Fluctuations in coral health of four common inshore reef corals in response to seasonal
 and anthropogenic changes in water quality

- 3 Nicola K. Browne¹, Jason K.L. Tay², Jeffrey Low⁴, Ole Larson², Peter A. Todd³
- 4 1 Department of Environment and Agriculture, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Bentley
- 5 Campus, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6102
- 6 2 DHI Water and Environment (S) Pte Ltd, 1 Cleantech Loop, #03-05 CleanTech One,
- 7 Singapore 637141
- 8 3 Experimental Marine and Ecology Laboratory, Dept. of Biological Sciences, National
- 9 University of Singapore, Singapore 117543
- 10 4 National Biodiversity Centre, National Parks Board, 1 Cluny Road, Singapore 259569
- 11 Corresponding author
- 12 Email: <u>Nicola.browne@my.jcu.edu.au</u>
- 13 Tel: +61 477 427 153
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20

21 Abstract

22	Environmental drivers of coral condition (maximum quantum yield, symbiont density,
23	chlorophyll a content and coral skeletal growth rates) were assessed in the equatorial inshore
24	coastal waters of Singapore, where the amplitude of seasonal variation is low, but
25	anthropogenic influence is relatively high. Water quality variables (sediments, nutrients,
26	trace metals, temperature, light) explained between 52 to 83% of the variation in coral
27	condition, with sediments and light availability as key drivers of foliose corals (M.ampliata,
28	<i>P.speciosa</i>), and temperature exerting a greater influence on a branching coral
29	(<i>P.damicornis</i>). Seasonal reductions in water quality led to high chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentrations
30	and maximum quantum yields in the corals, but low growth rates. These marginal coral
31	communities are potentially vulnerable to climate change, hence, we propose water quality
32	thresholds for coral growth with the aim of mitigating both local and global environmental
33	impacts.
34	Keywords: Coral photo-physiology, coral growth, turbid reefs, water quality, sediments,
35	tolerance, Singapore
26	
30	
37	
38	
20	
29	
40	
41	
47	
4/	

43

1. Introduction

44 Over one third of the world's coral reefs are situated in South East Asia and they host some of the highest levels of marine biodiversity on Earth (Burke et al., 2002). They are also 45 threatened by human activities including over exploitation, coastal development and pollution 46 (Bryant et al., 1998; Burke et al., 2002). Singapore's coral reefs, home to 255 hard coral 47 species, lie within the port limits of one of the world's busiest harbours (Huang et al., 2009). 48 Land reclamation and the amalgamation of reef-fringed islands for port construction and oil 49 refineries have resulted in a loss of over 60% of Singapore's original reef area (Chou, 2006). 50 Ongoing coastal development and dredging of shipping channels, which release large 51 52 volumes of sediments, associated nutrients and trace metals into the marine environment, are primary threats to coral reef health in Singapore (see review in Todd et al., 2010). 53

Singapore's coral reefs are dominated by sediment-tolerant coral taxa which are 54 generally restricted to the upper 5 m of the reef slope due to low light levels (Huang et al., 55 2009; Tun et al., 1994). Sediment loads can stress corals both in suspension, which increases 56 57 turbidity and limits light penetration (Rogers, 1990; Wolanski and De'ath, 2005), and when deposited (Lui et al., 2012). Limited light penetration reduces photosynthesis and energy 58 production by the symbiont algae within the coral (Anthony and Connolly, 2004; Falkowski 59 et al., 1990), whereas deposited sediments may smother corals (Loya, 1976), reduce hard 60 substrate availability thereby limiting larval settlement (Fabricius et al., 2003), and increase 61 the prevalence of tissue infections (Bruno et al., 2003). Some species have developed 62 morphological and/or physiological mechanisms to cope with increased sediment loads, 63 which allow these corals to survive, and in some cases dominate, coral reefs exposed to 64 sediments (Erftemeijer et al., 2012). For example, foliose corals such as Turbinaria develop 65 funnel shapes which channel and concentrate sediments to central regions of the colony 66

67 thereby limiting the surface area affected by sedimentation (Sofonia and Anthony, 2008). Other corals such as *Goniastrea* are known to increase their heterotrophic feeding capabilities 68 in response to limited light (Anthony, 2000). Despite limited coral growth at depth due to 69 70 high light attenuation and high sedimentation rates (Dikou and van Woesik, 2006; Lane, 1991; Low and Chou, 1994), there is recent evidence that coral cover and biodiversity in 71 Singapore's shallow waters has not changed over the last ~25 years (Tun, 2013). These data 72 73 suggest that local corals may have reached equilibrium with contemporary water quality conditions, considered by many to be marginal for reef growth (Browne et al., 2012). 74

Despite being potentially resilient, coral reefs in Singapore may be surviving at the 75 76 edge of their environmental tolerances and therefore be more vulnerable to the effects of climate change. At present, there are no long-term in situ data that document how local 77 corals respond to environmental conditions, including fluctuations in sediment loads and 78 79 water quality. Such a data set would provide key information on coral condition in Singapore in response to environmental fluctuations, improve current understanding on how these corals 80 81 have adapted and/or acclimated to marginal reef growth conditions, and address concerns on reef vulnerability to climate change. Variations in coral condition and physiology with light 82 (Falkowski et al., 1990; Hennige et al., 2008; Hennige et al., 2010) and temperature (Anthony 83 84 and Connolly, 2007; Coles and Jokiel, 1977; Crabbe, 2007; Fagoonee et al., 1999) are well documented, but long-term studies (>6 months) of coral condition that cover a substantial 85 spatial area (>1 km) and measure a number of key environmental drivers, are rare. Notable 86 exceptions include: Hennige et al. (2010) who documented changes in community 87 composition, coral metabolism and symbiodinium community structure along environmental 88 gradients in Indonesia; Fagoonee et al. (1999) who monitored symbiont density in Acropora 89 in the field for over 5 years in response to light, sea water temperatures and seasonal changes 90

91 in nutrient concentrations; Fitt et al. (2000) who measured tissue biomass and symbiont 92 density for five hard coral species for up to 4 years, and Cooper et al. (2008) who found that variations in symbiont density were strongly associated with sea water temperatures and 93 94 water quality. These studies all indicate that coral physiology and coral condition are influenced not only by light and temperature, but by seasonal and/or spatial variations in 95 water quality. In Singapore, where the amplitude of seasonal environmental variation is low, 96 97 water quality is the primary threat to the health and survival of corals. A comprehensive assessment of spatial and temporal variations in water quality with coral condition would 98 99 further current understanding of local coral responses and reef resilience.

