1

²**Contribution of transition and stabilization processes to**

³**speciation is a function of the ancestral trait state and selective**

⁴**environment in** *Hakea*

⁵Byron B. Lamont, Sh-hoob M. El-ahmir, Sim Lin Lim, Philip K. Groom and Tianhua He*

⁶Department of Environment and Agriculture, Curtin University, PO Box U1987, Perth, WA

⁷6845, Australia;

8 *Author for correspondence: Tianhua.He@Curtin.edu.au

⁹**ABSTRACT**

¹⁰Currently the origin and trajectories of novel traits are emphasised in evolutionary studies, the 11 role of stabilization is neglected, and interpretations are often *post hoc* rather than as 12 hypothesised responses to stated agents of selection. Here we evaluated the impact of 13 changing environmental conditions on trait evolution and stabilization and their relative 14 contribution to diversification in a prominent Australian genus, *Hakea* (Proteaceae). We 15 assembled a time-based phylogeny for *Hakea*, reconstructed its ancestral traits for six ¹⁶attributes and determined their evolutionary trajectories in response to the advent or 17 increasing presence of fire, seasonality, aridity, nectar-feeding birds and (in)vertebrate 18 herbivores/granivores. The ancestral *Hakea* arose 18 million years ago (Ma) and was broad-19 leaved, non-spinescent, insect-pollinated, had medium-sized, serotinous fruits and resprouted 20 after fire. Of the 190 diversification events that yielded the 82 extant species analysed, 8–50% 21 involved evolution, stabilization or re-evolution (reversal) of individual novel traits. Needle 22 leaves appeared 14 Ma and increased through the Neogene/Quaternary coinciding with 23 intensifying seasonality and aridity. Spinescence arose 12 Ma consistent with the advent of

³⁸**INTRODUCTION**

39 Studies that capture patterns of speciation associated with changes in environmental conditions provide compelling support for the key role of functional trait shifts in the process 41 of evolution by natural selection (Jetz *et al.*, 2012). Natural selection can induce the evolution 42 of novel traits whose fitness exceeds that of the incumbent trait (directional selection) or perpetuation of the current trait whose fitness exceeds that of a former or alternative trait (stabilizing selection) (Lemey *et al.,* 2009). Phylogenetic methods have been developed to investigate a wide range of questions regarding species evolution, including the inference of ancestral traits (He *et al*., 2011, 2012; Crisp *et al*., 2011) and to address the relationship between traits and rates of speciation (Litsios *et al*., 2014). While currently the origin and

⁴⁸evolutionary trajectories of novel traits are emphasised the role of stabilization has been ⁴⁹neglected and interpretations have often been *post hoc* rather than as hypothesised responses 50 to stated agents of natural selection. This is partly because of ignorance of the advent or 51 strength of the postulated selective agents. Significant questions remain: To what extent do 52 directional and stabilizing process contribute to trait proliferation? Do their contributions vary 53 between attributes, traits and/or over geological time? Is the proliferation of a trait at the 54 expense of its alternative traits? Can patterns of directional and stabilizing selection over time 55 be interpreted in terms of the advent or changes in the intensity of particular agents of 56 selection?

⁵⁷*Theory and concepts*

Each alternative state of a species attribute is here termed a trait. Increase in occurrence of a given trait through a phylogeny is defined as trait proliferation (He *et al*., 2011, Lamont *et al*., 2013). The fraction of total diversification events that result in the presence of that trait is the 61 trait proliferation rate (this may also be given on an absolute basis per unit time, as for species diversification). Trait proliferation results from two evolutionary processes: transition − a new trait arises during the event, and stabilization − the trait is conserved during the event. Transition rate (TR) is the fraction of events in which the trait arises relative to the maximum 65 number in which that trait could occur, while stabilization rate (SR) is the fraction of events in which the trait is retained relative to the maximum number in which that trait could occur. 67 Thus, the (net) trait proliferation rate (PR) = $TR + SR$. Generally, for a pair of opposing traits, 1 and 2, evolving in a clade with a total of *n*/2 nodes and thus *n* diversification events for the 69 period of interest, $PR_1 = TR_{2/1} + SR_{1/1}$, $TR_{2/1} = \Sigma(2\rightarrow 1 \text{ events})/n$, and $SR_{1/1} = \Sigma(1\rightarrow 1 \text{ events})/n$. Trait reversals are successive transitions that return the phenotype to the previous trait state in 71 the lineage, as an inverse function of the stability through time of the selective pressure for

72 that trait. The concepts of rates of diversification, proliferation, stabilization and transition, 73 and reversals are illustrated with a concrete example in Fig. 1.

⁷⁴A new agent of directional selection usually operates in a different spatial or temporal 75 dimension than the existing agents and becomes a supplementary force that initially retards ⁷⁶speciation and then promotes it once an adapted genotype has evolved followed by rampant 77 speciation into the "vacant niche" now available as the new trait proliferates (Lamont *et al.*, ⁷⁸2013). The premise here is that the more habitats (niches) a given area can be divided into, 79 the greater the opportunities for novel genotypes to arise. Accepting that new, alternative 80 traits supplement rather than replace ancestral traits as options, the contribution of novel traits 81 to speciation can be calculated as the inverse of the percentage contribution of the ancestral 82 trait to all subsequent diversification events (Y): speciation promotional rate (SPR) = $100/Y$. ⁸³Thus, the smaller Y, the greater the contribution of novel traits to the subsequent 84 diversification events.

