0.045 \pm 0.004$   
240  $\Delta\text{Abs}/\text{min}$  and a 60, 90 and 40% enzymatic activity increase was observed in Pre-homogenised, HPH 80  
241 and HPH 150 samples, respectively. The HPH-induced PPO activation can be due to multiple effects of  
242 the treatment. Firstly, it can be inferred that, upon cell disruption induced by HPH (Table 2), PPO, which  
243 has been reported to be highly active in *Iceberg* lettuce, was no longer separated from its phenolic  
244 substrates, which were thus easily oxidised (Mai & Glomb, 2013). In addition, lettuce cell disruption has  
245 been reported to promote the release of proteases, responsible for the activation of latent PPO which,  
246 differently from the free soluble one, is bounded to the cellular membrane (Cantos, Espín, & Tomás-  
247 Barberán, 2001). In addition, HPH processing is well-known to affect PPO conformation and activity. In  
248 this regard, a progressive PPO activation was also observed in Chinese pear and mushroom subjected to  
249 high pressure microfluidisation at pressures in the range from 80 to 200 MPa (Liu, Liu, Liu, et al., 2009;  
250 Liu, Liu, Xie, et al., 2009). Figure 1B also shows that only the application of 10 passes at 150 MPa led  
251 to an almost complete PPO inactivation, possibly explaining the lack in further TPC reduction (Figure  
252 1A). However, in this case, the intense PPO inactivation should be mainly attributed to the fact that  
253 multiple HPH passes made temperature sample exceed that of PPO inactivation (70 °C) by about 15 °C  
254 (Terefe, Delon, Buckow, & Versteeg, 2015).

255 3.1.4 *Physical stability and pectin methylesterase activity*

256 To evaluate the physical stability of samples, phase separation after 24 h of refrigerated storage was  
257 assessed (Figure 2A). Interestingly, the Ground sample showed no phase separation, while the latter  
258 increased with the applied pressure. These results can be possibly due to the effect of HPH on network-  
259 forming ability of vegetable fibres. It is likely that in Ground sample, lettuce fibres tended to intertwine  
260 and form a network trapping the free liquid which would otherwise separate from the product. By  
261 disrupting the vegetable components (Table 2), HPH reduced the length of lettuce fibres to a point where  
262 they were no longer able to form an effective network. In this regard, Colle et al. (2010) highlighted a  
263 significant change in water holding capacity of tomato fibres subjected to HPH up to 130 MPa. Although  
264 the sample obtained by the 150 MPa treatment for 10 passes showed the highest tissue disruption (Table  
265 2), it presented a lower phase separation as compared to the 150 MPa single-pass treatment. In addition  
266 to the effect of fibre length, an effect of applied treatments on pectolytic enzymes can be accounted for  
267 the observed separation data. In fact, as a consequence of the activity of these enzymes, cross-linking  
268 between carboxyl groups in pectin molecules are favoured, leading to structure changes in vegetable  
269 derivatives. In particular, the activity of pectin methylesterase (PME) has been reported to destroy the  
270 cloudy stability in citrus fruit juices (Welti-Chanes, Ochoa-Velasco, & Guerrero-Beltrán, 2009) and to  
271 favour crispness loss in *Iceberg* lettuce (Martin-Diana et al., 2005). The Ground sample presented a PME  
272 activity of  $-0.0472 \pm 0.0002 \Delta\text{pH}/\text{min}$  and HPH treatments up to 150 MPa reduced enzymatic activity  
273 by about 20% (Figure 2B). The application of 10 passes at 150 MPa led to a further inactivation, leading  
274 to a residual PME activity around 50%. It is thus likely that only the most intense treatment promoted a  
275 sufficient PME inactivation to reduce separation phenomena. This agrees with results obtained by Welti-  
276 Chanes et al. (2009) in orange juice, in which the application of 5 passes at pressures up to 250 MPa was  
277 shown to enhance PME inactivation.

