

SMSS J130522.47–293113.0: a high-latitude stellar X-ray source with pc-scale outflow relics?

G. S. Da Costa,^{1★} R. Soria,^{2,3,4★} S. A. Farrell,^{4,5} D. Bayliss,^{1,6} M. S. Bessell,¹
F. P. A. Vogt,^{1,7} G. Zhou,^{1,8} S. D. Points,⁹ T. C. Beers,¹⁰ Á. R. López-Sánchez,¹¹
K. W. Bannister,¹² M. Bell,^{4,13} P. J. Hancock,^{3,4} D. Burlon,⁴ B. M. Gaensler,^{4,5,14}
E. M. Sadler,^{4,5} S. Tingay,³ S. C. Keller,¹ B. P. Schmidt^{1,5} and P. Tisserand¹

¹Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

²National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China

³International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia

⁴Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

⁵ARC Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO) University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

⁶Observatoire de Genève, Université de Genève, Chemin des maillettes 51, CH-1290 Sauverny, Switzerland

⁷European Southern Observatory, Av. Alonso de Córdova 3107, 763 0355 Vitacura, Santiago, Chile

⁸Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁹Cerro-Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile

¹⁰Department of Physics and JINA Center for the Evolution of the Elements, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

¹¹Australian Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 915, North Ryde, NSW 1670, Australia

¹²CSIRO Astronomy & Space Sciences, PO Box 76, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia

¹³School of Mathematical & Physical Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, 15 Broadway, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia

¹⁴Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, The University of Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada

Accepted 2018 March 10. Received 2017 March 9; in original form 2017 September 4

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of an unusual stellar system SMSS J130522.47–293113.0. The optical spectrum is dominated by a blue continuum together with emission lines of hydrogen, neutral, and ionized helium, and the N III, C III blend at $\sim 4640\text{--}4650\text{ \AA}$. The emission-line profiles vary in strength and position on time-scales as short as 1 d, while optical photometry reveals fluctuations of as much as ~ 0.2 mag in g on time-scales as short as 10–15 min. The system is a weak X-ray source ($f_{0.3\text{--}10} = (1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-13}$ ergs cm² s⁻¹ in the 0.3–10 keV band) but is not detected at radio wavelengths (3σ upper limit of 50 μ Jy at 5.5 GHz). The most intriguing property of the system, however, is the existence of two ‘blobs’, a few arcsec in size, that are symmetrically located $3'.8$ (2.2 pc for our preferred system distance of ~ 2 kpc) each side of the central object. The blobs are detected in optical and near-IR broad-band images but do not show any excess emission in H α images. We discuss the interpretation of the system, suggesting that the central object is most likely a nova-like CV, and that the blobs are relics of a pc-scale accretion-powered collimated outflow.

Key words: stars: activity – stars: emission-line, Be – stars: jets – novae, cataclysmic variables – X-rays: binaries.

1 INTRODUCTION

Serendipity plays a significant role in astrophysics through the discovery of unexpected objects in surveys, and such discoveries often result in the opening up of entirely new areas of in-

quiry. Within the field of compact mass-accreting binary stellar systems an example is the unanticipated nature of the object SS433 in the compilation of Stephenson & Sanduleak (1977), a catalogue of H α emission-line objects derived from objective prism surveys of the Milky Way. Follow-up observations of the source (e.g. Clark & Murdin 1978) revealed a complex optical spectrum, which is now understood as arising in an eclipsing high-mass X-ray binary (HXMB) system with two oppositely directed, precessing, and continuously emitting relativistic jets of

* E-mail: gary.dacosta@anu.edu.au (GSDC); roberto.soria@curtin.edu.au (RS)

gas (Blundell, Schmidtobreick & Trushkin 2011, and references therein). Because of the similarity of these properties with those of quasars, albeit at a much lower mass scales, SS433 has become the prototype of the class of Galactic objects known as microquasars: X-ray binaries with associated mass outflow in the form of bipolar jet structures that are generally seen at radio wavelengths.

The jet structures seen in microquasars are only one example of jet phenomena associated with stellar objects. For example, accretion driven collimated jets are also seen in young stellar objects, in both low and high-mass X-ray binaries, and in a number of systems involving accreting white dwarfs (e.g. K rding et al. 2008, and references therein). What is much rarer, however, is the existence of the relics of jets in stellar systems, that is, a situation where the source of the jet power has ‘turned-off’ but where direct evidence supporting the previous existence of jets or outflows remains.

Here, we report the discovery of two unusual patches of diffuse emission symmetrically located either side of a bright optical-line-emitting source. The system was serendipitously observed as part of the *AEGIS* spectroscopy survey (P.I. Keller) of candidate Galactic halo stars. We argue that the central source is an accreting white dwarf, specifically a nova-like cataclysmic variable (CV), at a distance of ~ 2 kpc. The two diffuse ‘blobs’ are interpreted as relics of now defunct pc-scale collimated outflows from the central accreting source. If this is the case it would confirm that accreting white dwarfs are capable of producing large-scale collimated outflows or jets, similar to those seen in neutron star and black hole accretion stellar systems (e.g. K rding et al. 2008, and references therein). Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that the ‘blobs’ are remnants related to a historical nova outburst from the central source.

The discovery observations are described in the following section. In Section 3, a series of follow-up observations at wavelengths from radio to X-ray are described, while the possible interpretations of the central object are explored in Section 4. The potential relic jets are discussed in Section 5 and the results are summarized in Section 6.

2 DISCOVERY OBSERVATIONS

The *AEGIS* survey (P.I. Keller) was a moderate scale (4 semesters, 15 nights per semester) spectroscopic survey carried out with the AAOmega multifibre spectrograph system (e.g. Sharp et al. 2006) at the Anglo-Australian Telescope. The aim of the survey is to constrain the chemodynamical evolution of Milky Way through the study of the kinematics and abundances of stars in the halo and the outer thick disc. The input catalogue for the spectroscopic observations was derived from photometry of approximately 200 two-degree diameter fields obtained during the commissioning of the SkyMapper telescope (Keller et al. 2007) at Siding Spring Observatory. In particular, the gravity and metallicity sensitivity of the SkyMapper photometric system (Keller et al. 2007) allows the focus of the target list to be on blue horizontal branch (BHB), red clump, and metal-poor star candidates. The observations were made with the 580V grating in the blue arm of the spectrograph, providing a wavelength coverage of approximately 3750–5400 Å with a resolving power $R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda$ of ~ 1300 . The red arm used the 1700D grating centred at 8600 Å allowing coverage of the Ca II triplet region with $R \approx 10000$. All of the survey observations are run through a uniform data reduction process, but the availability of




Figure 1. Discovery spectrum of the unusual star *SMSS J1305–2931* from the AAT AAOmega blue camera observation. The spectrum has not been flux or continuum corrected. The Balmer lines $H\beta$, $H\gamma$, and $H\delta$ are clearly visible in emission as are the He I lines at 4922 Å and 5015 Å. Also present is N III and C III emission at 4640–4650 Å and He II emission at 4686 Å.

the reduction code `2DFDR`¹ at the telescope allows a quick analysis, permitting a visual inspection of the spectra and thus the immediate identification of any unusual objects.

Fig. 1 shows the reduced AAOmega blue arm spectrum of one such unusual object, which was included in the survey input catalogue as a candidate BHB star on the basis of its blue colour. We denote the star by its SkyMapper survey DR1.1² designation of SMSS J130522.47–293113.0 (hereafter SMSS J1305–2931). The *AEGIS* field containing SMSS J1305–2931 was observed on 2012 April 13 (UT), and the spectrum shown in Fig. 1 is the combination of three 1500 s integrations taken in moderately good seeing (FWHM $\approx 1''.7$; cf. on-sky fibre diameter $2''.0$) and with clear skies. The spectrum shows broad emission in the Balmer lines, the characteristic blend of emission from N III and C III at $\lambda \approx 4640$ –4650 Å, and He II at $\lambda \approx 4686$ Å on a blue continuum. There are no significant absorption lines present. The peak of the $H\beta$ profile in Fig. 1 yields a heliocentric velocity of approximately -250 km s⁻¹. The intrinsic full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the line is of order 500 km s⁻¹ and multiple components may be present. The spectrum is suggestive of a previously uncatalogued compact accreting object in a binary system. We note for completeness that the corresponding red spectrum is of low S/N and shows no readily identifiable features.

The most intriguing aspect of this source, however, is the presence of two low surface brightness ‘blobs’ symmetrically located each side of the central star at angular distances of $\sim 3''.8$. They were first noted on the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS) red image (Fig. 2) but are also visible on the DSS blue image. They are just visible in the Pan-STARRS1 (Flewelling et al. 2016) *y/i/g* image stack of the field, and are most evident on the *i* image stack. The blobs are ~ 10 arcsec in diameter, and are of relatively uniform surface brightness without obvious internal structure, although the SW-component is perhaps more compact.

Their symmetrical location, together with the emission-line spectrum of the central star, marks out SMSS J1305–2931 as a system of special interest. Consequently, we have carried out a number of follow-up studies to investigate nature of the central source and the accompanying blobs, as possible interpretations include a previously unknown microquasar or some other type of accreting system with extended collimated outflows.

¹ www.aao.gov.au/AAO/2df/aaomega/aaomega_software.html#drcontrol

² Wolf et al. (2018); DOI:10.4225/41/593620ad5b574.




Figure 2. DSS red image of the field of the unusual star SMSS J1305–2931. The star is circled at the centre of the field; shown also with circles are two ‘blobs’ that lie symmetrically $\sim 3'8$ either side of the central star. These faint features are also visible on the DSS-B image. In the figure, North is up and East is to the left, and the field shown is 10 arcmin in size in the N–S direction.