⁸⁵*Evolution and adaptations of* Hakea

86 Nutrient-poor, fire-prone, Mediterranean-type regions with a prolonged hot, dry season and 87 exposed to intensive pressure from pollinators, herbivores and granivores are characterised by 88 high species richness and endemism (Cowling *et al.*, 1996) and should provide suitable 89 scenarios to examine these issues. *Hakea* is a shrub genus of over 150 species, spread 90 throughout Australia but best represented in mediterranean southwestern Australia, and 91 renowned for its great variation in leaf and fruit morphology, pollinators, climate and fire 92 tolerances and susceptibility to herbivores and granivores (Groom and Lamont, 1996a, 1997, ⁹³2015; Lamont *et al*., 2015, 2016; Hanley *et al*., 2009, Rafferty *et al*., 2010).

⁹⁴*Hakea* is highly sclerophyllous with needle-leaved and broad-leaved species. Needle leaves 95 are twice as thick as broad leaves implying that they have had greater exposure to drought

⁹⁶and heat (Lamont *et al*., 2015). Previous molecular analysis indicates that *Hakea* originated in 97 the early Miocene directly from non-fireprone, rainforest ancestors (Sauquet *et al.*, 2009; ⁹⁸Lamont and He, 2012). *Hakea* most probably originated in the sclerophyll shrublands of ⁹⁹southwestern Australia where it continued to diversify strongly until the present (Lamont *et* ¹⁰⁰*al*., 2016). From the mid-Miocene, it gradually speciated and migrated onto recently-exposed, 101 rocky substrates, sclerophyll forests and woodlands, and across the drier centre of Australia to 102 the moister margins. Thus, we hypothesise that the ancestral *Hakea* leaves were broad, 103 reflecting their mesic heritage, that they were retained (or re-evolved) in temperate ¹⁰⁴environments, but that needle leaves arose in the mid-Miocene and proliferated strongly 105 through the late-Miocene to present. Many hakeas have spiny leaves with a sharp apex and/or 106 acute, marginal teeth (Barker *et al.*, 1999). Spiny hakea leaves are more effective at deterring ¹⁰⁷herbivory by kangaroos than broad leaves (Hanley *et al*., 2007), and are moderately effective 108 at deterring black cockatoos from reaching the woody fruits of hakeas that contain highly 109 nutritious seeds (Groom and Lamont, 2015). Macropods appeared from 17 Ma (Prideaux and ¹¹⁰Warburton, 2010), soon after the evolution of *Hakea*. The median stem of black cockatoos ¹¹¹(Cacatuidae, Calyptorhynchinae) is positioned at 21.5−15 Ma (White *et al*., 2011). Needle ¹¹²leaves lend themselves to termination by a sharp apex, so once they appeared selection 113 pressure from vertebrate herbivores/granivores would have promoted the evolution and 114 stabilization of sharp-tipped leaves among vulnerable lineages. 115 Pollinator-driven speciation has been invoked to explain plant richness in some biodiversity 116 hotspots, since pollinator shifts usually provide effective barriers to gene flow, thereby 117 contributing to the origin of new plant lineages (van der Niet *et al.*, 2014). Hanley *et al.* ¹¹⁸(2009) concluded that insect pollination was ancestral in *Hakea* followed by repeated bouts of ¹¹⁹bird pollination. From their molecular phylogeny of 51 *Hakea* species, mainly from eastern ¹²⁰Australia, Mast et al. (2012) concluded the reverse. Either interpretation is possible since it is 121 now known that honeyeaters (Meliphagidae) originated 23.5 Ma, though they only radiated

 $\overline{}$

170 we reconstructed the ancestral traits for six attributes (with 15 trait states) and determined

171 their evolutionary trajectories in response to the advent or increasing presence of fire,

172 seasonality, aridity, nectar-feeding birds and vertebrate/herbivores/granivores. We attempted 173 to identify traits of the putative ancestor and the relative contribution of transition and 174 stabilization processes to the frequency of alternative traits over geological time to account 175 for trait representation among the extant species. Our objective was to evaluate the impact of 176 changing environmental conditions on trait evolution and their contribution to diversification 177 in *Hakea* to provide insights on the factors and processes explaining high species richness in 178 this prominent Australian genus.

¹⁷⁹**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

¹⁸⁰*Phylogenetic reconstruction*

- ¹⁸¹We built a time-based *Hakea* phylogeny (El-Ahmir *et al*., 2015). Briefly, we included 82
- ¹⁸²*Hakea* species, each with eight gene sequences extracted from NCBI (Mast *et al*., 2012),
- ¹⁸³combined with new sequences that we generated. The outgroup included *Grevillea juncifolia*,
- ¹⁸⁴*Finschia chloroxantha* and *Buckinghamia celsissima* and their DNA sequences were obtained
- 185 from NCBI. We set the calibration point for the origin of the subfamily Grevilleoideae (to
- ¹⁸⁶which *Hakea* belongs) at 70.6 Ma based on the fossil *Lewalanipollis rectomarginis* used by
- 187 Sauquet *et al.* (2009). We used BEAST v2.1.0 to estimate phylogeny and divergence times
- ¹⁸⁸under a strict clock model (Drummond *et al.,* 2006), and further details on the methods are
- 189 provided in El-Ahmir *et al.* (2015).
- ¹⁹⁰*Trait data*
- ¹⁹¹We collated leaf shape and spinescence from Barker *et al*. (1999), Hanley *et al*. (2009),
- 192 personal field observations and database of the State Herbarium of Western Australia
- ¹⁹³(http://www.flora.sa.gov.au). Needle leaves were recognized as rounded in cross-section with
- 194 a length:width ratio of $>20:1$. Heteroblastic species, with seedling leaves initially broad
- 195 becoming needle by the end of the first growing season or seasonally broad to needle (Groom

et al., 1994a), were also identified. Blunt leaves had a mucro or marginal teeth with

197 length: width ratio <1:1 while sharp leaves were $>2:1$.