100 Two key aspects of water quality that have received the most attention in recent years are sediments and nutrient loads. A review of the impacts of sediments on coral reefs by Risk 101 and Edinger (2011) summarises research findings in this field to date and provides key 102 103 indicators of sediment stressed reefs, which include low species diversity and live coral cover, low coral recruitment rates, high Ba/Ca ratio in coral skeleton as well as high coral 104 105 extension rates but low skeletal densities. High nutrient loads on coral reefs were also found to lead to changes in coral growth with high levels of nitrogen resulting in stunted growth 106 whereas high levels of phosphorus caused increased linear extension but declines in skeletal 107 108 density (Koop et al. 2001). The combined effects of high sediments and nutrients have been less well researched, although they are thought to act antagonistically: with sediments 109 typically stunting coral growth and nutrients increasing growth (Edinger and Risk 1994; 110 Edinger 2000). In a recent review by Risk (2014) a range of assessment techniques to 111 determine sediment and nutrient stress on reefs are identified which include the use of δ^{15} N in 112 coral tissue (Risk et al. 2009). This promising retrospective technique is, however, relatively 113 new and requires more extensive testing under different environmental scenarios. 114

In the present study, we analyse four parameters of coral health in relation to 115 fluctuations in nutrient and sediment loads. We measured coral photo physiology (maximum 116 quantum yield, symbiont density and chlorophyll a content) as well as coral growth rates. 117 These indicators of coral health allowed to follow changes over shorter sampling periods 118 (non-retrospective) and test the effects of seasonal fluctuations in water quality. Specifically, 119 the objectives of the paper were to: 1. Assess spatial and temporal variations in coral 120 121 conditions, 2. Identify key environmental drivers of coral condition, and 3. Identify water quality threshold values for coral growth in Singapore's coastal waters. 122

123

124

2. Materials and Methods

125 2.1 Study species and field sites

In February 2012, three colonies of Merulina ampliata, Pachyseris speciosa and 126 Platygyra sinensis were collected from three sites around Singapore (Kusu Island, Pulau 127 Hantu, Labrador Park; Fig. 1) at 3 to 4 m depth at Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT). In 128 addition, three colonies of Pocillopora damicornis were also collected from Kusu Island. 129 These corals were selected as they represent both abundant (>5% cover), resilient species 130 (e.g. *M.ampliata*) and rarer, sensitive species (e.g. *P.damicornis*), as well as range of coral 131 morphologies. Each colony was broken into fifteen fragments (approximately $5 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$), 132 and mounted either on to a plastic grid or wall plug (for *P.damicornis*) using underwater 133 epoxy resin (Epoputty, UK). Once the resin had hardened, all coral fragments at each site (a 134 total of 135 fragments each at Pulau Hantu and Labrador Park, and 180 fragments at Kusu 135 Island) were secured onto a frame positioned on the reef slope at 3 m. Following a one 136 month recovery period, two thirds of the coral fragments were removed from each site with 137 one third going to each of the other two sites. This reciprocal transplantation resulted in each 138

site having five fragments of the same nine genotypes for *M.ampliata, P.speciosa* and *P.sinensis* and three genotypes for *P.damicornis*. Coral fragments remained in situ for one
year and health was monitored monthly after a one month acclimation period from April
2012 to March 2013.

The three field sites in Singapore are characterised by different sedimentary regimes, 143 exposure to natural waves, and anthropogenic influences including ship wakes and dredging 144 activities. Turbidity and light at Kusu Island (at 3 m LAT; N 1.22838, E 103.85525), the 145 most eastern and exposed site, typically ranged from 1 to 3.0 mg l⁻¹ with maximum peaks 146 reaching 40-50 mg l⁻¹ and 50 to 400 PAR (photosynthetically active radiation), whereas Pulau 147 Hantu, the most sheltered westerly site (N 1.22640, E 103.74675), had lower maximum peaks 148 (20-30 mg l⁻¹) and higher light penetration (100 to 500 PAR). The third site at Labrador Park 149 is situated next to the mainland, approximately 500 m east of a land reclamation site and busy 150 151 harbour (N 1.26636, E 103.80015). During 2012, this site was influenced by dredging near the land reclamation site as well as ship-wakes from fast moving ferries (pers. Obs.). 152 Consequently, turbidity levels typically ranged from 5-20 mg l⁻¹, with maximum peaks 153 reaching $>150 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ and light levels were lower (0 to 300 PAR) compared to Kusu Island 154 and Pulau Hantu. 155

156 2.2 Environmental variables

Temporal variations in water quality, sediment accumulation rates (SAR), light
penetration and sea surface temperature (SST) were collected twice each month. Water
quality parameters included chlorophyll *a*, suspended sediment concentration (SSC),
alkalinity, ammonia (NH₃), nitrate (NO₃), phosphate (PO₄), silicates, total nitrogen (TN),
total phosphate (TP) and trace metals (aluminium, silver, cadmium, copper, iron, nickel, lead,
and zinc). Water samples (5 L) were collected by divers next to the floating frames at 3 m at

163 LAT. For chlorophyll a, triplicate water samples (250 ml), stored in dark bottles during transportation, were filtered through pre-combusted glass fibre filters (25 mm, nominal pore 164 size 0.7 μ m) and stored at 4°C. Concentrations of chlorophyll *a* were determined using a 165 fluorometer (Turner Designs 7200-000 with Trilogy Module 7200-046, USA), following a 24 166 h dark extraction in 90% acetone at 4°C, and the equations of Jeffrey and Humprey (1975). 167 SSC concentrations were determined from triplicate water samples (1 L) which were filtered 168 169 through weighed standard filter paper (47 mm, nominal pore size $1.5 \,\mu$ m). The residue retained on the filtered paper was dried to a constant weight at 103°C. The alkalinity of the 170 171 water was determined from three unfiltered replicates (50 ml) using an auto-titrator (Mettler Toledo T70, Switzerland) and 0.1 N sulphuric acid in accordance with the APHA 2230 B 172 standards. A flow injection analyser (SKALAR SA5000, Netherlands) and methods 173 174 described by Ryle et al. (1981) was used to determine the concentrations of ammonia, nitrate, phosphate and silicate from three filtered 40 ml replicates. Levels of total nitrogen and 175 phosphate $(3 \times 40 \text{ ml})$, following oxidation (persulfate) and digestion (boric acid), were also 176 determined using a flow injection analyser in accordance with the APHA 4500 standards, and 177 trace metal analysis $(3 \times 40 \text{ ml})$ was carried out on an Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) 178 mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Germany) in accordance with the APHA 3125 B 179 standards. All APHA standards followed protocols outlined in the 'Standard methods for the 180 examination of water and wastewater' (Rice et al., 2012). 181

182 Sediment accumulation rates were assessed using three replicate sediment traps (5 cm 183 diameter \times 25 cm length) at each site which were positioned on the side of the frame at the 184 same height as the coral fragments, approximately 50 cm off the sea bed (Storlazzi et al., 185 2011). Sediments in the traps were collected each month, dried and weighed (mg cm⁻² d⁻¹). 186 Sediment particle size was determined using a laser diffraction particle size analyses

(Malvern Mastersizer Particle Size Analyser, UK). Light penetration (PAR; µmol photons m⁻ 187 ² s⁻¹) was recorded using the Diving-PAM fluorometer during 10:00 h to 12:00 h whilst 188 monitoring the maximum quantum yield, and also by light loggers (Odyssey, New Zealand) 189 190 that were deployed at each site (up to three weeks per deployment) throughout the year. Due to sediment settling on the Odyssey light sensor, it was not possible to leave the sensors in 191 situ for longer periods of time. SST data was also collected during the coral condition 192 monitoring by the Diving PAM fluorometer to give 'on the spot' temperature data rather than 193 continuous data. These data were aligned with satellite imaging data collected by the 194 195 Singaporean Meteorological Services at the water surface. The total accumulated monthly rainfall data was also obtained from the Singaporean Meteorological Services. 196