3 FOLLOW-UP STUDIES

3.1 Radio observations

There is no radio source listed in the NVSS catalogue (Condon et al. 1998) near the position of SMSS J1305–2931, nor is there any detection at this position in the SUMSS catalogue (Mauch et al. 2003). We therefore carried out radio observations of the field of SMSS J1305–2931 at 5.5 and 9 GHz with the Australia Telescope Compact Array, as a ‘Target of Opportunity’ (proposal ID CX242), during the period 2012 July 5–8. No emission consistent with the location of the central star or of the blobs was detected at either frequency. The 3σ upper limits are $50 \mu\text{Jy}$ at 5.5 GHz and $70 \mu\text{Jy}$ at 9 GHz. There are a number of other point sources present in the field, but none are coincident with the optical positions of any of the components of the SMSS J1305–2931 system.

3.2 Optical/IR imaging

3.2.1 Archival data

We note that the central star lies at J2000 coordinates RA = $13^{\text{h}}05^{\text{m}}22^{\text{s}}.47$, Dec. = $-29^{\circ}31'13''.2$ in the *Gaia* DR1 catalogue (Gaia Collaboration 2016) coincident with the SkyMapper DR1.1 position. The corresponding Galactic coordinates are $l = 306^{\circ}.6$ and $b = 33^{\circ}.25$. The reddening in this direction is relatively small:

Table 1. Properties of the central star of the SMSS J1305–2931 system.

Parameter	Value	Source
RA (2000)	13:05:22.47	1
Dec. (2000)	−29:31:13.0	1
Reddening $E(B - V)$	0.09–0.11	2, 3
V (APASS)	16.52 ± 0.04	4
$B - V$ (APASS)	0.09 ± 0.04	4
g (SkyMapper) ^a	16.32 ± 0.06	5
$u - g$ (SkyMapper)	0.02 ± 0.12	5
$v - g$ (SkyMapper)	0.15 ± 0.13	5
$g - r$ (SkyMapper)	-0.16 ± 0.09	5
$g - i$ (SkyMapper)	-0.30 ± 0.07	5
K_s (AAT)	15.84 ± 0.02	6
$J - K_s$ (AAT)	0.23 ± 0.03	6
$H - K_s$ (AAT)	0.13 ± 0.03	6
W1 (<i>WISE</i> 3.36 μm)	15.79 ± 0.05	7
W2 (<i>WISE</i> 4.6 μm)	15.89 ± 0.13	7
FUV (<i>GALEX</i> λ_{eff} 1500 \AA)	17.05 ± 0.04	8
NUV (<i>GALEX</i> λ_{eff} 2300 \AA)	16.70 ± 0.02	8
$uvw2$ (<i>Swift</i> /UVOT) ^a	15.18 ± 0.03	6
$uvm2$ (<i>Swift</i> /UVOT) ^a	15.09 ± 0.03	6
$uvw1$ (<i>Swift</i> /UVOT) ^a	15.12 ± 0.04	6
u (<i>Swift</i> /UVOT) ^a	15.40 ± 0.03	6
b (<i>Swift</i> /UVOT) ^a	16.56 ± 0.03	6
v (<i>Swift</i> /UVOT) ^a	16.46 ± 0.03	6

1: Gaia Collaboration (2016), 2: Schlegel et al. (1998), 3: Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), 4: Henden et al. (2012), 5: Wolf et al. (2018), 6: this work, 7: Cutri et al. (2013), 8: Bianchi et al. (2011).

Note. ^aVariable at the ~ 0.2 mag level.

$E(B - V) = 0.11$ mag (Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis 1998) or 0.09 mag with the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) recalibration. The central star is also listed in the UCAC4 (Zacharias et al. 2013), PP-MXL (Roeser, Demleitner & Schilbach 2010), SPM (Girard et al. 2011), and APOP (Qi et al. 2015) astrometric catalogues, and in each case the measured proper motion is consistent with zero to within the errors, which are 3–6 mas yr^{-1} in size. Catalogue photometry for the central star, for wavelengths from the UV (*GALEX*) through to the IR (e.g. *WISE*), are given in Table 1. We note also that Table 1 lists the improved *JHK* photometry discussed in Section 3.2.3, rather than the less precise values in the 2MASS point source catalogue. The star is also in the Pan-STARRS1 data archive (Flewelling et al. 2016), with $g = 16.32$, $g - r = -0.07$ and $g - i = -0.24$ mag on the Pan-STARRS1 system.

3.2.2 Faulkes-South observations

We used observations with the 2m Faulkes-South telescope Spectral Camera CCD imaging system to provide optical magnitudes and colours for the blobs, and to constrain the variability of the central star. The CCD camera, with 2×2 pixel binning, provides images of a field ~ 10 arcmin \times 10 arcmin in size at a scale of $0''.30$ per binned pixel. Images were centred on the central star and the field size is large enough to comfortably include both of the blobs.

The first set of observations was carried out in late April and May 2012. The specific frames analysed are a set of 3×600 s images taken with the $H\alpha$ filter (FWHM 300 \AA) on 2012 April 30, which were accompanied by 3×180 s images with the r filter, a 600 s V exposure taken on 2012 May 1, and a set of 3×600 s images taken with an [O III] 5007 filter (FWHM 30 \AA) on 2012 May 11. The seeing for the $H\alpha$ and r -band images was poor ($\sim 3''.2$) while

Table 2. Properties of the ‘blobs’ in the SMSS J1305–2931 system.

Parameter	Value
<i>NE blob</i>	
RA (2000)	13 ^h 05 ^m 29 ^s .8 (±1 arcsec)
Dec. (2000)	−29°27′46″ (±1 arcsec)
<i>g</i> (APASS system)	24.7 ± 0.1 mag arcsec ^{−2}
<i>g</i> − <i>r</i> (APASS system)	1.15 ± 0.05
<i>K_s</i> (AAT)	21.89 ± 0.07 mag arcsec ^{−2}
<i>J</i> − <i>K_s</i> (AAT)	0.80 ± 0.09
<i>H</i> − <i>K_s</i> (AAT)	0.27 ± 0.08
<i>SW blob</i>	
RA (2000)	13 ^h 05 ^m 15 ^s .8 (±1 arcsec)
Dec. (2000)	−29°34′45″ (±1 arcsec)
<i>g</i> (APASS system)	24.8 ± 0.1 mag arcsec ^{−2}
<i>g</i> − <i>r</i> (APASS system)	0.93 ± 0.10
<i>K_s</i> (AAT)	21.69 ± 0.06 mag arcsec ^{−2}
<i>J</i> − <i>K_s</i> (AAT)	0.67 ± 0.07
<i>H</i> − <i>K_s</i> (AAT)	0.18 ± 0.06

that for the *V* and [O III] observations was moderately good ($\sim 1''.7$). The central star is well detected on all the frames while the blobs are faintly visible on the combined *r* frame and on the *V* frame, may be present on the combined $H\alpha$ frame, and are not readily apparent on the combined [O III] frame. In order to improve the sensitivity to low surface brightness features such as the blobs, each of the four frames (combined $H\alpha$, combined *r*, *V*, and combined [O III]) was block-averaged with a 4×4 pixel box, and all subsequent photometry was carried out on these block-averaged frames.

A second set of observations was obtained with the same CCD camera in 2013 March. In these observations the system was repeatedly imaged over three nights with 60 s exposures and the *g* filter. The time between exposures was 96 s. The system was followed for ~ 66 min on 2013 March 16 (UT Date), ~ 200 min on March 18, and ~ 143 min on March 20. For the first two nights the seeing was moderate ($1''.8$ – $2''.4$) with intermittent cloud, while for the third night conditions were mostly clear with reasonable seeing ($1''.4$).

First, to study the properties of the blobs, a single *g*-band image was made by combining thirty 60 s integrations from 2013 March 20, which is the best seeing data. The blobs are clearly present on the combined block-averaged image and, to a precision of ~ 1 arcsec, the positions of both the NE and SW blobs on this image, given in Table 2, are identical to those on the DSS images (and to the positions on the Pan-STARRS1 *i*-band image stack). The angular distance of the NE blob from the central star is $228''.4$, while that for the SW blob is $228''.7$. Given that the centres of the blobs are difficult to measure to better than ~ 1 arcsec precision, it is clear that they are equidistant from the central star at a level better than 1 per cent. Similarly, the position angles of the two blobs relative to the central star are $24^\circ.3$ for the NE blob and $180^\circ + 22^\circ.3$ for the SW blob, once more indicating a high degree of symmetry. However, despite the obvious symmetries, it is apparent from this frame that the NE blob is somewhat more extended and less centrally concentrated than the SW blob. This is also evident on the DSS images (see Fig. 2) and from the *H*-band image discussed in Section 3.2.3 (see Fig. 5).

The *g* and *r* magnitudes for stars on the frames in the APASS catalogue (Henden et al. 2012)³ were used to define a transformation from instrumental aperture photometry to the APASS system, with




Figure 3. Upper panel: The relationship between (*g* − *r*) colour, on the APASS system, and (*r* − $H\alpha$). The $H\alpha$ magnitudes are on the observed instrumental system. Stars in the field of SMSS J1305–2931 are plotted as open circles, the central star is plotted as blue filled circle and the blobs are plotted as red star symbols. Lower panel: As for the upper panel except that the relation is for *V* − 5007.

zero-point uncertainties of 0.02–0.03 mag. Using this transformation the mean surface brightnesses of the NE and SW blobs, within a 3 arcsec radius aperture that encompasses most of the light were determined. The values are given in Table 2.

The *r* magnitudes for the blobs were measured in the same way on the combined *r*-band image, and they yield relatively red (*g* − *r*) colours for the blobs, which are also given in Table 2.