278 3.1.5 *Microbial load*

279 Finally, samples were analysed for total bacterial (TBC), yeast and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) counts  
280 (Table 3). LAB resulted always lower than detection limit (1.7 CFU/g), while TBC and yeasts  
281 progressively decreased with HPH intensity. Only the 150 MPa treatments were able to attain lettuce  
282 juices presenting microbial loads below limits usually indicated for vegetable and fruit juice quality (3.7-  
283 4.7 Log CFU/g for TBC) (Simforian, Nonga, & Ndabikunze, 2015). Such result should be attributed not  
284 only to HPH effect, but also to the intense heating promoted by the treatment (Comuzzo et al., 2017).

285 3.2 *Effect of high pressure homogenisation with blanching pre-treatment*

286 The application of HPH treatments to lettuce waste resulted in juices showing a critical instability of  
287 physical and microbiological parameters. In the light of these findings, in the second part of the study,  
288 the possibility to improve the stability of HPH treated lettuce waste by the application of a blanching pre-  
289 treatment was evaluated.

290 3.2.1 *Tissue structure*

291 The visual appearance and the microscopic structure of obtained samples is reported in Table 2. As  
292 compared to not-blanched samples, Ground blanched ones presented an apparently more homogeneous  
293 structure. Microscopic images revealed that a good cellular disruption was obtained also by the  
294 application of grinding and that HPH treatments further increased the homogeneity of the sample, which  
295 showed uniformly distributed cellular content (Table 2). Blanching treatment actually promotes cell  
296 turgidity loss and cell wall degradation, leading to a more deformable and softer texture, which is  
297 expected to favour grinding and homogenisation (Xu, Yu, & Li, 2015).

298 3.2.2 *Colour*

299 As compared to not-blanched samples, blanched ones presented similar L\* and b\* values but  
300 significantly lower a\* data. As expected, blanching hindered browning phenomena, allowing to better

301 maintain the original lettuce green colour. This can be attributed to the inactivation effect of blanching  
302 on oxidative enzymes, responsible for polyphenol oxidation and chlorophyll degradation (Devece et al.,  
303 1999). Similar to not-blanching samples (Table 2), also the blanched ones obtained by the application of  
304 single-pass HPH treatments presented a greener colour (lower  $a^*$  value) than the blanched Ground  
305 sample, due to HPH-induced chlorophyll extraction (Carullo et al., 2018). Reversely, as already pointed  
306 out (paragraph 3.1.2), the application of 10 passes at 150 MPa led to colour bleaching, due to the intense  
307 thermal effect of this treatment.

### 308 3.2.3 Total phenolic content and polyphenoloxidase activity

309 To this regard, Figure 1B shows that blanched samples presented PPO activity always lower than 14%.  
310 The only blanched sample presenting a higher  $a^*$  value (and thus a lower green point) was the one  
311 obtained by 10 passes at 150 MPa. This can be explained by the intense heating upon such treatment,  
312 possibly leading to severe thermal degradation of both polyphenols and chlorophyll (Manzocco,  
313 Mastrocola, Nicoli, & Marangoni, 2001; Weemaes, Ooms, Van Loey, & Hendrickx, 1999). Beside  
314 colour, PPO inactivation also affected the phenolic content of HPH treated lettuce waste. As shown in  
315 Figure 1A, TPC of blanched samples as a function of HPH treatment intensity followed an opposite  
316 pattern as compared to not-blanching ones, resulting in progressively higher TPC values. However, given  
317 the treatment, TPC of blanched samples resulted always lower than that of not-blanching ones. This  
318 apparently contrasting result can be explained considering the counterbalancing effect of blanching and  
319 HPH on phenol content. Vegetable blanching is known to cause significant depletion in phenolic content,  
320 due to both applied temperature and leaching effect in the water used for the treatment (Eyarkai Nambi,  
321 Gupta, Kumar, & Sharma, 2016). However, HPH-induced tissue disruption (Table 2) promoted the  
322 extraction from blanched lettuce cells of not leached phenolic compounds that, in the absence of an  
323 intense oxidative PPO activity, were largely maintained. In this regard, HPH has been extensively used

324 as cell-breakage technology favouring extraction of different target molecules from vegetable tissues  
325 (Zhu et al., 2016).