197 2.3 Coral condition

Four physiological parameters were monitored throughout the year in the assessment 198 of coral condition. Parameters included the maximum quantum yield (Fv/Fm), skeletal 199 growth rates (cm² mon⁻¹), symbiont density (number cm⁻²) and chlorophyll a (ug cm⁻²). The 200 201 maximum quantum yield, measured monthly (except during July and September 2012) and growth rates, quantified approximately every two months when visibility would allow, were 202 determined from three out of the five replicate coral fragments from each genotype. Samples 203 for symbiont density and chlorophyll *a* analysis were collected from the remaining two 204 fragments in April, June, August and October 2012, and February 2013. 205

 F_v/F_m was measured in situ using a Diving-PAM (Walz, Germany) between the hours of 10:00 h and 12:00 h. Coral colonies were covered for 20 min to maximise the frequency of the open photosystem II reaction centres (Winters et al., 2003). The fluorometer's opticalfibre probe was kept at a constant distance of 5 mm from the surface of the coral and the average of five measurements for each coral fragment was calculated. F_0 was measured by

applying a pulsed measuring beam of $<1\mu$ mol photon m⁻² s⁻¹ and the emission F_m was measured following the application of a saturating pulse of actinic light (>1000 1 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹).

The two-dimensional surface area was assessed from photographs, analysed using 214 CPCe software (NOVA, USA), to determine the monthly skeletal growth rates. The skeletal 215 growth rate is given as a surface area $(cm^2 mon^{-1})$ for all coral species except *P.damicornis* 216 217 whose growth rate was assessed as the average linear extension rate of five tagged branches (cm mon⁻¹) per fragment. Two dimensional surface areas were adjusted to account for 218 variations in surface topography by using a rugose ratio derived with the paraffin wax method 219 220 applied to 10 samples of *M.ampliata*, *P.speciosa* and *P.sinensis* (Stimson and Kinzie, 1991). There are several additional parameters that can be used to measure coral growth rates that 221 include linear extension rates, skeletal density, and calcification rates (Browne 2012). The 222 223 latter two techniques are, however, destructive and cannot be applied here given that the same coral fragments needed to be reassessed each month. Surface area measurements also 224 225 allowed for comparative analyses between different coral morphologies and could be easily incorporated into monitoring programmes. Furthermore, a recent review of the biology and 226 economics of coral growth rates found that surface area are best determinants of coral growth 227 228 (and biomass) for both plate and massive corals (Osinga et al. 2011).

Coral samples collected for symbiont density and chlorophyll *a* analysis were
transported in ziplock bags kept in the dark on ice packs. Samples were stored at -20°C prior
to processing. The method for the estimation of symbiont density and chlorophyll *a*concentrations followed Ben-Haime et al, (2003) and the equations of Jeffrey and Humphrey
(1975).

234 2.4 Water quality thresholds

Water quality thresholds were calculated based on variable coral growth rates. Coral 235 growth rates were selected as the key indicator of water quality as growth represents the 236 outcome of several processes including photosynthesis and heterotrophy. We calculated the 237 238 mean annual percentage growth rate for each coral species, and compared it to the mean monthly growth rate. Water quality parameters during months where the percentage 239 deviation in growth rate was >15% below the mean, were considered to be above critical 240 241 thresholds for coral growth, and those where the percentage deviation in growth was >15% above the mean, were considered to be below critical thresholds for coral growth. The 242 243 standard error (SE) of yearly growth rates for each coral species ranged from 14-18% of the mean yearly growth rate. Hence, a 15% threshold value was selected as this allowed for 244 natural variability in coral growth measured over the year. 245

246 2.5 Statistical analysis

Data on coral condition for each genotype for each species were averaged from three 247 248 replicates for yield and coral growth rates, and from two replicates for symbiont density and chlorophyll a concentrations. Data were checked for normality and homogeneity of variance 249 using the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene's test respectively. One-way repeated measures 250 ANOVA were performed, with adjustments made for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni 251 corrections, to assess if and when there was a significant difference in coral condition among 252 253 sites over time. Mauchy's test of sphericity was carried out and, where the assumption was violated, data were adjusted using the Greenhouse Geisser adjustment. Post hoc analyses 254 using Bonferroni corrections indicated between which sites significant differences occurred. 255

Monthly variations in water quality variables were averaged over five sampling
periods (April 2012, May-July 2013, August –October 2012, November 2012-January 2013,
February-March 2013). These sampling periods were synchronised with the monsoonal

seasons in Singapore which are characterised by variations in SST and rainfall data.. A water quality index (WQI) was calculated using the sum of the Z score transformation (x=0, σ =1) for water quality variables (ammonia, nitrate, total nitrogen, phosphate, total phosphate, silicate, trace metals, chlorophyll *a*, suspended sediments) following Cooper et al (2007). A negative score indicates low nutrient, clearer waters whereas a positive score indicates high nutrient, more turbid waters. Coral growth rates were log-transformed and compared between months with improved WQI (<-0.10) and poor WQI (>0.00) using a paired T-test.

PCA was used to examine the relationship between spatial and temporal variations in 266 environmental variables over one year (2012/2013). Data were log-transformed before PCA, 267 268 and results summarised in a bi-plot containing the distribution of environmental parameters in a two-dimensional space. To investigate key environmental driving factors of coral 269 condition, a Distance Linear Model (PERMANOVA) was performed using a stepwise 270 additional of environmental variables. Seasonal mean values for each coral condition 271 variable were square root transformed and a resemblance matrix was created using Bray 272 Curtis similarity index. The model was able to identify which combination of variables 273 explained the most variation in coral condition for each coral species across all three sites. 274 The statistical analysis was carried out using the statistical software packages RStudio 275 276 (version 0.98.507) and PRIMER 6 (version 6.1.16).

277

278 **3. Results**

279 3.1 Environmental variables

280 *3.1.1 Rainfall and water temperature*

281 The total monthly rainfall (supplied by the Singapore Meteorological Service) was consistent with previous years with March (~100 mm) and June (~50 mm) as the driest 282 months. The wettest months occurred at the end of the year (December) and midway through 283 the year (April-May) when >300 mm rainfall per month was recorded (Fig. 2). SSTs were 284 also consistent with previous years with a large peak occurring in May to June 2012 285 (~29.5°C) and a smaller peak occurring in October to November 2012 (~29°C). Lowest SSTs 286 were recorded during January to February 2013 (~28°C; Fig. 2). SSTs measured on the reef 287 slope were lower than SST taken at 0 m, ranging from 26-28.5°C, but followed the seasonal 288 289 trends observed at the surface.