Aperture photometry was next carried out on the (*r*, $H\alpha$) combined frame pair. The *r* magnitudes were again transformed to the APASS system and used to calculate the (*r* − $H\alpha$) colours, where the $H\alpha$ magnitudes remain on the instrumental system but with a zero-point chosen so that (*r* − $H\alpha$) ≈ 0 for stars with (*g* − *r*) ≈ 1.0 . The [(*r* − $H\alpha$), (*g* − *r*)] two-colour diagram for the stars in the field with $g \leq 19.3$ is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3. The stars define a sensible sequence, and there is some indication that SMSS J1305–2931 shows a $H\alpha$ excess relative to the field star relation, though admittedly this is set by the single star in the field observed that is bluer than SMSS J1305–2931. The presence of such an excess, however, is not unexpected given that the optical spectrum of SMSS J1305–2931 shows $H\alpha$ in emission (see Section 3.3).

The derived (*r* − $H\alpha$) colours for the blobs are plotted in the upper panel of Fig. 3 against (*g* − *r*). While uncertain at the 0.2–0.3 mag level, there is no evidence of the presence of significant $H\alpha$ excess for the blobs. This suggests that an optical spectrum of the blobs may not show substantial $H\alpha$ emission. The errors in the colours come from varying the aperture locations by ± 1 pix at fixed aperture size and by varying the aperture size at a fixed centre. Using the value of 15 \AA for the mean $H\alpha$ equivalent width

³ <http://www.aavso.org/apass>

(EW) in the spectrum of the central star (Section 3.3, Fig. 6) and its location approximately 0.1 mag above the field star locus in Fig. 3, the combined mean uncertainty in the blob ($r - H\alpha$) colours (0.18 mag) then sets a loose upper limit of $\sim 25 \text{ \AA}$ for the EW of any $H\alpha$ emission from the blobs. Integrated spectra of the blobs are required to place a tighter limit; we note that the detectability of any emission line relative to the continuum in such an integrated spectrum will be strongly dependant on the emission-line width.

We then conducted an essentially identical procedure for the (V , [O III]) frame pair, again using the APASS catalogue to calibrate the V magnitudes. The $[(V - [O III]), (g - r)]$ two-colour diagram for the stars is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 3, where we have set the zero-point of the ($V - [O III]$) colours such that ($V - [O III]$) ≈ 0 at ($g - r$) ≈ 0.6 . Since the blobs are not strongly evident on the [O III] frames, care was taken to ensure that the aperture centre corresponded to the same position as for the g , r , and $H\alpha$ frames, and the same aperture size was used. Similar precautions were applied for the V frame. The measurements on the [O III] frame did result in an apparent excess above sky, and the resulting ($V - [O III]$) colours are shown in the lower panel of Fig. 3. As for the ($r - H\alpha$) frames, the error bars for the ($V - [O III]$) colours represent the variations from changing the aperture size and position. The ($V - [O III]$) colours appear to indicate an excess above the stellar sequence of a similar amount (~ 0.5 mag) for both blobs, perhaps indicating the presence of [O III] emission. The excess, however, is less than 1.5σ , and must be regarded as very uncertain until confirmed or refuted by better data. We therefore conclude that the optical radiation from the blobs is likely to be dominated by continuum rather than emission-line flux.

Finally, the optical variability of the central star was investigated on the 2013 March g -band images via differential aperture photometry relative to a number of other stars of similar magnitude on the frames. The light curves for 2013 March 16, 18, and 20 are shown in Fig. 4. These data show that the central star of the SMSS J1305–2931 system varies by ~ 0.2 mag on time-scales as short as 10–15 min, with no obvious periodicity. There is also a likely longer term variation as the mean brightness of the star is ~ 0.1 mag fainter on 2013 March 18 compared to the other two nights. The mean g magnitude and standard deviations for the three nights are 16.29 and 0.04 mag, 16.40 and 0.06 mag, and 16.30 and 0.08 mag, respectively. The mean magnitudes are all consistent with the value $g = 16.36 \pm 0.06$ given in the APASS catalogue. In this process, we also derived a ($g - r$) colour of 0.04 for the central star on the APASS system, which is again consistent with the colour (0.01) given in the APASS catalogue.

3.2.3 AAT near-IR imaging

Images of the SMSS J1305–2931 field were obtained in the J , H , and K bands with the IRIS2 near-IR imager (Tinney et al. 2004) on the AAT on 2014 January 27 via the AAO Service Observing program. Conditions were photometric with seeing varying between $1''.7$ and $2''.4$. Total integration times were 30 min in J , 80 min in H , and 50 min in K , obtained as sets of dithered 10 s exposures. The raw data frames were processed with the AAO in-house ORAC-DR data reduction software suite,⁴ which corrects for astrometric distortion of the camera prior to aligning and combining the images into the final mosaic.

⁴ <http://www.aao.gov.au/science/instruments/current/IRIS2/reduction>




Figure 4. Magnitude of the central star of the SMSS J1305–2931 system in the APASS g -band system as a function of heliocentric Julian Date, from repeated observations over three nights with the Faulkes-South telescope. The data indicate that the central star is variable by as much as ~ 0.2 mag on time-scales as short as 10–15 min; the uncertainty in each individual measurement is of order 0.02 mag.

The zero-points for the instrumental magnitudes measured on the combined frames were determined via a comparison with the magnitudes listed in the 2MASS point source catalogue (Skrutskie et al. 2006) for typically 12–14 stars on the frames. The resulting 1σ uncertainty in the zero-points is 0.01 mag for J and 0.02 mag for H and K . Application of these zero-points then yields the K magnitude and the $J - K$ and $H - K$ colours for the central star listed in Table 1. The J and H magnitudes are in good agreement with the values listed in the 2MASS catalogue, but the K mag is 0.27 mag brighter. Given that the 2MASS K magnitude for the central star has a listed uncertainty of 0.27 mag, the difference is not significant.

The upper panel of Fig. 5 shows the final H -band combined image for a 5.3×7.7 (EW \times NS) sub-section of the full field: the NE and SW blobs are clearly evident, and the lower panels show enlargements of areas centred on each blob. The blobs are also readily visible on the J - and K -band images.

To measure their magnitudes, we adopted the pixel-coordinates of the centres of the blobs on the H -band frame and then corrected them for the pixel offsets to the J and K frames determined from nearby stars. Aperture magnitudes were then measured at these centres with an aperture of 8 pixel radius ($3''.6$), which encompasses most of the light from the blobs. Application of the zero-point values then yields the mean surface brightness and colours given in Table 2; as for the optical data it is clear that the two blobs again have similar colours and surface brightnesses.




Figure 5. *Upper panel:* A sub-section of the combined 80 min total integration *H*-band image of the SMSS J1305–2931 field obtained with IRIS2 on the AAT on 2014 January 27. The central star and the NE and SW blobs are circled. The horizontal lines are artefacts arising from saturated stars. North is to the top and East to the left, and the circles have a diameter of 18 arcsec. The field shown is $5'3 \times 7'7$ (EW \times NS) in size. *Lower panels:* Enlargements of the regions of the NE (left-hand panel) and SW (right-hand panel) blobs; the less centrally concentrated nature of the NE blob, compared to the SW blob, is evident. Orientation is the same as the upper panel and the panels are $54 \text{ arcsec} \times 36 \text{ arcsec}$ in size.

3.3 Optical spectroscopy

Follow-up spectroscopy of the central star of the SMSS J1305–2931 system⁵ was obtained with the ANU 2.3m telescope on 2012 May 29, 2012 June 8–10, and 2012 June 12 with the WiFeS double-beam integral field spectrograph (Dopita et al. 2010). For the first four nights the B3000 and R3000 gratings were employed, which give coverage of essentially the entire optical window at

⁵ The faint surface brightness of the blobs makes their spectroscopic observation very difficult with the telescopes to which we had ready access and it was not attempted.




Figure 6. Continuum normalized red spectra from the WiFeS observations on 2012 June 8 (black, highest peak counts), June 9 (red, lowest peak counts), and June 10 (blue, intermediate peak counts) in the vicinity of the $H\alpha$ line, illustrating the night-to-night changes in the line profile, relative strength, and position.

$R \approx 3000$, while on the fifth night the R7000 grating was used in the red arm, yielding coverage in the vicinity of $H\alpha$ at $R \approx 7000$. Integration times varied between 900 and 2400 s with one exposure per night except for the fifth night, when two 2000 s exposures were obtained that were subsequently combined.

Spectra were also obtained with the SOAR 4.1m telescope and the Goodman High-Throughput Spectrograph⁶ on 2012 July 6 and 2012 August 7–9. Spectra were obtained with a 1200 line grating covering the wavelength region $\lambda\lambda 4250\text{--}5500$ with $R \sim 3000$ and a 1.03 arcsec slit. On 2012 July 6 a single 1200 s exposures was obtained, while for 2012 August 10–13 three 1200 s exposures were obtained each night. Both the WiFeS and the Goodman HTS spectra were continuum normalized prior to the analysis.

Initial inspection of the blue spectra shows a general similarity to the discovery spectrum (Fig. 1), but closer inspection reveals that there are night-to-night variations in the strength of the emission lines relative to the continuum, and in their profile and location. This applies also to the red spectra, which are dominated by $H\alpha$ in emission, but which also show He I emission lines at 5876, 6678, and 7065 Å. Na D absorption is also present at a heliocentric velocity close to zero (the average heliocentric velocity of the Na D lines from 2012 June 8, 9 and 10 red spectra is $19 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). Intriguingly, using the relation provided in Munnari & Zwitter (1997), the EW of the Na D₁ line in the averaged red spectrum from the 2012 June 8, 9, and 10 observations implies $E(B - V) \approx 0.3$, or ~ 0.2 mag in excess of the inferred line-of-sight reddening. There is no evidence for multiple components in the Na D absorption, but given the resolution, velocity differences in excess of 200 km s^{-1} would be required to resolve separate components. High-resolution spectra would be needed to distinguish any possible source component from that of the interstellar medium.