Trait reconstruction through the phylogeny

218 We used MultiState in BayesTraits (Pagel and Meade 2006) to determine the most likely

219 ancestral traits for the *Hakea* phylogeny. First, we tested which of the possible models

233 Net species diversification rate was calculated as $(N_{i+t} - N_i)/(N_i.t)$, where *N* is the number of 234 lineages at the start, *i*, and end, $i + t$, of the time interval, *t* (He *et al.* 2011) for the three ²³⁵geological periods/epochs in which *Hakea* has been recorded as well as overall. The 236 geological boundaries were set according to the International Commission on Stratigraphy ²³⁷(www.stratigraphy.org), while the start time in the Miocene was set at the time that *Hakea* 238 first appeared. Following trait assignment to each node of the phylogeny, trait stabilization 239 and transition rates (see Introduction) were determined for the three periods/epochs and 240 overall by counting their number in each time interval. Where the ancestor was ambiguous 241 this event was omitted from the counts as the process was unclear. They were then converted 242 to the fraction that each process contributed to total proliferation within the trait and between 243 all traits of that character. The number of reversals was also noted: i.e. a trait reverting to its 244 immediate preceding trait. Individual speciation promotional rates (SPR) for the three

246 Y, where SPR = 100/Y (see Introduction). Generally, $\text{SPR}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n 100/Y_i$ where Ys for the *n*

247 attributes assessed are multiplied to give their total promotional effect on species

248 diversification. SPR_n was converted to its fractional contribution to species diversification for

249 the *n* attributes assessed: $(SPR_n - 1)/ SPR_n$.

²⁵⁰**RESULTS**

- ²⁵¹*Hakea time-based phylogeny*
- ²⁵²The Bayes MCMC analysis indicated that the *Hakea* stem arose 18.0 Ma [with the 95%
- ²⁵³highest density probability (HDP) at 15.8−20.2 Ma] and split into two clades (defined as
- ²⁵⁴clades A and B in Mast *et al*. 2012) 14.1 Ma (95% HPD, 12.5–15.8 Ma). The phylogeny was
- 255 strongly supported by the branch posterior probability where 48 out of 81 branches were \ge
- ²⁵⁶0.70. The overall topology of *Hakea* phylogeny was consistent with that in Mast *et al*. (2012).
- 257 Net species diversification rate in the Miocene greatly exceeded that in the Pliocene $(9.6\times)$
- 258 and Quaternary (13.5×) and the overall rate was dictated by the Miocene rate as it was the
- 259 longest period (Table S1).
- ²⁶⁰*Evolutionary trajectories for two leaf attributes*
- 261 Trait reconstruction showed that the most recent ancestor (MRA) had broad leaves ($P = 0.61$)
- 262 that were blunt-tipped with smooth margins $(P = 0.88)$ (Fig. 2). The phylogeny split into
- 263 needle (A) ($P = 0.78$) and broad (B) ($P = 1.00$) clades by 14.1 Ma. Heteroblasty arose 6.9 Ma.
- 264 Both clades remained blunt-tipped $(P = 0.69, 1.00)$ but sharp tips emerged in one branch of
- 265 the A clade 12.7 Ma. While the transition rate for needle/heteroblastic leaves exceeded that of
- 266 broad leaves in the Miocene, proliferation of broad leaves accounted for 60% of the
- 267 diversification events (Table S1A). Broad leaf proliferation continued (mainly through
- 268 stabilization) at the expense of needle but not of heteroblastic leaves through the Pliocene and

²⁷⁹*Evolutionary trajectories for two reproductive attributes*

280 The MRA of *Hakea* showed a high posterior probability ($P = 0.75$) of being insect-pollinated. 281 The basal split of the genus was accompanied by a shift to bird pollination 14.1 Ma in clade B 282 $(P = 0.82)$ but retention of insect pollination in clade A $(P = 0.98)$ (Fig. 3). A reversal 283 occurred in clade B 12.6 Ma while pollination transitioned to birds 12.1 Ma in clade A that 284 remained predominantly insect-pollinated. Overall, 78 reversals occurred (Table S1C). The 285 switch to bird pollination was restricted to the Miocene with transitions accounting for 32% 286 of bird proliferation events, and increasing stabilization through the Pliocene/Quaternary. 287 Bird to insect transitions occurred in the Pliocene but not in the Quaternary. Overall transition 288 rates for insect and bird pollination were similar, with bird pollination accounting for 30% of 289 events and promoting speciation by 41%. The MRA had a high probability (by parsimony) of 290 producing medium-sized fruits (1.0−5.0 g). Smaller (<1.0 g) and larger (>5.0 g) fruits first 291 arose 12.1 Ma in clade A and smaller fruits appeared 6.5 Ma in clade B (Table S1D). In the ²⁹²Miocene, 19% of events involved transitions to other than medium-sized fruits but 293 proliferation of medium-sized fruits predominated. Proliferation of small fruits (46% of

²⁹⁴events) dominated in the Pliocene, through both transitions and stabilization, and proliferation 295 of non-medium-sized fruits contributed 150% to the stimulation of diversification events. In 296 the Quaternary and overall, proliferation of medium and non-medium fruits contributed 297 equally to all diversification events. Only medium fruits were sometimes the outcomes of ²⁹⁸reversals; all other transitions were unidirectional with medium→small accounting for 30 299 events, medium→medium-large/large for 11 events, and medium→medium-large→large for 8 300 events. Overall, 24% of all events involved transitions to non-medium fruits and their 301 proliferation accounted for an 88% increase in the speciation rate.

³⁰²*Evolutionary trajectories for two fire-adapted attributes*

³⁰³Postfire regeneration of the MRA was via resprouting though the posterior probability was 304 not strong ($P = 0.62$). The ancestor of clade A was a resprouter ($P = 0.73$), while clade B was 305 a nonsprouter $(P = 0.86)$ (Fig. 4). By 12.7 Ma, nonsprouters also evolved in clade A. By the 306 end of the Miocene, diversification events were spread almost uniformly between resprouters 307 and nonsprouters (Table S1E). Transitioning to nonsprouters remained strong in the Pliocene ³⁰⁸but ceased among resprouters. Transitioning ceased in the Quaternary with nonsprouting 309 promoting 140% more speciation through stabilization in that period. Overall, proliferation 310 among resprouters and nonsprouters was similar with the advent of nonsprouters doubling the ³¹¹speciation rate due to similar high rates of stabilization, though transitions to nonsprouting ³¹²approached twice that for resprouting. Reversals were common among resprouters but only 313 20% of reversals involved nonsprouters. Serotiny was the MRA with $P = 1.00$ and both major 314 clades remained serotinous ($P = 1.00$). There was an isolated occurrence of weak/nil serotiny ³¹⁵12.1 Ma and five more subsequent origins in clade A but non-serotiny never arose in clade B. 316 Stabilization among moderately/strongly serotinous lineages dominated trait proliferation 317 throughout hakea's history with limited transition to weak/non-serotiny in the Miocene 318 followed by stabilization in the Pliocene and absence of proliferation in the Quaternary.

- 319 Overall, stabilization of serotiny was the main process with proliferation of non-serotiny
- 320 accounting for 7% of events and it increased speciation by 8%. All transitions were
- 321 unidirectional.
- ³²²*Promotion of species diversification*
- 323 The overall speciation promotional rate (SPR₆) induced by the advent of novel traits was
- 324 given by $1.54 \times 1.73 \times 1.41 \times 1.85 \times 2.02 \times 1.08 = 15.16$. Thus, 93.4% of diversification
- 325 events (ignoring reversals) can be attributed to the presence of at least one non-ancestral trait.
- ³²⁶Three species possessed the six ancestral traits (*H. candolleana*, *H. ceratophylla*, *H.*
- ³²⁷*eriantha*), all in the same subclade of 14 species, but they included two reversals
- 328 (nonsprouter→resprouter, medium-large→medium fruits). Thus, 96.3% of extant species lack
- ³²⁹at least one ancestral trait. One species (*H. divaricata*) had five of six traits in the advanced
- 330 condition.

³³¹*Correlated evolution between traits*

³³²Correlation analysis using the BayesFactor (BF) showed no relationship between any pairs of

333 attributes ($BF < 1.0$) except leaf shape and spinescence, with needle leaves more likely to be 334 spiny ($BF = 5.3$).

³³⁵**DISCUSSION**

³³⁶Trait reconstruction of the ancestral *Hakea* phenotype shows it to have been broad-leaved,

³³⁷non-spinescent and insect-pollinated, with medium-sized, serotinous fruits and resprouting

- 338 after fire. Resprouting and serotiny confirm that the associated vegetation was fireprone and
- 339 experienced a reliable postfire wet season by 18 Ma (Lamont *et al.*, 2013). It is clear that
- ³⁴⁰*Hakea* changed radically at the level of fire-related adaptations, including woodiness of their
- 341 fruits, when migrating from the non-fireprone environment of its ancestors (nonsprouting and

342 nonserotinous), whereas leaf form (broad, non-spinescent) and reproductive biology [insect-343 pollinated, medium-sized (1–5 g) fruits] were initially conserved. Nevertheless, within 4 My, 344 two (sub)clades had evolved with quite different syndromes of traits: one (A) that retained 345 resprouting but possessed needle leaves many of which developed sharp apices, was bird-346 pollinated and where the largest woody fruits $(>10 \text{ g})$ were produced, and the other (B) that ³⁴⁷became nonsprouting but all other attributes were dominated by their ancestral traits. The ³⁴⁸final outcome was almost equal representation of broad and needle leaves and spiny and blunt 349 leaves, significant presence of bird-pollination, almost equal representation of small $(\langle 1 \rangle g)$ 350 and large (55 g) fruits, equal representation of resprouting and nonsprouting, and limited 351 presence (10%) of weak/non-serotiny. Only three of 82 extant species retain all six ancestral 352 traits and even two of these traits were the outcome of reversals. At the genus level, of 15 353 possible pairs of correlated evolution between attributes, only needle and sharp-pointed ³⁵⁴leaves were associated through time (attributable to their morphological links). ³⁵⁵The species diversification rate of *Hakea* was highest by far in the Miocene than in the more 356 recent epochs. The Miocene was a period of great climatic upheavals and the speciation rates ³⁵⁷among banksias in Australia (He *et al*., 2011) and proteas in South Africa (Lamont *et al*., ³⁵⁸2013) (both genera also in Proteaceae) were also an order of magnitude higher then. The same 359 pattern applies to proliferation of traits, with all alternative traits of the six examined highest 360 in the Miocene (obtained by multiplying the percentage contribution to species diversification 361 of each trait by the diversification rate on a time basis). ³⁶²*Transition versus stabilization processes*

³⁶³While trait initiation (transition) is a vital step in speciation its incorporation into the clade

³⁶⁴(stabilization) is just as important. That proliferation of a trait through the phylogeny is rarely

³⁶⁵a function of the transition rate is strongly supported here. Taking leaf shape as an example,

 366 the transition from broad to needle leaves overall occurred at >2.6 times the rate as the

367 reverse transition, yet stabilization of broad leaves occurred at 2.3 times the rate as needle ³⁶⁸leaves. The net result was the proliferation of broad leaves at 1.85 times the rate of needle ³⁶⁹leaves because the ratio of stabilization to transition events among broad leaves was five 370 times the rate for needle leaves. In theory, only one initiation step is required for ³⁷¹incorporation of a new trait into the clade provided it stabilizes quickly and is not subject to 372 reversals. Thus, the ratio of stabilisation to transition events is a function of the strength of 373 directional selection. The invasion of the savanna grasslands by *Protea* is a rare example of ³⁷⁴unidirectional selection associated with a single transition followed by almost universal ³⁷⁵stabilization (Lamont *et al*., 2013). In practice, the same trait arises numerous times through 376 the phylogeny while reversals depend on the trait. For *Hakea* leaf shape, 77% of the 43-377 recorded reversals were for the recovery of broad from needle leaves rendering transitions to 378 needle less effective and reflecting unstable selective forces. The relative contribution of 379 transition and stabilization events to proliferation depends on both the trait and the time 380 period under consideration.

³⁸¹*Evolutionary trajectories for leaves*

³⁸²By the time *Hakea* separated from its non-fireprone ancestors 18 Ma, Australia (as much of 383 the world) was experiencing declining levels of rainfall, temperatures and metabolically 384 active atmospheric gases, and increasing seasonality. In addition, the opening up of the 385 vegetation would have exposed them to high light intensity and diurnal temperatures (Jordan ³⁸⁶*et al*., 2005) compared with closed forests. If needle leaves increase fitness to such 387 constraints, and currently they account for 45% of species so this genus has a strong 388 propensity to produce them, they should have evolved early in its history and proliferated 389 through stabilization. Indeed, within 4 My, a needle-leaved clade (A) had arisen with strong 390 stabilization leading to 56% of its extant species being needle-leaved. Evolution of needle 391 leaves was greatly delayed in clade B and was mainly expressed through the appearance of

heteroblastic species over the last 5 My (all from broad-leaved ancestors). The latter appeared 393 so recently that there have been no opportunities for reversals unlike needle leaves where reversals to broad have been frequent. These reversals confirm the lability of leaf form among isobilateral leaves as demonstrated ontogenetically by *H. trifurcata* whose juvenile leaves are needle, a few becoming broad at the start of the growing season in adult plants and needle 397 again as the dry summer approaches (Groom *et al.*, 1994a).

398 The dominance of broad leaves and reversals to them require some explanation. Clearly, leaf

399 form is not the only way of dealing with drought, such as deep root systems (Groom and

⁴⁰⁰Lamont, 2015), while broad leaves among hakeas are still highly sclerophyllous (Lamont *et*

⁴⁰¹*al*., 2015) with thick cuticles, sunken stomata and a tannin-filled hypodermis (Jordan *et al*.,

⁴⁰²2005). They are often narrow or strap-shaped rather than truly broad, such as *H.*

⁴⁰³*grammatophylla* in the 'deadheart' of Australia, and all are vertically oriented. In addition,

⁴⁰⁴broader-leaved species have retreated to the moister parts of the landscape or subregions

⁴⁰⁵(Groom and Lamont, 1996a). In fact, the frequent reversals in both directions are consistent

406 with climatic oscillations that became characteristic of the Pliocene/Quaternary and their

407 evolutionary tracking.

⁴⁰⁸While broad leaves may be spinescent, such as *H. cristata*, needle leaves that are already rigid 409 and with a sclerified apex can readily be transformed into strongly piercing structures through 410 elongation and thinning of the mucro. This morphogenetic link explains the unique ⁴¹¹evolutionary correlation through time of needle and spinescent leaves. Thus, following a 412 small delay, one branch of the A clade became spinescent at 12.7 My. The broad-leaved B 413 clade remained essentially non-spinescent. If spinescence is effective against herbivores ⁴¹⁴(macropods) and florivores/granivores (emus, cockatoos) (Hanley *et al*., 2007) the delay in its A 415 appearance cannot be attributed to their absence as all were present by this time but they 416 speciated gradually and their selective effects would have intensified over time. It is of

interest that transitioning to spiny leaves was most marked in the Quaternary, a time when modern cockatoos evolved in SW Australia, though their ancestors were present from the early Miocene (Joseph *et al*., 2014). Reversals were negligible indicating strong directional 420 selection. Why more events did not yield spiny leaves is partly attributable to morphological constraints, the fact that all *Hakea* leaves are highly unpalatable and not grass-like (Rafferty *et al*., 2010), and ability of vertebrates to learn to overcome physical deterrents (Hanley *et al*., ⁴²³2007).