#### 326 3.2.4 *Physical stability, viscosity and pectin methylesterase activity*

327 Blanched samples resulted physically stable after 24 h refrigerated storage, showing no visible phase  
328 separation (Figure 2A). Such result can be partially attributed to the effect of blanching on pectolytic  
329 enzymes. As shown in Figure 2B, in fact, blanched samples presented a PME activity always lower than  
330 40% and progressively decreasing with the increase of HPH intensity. Blanching at temperatures higher  
331 than 80 °C has been actually reported to inactivate PME in different vegetables (Ni, Lin, & Barrett, 2005).  
332 Nevertheless, microscopic images evidenced a considerable HPH effect on blanched lettuce structure  
333 (Table 2), that was evaluated by means of rheological measurements (Figure 3). Sample viscosity  
334 decreased with the increase in HPH treatment intensity. Similar results were also reported for apple and  
335 banana juices and can be possibly attributed to the HPH-induced reduction of fibre dimension, favouring  
336 fibre-fibre interaction rather than fibre-water ones (Colle et al., 2010).

#### 337 3.2.5 *Microbial load*

338 Finally, the microbial quality of blanched samples was determined (Table 3). LAB and yeasts resulted  
339 always lower than detection limit. The TBC of Ground sample resulted lower than 3.5 Log CFU/g and  
340 progressively decreased with the HPH intensity. These values resulted not only lower than those of not-  
341 blanched samples, but also below limits usually indicated for vegetable and fruit juice quality (3.7-4.7  
342 Log CFU/g for TBC). Blanching, in fact, can reduce the microbial load of vegetable surface, due to the  
343 applied temperature and microorganism leaching into treatment water (Xiao et al., 2017).

#### 344 3.2.6 *Storage test*

345 Based on the obtained results, HPH treatments associated to a blanching pre-treatment would allow  
346 obtaining a lettuce juice presenting good physical stability and acceptable microbial load, potentially

347 exploitable for the formulation of blended juices, smoothies and comminuted foods. These samples were  
348 thus selected for a storage test that was conducted in refrigerated conditions up to 15 days. During this  
349 period, no significant changes in L\* and b\* parameters of the samples were observed (data not shown).  
350 However, in the Ground sample and in the samples subjected to a single HPH pass a progressive increase  
351 in a\* was observed, indicating sample browning (Figure 4). This effect should be probably attributed the  
352 progressive degradation of chlorophyll during storage (Perucka, Olszówka, & Chilczuk, 2014) and the  
353 formation of oxidised polyphenols upon their chemical interaction with oxygen (Le Bourvellec, Le  
354 Quéré, Sanoner, Drilleau, & Guyot, 2004), rather than to PPO activity. The latter was actually very low  
355 in the just prepared samples (Figure 1B) and remained below 8% (data not shown) during the entire  
356 storage test, indicating that applied treatments were able to irreversibly inactivate this enzyme. At the  
357 end of storage, the a\* value of samples subjected to one HPH pass resulted lower than that of Ground  
358 sample. This higher greenness was already observed immediately after the treatment (Table 2) and  
359 possibly attributed to the ability of HPH to release intracellular compounds, such as chlorophyll  
360 (paragraph 3.2.2). Only the sample obtained by 10 passes at 150 MPa showed no changes in a\* during  
361 storage. As already pointed out, this sample presented, immediately after the treatment, an a\* value  
362 significantly higher than that of other blanched samples (Table 2). Thus, it is likely that the high  
363 temperature reached on multiple HPH passes promoted intense degradation of polyphenols and pigments  
364 during the treatment. This, in turn, led to negligible changes in juice colour on further storage, probably  
365 due to a low concentration of degradable pigments remaining in the sample. No phase separation neither  
366 viscosity changes were observed during the 15 day-storage (data not shown) in agreement with PME  
367 irreversible inactivation by applied treatments. In fact, PME showed no changes in activity during storage  
368 time (data now shown).