290 *3.1.2 Spatial and temporal variation in water quality*

291 The WQI was spatially and temporally variable with poor water quality typically observed at Labrador Park, and at all sites during the May to June 2012 period (Fig. 3). Poor 292 water quality at Labrador was largely driven by an elevated WQI index value, attributed to 293 high trace metal concentrations (aluminium >200 μ g l⁻¹, iron > 100 μ g l⁻¹, nickel >4 μ g l⁻¹: 294 Fig. 4), elevated suspended and deposited sediments (SSC > 10 mg l^{-1} , SAR < 37 mg cm⁻² 295 day⁻¹; Fig. 2) and reduced light levels (0 to 300 PAR; Fig. 2). Declines in water quality at all 296 297 sites during May and June (season 2; Fig. 3) were attributed to elevated nutrient levels (NO₃ >200 μ g l⁻¹, TN >200 μ g l⁻¹; Fig. 5) as well as elevated sediment exposure and SST (>28 °C; 298 Fig. 2 & 3). The WQI was most variable at Labrador Park (mean = 6.9), ranging from -4.13 299 to 24.27 (recorded in September 2012), contrasting with Pulau Hantu (mean = -3.97) where 300 the WQI ranged from -9.30 to 8.65 (recorded in June 2012). Palau Hantu was typically 301 302 characterised by courser sediments (median = $81 \mu m$) and higher light levels (100-500 PAR; Fig. 3). 303

305 *3.2 Coral condition*

306 3.2.1 Maximum quantum yields

The maximum quantum yield varied significantly over the study period for all coral species (except *P.damicornis*), with yields typically peaking in June and November/December, and falling in October (p<0.003; Table 1 & Fig. 6). Yield also varied significantly between sites, with higher yields observed at Labrador Park (p<0.05; Table 2). Here, yields were also less variable over the year. Furthermore, there was a significant interaction between time and site for all coral species yields driven largely by higher and less seasonal variability at Labrador Park.

314 *3.2.2 Growth rates*

Growth rates varied over the year with higher growth rates occurring in April 2012 and
February 2013 (Fig. 7), but were only significantly different over time for *M.ampliata* and *P.speciosa* (Table 1). Growth rates were not consistently higher or lower at certain sites
(Table 2), except for *M.ampliata* and *P.sinensis* whose growth rates were significantly
different among sites during specific months (e.g. higher growth rates observed at Hantu in
April to May 2012; Fig. 7).

321 *3.2.3 Symbiont densities and coral chlorophyll a concentration*

There was no significant difference in symbiont densities and chlorophyll *a* among sites for all coral species (p>0.05; Fig. 8 & 9). Yet significant temporal differences in symbiont density were found for *M.ampliata* and *P.damicornis* (p<0.017, Table 1). In contrast,

325 chlorophyll *a* concentrations varied significantly over the year for all coral species (p<0.05, 326 Table 1).

327 3.2.4 Environmental drivers of coral condition

Water quality variables explained between 52 to 83% of the variation in coral condition over the study period (Table 3). Key drivers in coral condition varied between species with grain size having a significant influence on *M.ampliata* (67.6%), light and sediments significantly influencing *P.speciosa* (51.6%) coral health, and SST and sediments having the greatest influence on *P.damicornis* (82.9%; Table 3). No combination of water quality variables was able to significantly explain the temporal variation in *P.sinensis* condition over the year.

334

335 *3.2.5 Water quality thresholds*

336 The mean annual coral growth rates were 1.7 ± 0.3 cm² mo⁻¹ (for *M.ampliata*), 3.1 ± 0.4 cm²

337 mo⁻¹ (for *P.speciosa*), 1.1 ± 0.2 cm² mo⁻¹ (for *P.sinensis*), and 0.5 ± 0.1 cm mo⁻¹ (for

P.damicornis). Coral growth rates during months with poor WQI (>0.00) were significantly

lower (p < 0.05) than coral growth rates during months with improved WQI (<-0.10) for all

340 coral species (Fig. 10). Months when the mean growth rate for all coral species fell by >15%

included June (Z score = 7.92), September (Z score = 1.08) and December (Z score = 1.85).

342 Water quality conditions during these months were considered to be over coral growth

343 thresholds. In contrast, April (Z score = -4.09) and February (Z score = -3.56) had coral

growth rates that exceeded the annual mean by >15%.

345

346 **4. Discussion**

The present study is the first to document temporal dynamics of coral photo-physiology and growth with seasonal environmental fluctuations in Asian equatorial waters. Light and temperature are key environmental cues frequently associated with temporal variations in coral photo-physiology (Piniak and Brown, 2009; Warner et al., 1996). However, at the 351 equator ambient light and temperature are comparatively stable and, as such, the amplitude of seasonal environmental change is considered by many to be insufficient to provide reliable 352 environmental cues for coral responses (Nieuwolt, 1973; Rinkevich and Loya, 1979). 353 Nevertheless, previous work on Singapore reefs by Guest et al. (2005) demonstrated that 354 corals displayed seasonal reproductive patterns and in the present study we found significant 355 temporal and spatial variations in both water quality and coral condition. Labrador generally 356 had lower water quality compared to Palau Hantu and Kusu Island, but all three sites 357 experienced declines in water quality during the SW monsoonal period (May to June 2012). 358 359 Seasonal fluctuations in rainfall influenced nutrient and sediment inputs, which in inshore waters are considered key drivers of coral condition (Cooper et al., 2008). This also appears 360 to be the case in Singapore where coral condition during these months was relatively poor as 361 362 evidenced by elevated yields and declining growth rates.

363

364

4.1 Seasonal and spatial environmental variation

Peaks and troughs in rainfall closely matched peaks and troughs in nutrient 365 concentrations and sediment accumulation rates, suggesting that elevated rainfall rates and 366 increased runoff into coastal waters result in elevated nutrient and sediment inputs. May and 367 June had the highest nutrient (e.g. NO₃ >150 μ g l⁻¹) and sediment inputs (SAR >15 mg cm⁻² 368 d⁻¹) whereas September and October were characterised by low rainfall (~100 mm mo⁻¹) and 369 low nutrient inputs (e.g. $NO_3 < 100 \ \mu g \ l^{-1}$). Elevated nutrient levels in May to July resulted in 370 algal blooms and peaks in chlorophyll *a* concentrations from July to August. These months 371 were also characterised by low nutrient concentrations, most likely due to algae removing 372 373 nutrients from the water (Lapointe and Clark, 1992; Pastorok and Bilyard, 1985). It was during these months that trace metal concentrations increased. The increase in trace metal 374

375 concentrations occurred with increased sediment suspension, suggesting that sediments were376 the primary source of trace metals.

Elevated and more variable fluctuations in SSC, trace metal and nutrient 377 concentrations at Labrador Park are a consequence of the site's proximity to mainland 378 Singapore, especially the associated coastal development and dredging projects. These 379 anthropogenic impacts are known to increase sediment loads as well as resuspend bottom 380 381 sediments, thus releasing nutrients and trace metals into the water column (Erftemeijer et al., 2012). Since November 2007, a large area ($\sim 2 \text{ km}^2$) of coastal waters, situated approximately 382 1 km to the west of Labrador Park, has been reclaimed for a port expansion. Elevated 383 384 suspended sediment loads and trace metals were observed during periods of dredging activity and land in-filling. Nutrient concentrations were also typically higher at Labrador Park than 385 at Pulau Hantu and Kusu Island, suggesting an inshore to offshore gradient in nutrient 386 concentrations. Similar gradients have been observed within numerous coral reef systems in 387 Asia (e.g. Hong Kong; Fabricius and McCorry, 2006) and in Australia (e.g. Great Barrier 388 Reef; Cooper et al., 2007; Fabricius et al., 2008), and are driven by distance from the nutrient 389 source and declines in hydrodynamic energy in protected bays close to shore which limit 390 391 mixing and removal of nutrients.

