1	Stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy in first-time mothers:
2	Modeling and predicting change across the first six months of motherhood
3	
4	
5	Law, K. H. ¹ , Dimmock, J. A. ¹ , Guelfi, K. J. ¹ , Nguyen, T. ²³ ,
6	Gucciardi, D. F. ⁴ & Jackson, B. ¹
7	
8	
9	¹ Faculty of Science, School of Human Sciences, The University of Western Australia
10	² Division of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, The University of Western Australia.
11	³ Peel and Rockingham Kwinana Mental Health Service.
12	⁴ School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University.
13	
14	Corresponding Author: Kwok Hong Law, School of Human Sciences, University of Western
15	Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia.
16	Tel: +61 8 6488 2361 Fax: +61 8 6488 1039 Email: brian.law@research.uwa.edu.au
17	
18 19 20	Cite: Law, K. and Dimmock, J. and Guelfi, K. and Nguyen, T. and Gucciardi, D. and Jackson, B. 2018.
21	Stress, Depressive Symptoms, and Maternal Self-Efficacy in First-Time Mothers: Modelling
22	and Predicting Change across the First Six Months of Motherhood. Applied Psychology:
23	Health and Well-Being, 11, 126-147. doi: 10.1111/aphw.12147
24	

1

2 3

Stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy in first-time mothers: Modeling and predicting change across the first six months of motherhood

Abstract

4 Background: First-time mothers commonly experience stress and depressive symptoms in the 5 postpartum period. Maternal self-efficacy has been shown to be an important protective factor 6 against these experiences; however, research on the dynamic nature of stress, depressive symptoms, 7 and maternal self-efficacy is limited. The aim of this study was to document changes in these 8 psychological factors among first-time mothers, and determine how early maternal self-efficacy 9 perceptions may predict change in stress and depressive symptoms over the first six months 10 postpartum. Methods: Sixty first-time Australian mothers were recruited during their third 11 trimester of pregnancy. Participants completed a baseline survey during third trimester of pregnancy 12 (M = 32.87 weeks, SD = 2.62 weeks), and subsequently reported stress, depressive symptoms, and 13 maternal self-efficacy every three weeks postpartum for six months. Latent growth curve modelling 14 was used to estimate participants' change over time for stress and depressive symptoms. Results: First-time mothers' stress and depressive symptoms peaked, and maternal self-efficacy was weakest, 15 16 at 3-weeks postpartum. Maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum was a significant (negative) 17 predictor of 3-week levels of, and also (positively) predicted later reductions in, stress. Conclusion: 18 Future interventions aimed at bolstering early maternal self-efficacy may protect against postpartum 19 stress for first-time mothers.

- 20
- 21
- 22

Key Words: anxiety; maternal health; psychological distress; mental health; community health

Introduction

1

2 Many women find it difficult to manage the physical, social, and psychological challenges 3 that accompany early motherhood (Kunseler, Willeman, Oosterman, & Schuengel, 2014; Mercer, 4 2004), and nearly half of women report experiencing stressful life events or social health problems 5 (e.g., financial difficulty, serious family conflict) in the six months following childbirth (Yelland, 6 Sutherland, & Brown, 2010). These issues are particularly prominent for first-time mothers, who 7 are more likely to experience stress and depressive symptoms than multiparous mothers (Australian 8 Institute of Health and Welfare, 2012; Leahy-Warren & McCarthy, 2011). Aside from these health 9 issues for first-time mothers, maternal maladjustment problems in the postpartum period can also 10 lead to a complex series of issues in entire family units (Fahey & Shenassa, 2013). High levels of 11 stress and depressive symptoms in the postpartum period have been associated, for example, with 12 poorer cognitive, behavioural, and emotional adjustment for the child (e.g., Kingston, Tough, & 13 Whitfield, 2012; Letourneau et al., 2012), and can also have a negative influence on relationships 14 with other family members (e.g., Meighan, Davis, Thomas, & Droppleman, 1999). There is a need, 15 therefore, for research effort to be directed toward assisting first-time mothers with their postpartum 16 mental health.

17 In light of the significant implications of maternal mental health (for mothers and others), 18 and in order to identify appropriate intervention strategies to assist mothers in the early postpartum 19 period, research attention has been directed toward understanding the contributors to, and protectors 20 against, maternal stress and depressive symptoms. In a review of this literature, Emmanuel and St 21 John (2010) outlined a range of personal (e.g., mothers' age, parity, educational level) and 22 interpersonal (e.g., social support, relationship with partner) factors commonly associated with 23 maternal stress and depressive symptoms. More recently, researchers investigating mothers' 24 postpartum experiences have also reported that a sense of loss and frustration, troublesome family 25 relationships, baby management, and expectations of motherhood are common concerns among 26 mothers, and often contribute to their experiences of distress (Bilszta, Ericksen, Buist, & Milgrom,

1 2010; Edhborg, Nasreen, & Kabir, 2015; Highet, Stevenson, Purtell, & Coo, 2014; Law, Jackson,

2 Guelfi, Nguyen, & Dimmock, 2018).

3 One psychological factor that has been frequently identified as a protector against stress and depressive symptoms is maternal self-efficacy (e.g., Leahy-Warren, McCarthy, & Corcoran, 2012). 4 5 Self-efficacy beliefs represent an individual's confidence in their ability within a given setting or 6 context (Bandura, 1997). Weak maternal self-efficacy beliefs have been found to be associated with 7 increased feelings of anxiety, depression, and stress (e.g., Bloomfield & Kendall, 2012; Leahy-8 Warren & McCarthy, 2011), whereas strong maternal self-efficacy perceptions appear to be 9 associated with lower parenting stress (e.g., Liu, Chen, Yeh, & Hsieh, 2012). Maternal self-efficacy 10 has also been found to positively predict a range of other outcomes, including parenting behaviours (e.g., Coleman & Karraker, 2003), children's self-efficacy, and children's academic success (e.g., 11 12 Ardelt & Eccles, 2001; Leerkes & Burney, 2007). In addition, maternal self-efficacy has been 13 identified as a moderator of mothers' prenatal stress and infants' crying behaviour; specifically, 14 infants of mothers who reported high levels of prenatal stress cried less when their mother had 15 strong (compared to weak) maternal self-efficacy beliefs (Bolten, Fink, & Stadler, 2012). In sum, a 16 relatively well-developed body of research evidence indicates that a strong sense of confidence in 17 one's maternal capabilities protects against mothers' stress and depressive symptoms in the 18 postpartum period, and promotes more adaptive parenting behaviour and outcomes for the child. 19 Maternal self-efficacy has often been operationalised with respect to mothers' confidence in 20 their ability to perform tasks associated with caring for their baby (e.g., "I can soothe my baby 21 when he/she is distressed"), or in relation to more holistic perceptions about being a mother (e.g., 22 "being a parent is manageable"; see Crncec, Barnett, & Matthey, 2010, for a review). Clearly, tasks

associated with caring for one's baby are a critically important tasks associated with motherhood;

there are, however, additional specific behavioural and situational demands that might contribute to
a first-time mother's overall self-efficacy. For example, mothers from zero to six weeks postpartum
have previously highlighted challenges with respect to managing their family relationships (Lugina,