369 Figure 5 shows the evolution of microbial load during time. Yeasts resulted always lower than detection  
370 limit (1.7 UFC/g). Except for HPH 150x10 sample, in which LAB growth resulted inhibited, TBC and

371 LAB progressively increased in all samples (Figure 5A and B), exceeding values commonly indicated  
372 for fruit and vegetable juice quality (3.7-4.7 Log CFU/g for TBC) after only 3 days. As widely reported  
373 in the literature, HPH presents a reduced antimicrobial efficacy and is thus usually combined with other  
374 treatments (e.g. acidification, high hydrostatic pressure) to attain a microbiologically stable product  
375 (Georget, Miller, Callanan, Heinz, & Mathys, 2014; Patrignani, Tabanelli, Siroli, Gardini, & Lanciotti,  
376 2013).

#### 377 **4 Conclusions**

378 Results obtained in this study suggest that high pressure homogenisation might be an interesting  
379 technology to fully exploit lettuce waste and obtain innovative healthy ingredients to be further used in  
380 food production. The combination of this technology with a blanching pre-treatment resulted in a  
381 homogeneous lettuce juice, presenting a **partially maintained** phenol content, a bright green colour, and  
382 high physical stability during storage. Although promoting an irreversible inactivation of alternative  
383 enzymes, the proposed treatment was not adequate for guaranteeing juice microbial stability. HPH of  
384 blanched lettuce should be thus associated to a further stabilization step (e.g. acidification or high  
385 hydrostatic pressure), reasonably applied at the level of the final formulation, being a blended juice, a  
386 smoothie or a comminuted food. Although the case here presented was relevant to lettuce waste, obtained  
387 results could be easily extended to other leaf vegetables and discards, largely broaden their applicability  
388 and impact. This effort is worth making considering that HPH is being increasingly introduced as  
389 processing operation in different industrial contexts, showing good feasibility and cost effectiveness.

390 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-  
391 for-profit sectors.

#### 392 **5 References**

393 Ahmed, J., Shivhare, U. S., & Raghavan, G. S. V. (2000). Rheological characteristics and kinetics of

394 colour degradation of green chilli puree. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 44, 239–244.

395 Bengtsson, H., & Tornberg, E. (2011). Physicochemical characterization of fruit and vegetable fiber  
396 suspensions. I. Effect of homogenization. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 42, 268–280.

397 Bot, F., Calligaris, S., Cortella, G., Nocera, F., Peressini, D., & Anese, M. (2017). Effect of high pressure  
398 homogenization and high power ultrasound on some physical properties of tomato juices with  
399 different concentration levels. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 213, 10–17.

400 Calligaris, S., Foschia, M., Bartolomeoli, I., Maifreni, M., & Manzocco, L. (2012). Study on the  
401 applicability of high-pressure homogenization for the production of banana juices. *LWT - Food  
402 Science and Technology*, 45, 117–121.

403 Calligaris, S., Plazzotta, S., Bot, F., Grasselli, S., Malchiodi, A., & Anese, M. (2016). Nanoemulsion  
404 preparation by combining high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound at low energy  
405 densities. *Food Research International*, 83, 25–30.

406 Cantos, E., Espín, J. C., & Tomás-Barberán, F. A. (2001). Effect of wounding on phenolic enzymes in  
407 six minimally processed lettuce cultivars upon storage. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,  
408 49, 322–330.

409 Carullo, D., Demelash, B., Alberto, A., Donsi, F., Perego, P., Ferrari, G., & Pataro, G. (2018). Effect of  
410 pulsed electric fields and high pressure homogenization on the aqueous extraction of intracellular  
411 compounds from the microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Algal Research*, 31, 60–69.

412 Colle, I., Van Buggenhout, S., Van Loey, A., & Hendrickx, M. (2010). High pressure homogenization  
413 followed by thermal processing of tomato pulp: Influence on microstructure and lycopene in vitro  
414 bioaccessibility. *Food Research International*, 43, 2193–2200.