In our study, of the seventeen water quality parameters measured monthly for one year, eight exceeded current water quality standards provided by both the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Australian and New Zealand Environmental and Conservation Council (ANZECC). Water quality parameters that exceed recommended trigger values included all the nutrients, chlorophyll *a*, turbidity, and copper (Table 5). Note that aluminium and iron levels were also elevated, but at present there is insufficient data on the impacts of these trace metals on biological organisms for standards to be set (ANZECC),

399 2000). Chlorophyll a and ammonia thresholds were exceeded at Kusu Island and Labrador Park for approximately 25% and 50% of the year, respectively. However, nitrate and TN 400 thresholds were exceeded during 40 to 80% of the year, and phosphate levels were exceeded 401 402 during 50 to 100% of the year, with consistently higher concentrations at Labrador Park. When water quality parameters are exceeded, limited light availability and high nutrient 403 concentrations limit photo-trophic energy production and growth (Anthony and Hoegh-404 Guldberg, 2003), increase juvenile mortality rates (Loya, 1976; Rogers, 1983), and reduce 405 coral recruitment (Fabricius, 2005). Lower coral fecundity has also been associated with 406 elevated levels of copper [>2 μ g l⁻¹; Reichelt-Brushett and Harrison (1999)]. At present, 407 corals in Singapore are potentially stressed by elevated nutrient levels and trace metal 408 concentrations, as well as sediments and associated reductions in light. Improving water 409 410 quality may lead to increases in hard coral and phototrophic richness (De'ath and Fabricius, 2010), and mitigate the negative effects of climate change (Negri and Hoogenboom, 2011). 411

412

413 4.2 Environmental drivers of coral condition

Light is typically the primary driver of temporal variations in maximum 414 photosynthetic yield, although SST has also been suggested as a key factor. Yields fluctuated 415 most at Pulau Hantu, where light levels were also the most variable (100-500 PAR). In 416 contrast, yields were elevated and stable at Labrador where light levels were consistently low 417 (0-300 PAR). Few papers describe long-term changes in the photosynthetic capacity of 418 corals in response to changing environmental conditions and, of those available, findings 419 vary. Hill and Ralph (2005) did not identify any significant seasonal variations in the yield, 420 421 whereas Warner et al. (2002) demonstrated that fluctuations in coral photosynthetic capacity in the Bahamas were strongly correlated with seasonal patterns of water temperature and 422

light, with elevated yields during the cooler months when surface irradiance levels were high. 423 Higher yields during cooler months have been noted elsewhere (Piniak and Brown, 2009; 424 Warner et al., 1996), however, these trends are usually observed in regions characterised by 425 intra-annual changes in temperatures regime. In the Bahamas, water temperature varied 426 between 22°C to 34°C, whereas temperatures in Singapore are relatively steady (26-29°C). In 427 contrast, Winter et al. (2006) found that seasonal variations in yields for corals in the Red Sea 428 429 were related to light but not water temperatures. Exposure to high light levels (900-1,000 PAR) at 24°C and 31°C resulted in lower yields than at the higher temperature but at low 430 431 light conditions (200-300 PAR). These data support our study, and suggest that light levels have a greater influence on coral yields than SST in equatorial regions. 432

433

Coral chlorophyll a concentrations have been observed to increase as water 434 temperatures rise and light levels decline (Cooper et al., 2008), as well as decrease with 435 rising water temperatures (Fitt et al., 2000). The variable response with temperature is 436 potentially due to the symbiodinium clade composition (Fitt et al., 2000). Different 437 438 symbiodinium clades may vary physiologically, and certain clades are known to provide a physiological advantage to corals exposed to higher water temperatures (Berkelmans and Van 439 Oppen, 2006). In the present study, high concentrations of chlorophyll *a* were measured in 440 441 April 2012 in all species when SST peaked at >29°C. However, chlorophyll *a* concentrations were also higher at Labrador Park where light levels were consistently lower suggesting that 442 in-water light levels were also a key driver of spatial variations in pigment concentration. 443 Elevated chlorophyll a concentrations and associated yields would enable corals to maximise 444 rates of photosynthesis under low light conditions frequently observed at Labrador Park. 445

446

447	Nutrient concentrations are also a key driver of coral condition (see review by
448	Fabricius, 2005). There have been several studies that have documented the effects of
449	elevated concentrations of nutrients on symbiont densities and chlorophyll a content (Hoegh-
450	guldberg and Smith, 1989; Marubini and Davies, 1996; Szmant, 2002), photosynthesis
451	(Kinsey and Davies, 1979) and coral growth (Ferrier-Page`s et al., 2001). Increases in
452	symbiont density are typically associated with increases in Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen
453	$(DIN = NO_3 + NO_2 + NH_4)$, whereas Dissolved Inorganic Phosphates $(DIP = PO_4 + PO_3)$
454	have less of an effect (Fabricius, 2005). Symbiont density increases when DIN levels are
455	enhanced, as this nutrient is preferentially used for symbiont growth over host tissue growth
456	(Dubinsky and Jokiel, 1994). Marubini and Davies (1996) also found that chlorophyll a
457	concentrations and symbiont densities increased with NO ₃ levels, at concentrations
458	comparable to that found in Singapore waters. In contrast, and in accordance with this study,
459	both Ferrier-Pages et al. (2001) and Nordemar et al. (2003) found that nitrate concentrations
460	had no effect on symbiont densities. These inconsistencies in symbiont responses between
461	studies may, in part, be a result of environmentally unrealistic high levels of nutrients tested,
462	but are most likely caused by a simplification of a complex natural system where the coral
463	response is the outcome of several synergistic drivers. For example, symbiont densities
464	which may increase with concentrations of NH ₃ and NO ₃ (Fabricius et al., 2005), have also
465	been observed to decline at high turbidity (Costa et al., 2004; Li et al., 2008). If both
466	environmental drivers increase concurrently, the outcome might result in no apparent
467	influence on symbiont numbers.