⁴²⁴*Evolutionary trajectories for flowers and fruits*

425 Bird-pollinated flowers evolved from insect-pollinated flowers with the split of the genus

⁴²⁶14.1 Ma. This resolves the disagreements over which was the ancestral condition (Hanley *et*

⁴²⁷*al*., 2009; Mast *et al*., 2012) caused by misidentifying the basal lineages or not including

⁴²⁸sufficient (representative) insect-pollinated lineages from SW Australia where the clade most

⁴²⁹probably arose (Lamont *et al*., 2016). Honeyeaters (Meliphagidae) were already present in

⁴³⁰Australia at the time *Hakea* originated, but these birds only diversified strongly in the mid to

⁴³¹late-Miocene, especially among such major pollinators as *Phylidonyris, Anthochaera,*

⁴³²*Lichmera* and *Lichenostomus* (Joseph *et al*., 2014). In fact, apart from *H. cucullata* in the

⁴³³Quaternary, the only time flowers increased their size to accommodate bird pollinators was in

434 the Miocene. For the Pliocene/Quaternary it was stabilization processes only. The greater

⁴³⁵levels of stabilization among insect-pollinated lineages throughout their history explains their

436 current greater abundance and suggests that they have been a greater selective force,

437 possibility associated with their greater reliability rather than morphological diversity that

438 would have favored greater transition rates.

439 Of note are the transitions from bird to insect pollination in the Miocene/Pliocene and the

440 large number of reversals (78), 60% of which were insect \rightarrow bird \rightarrow insect. This is significant on

441 two counts: trait reversibility and fluctuating selection. Bird-pollination is regarded as an

Evolutionary trajectories for fire-related traits

466 The twin ancestral traits of resprouting (adaptive in the presence of severe, periodic

487 late Miocene and proliferation was restricted to the Pliocene. Explanations vary but include migrations to frequently-burnt savanna grasslands (*H. divaricata* lineage) or rarely-burnt 489 aridlands (*H. recurva*), exposure of novel firefree rock outcrops to which some species 490 adapted (*H. clavata*), and presence in forests with reliable winter rains ensuring recruitment interfire (*H. trifurcata* lineage) (Hanley and Lamont, 2001). This pattern has limited parallels 492 with *Protea* in South Africa where one transition to nonserotiny in grasslands was followed
20

493 by increasingly extensive stabilization there that failed to occur in Australian grasslands. ⁴⁹⁴However, in both super-regions stabilization of the ancestral condition, serotiny, was by far 495 the dominant process and reversals were negligible. By contrast, the well known lability in ⁴⁹⁶whole-plant fire responses (He *et al*., 2011) was expressed in both *Protea* and *Hakea*, ⁴⁹⁷although 80% of reversals were to resprouting in *Hakea* in the Miocene, perhaps reflecting 498 periods of increased or more stochastic fire frequencies as the clade moved to other parts of 499 Australia. The historic levels of fire frequency coupled with the severity of seasonal droughts 500 serve well to interpret the relative abundances and distributions of resprouters and 501 nonsprouters among hakeas, ericas and proteas (Ojeda, 1998; Lamont *et al.*, 2013). ⁵⁰²*Promotion of speciation by non-ancestral traits* 503 There are two ways of considering the role of traits in speciation. One is to compare how they ⁵⁰⁴have *contributed to* all diversification events and the other is to estimate to what extent non-⁵⁰⁵ancestral traits have *promoted* these diversification events. The latter is hypothesis-driven and 506 based on the premise that without trait innovation and subsequent stabilization these 507 diversification events would not have occurred. Thus, it relies on being able to identify both 508 the ancestral state and its pathway through the phylogeny in order to ascertain trait reversals. 509 This means that any extinction events cannot be incorporated into the analysis, but we argued 510 earlier (see Introduction) that most new traits are adjunct to (as they are spatially displaced), 511 rather than replace, ancestral traits anyway. Nevertheless, entire but unknown lineages 512 characterized by certain historically maladapted traits may be missing (or only represented by 513 long branches in the chronogram) if there have been radical environmental shifts that no 514 amount of adjustment, in the absence of fossil evidence, can correct for. However, there is 515 little evidence of extinctions as a significant evolutionary process in the SW Australian flora 516 over the last 10 My (Hopper, 2009).