In Fig. 6, we show the continuum-normalized $H\alpha$ profiles from the WiFeS observations on 2012 June 8, 9, and 10. These profiles are

⁶ <http://www.soartelescope.org/observing/documentation/goodman-high-throughput-spectrograph/goodman-manual/manual>

Table 3. Examples of the velocity structure of the Balmer emission lines in the spectrum of the central source.

Date	γ^a (km s ⁻¹)	FWHM (km s ⁻¹)
H α from ANU 2.3m		
2012 May 29	-130 \pm 15	750 \pm 100
2012 June 8	+50 \pm 15	800 \pm 100
2012 June 9	-40 \pm 15	1100 \pm 100
2012 June 10 ^b	(-20 \pm 15)	(860 \pm 100)
	-223 \pm 15	450 \pm 100
	+240 \pm 15	450 \pm 100
2012 June 12 ^b	(+15 \pm 15)	(870 \pm 100)
	-300 \pm 15	400 \pm 100
	+170 \pm 15	400 \pm 100
H β from SOAR 4.1m		
2012 July 6	-240 \pm 15	680 \pm 100
	+265 \pm 15	330 \pm 100
2012 August 7	+15 \pm 15	850 \pm 100
2012 August 8	-230 \pm 15	650 \pm 100
	+240 \pm 15	250 \pm 100
2012 August 9	-380 \pm 15	600 \pm 100
	+270 \pm 15	330 \pm 100


Notes. ^aHeliocentric systemic velocity.

^bTwo-Gaussian fit preferred on those dates.

typical of those seen in the red spectra. Relative to the continuum, the H α emission flux dropped by ~ 50 per cent from June 8 to June 9, and then increased again on June 10. The line profiles can be fit by single Gaussian profiles in some instances (e.g. May 29, June 8, June 9) but in other cases multiple components are present. For example, the line profiles for June 10 and June 12 are convincingly fit by two components with similar FWHM values. The derived H α line widths and velocities, and their uncertainties, are summarized in Table 3.

The H β profiles extracted from the WiFeS blue spectra are generally similar to the H α profiles: single-peaked on May 29 and June 8–9, and double-peaked on June 10 and 12. For the three cases where a single Gaussian line profile fit is preferred, the H β velocities are $\sim 250 \pm 50$ km s⁻¹ more negative than the corresponding contemporaneous H α velocities, although there is no obvious difference in the line widths. On the other hand, at the ~ 50 km s⁻¹ level, the velocities of the corresponding components agree when the line profiles are double: e.g. for June 10 the H β components are at -285 and $+210$ km s⁻¹, while the H α components are at -223 and $+240$ km s⁻¹. The respective line widths are again broadly comparable. The H β profiles from the Goodman HTS spectra have similar properties to those seen in the WiFeS blue spectra obtained two months earlier, changing between single-peaked and double-peaked, sometimes over successive nights. Fig. 7 shows the Goodman HTS spectra in the vicinity of H β for 2012 August 7 (single component) and August 9 (two components). The H β velocities and line widths derived from the SOAR spectra are also listed in Table 3. We note that the average EW of the H β emission across all our blue spectroscopic observations is $\langle \text{EW}(\text{H}\beta) \rangle \approx 4.4$ Å, while that for He II 4686 Å is $\langle \text{EW}(\text{He II}) \rangle \approx 4$ Å.

In summary, the spectroscopic observations indicate substantial variations in the Balmer emission-line strengths, profiles, and velocities on time-scales at least as short as night-to-night. The velocity changes have scales of 100 s km s⁻¹, and the line widths vary between ~ 300 and ~ 1000 km s⁻¹. These properties point to emission from an accretion disc, or more generally, from warm gas

**Figure 7.** Continuum normalized blue spectra from the SOAR observations obtained on 2012 August 7 (black; single peak at longer wavelengths) and 2012 August 9 (red; dual peaks) in the vicinity of the H β line, illustrating the changes in the line profile and position.

moving in the vicinity of an accreting object. More detailed dedicated spectroscopic observations are needed to investigate whether these emission-line variations occur on time-scales as short as those seen in the broad-band photometry, and whether any periodic motions are discernible.

3.4 UV and X-ray observations

3.4.1 *Swift*/UVOT observations

The 30cm UltraViolet and Optical Telescope (UVOT) (Roming et al. 2005) is mounted onboard the *Swift* spacecraft (Gehrels et al. 2004) and is co-aligned with the 0.3–10 keV X-Ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005). UVOT has a 17 arcmin \times 17 arcmin field of view and is equipped with six broad-band filters, three in the UV (*uvw2*, *uvm2*, *uvw1*) covering the wavelength range 1600–3000 Å, and three in the optical (*u*, *b*, *v*), which correspond approximately to standard *U*, *B*, and *V* (Poole et al. 2008). The SMSS J1305–2931 system was observed with UVOT during the period 2012 July 6–2012 September 2 coincident with the XRT observations described in Section 3.4.2 below. It was observed in all six UVOT filters with one integration in each filter per orbit. A total of 16 or 17 observations were obtained for each filter and the data frames were analysed as described in Poole et al. (2008).

There was no evidence for the presence of the blobs in any of the images, but the central star was readily detected in all exposures. The mean magnitudes (VEGAMAG system) are listed in Table 1. The individual magnitudes confirm the variability of the central source on time-scales as short as the ~ 95 min interval between successive spacecraft orbits. The intrinsic standard deviations of the magnitudes are of order ~ 0.1 – 0.15 mag, while the range in the observed magnitudes across the ~ 60 d span is ~ 0.4 mag for all six filters. There is also a hint that the star is slightly bluer when brighter; confirmation of this effect is made difficult by the relatively large errors in the colours (typically 0.15 mag).

3.4.2 *Swift*/XRT and XMM–Newton observations

The field containing SMSS 1305–2931 had previously been observed in X-rays only with the *ROSAT* Position Sensitive Proportional Counters (PSPC) detector as part of the *ROSAT* All-Sky survey (Voges et al. 1999). No X-rays were detected at the source position in a 348 s observation, and the 3σ upper limit on the count rate is estimated as $0.017 \text{ counts s}^{-1}$, corresponding to an upper limit of $\sim 6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the 0.3–10 keV energy range, assuming a Galactic absorbing column of $7.15 \times 10^{20} \text{ atoms cm}^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005) and a power-law spectrum with a photon index $\Gamma = 1.7$.

Subsequent to our optical discovery, we were awarded a Target of Opportunity monitoring program with *Swift*/XRT. The field of SMSS 1305–2931 was observed for intervals of ~ 2000 – 3000 s approximately weekly over a three-month period, and a total of 16.5 ks on-source integration was ultimately obtained. An X-ray counterpart to SMSS J1305–2931 was detected at a 3.9σ level above the background. There was, however, no indication of any X-ray emission at the location of either of the blobs.

No significant variability was seen in the XRT observations of the central source, but the net counts from each observation are inadequate to draw firm conclusions. The average net count rate is approximately $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ counts s}^{-1}$ in the 0.3–10 keV range, corresponding to an absorbed flux of $\sim 7 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for a power-law photon index $\Gamma = 2$, or $\sim 1.4 \times 10^{-13}$ for $\Gamma = 1$. The 3σ upper limits for any emission from the blobs, which lie off-axis, are $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ counts s}^{-1}$ for the NE feature and $4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ counts s}^{-1}$ for the SW feature. For a power-law index Γ of 1.7 the corresponding flux limits are 4×10^{-14} and $2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.

Following our *Swift*/XRT detection, we obtained a deeper observation of the field with XMM–Newton (OBSID: 0723380101, PI: Farrell): a 70 ks exposure on 2014 January 12, with the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) (Strüder et al. 2001; Turner et al. 2001) as the prime instrument. The data files were processed with the Science Analysis System (SAS) version 14.0.0 (xmm-sas_20141104). Sub-intervals of the observation with high particle background were filtered out and time intervals of 38ks for EPIC-pn and 62 ks for EPIC-MOS retained. As before, the central object was clearly detected but there is no indication of any X-ray emission from either of the blobs.

The XMM–Newton data provided enough counts for spectral analysis. We used the SAS task *xmmselect* to extract spectra and background files for the pn and MOS data, using a circular source region of 20 arcsec radius, and a local background region three times as large (avoiding chip gaps). We used the standard flagging criteria #XMMEA_EM for the MOS data, and FLAG=0 && #XMMEA_EP for the pn data. We built response and ancillary response files with the SAS tasks *rmfgen* and *arfgen*. Finally, to increase the signal-to-noise ratio, we combined the pn, MOS1, and MOS2 spectra with *epicspeccombine*,⁷ to create a weighted-average EPIC spectrum. We grouped the combined spectrum to a minimum of 25 counts per bin, for Gaussian statistics. As a consistency check, we later repeated our modelling by fitting the individual pn and MOS spectra simultaneously, and obtained similar results to those described below.

3.4.3 Modelling the X-Ray spectrum

In order to provide additional information to assist with the interpretation of the source, we modelled the XMM–Newton/EPIC spectrum with XSPEC version 12.9.1 (Arnaud 1996). We note first that simple models, such as an absorbed single-temperature blackbody, a power-law, or a single-temperature thermal plasma, do not provide satisfactory fits. The lack of satisfactory fits is also true for standard two-component models that are often applied to accreting compact objects (e.g. blackbody plus power-law or disc-blackbody plus power-law). These models, however, do provide the physical insight that the X-ray spectrum appears to have both a hard and a soft component. A good fit to the combined EPIC spectrum is obtained with models employing two optically thin-plasma thermal components, with different absorption column densities for the soft and hard components.