1	Christensson, Massawe, Nystrom, & Lindmark, 2001). It has also been documented that a
2	successful transition to motherhood requires the ability to negotiate changes in the relationship with
3	one's partner (e.g., Darvill, Skirton, & Farrand, 2010), and to regulate various non-baby-related
4	behaviours, such as self-care (e.g., getting sufficient sleep) and household commitments (e.g.,
5	chores) (e.g., Barkin & Wisner, 2013; McVeigh, 1997). Consistent with Bandura's (2006)
6	recommendations that efficacy assessments include important task-related, behavioural, and
7	cognitive demands, we sought to measure maternal self-efficacy in this study with respect to baby-
8	related factors, mothers' interpersonal relationships, and self-regulatory behaviours. Accordingly, in
9	this present study, we adopted a broad conceptualisation of maternal self-efficacy, reflecting
10	mothers' confidence in their ability regarding the tasks, self-regulatory skills/behaviours, and
11	relationship management factors associated with the transition to motherhood.

12 A comprehensive understanding of the nature, antecedents, and consequences of maternal 13 self-efficacy is paramount if intervention efforts to improve mothers' confidence are to be 14 appropriately timed and designed. Indeed, other researchers have suggested a need for greater 15 investigation of maternal self-efficacy using longitudinal designs (Kunseler et al., 2014). Such 16 approaches would allow for insight into within- and between-person variation in this construct, and 17 the temporal relations between maternal self-efficacy and relevant correlates (e.g., indicators of 18 psychological distress). In order to deepen our understanding of the changes and adaptations that 19 take place during the early postpartum period, it has been highlighted that a particularly important 20 objective of longitudinal work in this area should be to investigate—in as much detail as is possible 21 without overburdening mothers-the associations between stress, depressive symptoms, and 22 maternal self-efficacy at multiple points across the early postpartum period (Kunseler et al., 2014). 23 To date, however, only a limited number of longitudinal studies have been conducted with the aim 24 of documenting change in perceived stress, depressive symptoms, and/or anxiety from pregnancy to 25 postpartum. These studies have typically focused either on depressive symptoms alone (e.g., Abbasi, 26 van den Akker, & Bewley, 2014; Bowen, Bowen, Butt, Rahman, & Muhajarine, 2012), on the

1 period of pregnancy alone (e.g., Parcells, 2010), or on a relatively short period postpartum (e.g., one 2 month; Britton, 2008). There are even fewer examples in the literature whereby investigators have 3 documented changes in maternal self-efficacy during the postpartum period, or modelled the 4 relations between early maternal self-efficacy (e.g., during pregnancy or in the early postpartum 5 period) and changes in, or initial levels of, stress and depressive symptoms (Kunseler et al., 2014). 6 Understanding early postpartum changes in maternal self-efficacy is important, but 7 obtaining a more detailed account of the predictive nature of maternal self-efficacy perceptions 8 following childbirth (on subsequent stress and depressive symptoms) is also necessary. Self-9 efficacy beliefs at a given time point have been shown, in other domains, to have both short- and 10 longer-term protective effects on various outcomes. For example, strong self-efficacy beliefs have 11 been found to predict *later* intention to engage in physical activity (e.g., Hamilton, Warner, & 12 Schwarzer, 2017), lower depressive symptoms (Steca et al., 2014), and better outcomes from 13 substance abuse treatments (see Kadden & Litt, 2011). It is possible, therefore, that the self-efficacy 14 beliefs formed in the early postpartum period may be responsible for shaping how important 15 outcomes (e.g., stress, depressive symptoms) change over time. It is well documented that first-time 16 mothers experience mental health challenges in the form of (the potential for) significant stress and depressive symptoms during the first six months of pregnancy (e.g., Kunseler et al., 2014; Law et 17 18 al., 2018). Thus, studying early postpartum maternal self-efficacy—with an emphasis on informing 19 intervention design—could help researchers to better understand how to encourage more favourable 20 outcomes for first-time mothers (i.e., lower postpartum stress and depressive symptoms). 21 Attempts to promote maternal self-efficacy as early as possible (e.g., pre-birth) may be 22 valuable; however, expectant mothers' self-efficacy beliefs during pregnancy may not be a wholly 23 accurate predictor of postpartum stress and depressive symptoms. Bandura (1997) indicated that in

25 *accurately* appraise their capabilities at that moment in time (i.e., Bandura emphasised the

order for self-efficacy beliefs to engender adaptive functional effects, respondents must be able to

24

26 importance of present-state, "can do" appraisals, rather than intended future-state, or "will do"

1 appraisals). In the case of new mothers, therefore, it is possible that maternal self-efficacy beliefs 2 formed during pregnancy may not be wholly accurate predictors of postpartum functioning, given 3 that mothers have not yet faced the various challenges that accompany motherhood. For that reason, 4 maternal self-efficacy beliefs in the early postpartum period may be a better predictor of stress and 5 well-being outcomes (when compared to maternal self-efficacy measured in the prenatal period). 6 Guided by these considerations, in this investigation we sought to determine if early maternal (i.e., 7 three week postpartum) self-efficacy scores were predictive of changes in stress and depressive 8 symptoms across the first six months postpartum.

9 The use of early postpartum maternal self-efficacy as a predictor of change in stress and 10 depressive symptoms is an extension to our current understanding of the relations between these 11 constructs. In longitudinal studies in which multiple mental health indices have been measured, the 12 timing of assessments has often been infrequent enough to identify temporally meaningful 13 fluctuations in mental health. In a recent study by Kunseler and colleagues (2014), for example, 14 associations between depression, anxiety, and parenting self-efficacy were examined at 32 weeks of 15 pregnancy, 3 months postpartum, and 12 months postpartum. Despite such research offering useful 16 insight into broad trajectories on important mental health constructs, shorter intervals between 17 assessments may improve sensitivity and enhance researchers' ability to capture variation across 18 time. Accordingly, in order to advance what is known about maternal self-efficacy and 19 psychological distress patterns in the postpartum period, it is important for researchers to adopt a 20 longitudinal design involving intensive assessments by introducing shorter intervals between 21 measurement points.