517 For the six *Hakea* attributes examined, proliferation of the non-ancestral trait promoted 518 speciation by 1.08 (weakly serotinous) to 2.02 (fire-killed) times. Overall, this increased 519 speciation by 15.2 times, equivalent to 93.4% of diversification events. Two of the ancestral 520 traits possessed by the three species of identical phenotype to the putative original phenotype 521 were the result of reversals so that even these were the outcome of trait diversification when 522 the ancestral condition would have been temporarily lost. Reversals were particularly 523 prevalent among pollination types and fire-response types but absent altogether from the 524 serotiny types. They represent the net effect of a) the constancy of directional selection, b) the 525 lability of opposing traits, and c) time available for further transitions to occur. Certainly, the ⁵²⁶high level of lability among fire-response types is consistent with previous studies on *Protea* ⁵²⁷(Lamont et al. 2013), *Banksia* (He *et al*., 2011) and Restionaceae (Litsios *et al.,* 2014). ⁵²⁸Clearly, *Hakea'*s remarkable genetic/morphological malleability in the face of these strong 529 selective agents has resulted in an exceptionally diverse clade and its distribution throughout 530 Australia. We might now wonder to what extent the cumulative contributions by transitions 531 and stabilizations to trait proliferation among critical plant attributes, in response to an array 532 of environmental constraints introduced in the Miocene, have led to the explosive radiation of ⁵³³such speciose genera as *Grevillea*, *Acacia, Melaleuca* and *Eucalyptus,* that currently 534 dominate Australia's sclerophyll flora, and the floras of many other parts of the world subject 535 to similar selective forces.

⁵³⁶**Acknowledgments**

- 537 This study was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP120103389 and
- 538 DP130103029).

⁵³⁹**References**

⁵⁴⁰Barker RM, Haegi L, Barker WR. 1999. *Hakea*. In: Wilson A. ed. *Flora of Australia*, Volume 17B,

541 Proteaceae 3, *Hakea* to *Dryandra*. Canberra: ABRS/CSIRO. 31-170.

562 Enright NJ, Marsula R, Lamont BB, Wissel C. 1998b. The ecological significance of canopy

23 and 23 an

- ⁵⁶⁵Ford HA, Paton DC, Forde N. 1979. Birds as pollinators of Australian plants. *New Zealand Journal* ⁵⁶⁶*of Botany* 17: 509-519.
- 567 Glassford DK, Semeniuk V. 1995. Desert-aeolian origin of late Cenozoic regolith in arid and semi-⁵⁶⁸arid southwestern Australia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 114:131– 569 166.
- 570 Groom PK. Lamont BB. 2015. *Plant Life of Southwestern Australia Adaptations for Survival.* 571 Warsaw, Poland: De Gruyter Open.
- ⁵⁷²Groom PK. Lamont BB. 1996a. Ecogeographical analysis of *Hakea* (Proteaceae) in south-western ⁵⁷³Australia, with special reference to leaf morphology and life form. *Australian Journal of* ⁵⁷⁴*Botany* 44: 527-542.
- ⁵⁷⁵Groom PK, Lamont BB. 1996b. Reproductive ecology of non-sprouting and re-sprouting *Hakea*
- 576 species (Proteaceae) in southwestern Australia. In Hopper SD, Harvey M, Chappill J, George
- 577 AS. eds. *Gondwanan Heritage: Evolution and Conservation of the Western Australian Biota.*
- 578 (Chipping Norton): Surrey Beatty, 239-248.
- 579 Groom PK. Lamont BB. 1997. Fruit-seed relations in *Hakea*: serotinous species invest more dry ⁵⁸⁰matter in predispersal seed protection. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 22: 352-355.
- 581 Groom PK Lamont BB. 2010. Phosphorus accumulation in Proteaceae seeds: a synthesis. Plant and 582 Soil 334: 61-72.
- 583 Groom PK, Lamont BB. Kupsky L. 1994a. Contrasting morphology and ecophysiology of co-584 occurring broad and terete leaves in *Hakea trifurcata* (Proteaceae). Australian Journal of ⁵⁸⁵*Botany* 42: 307-320.

- 586 Hanley ME, Lamont BB, Armbruster WS. 2009. Pollination and plant defense traits co-vary in
- ⁵⁸⁷Western Australian Hakeas. *New Phytologist* 182: 251-260.
- ⁵⁸⁸Hanley ME, Lamont BB, Fairbanks MM, Rafferty CM. 2007. Plant structural traits and their role in
- ⁵⁸⁹anti-herbivore defence. *Perspective in Plant Ecology and Evolution* 8: 157-178.
- 590 Hanley ME, Lamont BB. 2001. Herbivory, serotiny and seedling defence in Western Australian
- ⁵⁹¹Proteaceae. *Oecologia* 126: 409-417.
- ⁵⁹²He T, Lamont BB, Downes KS. 2011. Banksia born to burn. *New Phytologist* 191: 184-196.
- ⁵⁹³He T, Pausas JG, Belcher CM, Schwilk DW, Lamont BB. 2012. Fire-adapted traits of *Pinus* arose in
- 594 the fiery Cretaceous. *New Phytologist* 194: 751-759.
- 595 Hopper SD. 2009. OCBIL theory: towards an integrated understanding of the evolution,

⁵⁹⁶ecology and conservation of biodiversity on old, climatically buffered, infertile ⁵⁹⁷landscapes. *Plant and Soil* 322: 49–86.

- 598 Jetz W, Thomas GH, Joy JB, Hartmann K. Mooers AO. 2012. The global diversity of birds in space 599 and time. *Nature* 491: 444-448.
- 600 Jordan GJ, Dillon RA, Weston PH. 2005. Solar radiation as a factor in the evolution of

⁶⁰¹scleromorphic leaf anatomy in Proteaceae. *American Journal of Botany* 92: 789–796.