415 Comuzzo, P., Calligaris, S., Iacumin, L., Ginaldi, F., Voce, S., & Zironi, R. (2017). Application of multi-  
416 pass high pressure homogenization under variable temperature regimes to induce autolysis of wine  
417 yeasts. *Food Chemistry*, 224, 105–113.



- 418 De Carvalho, J. M., Maia, G. A., De Figueiredo, R. W., De Brito, E. S., & Rordrigues, S. (2007).  
419 Development of a blended beverage consisting of coconut water and cashew apple juice containing  
420 caffeine. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *42*, 1195–1200.
- 421 Deliza, R., Rosenthal, A., Abadio, F. B. D., Silva, C. H. O., & Castillo, C. (2005). Application of high  
422 pressure technology in the fruit juice processing: Benefits perceived by consumers. *Journal of Food*  
423 *Engineering*, *67*, 241–246.
- 424 Devece, C., Rodríguez-López, J. N., Fenoll, L. G., Tudela, J., Català, J. M., De Los Reyes, E., & García-  
425 Cánovas, F. (1999). Enzyme inactivation analysis for industrial blanching applications: Comparison  
426 of microwave, conventional, and combination heat treatments on mushroom polyphenoloxidase  
427 activity. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *47*, 4506–4511.
- 428 Espín, J. C., Jolivet, S., & Wichers, H. J. (1998). Inhibition of mushroom polyphenol oxidase by  
429 agaritine. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *46*, 2976–2980.
- 430 Eyarkai Nambi, V., Gupta, R. K., Kumar, S., & Sharma, P. C. (2016). Degradation kinetics of bioactive  
431 components, antioxidant activity, colour and textural properties of selected vegetables during  
432 blanching. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *53*, 3073–3082.
- 433 Georget, E., Miller, B., Callanan, M., Heinz, V., & Mathys, A. (2014). (Ultra) High Pressure  
434 Homogenization for Continuous High Pressure Sterilization of Pumpable Foods - A Review.  
435 *Frontiers in Nutrition*, *1*, 1–6.
- 436 Hao, H., Zhou, T., Koutchma, T., Wu, F., & Warriner, K. (2016). High hydrostatic pressure assisted  
437 degradation of patulin in fruit and vegetable juice blends. *Food Control*, *62*, 237–242.
- 438 Ibrahim, G. E., Hassan, I. M., Abd-Elrashid, A. M., El-Massry, K. F., Eh-Ghorab, A. H., Manal, M. R.,  
439 & Osman, F. (2011). Effect of clouding agents on the quality of apple juice during storage. *Food*  
440 *Hydrocolloids*, *25*, 91–97.
- 441 Kahn, V. (1985). Effect of proteins, protein hydrolyzates and amino acids on o-dihydroxyphenolase

442 activity of polyphenol oxidase of mushroom, avocado, and banana. *Journal of Food Science*, 50,  
443 111–115.

444 Karacam, C. H., Sahin, S., & Oztop, M. H. (2015). Effect of high pressure homogenization  
445 (microfluidization) on the quality of Ottoman Strawberry (F. Ananassa) juice. *LWT - Food Science  
446 and Technology*, 64, 932–937.

447 Koca, N., Karadeniz, F., & Burdurlu, H. S. (2007). Effect of pH on chlorophyll degradation and colour  
448 loss in blanched green peas. *Food Chemistry*, 100, 609–615.

449 Laboissière, L. H. E. S., Deliza, R., Barros-Marcellini, A. M., Rosenthal, A., Camargo, L. M. A. Q., &  
450 Junqueira, R. G. (2007). Effects of high hydrostatic pressure (HHP) on sensory characteristics of  
451 yellow passion fruit juice. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 8, 469–477.

452 Le Bourvellec, C., Le Quéré, J. M., Sanoner, P., Drilleau, J. F., & Guyot, S. (2004). Inhibition of apple  
453 polyphenol oxidase activity by procyanidins and polyphenol oxidation products. *Journal of  
454 Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 122–130.