Using a longitudinal design incorporating a measurement in late pregnancy and regular measurements (i.e. every three weeks) through the early postpartum period, the aims of this study were to (a) document changes in stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy among first-time mothers from late pregnancy to 6-months postpartum, and (b) examine the extent to which early maternal self-efficacy beliefs predict initial levels of, and change in, stress and

1 depressive symptoms across this time period. In adhering to these aims, we sought to (a) 2 characterise the fluctuations in our primary variables by examining potential differences over time 3 in mothers' maternal self-efficacy, stress, and depressive symptoms, and (b) determine the nature of 4 the associations (i.e., zero-order correlations) at each time point between our variables of interest. 5 Based on past findings (Leahy-Warren et al., 2012; Yelland et al., 2010), we anticipated that, (a) 6 stress and depressive symptoms would decline, and maternal self-efficacy would become stronger 7 with the passing of time postpartum, and (b) maternal self-efficacy would be negatively correlated 8 with stress and depressive symptoms at all assessment points except in late-pregnancy. In order to 9 determine the relations between early maternal self-efficacy beliefs and change trajectories for 10 stress and depressive symptoms, we employed a modelling technique known as latent growth curve 11 modelling (LGCM; Duncan & Duncan, 2009). LGCM analysis is an increasingly popular statistical 12 modelling method that enables researchers to examine, and understand the predictors of, change 13 trajectories. A better understanding of how early maternal self-efficacy predicts stress and 14 depressive symptoms is important for capturing trends in maternal well-being, and for informing 15 future intervention efforts aimed at preventing psychological distress among new mothers. Based on 16 findings reported by Kunseler and colleagues (2014), we hypothesised that stronger maternal self-17 efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum would (a) predict lower initial levels (i.e., 3-weeks postpartum), and 18 (b) a steeper rate of decline (i.e., slope), of postpartum stress and depressive symptoms from 3- to 19 24-weeks postpartum.

20

21 **Participants**

Sixty-eight pregnant women registered for (and began participating in) the study; however,
eight participants dropped out of the study after completing only the baseline survey (i.e., became
non-contactable during the data collection period), and were subsequently excluded from analysis.
The mean age of participants at recruitment was 31.5 years (*SD* = 2.89); all were first-time mothers
who had partners, and reported no health (i.e. physical or mental) or pregnancy complications. Only

Method

mothers who scored between 1 and 13 on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS; Cox,
Holden & Sagovsky, 1987)_(i.e., experiencing mild or moderate depressive symptoms rather than
major depression) were included as participants in the study. One participant was recommended for
referral at recruitment due to scoring more than 13 on the EPDS scale. Participants were, on
average, 32.5 weeks into their pregnancy at recruitment (i.e., third trimester), and 98% had
completed a high school diploma or higher. All participants were from the Perth metropolitan area,
Western Australia.

8 **Procedures**

9 Ethical approval to conduct the study was granted by the lead author's institutional ethics 10 review board. Participants were recruited via email, word of mouth, and through advertisements at 11 maternal health centres, yoga studios (that provided prenatal yoga), and doulas. Upon contacting the 12 lead author, participants were provided with an information sheet outlining the requirements of the 13 study and their participant rights, before being asked to provide their informed consent to 14 participate in the study. Participants were subsequently provided (electronically) with a link to the 15 first survey once they entered their third trimester of pregnancy, in which they reported their age, 16 stage of pregnancy (i.e., number of weeks pregnant), expected due date, and education level, and 17 completed assessments of stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy (more 18 information on psychosocial assessments is presented in the 'Measures' section). One week after 19 their expected due date, an email was sent to participants to confirm their infant's actual date of 20 birth. Once the birth date had been established, follow-up emails were sent every three weeks from 21 that date until 24 weeks postpartum (i.e., at weeks 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, and 24 post-birth). The 22 surveys that participants completed every 3 weeks included assessments of stress, depressive 23 symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy.

24 Measures

Depressive symptoms. The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS; Cox, Holden &
 Sagovsky, 1987) is a 10-item instrument developed for use by both pregnant and postpartum

1 women to measure risk of perinatal depression. Example items include "I have been able to laugh 2 and see the funny side of things", and "I have felt sad and miserable". The instrument requires 3 participants to indicate the response closest to how they have felt in the past seven days; response 4 labels vary across items, but all range from 0 to 3. Scores are summed (with reverse scored items 5 corrected) to give a total score. These scores compare the diagnostic criteria for depression with 6 normative experiences of perinatal women, whereby higher scores indicate greater experience of 7 depressive symptoms. A score greater than 13 indicates a high likelihood of depression and a 8 referral is usually recommended. In this study, when any participants reported such scores, the first 9 author made contact with the participant for an informal 'check in' and to recommend that the 10 participant consider a referral to seek professional help. A referral was only made with participants' 11 consent. In total, eight women were recommended for referral between 3 and 24 weeks postpartum. 12 The EPDS has been used in previous perinatal studies and scores derived from the EPDS have 13 demonstrated evidence of internal consistency (e.g., Meltzer-Brody, Boschloo, Jones, Sullivan, & 14 Penninx, 2013; Sockol Epperson, & Barber, 2014). Internal consistency estimates (a) for EPDS 15 scores in this study were in the range .82 to .89 (M = 0.85, SD = 0.03).

16 Stress. The Perceived Stress Scale 4 (PSS-4; Cohen & Williamson, 1988) was used to 17 measure perceptions of stress. The PSS-4 is an abbreviated version of the original 14-item 18 perceived stress scale (Cohen & Williamson, 1988) that requires participants to indicate how often 19 they have felt a certain way in the last seven days. Example items include "Felt that you were 20 unable to control the important things in your life", and "Difficulties were piling so high that you 21 could not overcome them", with a response scale anchored at 1 (never) and 5 (very often). A total 22 score was computed by summing all item scores, whereby a higher score indicates higher levels of 23 stress. Scores from the PSS-4 have previously demonstrated evidence of internal consistency (e.g., 24 Karam et al., 2012), and in this study, estimates of internal consistency (α) for scores derived from the PSS-4 ranged from .67 to .82 (M = 0.75, SD = 0.05). 25

1 Maternal self-efficacy. An instrument designed to measure focal aspects of maternal self-2 efficacy was developed specifically for this study. Item content and breadth was developed in line 3 with guidelines by Bandura's (2006) recommendations that items cover the range of task-related, 4 behavioral, and cognitive demands central to the focal domain. This instrument was developed 5 because existing maternal self-efficacy scales (e.g. Barnes & Adamson-Macedo, 2007; Crncec, 6 Barnett, & Matthey, 2008) were designed to focus largely on *task-related* (e.g., baby-focused) 7 behaviours. Bandura (1997) suggested that for behaviours performed regularly (e.g., as part of one's 8 daily routine), it may be insufficient to only assess task-related behaviours, and recommended that 9 the assessment of regulatory behaviours also be included in self-efficacy instruments. As a result, 10 we sought to include a range of important task and regulatory requirements associated with 11 effective functioning in early motherhood.

