Sero-epidemiological investigation of foot and mouth disease (FMD) in cattle at the livestock-wildlife interface in Maasai Mara, Kenya

Daniel Nthiwa^{1,4*}, Silvia Alonso², David Odongo³, Eucharia Kenya⁴, Bernard Bett¹

¹International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), P. O. Box 30709-00100, Nairobi. ² International Livestock Research Institute, (ILRI), P.O BOX 5689, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. ³School of Biological Sciences, University of Nairobi, P. O. Box 30709-00100, Nairobi. ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, University of Embu, P. O. Box 6-60100, Embu, Kenya. **Corresponding author, Daniel Nthiwa: Email:* <u>**D.Nthiwa@cgiar.org</u></u></u>**

Introduction

- Land use changes affect the transmission dynamics of many infectious diseases that constraint livestock production.
- Using FMD as a case study disease, we investigated the effects of varied land use types and different levels of livestockwildlife interactions on its transmission patterns in Maasai Mara ecosystem, Kenya.

Results

- The overall individual-level seroprevalence of FMD was 81.2% (95% CI: 79.1 - 83.5).
- FMD Seroprevalence was inversely related to the ecological distance from Mara Reserve; Zone 1 (92.0, 95% CI: 89.9 – 94.5)
- The study used a cross sectional study design and multi-stage cluster sampling framework to estimated FMD seroprevalence and the associated risk factors for exposure in pastoral cattle herds.

Methods

- Three ecological zones were identified along a distance gradient from Mara National reserve (Fig 1).
- The increasing distance from the reserve correspond to different levels of wildlife-livestock interactions and varied land use types.

than in zone 2 (79.8, 95% CI: 76.3 – 83.5) and zone 3 (62.9, 95% CI: 57.1 – 69.5).

- Cattle herds grazed in zones 1 and 2, respectively, had higher odds of FMD exposure (OR = 8.8, 95% CI: 5.1 – 15.4, p < 0.001) and (OR = 2.7, 95% CI: 1.7 - 4.3, p < 0.001), than those grazed in zone 3 (low interface area).
- Other significant predictors of animal-level FMD seroprevalence included; sharing of watering points within villages (OR = 4.8, 95% CI: 1.8-13.2, P = 0.002), mixing of cattle with other herds during grazing (OR = 3.3, 95% CI: 1.9 – 5.6, P< 0.001), pastoral herd management practices (OR =5.3, 95 CI: 3.3 – 8.4, P<0.001), grazing cattle in wildlife reserves (OR = 4.5, 95% CI: 2.8 - 7.1,P < 0.001) and large herd size >50 animals (OR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.0 -2.4, P = 0.035).



• A total of 1170 cattle were randomly sampled from 390 herds and screened for FMD non-structural antibodies (NSP) using a 3ABC blocking Elisa (Priocheck® FMDV NS, Prionics AG, Netherlands).

- Serotypes O, A, SAT 1 and SAT 2 were all prevalent in the target zones.
- The Intracluster Correlation Coefficient, ICC (i.e., the level of dependence among seropositive cattle individuals within herds) was estimated at 0.34.

Conclusion

- study highlights important risk factors for FMD This transmission and will help in the establishment of mitigative strategies.
- Further research should characterize circulating FMD viruses for the development of effective vaccines and build communities' capacity for sustained control.

- Seropositive sera was further screened for serotypes A, O, SAT 1 and SAT 2, all endemic in Kenya.
- A generalized linear mixed effect model (GLMM) was used to analyze seroprevalence data and identify risk factors for exposure; herd ID was fitted as a random effect to account for herd-level clustering.

ILRI thanks all donors and organizations which globally support its work through their contributions to the CGIAR Trust Fund.

IR.

ΙΝΤΕΓΝΑΤΙΟΝΑ

LIVESTOCK RESEARCH

INSTITUTE





November 2018

This document is licensed for use under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence.