

## CIAT Research Online - Accepted Manuscript

---

### **New records of very high nitrous oxide fluxes from rice cannot be generalized for water management and climate impacts.**

The International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) believes that open access contributes to its mission of reducing hunger and poverty, and improving human nutrition in the tropics through research aimed at increasing the eco-efficiency of agriculture.

CIAT is committed to creating and sharing knowledge and information openly and globally. We do this through collaborative research as well as through the open sharing of our data, tools, and publications.

#### **Citation:**

Wassmann, Reiner ; Sander, Bjoern Ole; Yadav, Sudhir; Bouman, Bas; Singleton, Grant; Stuart, Alexander; Hellin, Jonathan; Johnson, David; Hughes, Jacqueline; Butterbach-Bahl, Klaus; Kiese, Ralf; Kraus, David; Janz, Baldur; Linqvist, Bruce; Gaihre, Yam Kanta; Chirinda, Ngonidashe & Wollenber, Eva. (2019). New records of very high nitrous oxide fluxes from rice cannot be generalized for watermanagement and climate impacts. PNAS - Proceedings National Academy of Sciences, 116(5): 1464-1465

#### **Publisher's DOI:**

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1817694116>

#### **Access through CIAT Research Online:**

<https://hdl.handle.net/10568/99324>

#### **Terms:**

© 2019. CIAT has provided you with this accepted manuscript in line with CIAT's open access policy and in accordance with the Publisher's policy on self-archiving.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). You may re-use or share this manuscript as long as you acknowledge the authors by citing the version of the record listed above. You may not use this manuscript for commercial purposes.

For more information, please contact CIAT Library at [CIAT-Library@cgiar.org](mailto:CIAT-Library@cgiar.org).

## Letter to the Editor of PNAS

### New records of very high nitrous oxide fluxes from rice cannot be generalized for water management and climate impacts

#### Submitted by

R. Wassmann<sup>1,2</sup>); B.O. Sander<sup>1</sup>); S. Yadav<sup>1</sup>); B. Bouman<sup>1</sup>); G. Singleton<sup>1</sup>), A. Stuart<sup>1</sup>), J. Hellin<sup>1</sup>), D. Johnson<sup>1</sup>), J. Hughes<sup>1</sup>); K. Butterbach-Bahl<sup>2,5</sup>); R. Kiese<sup>2</sup>); D. Kraus<sup>2</sup>); B. Janz<sup>2</sup>); B. Linquist<sup>3</sup>); Y.K. Gaihre<sup>4</sup>); N. Chirinda<sup>6</sup>); E. Wollenberg<sup>7</sup>)

<sup>1</sup>) International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines

<sup>2</sup>) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Atmospheric Research, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

<sup>3</sup>) Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>) International Fertilizer Development Center, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>5</sup>) International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>6</sup>) International Centre for Tropical Agriculture, Cali, Colombia

<sup>7</sup>) CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security and University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, USA

Dear Editor,

As scientists working at the International Rice Research Institute and other institutions that have investigated greenhouse gas emissions from rice fields, we read the recent article in PNAS by Kritee et al. (1) with great interest. The observed N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from rice fields in South India including previously unknown high rates definitely constitute an important finding that warrants being reported. We also agree that recommendations on farming practices should give close attention to timing and rates of N fertilizer application in relation to water management. Other generalizations in this study, however, are largely unfounded and speculative. We raise several critical issues that are further substantiated by information in a supplement to this letter (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.h11125b>).

1. **Field Design:** A major concern is that the field experiments did not encompass a control treatment with continuous flooding. The ramified “baseline” and “alternate” treatments in this study impede any conclusion on cause–symptom relationships between water regimes on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The study sites had high percolation rates, so floodwater levels had to be replenished frequently. This is a usual practice in soils with low clay content but is not tantamount to alternate wetting and drying, a deliberate management practice applied in rice fields where the conventional practice is continuous flooding (2).

2. **Sampling Frequency:** The article suggests—at least implicitly—that previous studies have missed recording high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions due to their insufficient sampling frequency. This argument is unjustified given the high number of field observations and the diversity of sampling strategies applied. Several studies with manual sampling comprise high frequencies [e.g., in daily intervals (3)]. Moreover, automated measurements provide continuous measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in sub-daily intervals from rice fields, including those in tropical Asia (4–7).
3. **Interpolation errors:** The study by Kritee et al. (1) uses a nonlinear interpolation method, but individual emission spikes are still reflected as broad peaks. The impacts of such observation gaps in N<sub>2</sub>O records have clearly been shown by comparing manual vs. automated records (3) as well as distinct permutations of sampling intervals based on automated N<sub>2</sub>O records (8). These inherent uncertainties in manual sampling should not lead to discarding the computed seasonal emission rates, but to questioning their use as a benchmark for assessing accuracies of other records.
4. **Model Development:** Model development in this study is limited to the initial step of multiple regression but omits the decisive step of model validation with an independent data set. Multiple regression alone can be done with almost any given dataset, so this will not automatically entail more reliable extrapolations of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.
5. **Interpretation of risks** Kritee et al. (1) conclude that their newly recorded emission rates translate into a high risk of underestimating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. While this logic appears sound, this finding remains weak as long as there is no concomitant information on the likelihood of such a risk. On the same grounds as arguing in favor of increasing regional and global estimates, these individual field records of high emissions could also be interpreted as statistical outliers or anomalies.

## Citations

1. Kritee K, Nair D, Araiza AZ, Proville J, Rudek J, Adhya TK, Loecke T, Esteves T, Balireddygar S, Dava O, Ram K, Abhilash S. R., Madasamy M, Dokka RV, Anandaraj D, Athiyaman D, Reddy M, Ahuja R, and Hamburg SP (2018) High nitrous oxide fluxes from rice indicate the need to manage water for both long- and short-term climate impacts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1809276115>
2. Bouman BAM, Tuong TP (2001) Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated lowland rice. *Agricultural Water Management* 49: 11–30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774\(00\)00128-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(00)00128-1)
3. Yao ZS, Zheng XH, Xie BH, Liu CY, Mei BL, Dong HB, Butterbach-Bahl K, Zhu JG (2009). Comparison of manual and automated chambers for field measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from cultivated land. *Atmospheric Environment* 43: 1888-1896

4. Gaihre YK, Singh U, Islam SMM, Huda A, Islam MR, Satter MA, Sanabria J, Islam MR, Shah AL (2015). Impacts of urea deep placement on nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions from rice fields in Bangladesh. *Geoderma* 259: 370-379
5. Gaihre YK, Singh U, Islam SMM, Huda A, Islam MR, Satter MA, Sanabria J, Islam MR, Biswas J.C., Jahiruddin M., Jahan M.S. (2017) Nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions and nitrogen use efficiency as affected by nitrogen placement in lowland rice fields. *Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystems*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-017-9897-z>
6. Abao EB, Bronson KF, Wassmann R, Singh U (2000) Simultaneous records of methane and nitrous oxide emissions in rice-based cropping systems under rainfed conditions. *Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystems* 58: 131-139
7. Bronson KF, Neue HU, Singh U, Abao EB (1997) Automated chamber measurements of methane and nitrous oxide flux in a flooded rice soil: I. residue, nitrogen, and water management, *Soil Science Society America Journal* 61: 981– 987
8. Barton L., Wolf B., Rowlings D., Scheer C., Kiese R., Grace P., Stefanova K., Butterbach-Bahl K. (2015) Sampling frequency affects estimates of annual nitrous oxide fluxes. *Scientific Reports* 5: 15912. doi: 10.1038/srep15912