12 To ensure we achieved appropriate item coverage, an open-ended survey was administered to 13 24 mothers, who were asked to identify capabilities, challenges experienced, and tasks required 14 during an effective transition into early motherhood. These mothers gave birth between 1985 and 15 2015, and the mean age when they first became a mother was 30.25 (SD = 2.24). Their responses to 16 the survey were incorporated into item development for the self-efficacy instrument, which was 17 designed with the aim of assessing a range of aspects relating to the execution of important tasks 18 (e.g. changing nappies/diapers, feeding), and key self-regulatory (e.g., managing physical and 19 mental health) and relationship management (e.g. relationship with partner, baby) factors. In line 20 with Bandura's (2006) recommendations for the assessment of self-efficacy, participants were 21 instructed, when responding to all items, to consider their confidence in their capability to carry out 22 the issue in question at that moment in time. This instrument contained 14 items, including "know 23 what your baby wants all the time", and "maintain a close relationship with your partner even when 24 you have difficulties with your baby" (the final instrument is presented in Table S1). Consistent with recent self-efficacy research (e.g., Jackson, Compton, Whiddett, Anthony, & Dimmock, 2015), 25

1 items were scored on a 5-point response scale anchored at 1 (*not confident at all*) and 5 (*extremely*2 *confident*).

3 Internal consistency estimates (α) for scores derived from this maternal self-efficacy 4 instrument ranged between .86 and .93 (M = 0.90, SD = 0.02). A principal components analysis was 5 also conducted to examine the dimensionality of scores derived from the instrument. Analyses 6 indicated that all items loaded onto a primary factor (variance explained = 54.04%; eigenvalue = 7 7.03); the lowest factor loading for any item on this primary factor was .54, which, according to 8 Comrey and Lee's (1992) recommendations regarding the interpretation of factor loadings, is 9 considered borderline "good" (≥.55; Comrey & Lee, 1992). Three items had factor loadings of .50 10 or more on a secondary factor (variance explained = 10.52%; eigenvalue = 1.37). Of these three 11 items, two had higher loadings on the primary factor. In addition, the three items that loaded onto 12 this secondary factor did not appear to be conceptually 'coherent' in any meaningful (i.e., 13 distinguishable) way. Given that (a) items were designed in line with Bandura's recommendations 14 to provide an overall (i.e., single factor) measure of maternal agency (and not to measure multiple 15 different sub-domains), (b) the strength of the factor loadings for all items on the primary factor, 16 and (c) that there was little conceptual justification or distinguishability associated with the three 17 items that cross-loaded onto the second factor, a single factor solution was retained (see Fabrigar, 18 Wegener, MacCallum, & Strahan, 1999; Matsunaga, 2010). Item-level descriptive statistics and 19 correlations between items at 3-weeks postpartum can be found in the supplementary material (see 20 Tables S1 & S2).

21 Data Analysis

Data were initially screened for missing values, and univariate and multivariate normality was examined using IBM SPSS Version 23. Primary analyses were conducted in three stages. First, one-way repeated measures ANOVAs were used to identify changes over time in stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy. Second, bivariate correlations were computed to examine (a) associations between stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-efficacy within each time point,

1 and (b) relations between participants' self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum and all postpartum 2 scores on stress and depressive symptoms. Finally, LGCM models were estimated separately for 3 stress and depressive symptoms, with 3-weeks postpartum maternal self-efficacy specified as a 4 predictor of initial levels of, and change in, stress and depressive symptoms from 3-weeks to 24-5 weeks postpartum. LGCM analysis allows for the modelling of within-person change trajectories 6 over time in stress and depressive symptoms. Using structural equation modelling, a priori defined 7 (e.g., linear, quadratic) growth patterns are estimated (Muthen & Khoo, 1998). LGCM can be used 8 to subsequently determine if predictors explain variation in both intercept and growth trajectories 9 (Duncan & Duncan, 2009). Interested readers are referred to Duncan and Duncan (2009) for a 10 conceptual and statistical overview of LGCM models. In preparation for fitting a model with a 11 predictor, three models (intercept only, linear, and quadratic) without a predictor were compared in 12 the first instance. In making comparisons between models, Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) 13 and Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) were used, and models with smaller AIC and BIC values 14 indicate better models. Subsequently, a predictor was added to the best model and model fit was assessed using the χ^2 goodness-of-fit index, the comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis index 15 16 (TLI), and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), with evidence of adequate fit 17 indicated by CFI/TLI ≥.90 and RMSEA ≤.08 (Marsh, Hau, & Wen, 2004; Tabachnick & Fidell, 18 2007). However, it is common for LGCMs to have poor fit based on conventional criteria (Preacher, 19 2010); therefore, rather than depending on stringent cut-off criteria to interpret fit of the LGCMs, fit 20 was interpreted based on theory and interpreting values *close* to the guidelines instead. Analyses 21 were performed using Mplus version 7.4 (Muthen & Muthen, 1998-2015), with a robust maximum 22 likelihood estimator (MLR) and full information likelihood (FIML) to ensure that all available data 23 were used to estimate model parameters.

24

Results

Descriptive statistics (i.e., mean, standard deviation) for stress, depressive symptoms, and
 maternal self-efficacy at all assessments are displayed in Table 1. For maternal self-efficacy, inter-

- item correlations indicated that item 2 ("maintain a close relationship with your partner even when
 you have difficulties with your baby") displayed negative correlations with other items at various
 time points; this item was subsequently dropped from the scale and excluded from further analyses.
- 4

[Insert Table 1]

5 The sphericity assumption was violated when conducting all three one-way repeated 6 measures ANOVAs, and as such, Greenhouse-Geisser adjustments were used. A Sidak correction 7 was also applied to account for multiple comparisons and reduce familywise error rate. Analyses revealed a significant time effect for stress, F(6.17, 253.01) = 3.60, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .08$, depressive 8 9 symptoms F(5.65, 231.76) = 6.12, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .13$, and maternal self-efficacy F(5.20, 213.35) =10 37.34, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .48$. Post-hoc comparisons revealed that participants had significantly higher stress and depressive symptom scores at 3-weeks postpartum than all other assessment points. Mean 11 12 differences for stress and depressive symptom scores between assessment points can be found in 13 Table S3 & S4 respectively. Mean maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum was significantly 14 lower than all subsequent assessment points, but not significantly different to late-pregnancy scores. 15 Mean differences for maternal self-efficacy scores between assessment points can be found in Table 16 S5. Taken together, these analyses indicated that stress and depressive symptoms were strongest, 17 and self-efficacy (close to) weakest at 3-weeks postpartum.

18 Bivariate correlations (see Table 2) between primary variables within each time point indicated that scores for stress and depressive symptoms consistently displayed significant and 19 20 moderate-to-strong positive correlations (r = .58 to .81; all p < .01) at all assessments. Maternal self-21 efficacy displayed significant negative correlations with stress (r = -.50 to -.66; all p < .01) and 22 depressive symptoms (r = -.48 to -.71; all p < .01), except at baseline (late pregnancy) for stress (r =-.22, p = .10) and depressive symptoms (r = -.15, p = .26). Bivariate correlations for 3-week 23 24 postpartum self-efficacy in relation to later stress and depressive symptoms (i.e., from 6- to 24-25 weeks postpartum) are displayed in Table 3; significant and moderate-to-strong negative 26 correlations were observed for stress (r = -.32 to -.66; all p < .01) and depressive symptoms (r = -

1 .39 to -.71; all p < .05) across all time points. That is, when mothers reported stronger self-efficacy 2 beliefs at 3-weeks postpartum, they reported lower subsequent stress and depressive symptoms 3 across the entire study period (for comparison purposes, correlations between baseline self-efficacy 4 and later stress and depressive symptoms are presented in the supplementary material, Table S6). 5 [Insert Table 2 & Table 3] 6 Based on AIC and BIC values, the quadratic model was the best fitting model for stress and 7 depression in this sample (Table 4). Before the addition of 3-week postpartum self-efficacy as a 8 predictor, and referring to the suggestion by Hox (2002), the variances of both the intercept and 9 slope were examined for variability. With the exception of linear and quadratic slope factor for 10 stress, all other slope and intercept factors had significant variances (Table 5), which suggests that 11 there are variabilities in growth trajectories in depressive symptoms and stress for the current 12 sample. Thus, 3-week postpartum self-efficacy was added into the models to explain the variability 13 in growth trajectories (the syntax used for the analysis is available in Supplementary Material S7). 14 The predictor model for depressive symptom scores showed evidence of inadequate fit to the data, 15 χ^2 (32) = 62.64, p = .001, CFI = .90, TLI = .89, RMSEA = .13; however, the predictor model for 16 stress scores showed evidence of acceptable fit χ^2 (32) = 49.03, p = .03, CFI = .93, TLI = .92, 17 RMSEA = .10. In general, stress decreased from 3- to 24-weeks postpartum (estimated linear mean 18 slope = -0.67, p < .001) and followed a curvilinear pattern (estimated quadratic mean slope = 0.06, 19 p = .001; Fig 1.). The initial level (i.e., intercept) of stress was significantly (and negatively) 20 predicted by maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks (B = -2.82, SE = 0.46, p < .001), which indicates that 21 stronger maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum was associated with lower initial stress. 22 Maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum positively predicted the linear slope (B = 0.56, SE =23 0.24, p = .02), but not the quadratic slope of stress (B = -0.06, SE = 0.03, p = .05); in other words, 24 stronger maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum predicted a more gradual decrease in stress 25 from 3-weeks to 24-weeks postpartum. Initial levels of stress were also significantly (and 26 negatively) related to the linear slope of stress (r = -0.60, p < .001), indicating that higher initial

levels of stress were associated with a steeper decrease in stress from 3-weeks to 24-weeks
 postpartum.

3

[Insert Table 4, Table 5 & Figure 1]

4

Discussion

5 The aims of this study were to (a) document changes in stress, depressive symptoms, and 6 maternal self-efficacy among first-time mothers from late pregnancy to 6-months postpartum, and 7 (b) determine the relations between early maternal self-efficacy and later stress and depressive 8 symptoms. Results indicated that, for our sample of first-time mothers, stress and depressive 9 symptoms peaked at 3-weeks postpartum and followed a decreasing trend soon thereafter. This 10 peak (at 3-weeks postpartum) may be attributed to difficulties associated with adjusting to the 11 substantial physical, social, and psychological changes and challenges that commonly occur in the 12 early postpartum period (Mercer, 2004). One specific contributor to this early postpartum peak in 13 these variables might be the lack of support from health professionals (e.g. GPs and child health 14 nurses) until their six week check. Another contributor is that partners (in Australia) often return to 15 work at this point following two weeks paid leave (Federal Register of Legislation, 2010). 16 Supporting this explanation, a recent study showed that new mothers' perceptions of social support 17 are highest in the week postpartum compared to 4-weeks postpartum (Li, Long, Cao, & Cao, 2017), 18 and social support has previously been found to be negatively associated with stress and depressive symptoms in the postpartum period (Leahy-Warren et al., 2012). Finally, it is also possible that a 19 20 lack of sleep—and difficulties adjusting to sleep disruption—during this period may have 21 contributed to the observed peak in stress and depressive symptoms; it is well documented, for 22 example, that poor maternal sleep patterns align with higher levels of stress (e.g., Sinai & Tikotzky, 23 2012).

In general, after the 3-week postpartum assessment (at which point maternal self-efficacy beliefs were relatively weak), mothers in the present study began to develop greater confidence and reported reductions in stress and depressive symptoms. In line with Bandura's (1997) writing about

1 mastery achievements and verbal persuasion, it is perhaps unsurprising that mothers' confidence 2 beliefs were weakest in late pregnancy and the early postpartum period (due to the limited time for 3 enactive mastery experiences to accrue), and subsequently began to increase as mothers received 4 positive feedback and developed their repertoire of mothering skills (e.g., learning baby's signs and 5 body language, managing their time and relationships) (see Haslam, Pakenham, & Smith, 2006). It 6 is also possible, of course, that the (relatively) high stress and depressive symptoms observed at 3-7 weeks postpartum might have also weakened maternal self-efficacy perceptions. Bandura (1997) 8 outlined that adverse emotional states might compromise individuals' self-efficacy judgments (for 9 support, see Hoeppner, Kahler, & Gwaltney, 2014), and it is plausible that mothers may have 10 interpreted the stress and depressive symptoms as a marker that they were not wholly competent at 11 that point in time. In support of this notion, we also observed that as stress and depressive 12 symptoms reduced over the course of the study period, this was accompanied by a strengthening of 13 participants' maternal self-efficacy perceptions. In addition, the lack of significant correlations 14 between self-efficacy and stress and depressive symptoms during late-pregnancy supports 15 Bandura's claim that predictive effects of self-efficacy on relevant outcomes will be the weakest 16 when the rating criteria is ambiguous. This finding is unsurprising as ratings of self-efficacy in late 17 pregnancy may not be wholly accurate given that, at this point, mothers have not given birth.

18 Latent growth curve analysis revealed evidence of a general decreasing trend in stress across 19 the course of the study period. What was perhaps most interesting about our correlational and 20 LGCM analyses, however, was the finding that maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum was 21 associated with lower intercept and slope values for stress. Based on the correlations (see Table 5), 22 higher maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum was related to lower stress and depressive 23 symptoms later in the postpartum period. In addition, LGCM analysis indicated that maternal self-24 efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum significantly predicted lower stress scores at 3-weeks postpartum. 25 Although LGCM analysis also showed a decreased rate of decline in stress over time, the decreased 26 decline is most likely due to floor effects.

1 Taken together, the findings in this study highlight the importance of maternal self-efficacy 2 in the early postpartum period, demonstrating that maternal self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum is 3 negatively related to stress and depressive symptoms, and predictive of changes in stress at later 4 times. This evidence implies that efforts directed toward improving maternal self-efficacy, 5 especially in the early postpartum period (or during pregnancy – in preparation for the early 6 postpartum period), could support positive downstream effects on stress experienced by new 7 mothers. The pregnancy period has been identified as a teachable moment because mothers might 8 view their baby's and their own physical health to be at risk, foresee changes in their social role and 9 self-concept, and because of their emotional response to the pregnancy (Phelan, 2010). New 10 mothers might be the most receptive, therefore, to the provision of interventions in the pregnancy 11 period, and our results indicate that interventions focused on maternal self-efficacy might be 12 particularly beneficial. Strategies that new mothers perceive to be effective for improving maternal 13 self-efficacy in the early stages of motherhood have been provided in a recent qualitative study 14 (Law et al., 2018), and include education for mothers *and* their social support group, clarifying 15 expectations, and making available structured peer support.

16 The results of this study advance our understanding of stress, depressive symptoms, and 17 self-efficacy; however, it is important to highlight design limitations. These findings are restricted 18 to first-time, healthy mothers with a singleton pregnancy, and the extent to which the relationships 19 observed in the study are similar for mothers with different characteristics and circumstances is 20 unknown. Also, although all participants reported having partners, the precise live-in situations (e.g., 21 domestic helpers, family members) of the participants were not assessed. We cannot know, 22 therefore, whether differences may exist between mothers who have live-in support versus those 23 who do not. We also did not assess the type of delivery (i.e. natural or caesarian section) or whether 24 mothers in our study experienced delivery complications. It is possible that these factors may 25 contribute to stress and depressive symptoms (e.g., Sarah, Forozan, & Leila, 2017) and we 26 encourage the assessment of these variables in future studies. In addition, although significant

correlations were found between early maternal self-efficacy and postpartum depression, LGCM
 analysis for depression did not yield a good fit.

3 It is also important to note that in devising our study, we did consider potential alternative 4 approaches that may have offered different insight into the process under investigation (e.g. latent 5 change analysis). Ultimately though, for the model-based part of our analyses, we were most 6 interested in examining the way in which 'early' maternal self-efficacy predicted intercept and 7 slope in those psychological 'outcomes'. For that reason, LGCM was chosen as the method of 8 analysis in this study. Future studies can adopt other potential alternative analytic approaches that 9 may complement findings from this study. On the issue of modelling and analyses for repeated 10 measures studies of this kind, there appear to be no widely accepted rules of thumb for sample size. 11 For example, some researchers have argued that a sample size of at least 100 is optimal for fitting 12 structural equation models (e.g., Boomsma, 1982); however, others contend that the total number of 13 person-by-time observations is a more important consideration (e.g., Curran, Obeidat, & Losardo, 14 2010). That being the case, although we fell short of the '100 participants' recommendation, our 15 relatively high number of measurement points per participant may have resulted in the sample size 16 being sufficient (or close to sufficient). In that respect, we took confidence from the fact that the 17 models we estimated were able to run (and in some cases, demonstrate adequate model fit). All that 18 said, we do acknowledge that the sample size, at the person level, may be considered modest.

19 Nonetheless, despite these limitations, this study offers important insight into maternal 20 experiences in the postpartum period, provides preliminary evidence to support a novel 21 measurement approach for maternal self-efficacy, and highlights the predictive effects of maternal 22 self-efficacy at 3-weeks postpartum on maternal stress (as well as correlations with depressive 23 symptoms). In order to help first-time mothers better cope in the postpartum period, and to 24 potentially engender additional positive effects for family units and children, future intervention 25 efforts should be targeted at bolstering first-time mothers' postpartum self-efficacy.

References

Abbasi, M., van den Akker, O., & Bewley, C. (2014). Persian couples' experiences of depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life in the pre- and perinatal period. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *35*, 16-21.
http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/0167482X.2013.865722

Ardelt, M., & Eccles, J. S. (2001). Effects of mothers' parental efficacy beliefs and promotive parenting strategies on inner-city youth. Journal of Family Issues, 2, 944-972. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/019251301022008001

Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: Freeman and Company.

- Bandura, A. (2006). Guide for constructing self-efficacy scales. In Pajares F., Urdan T. (Eds). Self-efficacy beliefs of adolescents. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing. pp 307-337.
- Barkin, J. L., & Wisner, K. L. (2013). The role of maternal self-care in new motherhood. *Midwifery*, 29, 1050-1055. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2012.10.001
- Barnes, C. R., & Adamson-Macedo, E. N. (2007). Perceived maternal parenting self-efficacy (PMP S-E) tool: Development and validation with mothers of hospitalized preterm neonates. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 60, 550-560. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04445.x
- Bilszta, J., Ericksen, J., Buist, A., & Milgrom, J. (2010). Women's experience of postnatal depression – Beliefs and attitudes as barriers to care. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 27, 44-54.
- Bloomfield, L., & Kendall, S. (2012). Parenting self-efficacy, parenting stress and child behaviour before and after parenting programme. *Primary Health Care Research & Development*, 13, 364-372. http://doi.org/10.1017/S1463423612000060
- Bolten, M. I., Fink, N. S., & Sadler, C. (2012). Maternal self-efficacy reduces the impact of prenatal stress on infant's crying behavior. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 161, 104-109. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.12.044

- Bowen, A., Bowen, R., Butt, P., Rahman, K., & Muhajarine, N. (2012). Patterns of depression and treatment in pregnant and postpartum women. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 57, 161-167. http://doi.org/10.1177/070674371205700305
- Britton, J. R. (2008). Maternal anxiety: Course and antecedents during the early postpartum period. *Depression and Anxiety*, 25, 793-800. http://doi.org/10.1002/da.20325
- Cohen, S., & Williamson, G. M. (1988). Perceived stress in a probability sample of the UnitedStates. In S. Spacapan & S. Oskamp (Eds), *The Social Psychology of Health*. Newbury Park,CA: Sage.
- Coleman, P. K., & Karraker, K. H. (2003). Maternal self-efficacy beliefs, competence in parenting, and toddlers' behavior and developmental status. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 24, 126-148. http://doi.org/ 10.1002/imhj.10048
- Comrey, A. L., & Lee, H. B. (1992). A first course in factor analysis. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- Cox, J. L., Holden, J. M., Sagovsky, R. (1987). Detection of Postnatal Depression: Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 782-786.
- Crncec, R., Barnett, B., & Matthey, S. (2008). Development of an instrument to assess perceived self-efficacy in the parents of infants. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 31, 442-453. http://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20271
- Curran, P. J., Obeidat, K., & Losardo, D. (2010). Twelve frequently asked questions about growth curve modeling. *Journal of Cognitive Development*, 11, 121-136. http://doi.org/10.1080/15248371003699969
- Darvill, R., Skirton, H., & Farrand, P. (2010). Psychological factors that impact on women's experiences of first-time motherhood: A qualitative study of the transition. *Midwifery*, 26, 357-366.

- Duncan, T. E., & Duncan, S. C. (2009). The ABC's of LGM: An introductory guide to latent variable growth curve modeling. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, *3*, 979-991. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00224.x
- Edhborg, M., Nasreen, H. E., & Kabir, Z. N. (2015). "I can't stop worrying about everything" –
 Experiences of rural Bangladeshi women during the first postpartum months. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*, 10.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/qhw.v10.26226
- Emmanuel, E., & St John, W. (2010). Maternal distress: A concept analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 66, 2104-2115. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05371.x
- Fabrigar, L. R., Wegener, D. T., MacCallum, R. C., & Strahan, E. J. (1999). Evaluating the use of exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. *Psychological Methods*, 4, 272-299. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.4.3.272
- Fahey, J. O., & Shenassa, E. (2013). Understanding and meeting the needs of women in the postpartum period: The perinatal maternal health promotion model. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 58, 613-621. http://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.12139
- Federal Register of Legislation (2010). *Paid Parental Leave Act 2010*. Retrieved from https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00081
- Hamilton, K., Warner, L. M., & Schwarzer, R. (2017). The role of self-efficacy and friend support on adolescent vigorous physical activity. *Health Education & Behavior*, 44, 175-181. http://doi.org/10.1177/1090198116648266
- Haslam, D. M., Pakenham, K. I., & Smith, A. (2006). Social support and postpartum depressive symptomatology: The mediating role of maternal self-efficacy. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 27, 276-291.
- Highet, N., Stevenson, A. L., Purtell, C., & Coo, S. (2014). Qualitative insights into women's personal experiences of perinatal depression and anxiety. *Women and Birth*, 27, 179-184. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2014.05.003

- Hoeppner, B., Kahler, C. W., & Gwaltney, C. J. (2014). Relationship between momentary affect states and self-efficacy in adolescent smokers. *Health Psychology*, 33, 1507-1517. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/hea0000075
- Hox, J. J. (2002). Multilevel analysis: Techniques and applications. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Jackson, B., Compton, J., Whiddett, R., Anthony, D. R., & Dimmock, J. A. (2015). Preempting performance challenges: The effects of inoculation messaging on attacks to task selfefficacy. *PLoS ONE*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124886
- Kadden, R. M., & Litt, M. D. (2011). The role of self-efficacy in the treatment of substance use disorders. *Addictive Behaviors*, 36, 1120-1126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.07.032
- Karam, F., Berard, A., Sheehy, O., Huneau, M., Briggs, G., Chambers, C., ...Wolfe, L. (2012).
 Reliability and vailidty of the 4-item perceived stress scale among pregnant women: Results from the OTIS antidepressants study. *Research in Nursing & Health*, *35*, 363-375.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/nur.21482
- Kingston, D., Tough, S., & Whitfield, H. (2012). Prenatal and postpartum maternal psychological distress and infant development: a systematic review. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 43, 683–714. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10578-012-0291-4
- Kunseler, F. C., Willemen, A. M., Oosterman, M., & Schuengel, C. (2014). Changes in parenting self-efficacy and mood symptoms in the transition to parenthood: A bidirectional association. *Parenting: Science and Practice*, 14, 215-234.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15295192.2014.972758

Law, K. H., Jackson, B., Guelfi, K., Nguyen, T., & Dimmock, J. A. (2018). Understanding and alleviating maternal postpartum distress: Perspectives from first-time mothers in Australia. *Social Science and Medicine*, 204, 59-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.022

- Leahy-Warren, P., & McCarthy, G. (2011). Maternal parental self-efficacy in the postpartum period. *Midwifery*, 27, 802-810. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2010.07.008
- Leahy-Warren, P., McCarthy, G., & Corcoran, P. (2012). First-time mothers: Social support, maternal parental self-efficacy and postnatal depression. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, *21*, 388-397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2011.03701.x
- Leerkes, E. M., & Burney, R. V. (2007). The development of parenting efficacy among new mothers and fathers. *Infancy*, *12*, 45-67. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7078.2007.tb00233.x
- Letourneau, N. L., Dennis, C. L., Benzies, K., Duffett-Leger, L., Stewart, M., Tryphonopoulos, P.
 D., ... Watson, W. (2012). Postpartum depression is a family affair: Addressing the impact on mothers, fathers, and children. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, *33*, 445-457. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/01612840.2012.673054
- Li, Y., Long, Z., Cao, D., & Cao, F. (2017). Social support and depression across the perinatal period: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 26, 2776-2783. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13817
- Liu, C., Chen, Y., Yeh, Y., & Hsieh, Y. (2012). Effects of maternal confidence and competence on maternal parenting stress in newborn care. *Journal of Advance Nursing*, 68, 908-918. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05796.x
- Lugina, H. I., Christensson, K., Massawe, S., Nystrom, L., & Lindmark, G. (2001). Change in maternal concerns during the 6 weeks postpartum period: Astudy of primiparous mothers in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 46, 248-257.
- Marsh, H. W., Hau, K., & Wen, Z. (2004). In search of golden rules: Comment on hypothesistesting approaches to setting cutoff values for fit indexes and dangers in overgeneralizing Hu and Bentler's (1999) findings. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *11*, 320-341. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328007sem1103_2