468 Declines in growth rates in Singapore have previously been associated with elevated 469 suspended sediments, light attenuation and nitrite-nitrate concentrations (Dikou, 2009). Our 470 data supports this finding as lowest growth rates were reported at the site (Labrador Park)

with the highest sediment loads, lowest light levels and highest nutrient concentrations. 471 Furthermore, the mean growth rate of all coral species during months characterised by 472 reduced water quality was significantly lower than in months when water quality had 473 improved. These results also corroborate with research outside of Singapore. In Barbados 474 coral growth rates (linear extension) correlated with a number of water quality parameters 475 with suspended particulate matter as the best estimator of growth (Tomascik and Sander, 476 477 1985). Differences in growth rates response to water quality were also evident among coral species. The growth rate of *M.ampliata* and *P.speciosa*, the two fastest growing corals, 478 479 varied significantly over time, suggesting that these corals are most sensitive to environmental fluctuations. 480

The variation in key environmental drivers of coral condition among species is most 481 likely related to differences in morphology and physiological adaptations. Various aspects of 482 483 the sediment regime (either SSC, SAR or grain size) explained significant proportions of the variation in coral condition for the three coral species (M.ampliata, P.speciosa, P.damicornis 484 characterised by foliose morphology and/or small coral polyps (< 3 mm). *P.sinensis* has 485 comparatively larger polyps and is considered to be more efficient at sediment removal 486 (Stafford-Smith, 1993). Light availability was also an important driver of *P. speciosa* coral 487 488 condition suggesting that this coral may be most sensitive to variations in light condition. All four coral species will most likely feed heterotrophically during low light conditions 489 (Anthony, 2000), yet morphological differences may result in variable abilities to feed 490 heterotrophically. Polyp projection and hetereotrophic feeding was observed in *P.sinensis* 491 and *P.damincornis* fragments during the survey period, and *M.ampliata* (short tentacles) 492 growth rates have previously been closely correlated with SSC in Singapore (Dikou, 2009). 493 Interestingly, *P.speciosa* does not expand its polyps instead using its mesenterial feeders 494

495 (Stafford-Smith and Ormond, 1992). Lastly, *P.damicornis* has been closely linked to
496 chlorophyll *a* content and SST, which is unsurprising given that this species is one of the
497 most thermally sensitive corals (Jokiel and Coles, 1990).

498

4.3 Water quality thresholds for Singapore

In Singapore, where eight water quality thresholds were exceeded, seasonal variations 499 500 and anthropogenic activities influence spatial and temporal differences in water quality and drive fluctuations in coral condition. Seasonal fluctuations in SST's and rainfall are 501 relatively constant from year to year, and result in certain months that are more or less 502 favourable for coral condition and growth. These environmental fluctuations also provide 503 cues for processes such as reproduction, which typically occurs in April, following a rise in 504 SST's and heavy rainfall (Guest et al., 2012a). It is, therefore, important that declines in 505 water quality associated with anthropogenic activities do not occur during these sensitive 506 months which would potentially negatively influence reproductive processes and hence the 507 viability and longevity of coral reefs. Despite elevated nutrient levels, corals were healthy 508 and growing, presumably because they have acclimated to these marginal reef growth 509 conditions. There has been little variation in coral cover and diversity within shallow waters 510 over ~25 years (<5 m; Tun, 2013) and corals were relatively tolerant to thermal stress 511 following 2010 bleaching event (Guest et al., 2012b), which suggests that these corals are 512 513 resilient to the negative effects of elevated sediment and nutrient loads. There is increasing evidence, however, that reduced water quality will exacerbate the negative effects of rising 514 SST's due to global warming (Heiss, 1995; Meaney, 1973; Negri and Hoogenboom, 2011), 515 and that government programs need to increase water quality to mitigate the effects of 516 climate change. 517

At present, Singapore does not have any water quality thresholds or standards for 518 inshore coastal waters. The first step for improving local water quality would be to set 519 thresholds, specific to Singapore, based on long-term data sets that describe variations in 520 organism and/or ecosystem health with fluctuations in water quality. Here we use coral 521 growth rates as a measure of variations in coral health with water quality. Previous research 522 would suggest, however, that coral growth is not a good measure of coral health. Brown et 523 524 al. (1990) found that there was no detectible decline in coral calcification rates as a result of dredging while a more recent study in Indonesia found that there was a discrepancy between 525 526 coral growth rates and declines in reef health (Edinger, 2000). Explanations for varying findings are potentially the result of different experimental designs and assessment 527 methodologies. Other research used retrospective techniques which represent, at best, yearly 528 529 averages of coral growth rates and, therefore, do not take into account short term fluctuations 530 in coral health. Furthermore, previous studies have compared coral growth rates and reef health with changes in water quality from different sites (e.g. Edinger et al, 2000, Lapointe et 531 al. 2010, Fabricius et al, 2005) rather than compared rates from the same sites over a 532 relatively long timeframe. By comparing coral growth rates between sites there is the risk of 533 incorporating additional environmental drivers that may influence coral health and there is 534 less scope for identifying small fluctuations in coral health within sites. Results presented 535 here indicate that coral growth rates are an appropriate indicator of water quality. Coral 536 537 growth rates differ significantly between months characterised by low and high water quality, but are also closely follow fluctuations in coral photo-physiology. For example, high growth 538 rates occurred during months when coral yields were reduced (Fig 6-9). Based on these 539 540 considerations, water quality thresholds specific to Singapore were selected (explained in 541 Materials and Methods), and compared to ANZECC and ASEAN standards (Table 5).

All nutrient thresholds for Singapore are above ANZECC and ASEAN thresholds, but 542 note that the latter will be more conservative as they are based on several studies of additional 543 organisms and marine ecosystems, and therefore represent thresholds for the protection of all 544 aquatic life. Water quality thresholds stated here are for coral growth only. Thresholds 545 provided include all the nutrients and turbidity levels. We have not considered thresholds for 546 trace metals, given the complex interactions between trace metals, salinity, pH, temperature 547 and water hardness, parameters which are known to affect trace metal toxicity (ANZECC, 548 2000). Yet, future water quality thresholds for Singapore will need to consider these given 549 550 that many metals are toxic at high concentrations and can have detrimental influences on coral photo-physiology (Kuzminov et al., 2013) and reproduction. For example, elevated 551 concentrations of copper (4.4 and 0.4 μ g l⁻¹), comparable to that measured in Singapore, 552 553 reduce the metamorphosis success of Acropora millipora larvae at higher temperatures (32°C and 33°C), thus exacerbating the effects of elevated SST's (Negri and Hoogenboom, 2011). 554 These data reaffirm the importance of improving water quality for mitigating the impacts of 555 global warming as well as preventing declines in water quality during warmer months when 556 corals are already stressed. 557

558 **5.** Conclusions

This study represents the first year-long assessment of coral condition coupled with monitoring of a broad range of environmental variables in Singapore's inshore coastal waters, and illustrates the importance of water quality in driving coral condition in equatorial regions were the amplitude of seasonal variation is low. Monthly variations in rainfall, which influenced nutrient, sediment and light levels, were identified as key drivers of coral condition. In contrast, SST's had less of an influence on coral condition. Anthropogenic activities also had a discernible influence on water quality and coral condition, particularly at

the site (Labrador Park) closest to the mainland, where chlorophyll *a* concentrations and yields were high, but growth rates were low. Even though Singapore hosts reefs that are apparently robust and resilient to low water quality levels, its corals may be more vulnerable to climate change than offshore reefs not influenced by poor water quality. Our results, and suggested water quality thresholds for coral growth, provide a critical starting point for the development of water quality guidelines for Singapore aimed to mitigate the effects of rising SST's due to climate change.

573

574 Acknowledgements

575 This study was co-funded by the National Parks Board of Singapore (NParks), the Economic Development Board of Singapore (EDB) through the DHI-NTU Research Centre and 576 Education Hub, and DHI Water & Environment (S), Singapore, under the project entitled 577 'Impacts of ship-wake induced sediment resuspension on corals and sea grass in Singapore'. 578 The project was supported by the Experimental Marine and Ecology Laboratory at the 579 National University of Singapore, and all field work was conducted under the research permit 580 NP/RP 12-007 and with permission from the Singaporean Marine Port Authorities (MPA). 581 We specially thank Rosa Poquita with her assistance with the field work and DHI Singapore 582 583 for logistical support.