455 Liu, W., Liu, J., Liu, C., Zhong, Y., Liu, W., & Wan, J. (2009). Activation and conformational changes  
456 of mushroom polyphenoloxidase by high pressure microfluidization treatment. *Innovative Food  
457 Science and Emerging Technologies*, 10, 142–147.

458 Liu, W., Liu, J., Xie, M., Liu, C., Liu, W., & Wan, J. (2009). Characterization and high-pressure  
459 microfluidization-induced activation of polyphenoloxidase from chinese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*  
460 Nakai). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 57, 5376–5380.

461 Llorach, R., Martínez-Sánchez, A., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., Gil, M. I., & Ferreres, F. (2008).  
462 Characterisation of polyphenols and antioxidant properties of five lettuce varieties and escarole.  
463 *Food Chemistry*, 108, 1028–1038.

464 Llorach, R., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., & Ferreres, F. (2004). Lettuce and chicory byproducts as a source  
465 of antioxidant phenolic extracts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52, 5109–5116.

- 466 Mai, F., & Glomb, M. A. (2013). Isolation of phenolic compounds from iceberg lettuce and impact on  
467 enzymatic browning. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *61*, 2868–2874.
- 468 Manzocco, L., Mastrocola, D., Nicoli, M. C., & Marangoni, V. (2001). Review of non- enzymatic  
469 browning and antioxidant capacity in processed foods. *Trends in Food Science Technology*, *11*,  
470 340–346.
- 471 Martin-Diana, A. B., Rico, D., Barry-Ryan, C., Frias, J. M., Mulcahy, J., & Henehan, G. T. M. (2005).  
472 Calcium lactate washing treatments for salad-cut Iceberg lettuce: Effect of temperature and  
473 concentration on quality retention parameters. *Food Research International*, *38*, 729–740.
- 474 Martínez-Montegudo, S. I., Yan, B., & Balasubramaniam, V. M. (2017). Engineering process  
475 characterization of high-pressure homogenization-from laboratory to industrial scale. *Food*  
476 *Engineering Reviews*, *9*, 143–169.
- 477 Ni, L., Lin, D., & Barrett, D. M. (2005). Pectin methylesterase catalyzed firming effects on low  
478 temperature blanched vegetables. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *70*, 546–556.
- 479 Patrignani, F., Tabanelli, G., Siroli, L., Gardini, F., & Lanciotti, R. (2013). Combined effects of high  
480 pressure homogenization treatment and citral on microbiological quality of apricot juice.  
481 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *160*, 273–281.
- 482 Perucka, I., Olszówka, K., & Chilczuk, B. (2014). Changes in the chlorophyll content in stored lettuce  
483 *Lactuca sativa* L. after pre-harvest foliar application of CaCl<sub>2</sub>. *Acta Agrobotanica*, *66*, 137–142.
- 484 Plazzotta, S., Manzocco, L., & Nicoli, M. C. (2017). Fruit and vegetable waste management and the  
485 challenge of fresh-cut salad. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, *63*, 51–59.
- 486 Raybaudi-Massilia, R. M., Mosqueda-Melgar, J., Soliva-Fortuny, R., & Martín- Belloso, O. (2009).  
487 Control of pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms in fresh- cut fruits and fruit juices by traditional  
488 and alternative natural antimicrobials. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*,  
489 *8*, 157-180.