In the simplest version of this model, we took a single-temperature plasma component (*mekal* in XSPEC) for the soft X-ray emission, and a single-temperature component for the hard X-ray emission, with two different accretion columns (i.e. assuming that the two components come from different spatial regions in the system). The resulting best-fitting parameters (Table 4) are a temperature $kT_s \approx 0.2 \text{ keV}$ for the soft component (absorbed by a column density $n_{H,s} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) and $kT_h \sim 10 \text{ keV}$ for the hard component (absorbed by a column density $n_{H,h} \approx 4 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). The observed flux in the 0.3–2 keV band is $f_{0.3-2} \approx 7 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (heavily affected by absorption), and $f_{0.3-10} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the 2–10 keV band. The unabsorbed luminosity is well constrained for the hard band, at $L_{2-10} \approx 7 \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (where $d_{2\text{kpc}}$ is the distance to the source in units of 2 kpc), but is very uncertain for the soft band, due to the low temperature of the emitting plasma and the high column density; we estimate $L_{0.3-2} \approx \text{afew} \times 10^{32} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Table 4). However, the two-temperature approximation, while formally a good fit ($\chi^2_\nu = 44.7/45 = 0.99$), is a crude physical model, especially for the soft component; it may lead to an overestimate of the column density and therefore of the intrinsic luminosity for the soft component.

We refined our model by assuming a multitemperature distribution (*cemekl* in XSPEC) for the softer emitter (Table 4). This model provides an equally good fit ($\chi^2_\nu = 42.7/45 = 0.95$). The maximum temperature of the *cemekl* distribution has to be $> 1.3 \text{ keV}$; the temperature of the hotter component is again $kT_h \sim 10 \text{ keV}$. The unabsorbed luminosities in this model are $L_{0.3-2} \approx 4 \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $L_{2-10} \approx 8 \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Table 4), for a total X-ray luminosity $L_{0.3-10} = (1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{32} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The data points, model fit, and χ^2 residuals for this model are shown in Fig. 8.

Finally, we tried fitting the spectrum with only one multitemperature distribution of plasma (*cemekl*), absorbed by a moderately low column density plus a higher column density component with covering fraction < 1 (*pcphabs* \times *TBabs* \times *cemekl*). This could be the situation, for example, if the absorbing material is clumpy, or if some of the hot emitting gas is located above the densest part of the absorbing material. We obtain $\chi^2_\nu = 42.0/46 = 0.91$, statistically equivalent to the two-component emission model. The baseline column density is $n_{H,1} \approx 0.23 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$; the partial absorbing component has $n_{H,2} \approx 6 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, with covering fraction $f_{\text{cvr}} = 0.94 \pm 0.02$. The thermal plasma requires a maximum temperature $T_{\text{max}} > 24 \text{ keV}$ (the precise value is unconstrained by the data). The unabsorbed X-ray luminosity is $L_{(0.3-10)} = (2.1^{+0.8}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{32} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Table 4). The fit

⁷ <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/sas-thread-epic-merging>

Table 4. Main X-ray spectral parameters.

Parameter	Value
<i>TBabs_s × mekal_s + TBabs_h × mekal_h</i>	
$n_{H,s}$	$0.88^{+0.14}_{-0.20} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
$n_{H,h}$	$4.0^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
kT_s	$0.19^{+0.08}_{-0.04} \text{ keV}$
kT_h	$12^{+36}_{-4} \text{ keV}$
EM_s	$16^{+35}_{-13} \times 10^{54} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
EM_h	$4.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{54} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
χ^2_v	0.99(44.7/45)
$f_{0.3-2}$	$0.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
f_{2-10}	$11^{+1}_{-1} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
$L_{0.3-2}$	$3.3^{+5.3}_{-2.3} \times 10^{32} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
L_{2-10}	$7.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
<i>TBabs_s × cemekl_s + TBabs_h × mekal_h</i>	
$n_{H,s}$	$0.23^{+0.17}_{-0.13} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
$n_{H,h}$	$5.3^{+1.6}_{-1.3} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
α_{cemekl}	[0.01]
kT_{max}	> 1.3 keV
kT_h	$12^{+24}_{-4} \text{ keV}$
EM_s	$0.24^{+0.46}_{-0.07} \times 10^{54} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
EM_h	$4.9^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{54} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
χ^2_v	0.95(42.7/45)
$f_{0.3-2}$	$0.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
f_{2-10}	$11^{+1}_{-1} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
$L_{0.3-2}$	$4.1^{+0.8}_{-0.5} \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
L_{2-10}	$7.6^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
<i>pchabs × TBabs × cemekl</i>	
$n_{H,1}$	$0.23^{+0.09}_{-0.10} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
f_{cvr}	$0.94^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$
$n_{H,2}$	$6.1^{+1.4}_{-1.1} \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
α_{cemekl}	[0.01]
kT_{max}	> 24 keV
EM	$3.8^{+0.3}_{-0.4} \times 10^{54} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
χ^2_v	0.91(42.0/46)
$f_{0.3-2}$	$0.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
f_{2-10}	$11^{+1}_{-1} \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
$L_{0.3-2}$	$14^{+7}_{-2} \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
L_{2-10}	$7.6^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$

residuals look essentially identical to those for the previous model shown in Fig. 8; the factor-of-two difference in the intrinsic luminosity between the two models is due to the different way of accounting for the absorption of the soft X-ray photons.

4 THE NATURE OF THE CENTRAL OBJECT

The broad emission-line profiles in the optical spectrum, together with the variability of both the broad-band continuum and the emission lines, strongly suggest that the dominant source of the radiation from the central object is a hot accretion disc around a compact object that is accreting mass from a companion star in a binary system. The most likely candidates for the nature of the system are then a HMXB, a low-mass X-ray binary (LMXB), or some sort of CV. We now discuss each of these possibilities.




Figure 8. The *XMM-Newton*/EPIC spectrum of the SMSS J1305–2931 X-ray counterpart compared with the best-fitting multitemperature thermal-plasma model. The observed points are shown as red plus-signs with error bars, while the model fit is shown in blue. The lower panel shows the $\Delta\chi^2$ difference between the model and the data. The parameters and physical interpretation of the model are discussed in Section 3.4.2.

We can rule out the HMXB classification straightaway. In HMXB systems, by definition, the companion star is typically an O- or B-type star with a mass exceeding $\sim 8\text{--}10 M_{\odot}$, and as a result, the locations of HMXB systems are restricted to the Galactic plane (e.g. Chaty 2011). SMSS J1305–2931, however, lies far from the plane at a Galactic latitude b of 33.25 deg or a z -height of $1.1 \times d_{2\text{kpc}}$ kpc, far away from star-forming regions. Further, formation of an accretion disc in an HMXB system is not common (Chaty 2011), and in addition, there is no evidence in the observed spectrum supporting the existence of a luminous donor-star, as would be expected. Consequently, an HMXB interpretation for SMSS J1305–2931 is not viable.

LMXBs, on the other hand, are systems in which a low-mass star, typically a main-sequence star of mass $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ or less, is losing mass via Roche lobe overflow to a compact companion that is either a neutron star or a black hole (e.g. Tauris & van den Heuvel 2010). LMXBs are generally associated with old stellar populations: they are found predominantly towards the Galactic Bulge, which is not inconsistent with the location of SMSS J1305–2931 on the sky, and in Galactic globular clusters. The optical spectrum of an (active) LMXB is dominated by emission from the hot accretion disc surrounding the compact companion, again as seen for SMSS J1305–2931. Optical-line emission, from the X-ray irradiated surface of the accretion disc and/or donor star, in both neutron star and black hole LMXBs (e.g. Soria, Wu & Johnston 1999; Casares et al. 2006), is also similar to that seen in the spectrum of SMSS J1305–2931.

However, there is one fundamental difference between the properties of LMXB systems and those of SMSS J1305–2931 that rules out the classification of SMSS J1305–2931 as an LMXB: the ratio of the X-ray luminosity to the optical luminosity. For LMXBs $L_X \sim 500\text{--}1000 L_{\text{opt}}$ (e.g. van Paradijs & McClintock 1995; Tauris & van den Heuvel 2010), with the exception of ‘accretion-disc-corona’ (ADC) sources, seen at high inclination, for which $L_X \sim 20 L_{\text{opt}}$ (e.g. Casares et al. 2003). However, such ratios are definitely ruled out for SMSS J1305–2931; instead $L_X \ll L_{\text{opt}}$. Specifically, in Section 3.4.2 we showed that the observed X-ray flux from SMSS J1305–2931 in the 0.3–10 keV range is $f_{0.3-10} = (1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$,

whereas in the band-pass of the g filter, the observed flux is of order $2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This indicates f_{opt} is at least $20\times$ the X-ray flux $f_{0.3-10}$; SMSS J1305–2931 is therefore unlikely to be an LMXB.