584 **References**

Anthony, K.R.N., 2000. Enhanced particle feeding capacity of corals on turbid reefs (Great
Barrier Reef). Coral Reefs 19: 50-67.

- Anthony, K.R.N., Connolly, S.R., 2004. Environmental limits to growth: Physiological niche
 boundries of corals along turbidity:light gradients. Oecologia 141: 373-384.
- 589 Anthony, K.R.N., Connolly, S.R., 2007. Bleaching, energetics, and coral mortality risk:

- 590 Effects of temperature, light, and sediment regime. Limnol.Oceanogr. 52: 716-726.
- Anthony, K.R.N., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., 2003. Kinetics of photoacclimatization in corals.
 Oecologia 134, 23-31.
- ANZECC, 2000. Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water
 Quality, in: Strategy, N.W.Q.M. (Ed.), p. 314.
- ASEAN, 2002. Marine Water Criteria for the Asean Region. Association of South East Asian
 Nations, p. 1.
- Ben-Haim, Y., Zicherman-Keren, M., Rosenberg, E., 2003. Temperature-regulated bleaching
 and lysis of the coral *P.damicornis damicornis* by the novel pathogen *Vibrio coralliilyticus*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69: 4236-4242.
- Berkelmans, R., Van Oppen, M.J., 2006. The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of
 corals: a 'nugget of hope' for coral reefs in an era of climate change. Proc.

602 R Soc. B 273: 2905-2912.

Brown, B.E., Tissier, M.D.A.L., Scoffin, T.P., Tudhope, A.W., 1990. Evaluation of the

604 environmental impact of dredging on intertidal coral reefs at KO Phuket, Thailand,

- 605 using ecological and physiological parameters. Marine Ecology Progress Series 65,606 273-281.
- Browne, N.K., 2012. Spatial and temporal variations in coral growth on an inshore turbid
 reef subjected to multiple disturbance events. Marine Environmental Research 77, 7183.
- Browne, N.K., Smithers, S.G., Perry, C.T., 2012. Coral reefs of the turbid inner Great Barrier
 Reef: an environmental and geological perspective on occurance, composition and
 growth. Earth Science Review 115: 1-20.
- Browne, N.K., Tay, J., Todd, P.A., 2015. Recreating pulsed turbidity events to determine

- 614 coral sediment thresholds for active management. Journal of Experimental Marine615 Biology and Ecology In review.
- Bruno, J.F., Petes, L.E., Harvell, C.D., Hettinger, A., 2003. Nutrient enrichment can increase
 the severity of coral diseases. Ecol. Lett. 6: 1056-1061.
- Bryant, D., Burke, L., McManus, J., Spalding, M., 1998. Reefs at Risk: A map based
- 619 indicator of threats to the world's coral reefs, in: Institute, W.R. (Ed.), Washington
- DC. Burke, L., Selig, E.R., Spalding, M., 2002. Reefs at risk in Southeast Asia, in:
 Institute, W.R. (Ed.), p. 76.
- 622 Chou, L., 2006. Marine habitats in one of the world's busiest harbours, in: Wolanski, E.
- (Ed.), The Environment in Asia Pacific Harbours. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 377-391.
- 624 Coles, S.L., Jokiel, P.L., 1977. Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and respiration in
 625 hermatypic corals. Mar. Biol. 43: 209-216.
- Cooper, T.F., Ridd, P.V., Ulstrup, K.E., Humphrey, C.A., Slivkoff, M., Fabricius, K.E., 2008.
 Temporal dynamics in coral bioindicators for water quality on coastal coral reefs of
- 628the Great Barrier Reef. Mar. Freshw. Res. 59:703-716.
- 629 Cooper, T.F., Uthicke, S., Humphrey, C., Fabricius, K., 2007. Gradients in water column
- nutrients, sediment parameters, irradiance and coral reefs development in the
- 631 Whitsunday's region, central Great Barrier Reef. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 74: 458-632 470.
- Costa, C.F., Sassi, R., Amaral, F.D., 2004. Population density and photosynthetic pigment
 content in symbiotic dinoflagellates in the Brazilian scleractinian coral *Montastrea cavernosa*. Braz. J. Oceanogr. 52: 93-99.
- 636 Crabbe, J.C., 2007. Global warming and coral reefs: Modelling the effect of temperature on
 637 *Acropora palmata* colony growth. Comput. Biol. Chem. 31: 294-297.
- 638 De'ath, G., Fabricius, K., 2010. Water quality as a regional driver of coral biodiversity and

- 639 macroalgae on the Great Barrier Reef. Ecol. Appl. 20: 840-850.
- Dikou, A., 2009. Skeletal linear extension rates of the foliose scleractinian coral *M.ampliata ampliata* (Ellis & Solander, 1786) in a turbid environment. Mar. Ecol. 30: 405-415.
- Dikou, A., van Woesik, R., 2006. Survival under chronic stress from sediment load: Spatial
- 643 patterns of hard coral communities in the southern islands of Singapore. Mar. Poll.
- 644 Bull. 52: 1340-1354.
- Dubinsky, Z., Jokiel, P.L., 1994. Ratio of energy and nutrient fluxes regulates symbiosis
 between zooxanthellae and corals. Pac. Sci. 48: 313-324.
- Edinger, E., Limmon, G.V., Jompa, J., Widjatmoko, W., Heikoop, J.M., Risk, M.J., 2000.
- Normal Coral Growth Rates on Dying Reefs: Are Coral Growth Rates Good
 Indicators of Reef Health? Marine Pollution Bulletin 40, 405-425.
- Edinger, E.N., Risk, M.J., 1994. Oligocene-Miocene Extinction and Geographic Restriction
 of Caribbean Corals: Roles of Turbidity, Temperature, and Nutrients. PALAIOS 9,
 576-598.
- Erftemeijer, P.L.A., Riegl, B., Hoeksema, B.W., Todd, P., 2012. Environmental impacts of
 dredging and other sediment disturbances on corals: A review. Mar. Poll. Bull. 64:
 1737-1765.
- Fabricius, K., Wolanski, E., Wild, C., Abele, D., 2003. Effects of transparent exopolymer
 particles and muddy terrigenous sediments on the survival of hard coral recruits.
 Estuar. Coast. Shelf. Sci. 57: 613-621.
- Fabricius, K.E., 2005. Effects of terrestrial run of on the ecology of corals and coral reefs:
 review and synthesis. Mar. Poll. Bull. 50: 125-146.
- Fabricius, K.E., De'ath, G., McCook, L., Turak, E., Williams, D., 2005. Changes in algal,
 coral and fish assemblages along water quality gradients on the inshore Great Barrier
- 663 Reef. Mar. Poll. Bull. 51: 384-398.