- 490 Samarasinghe, N., Fernando, S., Lacey, R., & Faulkner, W. B. (2012). Algal cell rupture using high  
491 pressure homogenization as a prelude to oil extraction. *Renewable Energy*, *48*, 300–308.
- 492 Simforian, E., Nonga, H. E., & Ndabikunze, B. K. (2015). Assessment of microbiological quality of raw  
493 fruit juice vended in dar es salaam city, Tanzania. *Food Control*, *57*, 302–307.
- 494 Singleton, V., & Rossi, J. A. (1965). Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-  
495 phosphotungstic acid reagents. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, *16*, 144–158.
- 496 Stang, M., Schuchmann, H., & Schubert, H. (2001). Emulsification in high-pressure homogenizers.  
497 *Engineering in Life Sciences*, *1*, 151–157.
- 498 Terefe, N. S., Delon, A., Buckow, R., & Versteeg, C. (2015). Blueberry polyphenol oxidase:  
499 Characterization and the kinetics of thermal and high pressure activation and inactivation. *Food*  
500 *Chemistry*, *188*, 193–200.
- 501 Van Buggenhout, S., Wallecan, J., Christiaens, S., Debon, S. J. J., Desmet, C., Van Loey, A., ... Mazoyer,  
502 J. (2015). Influence of high-pressure homogenization on functional properties of orange pulp.  
503 *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, *30*, 51–60.
- 504 Weemaes, C. A., Ooms, V., Van Loey, A. M., & Hendrickx, M. E. (1999). Kinetics of chlorophyll  
505 degradation and color loss in heated broccoli juice. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*,  
506 *47*, 2404–2409.
- 507 Welti-Chanes, J., Ochoa-Velasco, C. E., & Guerrero-Beltrán, J. Á. (2009). High-pressure  
508 homogenization of orange juice to inactivate pectinmethylesterase. *Innovative Food Science and*  
509 *Emerging Technologies*, *10*, 457–462.
- 510 Xiao, H. W., Pan, Z., Deng, L. Z., El-Mashad, H. M., Yang, X. H., Mujumdar, A. S., ... Zhang, Q.  
511 (2017). Recent developments and trends in thermal blanching – A comprehensive review.  
512 *Information Processing in Agriculture*, *4*, 101–127.
- 513 Xu, C., Yu, C., & Li, Y. (2015). Effect of blanching pretreatment on carrot texture attribute, rheological

514 behavior, and cell structure during cooking process. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 62, 48–  
515 54.

516 Yi, J., Kebede, B., Kristiani, K., Grauwet, T., Van Loey, A., & Hendrickx, M. (2018). Minimizing quality  
517 changes of cloudy apple juice: The use of kiwifruit puree and high pressure homogenization. *Food*  
518 *Chemistry*, 249, 202–212.













519 Zhu, X., Cheng, Y., Chen, P., Peng, P., Liu, S., Li, D., & Ruan, R. (2016). Effect of alkaline and high-  
520 pressure homogenization on the extraction of phenolic acids from potato peels. *Innovative Food*  
521 *Science and Emerging Technologies*, 37, 91–97.

522 Table 1. Pre-treatments and treatments performed on lettuce waste and obtained samples. The energy  
 523 density ( $E_T$ ) developed by high pressure homogenisation (HPH) treatments is also reported.

Sample	Pre-treatment				Treatment		$E_T$ (J/g)
	Blanching	Grinding	Pressure (MPa)	Passes	Pressure (MPa)	Passes	
Not- blanched	Control	no	no	/	/	/	/
	Ground	no	yes	/	/	/	/
	Pre- homogenised	no	yes	40	1	/	1
	HPH 80	no	yes	40	1	80	1
	HPH 150	no	yes	40	1	150	1
	HPH 150x10	no	yes	40	1	150	10
Blanched	Control	yes	no	/	/	/	/
	Ground	yes	yes	/	/	/	/
	Pre- homogenised	yes	yes	40	1	/	1
	HPH 80	yes	yes	40	1	80	1
	HPH 150	yes	yes	40	1	150	1
	HPH 150x10	yes	yes	40	1	150	10













524

525 Table 2. Visual appearance, microscopic image, and colour of not-blanching and blanching lettuce waste  
 526 subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of  
 527 passes.