The remaining possibility for the central source of the SMSS J1305–2931 system is that it is a CV, in which a white dwarf is accreting matter from a low-mass main-sequence or slightly evolved companion star via Roche lobe overflow (e.g. Warner 1995; Hellier 2001). In non-magnetic CVs, which are the majority, the accretion process occurs via an extended accretion disc that surrounds the white dwarf. The dominant sources of radiation are the disc itself and the boundary layer between the innermost radius of the disc and the surface of the white dwarf. For CV systems in which the white dwarf is strongly magnetic, the binary is tidally locked and the accretion is directly on to the white dwarf surface at the magnetic poles. In these cases, known as polars (class prototype AM Her), there is an accretion column rather than an accretion disc. Intermediate-polars (IPs, class prototype DQ Her) occur when the magnetic field is not sufficiently strong to cause tidal-locking – there is an accretion disc, but the inner regions are truncated by the magneto-sphere of the white dwarf. In all cases instability in the mass transfer and/or accretion processes leads to variability in the system. Such variability is most extreme in the class of CVs known as dwarf novae, which show recurrent changes in brightness of up to 5 magnitudes (Warner 1995; Coppejans et al. 2016a). The changes are interpreted as a thermal limit-cycle instability in the accretion disc (e.g. Smak 1983; Cannizzo 1993; Osaki 1996; Lasota 2001); the disc cycles between an ionized (high-luminosity) state and a neutral (low-luminosity) state, usually referred to as the upper and lower branches of the ‘S-curve’. Finally, nova-like CVs are systems persistently in the high-luminosity state, probably because the accretion rate is high enough to maintain the disc on the upper branch of the S-curve (Smak 1983; Warner 1995; Balman, Godon & Sion 2014).

We can immediately rule out a polar-CV classification for SMSS J1305–2931 on the basis of the width of the emission lines in the optical spectrum – polars present narrow spectral lines because of the absence of an accretion disc (e.g. Oliveira et al. 2017). The lack of absorption lines in the optical spectrum, the presence of high-ionization lines (e.g. He II 4686 Å), and the blue colours all point to SMSS J1305–2931 being in an accretion-dominated phase, suggesting a classification as an IP, or a dwarf nova, or a nova-like CV. IPs are characterized by $H\beta$ EWs in excess of 20 \AA (e.g. Oliveira et al. 2017), while the average value of $\langle \text{EW}(H\beta) \rangle$ measured for SMSS J1305–2931 is $\sim 4.4 \text{ \AA}$ (Section 3.3), ruling out an IP classification. The value of $\langle \text{EW}(H\beta) \rangle$ is, however, typical of dwarf novae or nova-like CVs.

To further constrain the type of CV, we used the empirical relations (Patterson 1984; Patterson & Raymond 1985a,b; Hertz 1990) between the observed soft X-ray flux (and observed X-ray/optical flux ratio) and the absolute visual magnitude of the system. In those relations, the X-ray flux is usually taken in the 0.2–4.0 keV band for historical reasons, because early high-energy surveys of CVs were done with the *Einstein Observatory*. In SMSS J1305–2931, the observed 0.2–4.0 keV flux is $\approx 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the absorption-corrected flux is $\approx 1.5\text{--}3.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ depending on the details of the adopted model. Optical fluxes are approximated by $\log F_{\text{opt}} = -0.4V - 5.44 \approx -12.0$ (Schwope et al. 2002). With this definition, the observed $\log(F_{\text{X}}/F_{\text{opt}}) \approx -1.5$, while the absorption-corrected ratio is between -0.9 and -0.5 depending on the choice of model. This range of flux ratios is typical of CVs with an accretion rate $10^{17} \text{ g s}^{-1} \lesssim \dot{M} \lesssim \text{a few } 10^{18} \text{ g s}^{-1}$,

assuming that the optical continuum comes from a standard accretion disc (Patterson & Raymond 1985a,b). At such accretion rates, CVs are either in the nova-like state or in the outburst phase of a dwarf nova (Warner 1995; Hellier 2001).

Alternatively, we can directly compare optical and soft X-ray fluxes, as in the *ROSAT* survey of CVs by Beuermann & Thomas (1993). Converting the *XMM-Newton*/EPIC flux for SMSS J1305–2931 into a *ROSAT*/PSPC 0.1–2.4 keV count rate (assuming suitable thermal-plasma models), we estimate a PSPC rate of $\approx 10^{-3} \text{ counts s}^{-1}$. Thus, our source falls into the region of the X-ray/optical diagram populated mostly by nova-like CVs and dwarf novae in the bright state (Beuermann & Thomas 1993, their fig. 2). One problem with this kind of diagram is that the soft X-ray flux is highly affected by an uncertain amount of absorption; for example, we could be underestimating the soft X-ray luminosity of SMSS J1305–2931 dramatically if the system is seen almost edge-on, in comparison with the other sources in the *ROSAT* sample at lower inclinations. To avoid this problem, following Mukai (2017), we can use a correlation between the 2 to 10 keV flux (less affected by absorption) and the visual magnitude. The observed 2–10 keV flux of SMSS J1305–2931 is $f_{2-10} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ while the absorption-corrected value is $f_{2-10} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, almost (to within 10 per cent) independent of the choice of model. Using either of these values in the Mukai (2017) diagram confirms that our source is consistent with nova-like CVs or high-state dwarf novae, but is more than an order of magnitude fainter in X-rays than typical polar and intermediate-polar CVs.

The SMSS J1305–2931 system is unlikely to be a dwarf nova in outburst, however, as all the available optical photometry, including that from surveys such as APASS, Pan-STARRS1 and SkyMapper DR1.1, indicate that the optical brightness of the system has remained approximately constant on a time-scale of years without any indication of significant outbursts or transitions. In particular, the UVOT v data are constant at the $\sim 0.2\text{--}0.3 \text{ mag}$ level over the $\sim 60 \text{ d}$ monitoring period, and are consistent with the Faulkes-South photometry taken $\sim 250 \text{ d}$ later (Fig. 4). We also note that Ofek et al. (2012) classify SMSS J1305–2931 as a non-variable source based on Palomar Transient Factory photometry. As regards longer term variations, while quantitative information is more difficult to obtain from the photographic DSS blue (1978 February 17), and POSS-I (1958 April 14) images, estimates of the magnitude of the central star relative to nearby stars with APASS B magnitudes, again indicates no substantial change in the brightness of the central star at the $\sim 0.2\text{--}0.3 \text{ mag}$ level.

From the $\text{EW}(H\beta)$ versus absolute magnitude relation (e.g. Patterson 2011, fig. 3), with the mean value $\langle \text{EW}(H\beta) \rangle \approx 4.4 \text{ \AA}$ listed in 3.3, we infer that $M_V \lesssim 5.5 \text{ mag}$ for SMSS J1305–2931 (the relation saturates for smaller EWs, corresponding to brighter discs). The observed $V \approx 16.5 \text{ mag}$, corrected by a line-of-sight Galactic reddening $E(B - V) \approx 0.09 \text{ mag}$ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011), then implies a distance $d \gtrsim 1.5 \text{ kpc}$, surprisingly large for a CV (Patterson 2011). A similar absolute brightness, and therefore a similar distance, is also consistent with the relation between accretion rate and absolute brightness in Warner (1987). For convenience, we adopt a distance of 2 kpc and where required, scale distance-related quantities by $d_{2\text{kpc}}$, the distance in units of 2 kpc. For distances/absolute magnitudes of the order of those discussed here, the orbital period of the system is likely to be $\sim 4\text{--}6 \text{ h}$ (Warner 1987; Patterson 2011). We can also assume a safe upper limit of $d \lesssim 5 \text{ kpc}$, based on the maximum X-ray and optical luminosities ever observed for any type of CV (Warner 1987, 1995; Patterson 2011; Pretorius et al. 2012).

We obtain further constraints on the distance from the He II 4686 Å emission line. The EW(Hβ) versus EW(He II 4686 Å) diagram of van Paradijs & Verbunt (1984) tells us again that the average strength of the He II 4686 Å measured for SMSS J1305–2931 is consistent with those of LMXBs (which we have already ruled out), nova-like CVs, and some IPs, but is not consistent with polar CVs. More interestingly, there is a tight correlation between the emitted luminosity in the He II λ4686 line and the accretion rate (Patterson & Raymond 1985b, their fig. 5). We have already shown that the X-ray luminosity and the nova-like CV interpretation suggest an accretion rate $\dot{M} \sim 10^{18} \text{ g s}^{-1}$. For that rate, we expect a He II λ4686 luminosity $\sim 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. From our observed spectra, corrected for line-of-sight reddening, we measure a line luminosity $L_{4686} \approx 5 \times 10^{30} d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which demonstrates consistency with our previous distance estimates based on the continuum luminosity.

Finally, we note that the soft X-ray luminosity of SMSS 1305–2931 in the 0.5–2.0 keV range is between $L_{(0.3-2)} \approx 4 \times 10^{31} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $L_{(0.3-2)} \approx \text{a few} \times 10^{32} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Table 4), depending on the which of the X-ray spectral models discussed above is adopted. These values place the system among the most luminous non-magnetic CVs in the luminosity function of Pretorius et al. (2012), again consistent with our interpretation of the system as a nova-like CV in an accretion-dominated phase. We note that similar spectral models, with softer and harder emission components absorbed by different column densities, have also been successfully applied to, for example, the *XMM-Newton*/EPIC spectrum of UX UMa (Pratt et al. 2004), and to the *Chandra*/HETG spectrum of TT Ari (Zemko et al. 2014), both of which are nova-like CVs.

In summary, we argue that a consistent interpretation of the SMSS 1305–2931 system is as a nova-like CV at $d \sim 2 \text{ kpc}$, accreting mass at a rate $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which produces a bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (assuming a characteristic white dwarf radiative efficiency $\eta \approx 10^{-4}$). More specifically, our modelling of the X-ray spectra indicates the presence of two thermal-plasma components. Based on an analogy with other highly accreting CVs, the hotter component ($kT \sim 10 \text{ keV}$), which is absorbed by a high column density ($n_{\text{H}} \sim 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), can be attributed to an optically thin, radiatively inefficient, hot boundary layer. The cooler thermal-plasma component ($kT < 1 \text{ keV}$), however, most likely originates from a more extended region further out (as suggested by its much lower n_{H}), possibly the result of harder X-ray photons down-scattered in a disc wind, in agreement with the interpretation of other nova-like CV systems (see Pratt et al. 2004; Balman et al. 2014; Zemko et al. 2014, and references therein).