- Matsunaga, M. (2010). How to factor-analyze your data right: Do's, don'ts, and how-to's. International Journal of Psychological Research, 3, 97-110.
- McVeigh, C. A. (1997). An Australian study of functional status after childbirth. *Miwifery*, *13*, 172-178. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0266-6138(97)80003-4
- Meighan, M., Davis, M. W., Thomas, S. P., & Droppleman, P. G. (1999). Living with postpartum depression: The father's experience. *MCN: The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing*, 24, 202-208.
- Meltzer-Brody, S., Boschloo, L., Jones, I., Sullivan, P. F., & Pennix, B. W. (2013). The EPDS-Lifetime: Assessment of lifetime prevalence and risk factors for perinatal depression in a large cohort of depressed women. *Archives of Womens Mental Health*, *16*, 465-473. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00737-013-0372-9
- Mercer, R. T. (2004). Becoming a mother versus maternal role attainment. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, *36*, 226-232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2004.04042.x.
- Muthen, B. O., & Khoo, S.-T. (1998). Longitudinal studies of achievement growth using latent variable modeling. *Learning and Individual Differences*, *10*, 73–101.
- Muthen, L. K., & Muthen, B. O. (1998-2015). *Mplus User's Guide* (7th ed). Los Angeles, CA: Muthen & Muthen.
- Parcells, D. A. (2010). Women's mental health nursing: Depression, anxiety and stress during pregnancy. *Journal os Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 17, 813-820. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2850.2010.01588.x
- Phelan, S. (2010). Pregnancy: A "teachable moment" for weight control and obesity prevention. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 202, 135.e1-135.e8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2009.06.008.
- Sarah, S. B., Forozan, S. P., & Leila, D. (2017). The relationship between model of delivery and postpartum depression. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 10, 874-877.

- Sinai, D., & Tikotzky, L. (2012). Infant sleep, parental sleep and parenting stress in families of mothers on maternity leave and in families of working mothers. *Infant Behavior & Development*, 35, 179-186. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2012.01.006
- Sockol, L. E., Epperson, C. N., & Barber, J. P. (2014). The relationship between maternal attitudes and symptoms of depression and anxiety among pregnant and postpartum first-time mothers. *Archives of Womens Mental Health*, 17, 199-212. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00737-014-0424-9
- Steca, P., Abela, J. R. Z., Monzani, D., Greco, A., Hazel, N. A., & Hankin, B. L. (2014). Cognitive vulnerability to depressive symptoms in children: The protective role of self-efficacy beliefs in a multi-wave longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 42, 137-148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10802-013-9765-5
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (5th ed). Boston, MA: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- Yelland, J., Sutherland, G., & Brown, S. J. (2010). Postpartum anxiety, depression and social health: Findings from a population-based survey of Australian women. *BMC Public Health*, 10, 771-782. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-771

Time point	Str	ess	Depressive	symptoms	Maternal s	elf-efficacy
- Time point	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
Late pregnancy	7.19	2.33	5.17	3.46	3.02	0.44
3-weeks postpartum	8.79	2.88	7.17	4.28	3.15	0.64
6-weeks postpartum	7.48	2.47	5.76	4.16	3.52	0.46
9-weeks postpartum	7.71	2.65	5.19	3.88	3.63	0.41
12-weeks postpartum	7.00	2.33	4.04	3.81	3.76	0.48
15-weeks postpartum	7.31	2.47	4.36	3.67	3.80	0.49
18-weeks postpartum	7.17	2.47	4.38	3.77	3.82	0.53
21-weeks postpartum	7.55	2.50	4.83	4.13	3.78	0.56
24-weeks postpartum	7.00	2.08	3.71	3.33	3.81	0.49

Table 1. Changes in stress, depressive symptoms and maternal self-efficacy from late pregnancy to 6 months post-partum in primiparous women (Mean and standard deviations; n = 60)

Note. Stress scores can range from 4 to 20, where higher scores indicate greater stress. Depressive symptoms scores can range from 0 to 30, where higher scores indicate greater risk of perinatal depression. Maternal self-efficacy scores can range from 1 to 5, where higher scores indicate greater confidence.

-		Depressive symptoms	Maternal self-efficacy
Late pregnancy	Stress	.67**	22
	Depressive symptoms	-	15
3-weeks postpartum	Stress	.78**	66**
	Depressive symptoms	-	71**
6-weeks postpartum	Stress	.58**	50**
	Depressive symptoms	-	48**
9-weeks postpartum	Stress	.75**	65**
	Depressive symptoms	-	65**
12-weeks postpartum	Stress	.81**	58**
	Depressive symptoms	-	60**
15-weeks postpartum	Stress	.77**	59**
	Depressive symptoms	-	59**
18-weeks postpartum	Stress	.77**	57**
	Depressive symptoms	-	68**
21-weeks postpartum	Stress	.74**	55**
	Depressive symptoms	-	69**
24-weeks postpartum	Stress	.63**	65**
	Depressive symptoms	-	58**

Table 2.	Bivariate correlations between stress, depressive symptoms, and maternal self-
	efficacy at different time points in primiparous women ($n = 60$).

Note. Significant correlation * = p < .05, ** = p < .01

3-week Maternal Self-Efficacy		
3-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	71**
	Stress	66**
6-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	51**
	Stress	44**
9-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	57**
	Stress	47**
12-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	42**
	Stress	38**
15-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	39*
	Stress	32**
18-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	53**
	Stress	44**
21-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	46**
	Stress	44**
24-weeks postpartum	Depressive symptoms	52**
	Stress	44**

Table 3.Bivariate correlations between 3-week postpartum maternal self-efficacy and later
stress and depressive symptoms in primiparous women (n = 60).

Note. Significant correlation * = p < .05, ** = p < .01

	Growth Curvo	Goodness-Of-Fit		
	Growth Curve –	AIC	BIC	
Depression	Intercept	2346.32	2367.27	
	Linear	2313.73	2340.95	
	Quadratic	2301.56	2337.17	
Stress	Intercept	2018.44	2039.38	
	Linear	2000.11	2027.34	
	Quadratic	1993.88	2029.48	

Table 4.Baseline Growth Curve Models for Depression and Stress

Note. Lower AIC and BIC values indicate better models.

	Depression	Stress
Mean Intercept	6.66*	8.57*
Mean Linear Slope	-1.04*	-0.63*
Mean Quadratic Slope	0.09*	0.06*
Intercept Variance	12.63*	5.89*
Linear Slope Variance	1.12*	0.66
Quadratic Slope Variance	0.02*	0.01

Table 5.Means and Variances of Study Variables Based on Quadratic Model

Note. * indicates p <.05