- 602 Joseph L, Toon A, Nyari AS, Longmore NW, Rowe KMC, Haryoko T, Trueman J, Gardne JL. 2014.
- ⁶⁰³A new synthesis of the molecular systematics and biogeography of honeyeaters
- ⁶⁰⁴(Passeriformes: Meliphagidae) highlights biogeographical and ecological complexity of a
- ⁶⁰⁵spectacular avian radiation. *Zoologica Scripta* 43: 235-248.
- ⁶⁰⁶Lamont BB, Groom PK. 1998. Seed and seedling biology of the woody-fruited Proteaceae.
- ⁶⁰⁷*Australian Journal of Botany* 46: 387-406.

- Lamont BB, He T. 2012. Fire-adapted Gondwanan Angiosperm floras arose in the Cretaceous. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12: 223.
- Lamont BB, Markey A. 1995. Biogeography of fire-killed and resprouting *Banksia* species in southwestern Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany* 43: 283-303.
-
- 612 Lamont BB, Wiens D. 2003. Are seed set and speciation rates always low among species that
- resprout after fire, and why? *Evolutionary Ecology* 17: 277-292.
- Lamont BB, Enright NJ, He T. 2011. Fitness and evolution of resprouters in relation to fire. *Plant Ecology* 212, 1945-1957.
- LamontBB, Groom PK, Williams M, He T. 2015. LMA, density and thickness: recognizing different

leaf shapes and correcting for their nonlaminarity. *New Phytologist* 207: 942–947.

- Lamont BB, He T, Downes KS. 2013. Adaptive responses to directional trait selection in the
- Miocene enabled Cape proteas to colonize the savanna grasslands. *Evolutionary Ecology* 27: 620 1099-1115.
- Lamont BB, He T, Lim SL. 2016. *Hakea*, the world's most sclerophyllous genus, arose in
- 622 southwest Australian heathland and diversified throughout Australia over 10 million years. *Australian Journal of Botany* (in press).
- Lamont BB, Le Maitre D, Cowling RM, Enright NJ. 1991. Canopy seed storage in woody plants. *The Botanical Review* 57: 277-317.
- 626 Lemey P, Salemi M, Vandamme AM. 2009. The Phylogenetic Handbook. Cambridge University 627 Press. Cambridge.
- Litsios G, Wuest RO, Kostikova A, Forest F, Lexer C, Linder HP, Pearman PB, Zimmermann NE,
- 629 Salamin N. 2014. Effects of a fire response trait on diversification in replicated radiations.

⁶⁷²**Figures**

673

Species#diversification#ate:#(Spp#@#c#-#Spp#@#a)/[Spp#@#a#x#{a#+#b#+#c)]#=#{4#-#1}/(1#x#X)#
"

Six#liversification#vents#ver#ime#X:												
Trait#	Genetic#process##	Within#	Between#l	Trait#proliferation#								
(state)#	involved#	trait#	traits#	rate#								
1#	1 / 1, #tabilization#ate#	3/4#	3/6#	4/6#								
1#	n ∕1,#ransition#ate#	1/4#	1/6#									
つ#	ファフ #tabilization#ate#	1/2#	1/6#									

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n & \text{if} & \text{if}$ where an = port-port-port-part more alternative states to the concernative and the state of Speciation#promotory#rate#(increase#in#species#diversification#rate#due#to#the#presence# of#novel#rait#)#s#iven#by#1/(4/6)##1.50.#

674

683

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. bioRxiv preprint first posted online Oct. 23, 2017; doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/207373.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/207373) The copyright holder for this preprint

⁶⁸⁶Figure 2. Reconstruction of leaf morphology traits through time in the genus *Hakea*. Left: leaf 687 shape, broad, needle or heteroblastic (broad followed by needle). Right: leaf spinescence, 688 blunt/nil or sharp apices or teeth.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. bioRxiv preprint first posted online Oct. 23, 2017; doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/207373.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/207373) The copyright holder for this preprint

⁶⁹²Figure 3. Reconstruction of reproductive biology traits through time in the genus *Hakea*. Left: 693 insect or bird pollinated. Right: four classes of fruit size by weight.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. bioRxiv preprint first posted online Oct. 23, 2017; doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/207373.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/207373) The copyright holder for this preprint

696

697 Figure 4. Reconstruction of fire-adapted traits through time in the genus *Hakea*. Left: 698 nonsprouter (seedlings only) or resprouter. Right: strongly or weakly/nil serotinous.

Supplementary materials

Table S1. Paired trait evolution in *Hakea* apportioned among stabilization (trait retained during diversification event) and transition (trait attained during diversification event) processes in each Epoch based on the molecular chronogram reported here. All node-to-node steps in the phylogeny were treated as diversification events. Where the ancestor was ambiguous this event was omitted from the counts as the process was unclear. Reversals refer to transitions back to the previous trait.

	Epoch/period:			Miocene $(18-5.3 \text{ Ma})$ Pliocene $(5.3-2.6 \text{ Ma})$ Quaternary $(2.6-0 \text{ Ma})$				Overall (18—0 Ma)		
Species diversification rate:		3.23		0.23		0.07		4.48		
Trait that evolved	Genetic	Within	Between	Within	Between	Within	Between	Within	Between	Number o
	process	trait $(\%)$	traits $(\%)$	trait $(\%)$	traits $(\%)$	trait $(\%)$	traits $(\%)$	trait $(\%)$	traits $(\%)$	reversals

A. Leaf shape

701