5 ARE THE BLOBS OUTFLOW RELICS?

The two blobs in the SMSS J1305–2931 system are accurately symmetrical about the central object and we have argued that they are associated with the central star. Nevertheless, we must first consider the possibility that the alignment of the blobs might simply have arisen by chance, in which case the blobs would most likely be distant galaxies. We have investigated this possibility by making use of the full 7.7×7.7 AAT *H*-band image⁸ (a sub-section of which is shown in Fig. 5), seeking to identify other faint low surface brightness objects of comparable size to the blobs. There are at least

⁸ This image was chosen because its limiting magnitude is fainter than both the DSS-R image and the Pan-STARRS1 *y/i/g* image stack.

half a dozen or so objects with characteristics similar to the blobs visible on the frame, and in each case the symmetrical location with respect to the central star was inspected. However, we found that in all cases the symmetrical location was blank sky, with no similar object visible in the vicinity. While not definitive, this outcome suggests that the blobs are unlikely to be the result of a chance alignment.

The blobs lie, in projection on the plane of the sky, at a distance of $2.2 \times d_{2\text{kpc}} \text{ pc}$ from the central star, and, with an on-sky diameter of $\sim 10 \text{ arcsec}$, they are of order $0.07 \times d_{2\text{kpc}} \text{ pc}$ in size. As demonstrated in previous sections, they emit faint optical/IR continuum radiation, with narrow-band photometry suggesting no strong emission-line features. The fluxes associated with the *g*, *r*, *J*, *H*, and *K* magnitudes of the blobs do not suggest a power-law spectral energy distribution, rather they are more consistent with a spectral energy distribution that peaks at wavelengths between *r* and *J* in $\log F_{\lambda}$ and in $\log \nu F_{\nu}$. If interpreted as thermal emission, the characteristic temperature would be $\sim 4500 \text{ K}$ for both components. The average luminosity for the blobs in the *r* band is approximately $5.6 \times 10^{30} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

Our interpretation of these features is that they are the *relics* of a symmetrical bi-polar outflow, or accretion-powered jet, that was once present in the SMSS J1305–2931 system. Collimated bipolar outflows or jets (highly collimated fast flows) are known in a variety of stellar systems, ranging from young star-forming systems to LMXBs and HMXBs, and symbiotic-star binaries (red giant/white dwarf binary systems with active mass transfer). In general, the physical processes connecting the collimated outflows and accretion disc properties seem to be similar for most of these different types of systems. Jets are not commonly observed in CV systems. Nevertheless, K rding et al. (2008) have used the radio emission properties of the dwarf nova SS Cyg in outburst to suggest that the data are best explained as synchrotron emission originating from a transient jet (see also Miller-Jones et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2016). Moreover, radio observations at 8–12 GHz of dwarf novae (Coppejans et al. 2016b) and nova-like CVs (K rding et al. 2011; Coppejans et al. 2015) have shown that such optically thin synchrotron emission is a common feature for both steady and transient CVs: the preferred interpretation is that the emission originates from the formation of transient or steady jets.

The presence of a collimated outflow, either currently or recently active, in the nova-like CV SMSS J1305–2931, perhaps favoured by the existence of a hot, radiatively inefficient boundary layer, is therefore not implausible. At the suggested distance of $\sim 2 \text{ kpc}$, the radio-bright CVs in the sample of Coppejans et al. (2016b) would have a 10 GHz flux density of only $\sim 0.02\text{--}0.2 \mu\text{Jy}$, well below our non-detection limits (see Section 3.1). This range of radio fluxes corresponds to radio luminosities $\approx 10^{24}\text{--}10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, that is, $\lesssim 10^{-9}$ times the total power available from mass accretion. As an interesting analogy, the maximum radio luminosity achievable by neutron stars in a bright state (accretion power $\sim 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$) seems to be $\sim 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Tudor et al. 2017), which is a similar fraction of the accretion power.

We speculate that the blobs are the optically thin passively cooling remnants of previously active collimated outflows in the SMSS J1305–2931 system. An alternative scenario, that the blobs represent the vestigial remains of termination shocks formed when outflowing material impacted into the ISM surrounding SMSS J1305–2931, seems ruled out given the likely low density of the ISM at the height above the plane of the system ($1.1 \times d_{2\text{kpc}}$). An important quantity for any viable interpretation of the blobs is the time-scale for their evolution. If the time-scale is short, then

the probability of observing them at the current epoch becomes small, suggesting that another explanation is warranted. Alternatively, if the time-scale is too long, then the question would arise as to why such features are not more commonly observed. Attempting a detailed physical model of the blobs is beyond the scope of the current paper. We can, however, make a first-order estimate of an evolutionary time-scale for the blobs by calculating the sound speed crossing time, τ_s , which is the ratio of the size to the sound speed in the blob material. The sound speed, c_s , can be estimated as $(kT/\mu m)^{1/2}$, which is 4.7 km s^{-1} for $T = 4500 \text{ K}$ and solar composition. For a scale-size of 0.07 pc , the value of τ_s for the blobs is then $\sim 15\,000 \text{ yr} \times d_{2\text{kpc}}$. This is long enough that we are not required to be observing the system at a particularly special epoch, but short enough that similar systems should be quite rare, as seems to be the case.

The principle alternative explanation of the blobs is that they are the last remnants of a nova eruption that occurred in the system in the historical past. A potentially related example is the nearby ($d \approx 160 \text{ pc}$) dwarf nova Z Cam. Shara et al. (2007) have detected a number of thin low surface brightness arc-like structures surrounding the central object in this system. The features, which lie $\sim 0.7 \text{ pc}$ from the central source, are interpreted as the result of a classical nova eruption of the system that occurred a few thousand years ago. Shara et al. (2007) argue that the nova ejecta swept up surrounding interstellar material to form the shock-ionized arcs seen at the present-day. The arcs in the Z Cam system differ from the blobs in the SMSSJ1305–2931 system in that their spectra show definite emission lines of H α and [N II] and [O II] (Shara et al. 2007). Yet it is conceivable that a classical nova (or a recurrent nova – see Darnley et al. 2017) eruption also occurred in the SMSSJ1305–2931 system in the past, and that the blobs are the last remaining features from that eruption. Mason et al. (2018) point out in their detailed study of four novae that the ejecta properties are in all cases consistent with ‘clumpy gas expelled during a single, brief ejection episode and in ballistic expansion’ confined within a bi-conical geometry (Mason et al. 2018). Assuming an outflow velocity of order 1000 km s^{-1} , or more (e.g. Yaron et al. 2005), the projected radial distance for the SMSSJ1305–2931 blobs of $2.2 \times d_{2\text{kpc}} \text{ pc}$ implies a time-scale of $2000 d_{2\text{kpc}} \text{ yr}$, or less, since the eruption. In that context it is also worth noting that at least some novae have been shown to have complex post-eruption structures, including multiple components, collimated outflows, and high-velocity features (e.g. Woudt et al. 2009; Darnley et al. 2017). Further, Mróz et al. (2016) have shown that after a nova eruption, the white dwarf has a higher accretion rate than before the nova outburst, for a period of order hundreds of years. We have argued that SMSSJ1305–2931 is a nova-line CV, i.e. has a high accretion rate, and that is then consistent with an interpretation of the system as being in a post-nova state.

6 SUMMARY

We report here the serendipitous discovery of a probable nova-like CV, designated SMSS J130522.47–293113.0. The system is a weak X-ray source, and is not detected at radio wavelengths. The optical spectrum of the central object is characteristic of radiation from a hot accretion disc surrounding a compact companion in a close-binary system. It is dominated by emission lines of hydrogen, neutral, and ionized helium, and the N III, C III complex. The lines vary significantly in strength, width, and velocity on time-scales at least short as 1 d: the velocity variations have scales of hundreds of km s^{-1} and the line widths vary from ~ 300 to $\sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Broad-band optical photometry also reveals significant low-level

variations in the output of the system at the 0.2–0.3 mag level, with time-scales as short as 10–15 min, as well as over days and weeks. More extensive long-term monitoring of the system is required in order to constrain the period of the binary orbit which we estimate to be approximately 5 h, based on the likely distance ($\sim 2 \text{ kpc}$) and absolute magnitude ($M_V \approx 4.7$) of the system.

The most intriguing feature of the environment of the SMSS J1305–2931 system is the presence of two small (size $\sim 0.07 \text{ pc}$) low surface brightness blobs that are symmetrically located $\sim 2 \text{ pc}$ (on the plane of the sky) either side of the central object. The blobs are detected only at optical and near-IR wavelengths, and their optical/IR emission appears to be dominated by continuum radiation. Our preferred interpretation is that the blobs are the relics of previous accretion-powered collimated outflows in the system: as such they are likely the first known example of remnants of accretion-powered collimated outflows in a stellar system. While it would undoubtedly be a challenging observation even with the largest telescopes (blob surface brightness $\sim 24.7 \text{ g-mag per arcsec}^2$ on a $\sim 6 \text{ arcsec}$ spatial scale), low-resolution spectroscopy of the blobs would be useful to confirm the apparent lack of any significant emission-line flux, and to assist in the clarification their nature.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Axel Schwope, Geoff Bicknell, and the referee for useful comments and suggestions. RS acknowledges support from a Curtin University Senior Research Fellowship. GSDC would like to acknowledge research support from the Australian Research Council (ARC) through Discovery Projects grants DP120101237 and DP150103294. BPS acknowledges support from the ARC through the Laureate Fellowship LF0992131. This research was also supported in part by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO) through project number CE110001020. TCB acknowledges partial support from grant PHY 14-30152, Physics Frontier Center/JINA Center for the Evolution of the Elements (JINA-CEE), awarded by the US National Science Foundation.