Sample		Appearance	Microscopy	Colour		
				L*	a*	b*
Not-blanching	Control			71.4 ± 1.3 <sup>a</sup>	-16.9 ± 1.2 <sup>a</sup>	31.6 ± 1.4 <sup>a</sup>
	Ground			39.8 ± 0.7 <sup>f</sup>	-3.5 ± 0.3 <sup>e</sup>	17.0 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>
	Pre-homogenised			43.6 ± 0.3 <sup>e</sup>	-4.3 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	20.7 ± 1.0 <sup>c</sup>
	HPH 80			48.6 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	-6.2 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	26.1 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>
	HPH 150			49.7 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	-6.3 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	25.4 ± 0.3 <sup>b</sup>
	HPH 150x10			46.6 ± 0.1 <sup>d</sup>	-3.8 ± 0.1 <sup>d</sup>	21.4 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>

528

529 Table 2 (continues).

Sample		Appearance	Microscopy	Colour		
				L*	a*	b*
Blanched	Control			69.0 ± 3.9 <sup>a</sup>	-15.5 ± 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	30.7 ± 0.9 <sup>a</sup>
	Ground			45.1 ± 0.2 <sup>c</sup>	-13.5 ± 0.4 <sup>c</sup>	21.6 ± 0.8 <sup>c</sup>
	Pre-homogenised			46.7 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	-14.3 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	28.3 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>
	HPH 80			46.8 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup>	-14.6 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	26.9 ± 0.1 <sup>c</sup>
	HPH 150			46.0 ± 0.1 <sup>d</sup>	-14.4 ± 0.1 <sup>b</sup>	26.0 ± 0.4 <sup>d</sup>
	HPH 150x10			51.6 ± 0.4 <sup>b</sup>	-6.7 ± 0.2 <sup>d</sup>	22.3 ± 0.2 <sup>e</sup>

530 <sup>a-f</sup> in the same column and within not-blanched and blanched samples, means indicated by different letters  
 531 are significantly different.

532



533 Table 3. Total bacterial count (TBC), yeast and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) load of not-blanching and  
 534 blanching lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing  
 535 pressure and number of passes.

Sample		TBC (Log CFU/g)	Yeasts (Log CFU/g)	LAB (Log CFU/g)
Not-blanching	Ground	5.71 ± 0.31	5.48 ± 0.14	<D.L.
	Pre-homogenised	5.57 ± 0.21	5.44 ± 0.46	<D.L.
	HPH 80	4.31 ± 0.39	4.13 ± 0.55	<D.L.
	HPH 150	1.85 ± 0.22	<D.L.	<D.L.
	HPH 150x10	3.20 ± 0.10	<D.L.	<D.L.
Blanching	Ground	3.23 ± 0.54	<D.L.	<D.L.
	Pre-homogenised	3.16 ± 0.44	<D.L.	<D.L.
	HPH 80	2.00 ± 0.79	<D.L.	<D.L.
	HPH 150	3.20 ± 0.38	<D.L.	<D.L.
	HPH 150x10	2.00 ± 0.37	<D.L.	<D.L.

D.L. = detection limit = 1.70 Log CFU/g

536

537 **Figure captions**

538 Figure 1. Total phenolic content (TPC) (A) and polyphenoloxidase activity (Activity PPO) (B) of not-  
539 blanched and blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at  
540 increasing pressure and number of passes.

541 Figure 2. Phase separation (A), and pectin methylesterase activity (Activity PME) (B) of not-blanched  
542 and blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing  
543 pressure and number of passes.

544 Figure 3. Viscosity of blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH  
545 treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes.

546 Figure 4. Red-point ( $a^*$ ) of blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH  
547 treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes, during 15-day refrigerated storage.

548 Figure 5. Total bacterial count (TBC) and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) load of blanched lettuce waste  
549 subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of  
550 passes, during 15-day refrigerated storage. Detection limit = 1.7 Log CFU/g.




Figure 1. Total phenolic content (TPC) (A) and polyphenoloxidase activity (Activity PPO) (B) of not-blanching and blanching lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes.




Figure 2. Phase separation (A), and pectin methylesterase activity (Activity PME) (B) of not-blached and blached lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes.




Figure 3. Viscosity of blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes.




Figure 4. Red-point ( $a^*$ ) of blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes, during 15-day refrigerated storage.




Figure 5. Total bacterial count (TBC) and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) load of blanched lettuce waste subjected to grinding, pre-homogenisation and HPH treatments at increasing pressure and number of passes, during 15-day refrigerated storage. Detection limit = 1.7 Log CFU/g.