The national facility capability for SkyMapper has been funded through ARC Linkage Infrastructure, Equipment and Facilities grant LE130100104 from the Australian Research Council, awarded to the University of Sydney, the Australian National University, Swinburne University of Technology, the University of Queensland, the University of Western Australia, the University of Melbourne, Curtin University of Technology, Monash University, and the Australian Astronomical Observatory. SkyMapper is owned and operated by The Australian National University’s Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics. The survey data were processed and provided by the SkyMapper Team at ANU. The SkyMapper node of the All-Sky Virtual Observatory (ASVO) is hosted at the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI). Development and support of the SkyMapper node of the AVSO has been funded in part by Astronomy Australia Limited (AAL) and the Australian Government through the Commonwealth’s Education Investment Fund (EIF) and National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS), particularly the National eResearch Collaboration Tools and Resources (NeCTAR) and the Australian National Data Service (ANDS) programs.

The Australia Telescope is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO.

This research includes observations obtained at the Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope, which is a joint project

of the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, e Inovação (MCTI) da República Federativa do Brasil, the U.S. National Optical Astronomy Observatory (NOAO), the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC), and Michigan State University (MSU).

The Digitized Sky Surveys were produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under U.S. Government grant NAG W-2166. The images of these surveys are based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain and the UK Schmidt Telescope. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital form with the permission of these institutions. The National Geographic Society – Palomar Observatory Sky Atlas (POSS-I) was made by the California Institute of Technology with grants from the National Geographic Society. The Second Palomar Observatory Sky Survey (POSS-II) was made by the California Institute of Technology with funds from the National Science Foundation, the National Geographic Society, the Sloan Foundation, the Samuel Oschin Foundation, and the Eastman Kodak Corporation. The Oschin Schmidt Telescope is operated by the California Institute of Technology and Palomar Observatory. The UK Schmidt Telescope was operated by the Royal Observatory Edinburgh, with funding from the UK Science and Engineering Research Council (later the UK Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council), until 1988 June, and thereafter by the Anglo-Australian Observatory. The blue plates of the southern Sky Atlas and its Equatorial Extension (together known as the SERC-J), as well as the Equatorial Red (ER), and the Second Epoch [red] Survey (SES) were all taken with the UK Schmidt. Supplemental funding for sky survey work at the ST ScI is provided by the European Southern Observatory.

This research has also made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France. The original description of the VizieR service was published in *A&AS*, 143, 23.

REFERENCES

- Arnaud K. A., 1996, in Jacoby G. H., Barnes J., eds., *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 17
- Balman S., Godon P., Sion E. M., 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 84
- Beuermann K., Thomas H.-C., 1993, *Adv. Space Res.*, 13, 115
- Bianchi L., Herald J., Efremova B., Girardi L., Zabot A., Marigo P., Conti A., Shiao B., 2011, *Ap&SS*, 335, 161
- Blundell K., Schmidtobreick L., Trushkin S., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 2401
- Burrows D. N. et al., 2005, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 120, 165
- Cannizzo J. K., 1993, in Wheeler J. C., ed., *Accretion Disks in Compact Systems*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, p. 6
- Casares J., Steeghs D., Hynes R. I., Charles P. A., O'Brien K., 2003, *ApJ*, 590, 1041
- Casares J., Cornelisse R., Steeghs D., Charles P. A., Hynes R. I., O'Brien K., Strohmayer T. E., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 1235
- Chaty S., 2011, in Schmidtobreick L., Schreiber M., Tappert C., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 447, Evolution of Compact Binaries*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 29
- Clark D. H., Murdin P., 1978, *Nature*, 276, 45
- Condon J. J., Cotton W. D., Greisen E. W., Yin Q. F., Perley R. A., Taylor G. B., Broderick J. J., 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693
- Coppejans D. L., K rding E. G., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Rupen M. P., Knigge C., Sivakoff G. R., Groot P. J., 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 3801
- Coppejans D. L., K rding E. G., Knigge C., Pretorius M. L., Woudt P. A., Groot P. J., Van Eck C. L., Drake A. J., 2016a, *MNRAS*, 456, 4441
- Coppejans D. L. et al., 2016b, *MNRAS*, 463, 2229
- Cutri R. M. et al., 2013, *VizieR On-line Data Catalog: II/328*
- Darnley M. J. et al., 2017, *ApJ*, 847, 35
- Dopita M. et al., 2010, *Ap&SS*, 327, 245
- Flewelling H. A. et al., 2016, preprint ([arXiv:1612.05243](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05243))
- Gaia Collaboration, 2016, *A&A*, 595, 1
- Gehrels N. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 1005
- Girard T. M. et al., 2011, *AJ*, 142, 15
- Hellier C., 2001, *Cataclysmic Variable Stars – How and Why they Vary*. Springer-Verlag, London
- Henden A. A., Levine S. E., Terrell D., Smith T. C., Welch D., 2012, *J. Am. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, 40, 430
- Hertz P., Bailyn C. D., Grindlay J. E., Garcia M. R., Cohn H., Lugger P. M., 1990, *ApJ*, 364, 251
- Kalberla P. M. W., Burton W. B., Hartmann, Dap, Arnal E. M., Bajaja E., Morras R., P oppel W. G. L., 2005, *A&A*, 440, 775
- Keller S. C. et al., 2007, *PASA*, 24, 1
- K rding E., Rupen M., Knigge C., Fender R., Dhawan V., Templeton M., Muxlow T., 2008, *Science*, 320, 1318
- K rding E. G., Knigge C., Tzioumis T., Fender R., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, L129
- Lasota J.-P., 2001, *New Astron. Rev.*, 45, 449
- Mason E., Shore S. N., De Gennaro Aquino G., Izzo L., Schwarz G. J., 2018, *ApJ*, 853, 27
- Mauch T., Murphy T., Buttery H. J., Curran J., Hunstead R. W., Piestrzynska B., Robertson J. G., Sadler E. M., 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 1117
- Miller-Jones J. C. A. et al., 2011, in Romero G. E., Sunyaev R. A., Belloni T., eds, *IAU Symp. Vol. 275, Jets at All Scales*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 224
- Mr z P. et al., 2016, *Nature*, 537, 649
- Mukai K., 2017, *PASP*, 129, 062001
- Munnari U., Zwitter T., 1997, *A&A*, 318, 269
- Ofek E. O. et al., 2012, *PASP*, 124, 854
- Oliviera A. S., Rodrigues C. V., Jablonski F. J., Silva K. M. G., Almeida L. A., Rodr guez-Ardila A., Palhares M. S., 2017, *AJ*, 153, 144
- Osaki Y., 1996, *PASP*, 108, 39
- Patterson J., 1984, *ApJS*, 54, 443
- Patterson J., 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 2695
- Patterson J., Raymond J. C., 1985a, *ApJ*, 292, 535
- Patterson J., Raymond J. C., 1985b, *ApJ*, 292, 550
- Poole T. S. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 383, 627
- Pratt G. W., Mukai K., Hassall B. J. M., Naylor T., Wood J. H., 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, L49
- Pretorius M. L., Knigge C., 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 1442
- Qi Z. et al., 2015, *AJ*, 150, 137
- Roeser S., Demleitner M., Schilbach E., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 2440
- Roming P. et al., 2003, PSU Document no. SWIFT-UVOT-204-R01, Swift UVOT Instrument Science Report – Effective Area
- Russell T. D. et al., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 3720
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Schwope A. D. et al., 2002, *A&A*, 396, 895
- Shara M. M. et al., 2007, *Nature*, 446, 159
- Sharp R. et al., 2006, in McLean I. S., Iye M., eds, *Proc. SPIE, Vol. 6269, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 62690G
- Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163
- Smak J., 1983, *ApJ*, 272, 234
- Soria R., Wu K., Johnston H. M., 1999, *MNRAS*, 310, 71
- Stephenson C. B., Sanduleak N., 1977, *ApJS*, 33, 459
- Str der L. et al., 2001, *A&A*, 365, L18
- Tauris T., van den Heuvel E., 2010, in Lewin W., van der Klis M., eds, *Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 623
- Tinney C. G. et al., 2004, in Moorwood A. F. M., Masanori I., *Proc. SPIE Vol. 5492, Ground-based Instrumentation for Astronomy*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 998
- Tudor V. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 324
- Turner M. J. L. et al., 2001, *A&A*, 365, L27
- van Paradijs J., McClintock J. E., 1995, in Lewin W., van Paradijs J., van den Heuvel E., eds, *X-Ray Binaries*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 58

- van Paradijs J., Verbunt F., 1984, in Woosley S. E., ed., AIP Conf. Proc. Vol. 115, High Energy Transients in Astrophysics. Am. Inst. Phys., New York, p. 49
- Voges W. et al., 1999, *A&A*, 349, 389
- Warner B., 1987, *MNRAS*, 227, 23
- Warner B., 1995, *Cataclysmic Variable Stars*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge
- Wolf C. et al., 2018, *PASA*, 35, e010
- Woudt P. A. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 706, 738
- Yaron O., Prialnik D., Shara M., Kovetz A., 2005, *ApJ*, 623, 398
- Zacharias N., Finch C. T., Girard T. M., Henden A., Bartlet J. L., Monet D. G., Zacharias M. I., 2013, *AJ*, 145, 44
- Zemko P., Orio M., Mukai K., Shugarov S., 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 869

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.