- Fabricius, K.E., McCorry, D., 2006. Changes in octocoral communities and benthic cover
 along a water quality gradient in the reefs of Hong Kong. Mar. Poll. Bull. 52: 22-33.
- 666 Fabricius, K.E., De'ath, G., Puotinen, M., Done, T.J., Cooper, T.F., Burgess, S., 2008.
- 667 Disturbance gradients on inshore and offshore coral reefs caused by severe tropical
 668 cyclone. Limnol. Oceanogr. 53: 690-704.
- 669 Fabricius, K.E., Cooper, T.F., Humphrey, C., Uthicke, S., De'ath, G., Davidson, J., LeGrand,
- 670 H., Thompson, A., Schaffelke, B., 2012. A bioindicator system for water quality on
- 671 inshore coral reefs of the Great Barrier Reef. Marine Pollution Bulletin 65, 320-332.
- Fagoonee, I., Wilson, H.B., Hassell, M.P., Turner, J.R., 1999. The dynamics of zooxanthellae
 populations: A long-term study in the field. Science 283: 843-845.
- 674 Falkowski, P.G., Jokiel, P.L., Kinzie, R.A., 1990. Irradiance and corals., in: Dubinsky, Z.
- (Ed.), Ecosystems of the World 25: Coral Reefs. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 89-107.
- Ferrier-Page`s, C., Schoelzke, V., Jaubert, J., Muscatine, L., Hoegh-guldberg, O., 2001.
- 677 Response of a scleractinian coral, *Stylophora pistillata*, to iron and nitrate enrichment.
 678 J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 259: 249-261.
- Fitt, W.K., McFarland, F.K., Warner, M.E., Chilcoat, G.C., 2000. Seasonal patterns of tissue
 biomass and densities of symbiotic dinoflagellates in reef corals and relation to coral
 bleaching. Limnol. Oceanogr. 45: 677-685.
- Fitt, W.K., Warner, M.E., 1995. Bleaching patterns of four species of Caribbean reef corals.
 Biol. Bull. 189: 298-307.
- 684 Glynn, P.W., 1993. Coral reef bleaching: ecological perspectives. Coral Reefs 12, 1-17.
- Heiss, G.A., 1995. Carbonate production by scleratinian corals at Aqaba, Gulf of
 Aqaba. Facies 33: 19-34.
- Guest, J.R., Baird, A.H., Goh, B., Chou, L., 2005. Seasonal reproduction in equatorial reef
 corals. Invertebr. Reprod. Dev. 48:207-218.

689	Guest, J.R., Chou, L., Goh, B., 2012a. Reproductive seasonality of the reef building coral
690	P.sinensis pini on Singapore's Reefs. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 25:123-131.
691	Guest, J.R., Baird, A.H., Maynard, J.A., Muttaqin, E., Edwards, A.J., Campbell, S.J.,
692	Yewdale, K., Affendi, Y.A., Chou, L., 2012b. Contrasting patterns of coral bleaching
693	susceptibility in 2010 suggest an adaptive response to thermal stress. PLoS ONE. 7:
694	e33353.
695	Hennige, S.J., Smith, D.J., Perkins, R., Consalvey, M., Paterson, D.M., Suggett, D.J., 2008.
696	Photoacclimation, growth and distribution of massive coral species in clear and turbid
697	waters. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 369: 77-88.
698	Hennige, S.J., Smith, D.J., Walsh, S.J., McGinley, M.P., Warner, M.E., Suggetta, D.J., 2010.
699	Acclimation and adaptation of scleractinian coral communities along environmental
700	gradients within an Indonesian reef system. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 391: 143-152.
701	Hill, R., Ralph, P.J., 2005. Diel and seasonal changes in fluorescence rise kinetics of three
702	scleractinian corals. Funct. Plant Biol. 32: 549-559.
703	Hoegh-guldberg, O., Smith, G.J., 1989. Influence of the population density of zooxanthellae
704	and supply of ammonium on the biomass and metabolic characteristics of the reef
705	corals Seriatopora hystrix and Stylophora pistillata. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 57: 173-
706	186.
707	Huang, D., Tun, K.P.P., Chou, L., Todd, P.A., 2009. An inventory of zooxanthellate
708	scleractinian corals in Singapore, including 33 new records. Raffles Bulletin of
709	Zoology 22: 69-80.
710	Jeffrey, S.W., Humphrey, G.F., 1975. New spectrophotometric equations for determining
711	chlorophyll c1 and c2 in higher plants, algae and natural phytoplankton. Biochem.
712	Physiol. Plan. 167: 191-194.
713	Jokiel, P.L., Coles, S.L., 1990. Response of Hawaiian and other Indo-Pacific reef corals to

- elevated temperature. Coral Reefs 8: 155-162.
- Kinsey, D.W., Davies, P.J., 1979. Effects of elevated nitrogen and phosphorus on coral reef
 growth. Limnol. Oceanogr. 24: 935-940.
- Kuzminov, F.I., Brown, C.M., Fadeev, V.V., Gorbunov, M.Y., 2013. Effects of metal toxicity
 on photosynthetic processes in coral symbionts, Symbiodinium spp. J. Exp. Mar. Bio.
 Ecol. 446: 216-227.
- Lane, D.J.W., 1991. Growth of scleratinian corals on sediment stressed reefs at Singapore,
- Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas, pp.97-106.
- Lapointe, B., Clark, M., 1992. Nutrient inputs from the watershed and coastal eutrophicationin the Florida keys. Estuaries 15: 465-476.
- Lapointe, B.E., Langton, R., Bedford, B.J., Potts, A.C., Day, O., Hu, C., 2010. Land-based
 nutrient enrichment of the Buccoo Reef Complex and fringing coral reefs of Tobago,
 West Indies. Marine Pollution Bulletin 60, 334-343.
- Larcombe, P., Ridd, P.V., Wilson, B., Prytz, A., 1995. Factors controlling suspended

sediment on inner-shelf coral reefs, Townsville, Australia. Coral Reefs 14: 163-171.

730 Lesser, M.P., Gorbunov, M.Y., 2001. Diurnal and bathymetric changes in chlorophyll

fluorescence yields of reef corals measured in situ with a fast repetition ratefluorometer. Mar.Ecol. Prog. Ser. 212: 69-77.

Li, S., Yu, K., Shi, Q., Chen, T., Zhao, M., Zhao, J., 2008. Interspecies and spatial diversity

- in the symbiotic zooxanthellae density in corals from northern South China Sea and
 its relationship to coral reef bleaching. Chin. Sci. Bull. 53: 295-303.
- 736 Low, J.K.Y., Chou, L.M., 1994. Sedimentation rates in Singapore waters. . Proc. 3rd
- ASEAN-Aust. Symp. Living Coral Resources 2: 697–701.
- 738 Loya, Y., 1976. Effects of water turbidity and sedimentation on the community structure of

- 739 Puerto Rican corals. Bull. Mar. Sci. 26: 450-466.
- Lui, G.C.Y., Setiawan, W., Todd, P., Erftemeijer, P.L.A., 2012. Among genotype variation
 for sediment rejection in the reef building coral *Diploastrea Heliopora* (Lamark,
- 7421816). The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 60: 525-531.
- Marubini, F., Davies, P.S., 1996. Nitrate increases zooxanthellae population density and
 reduces skeletogenesis in corals. Mar. Biol. 127: 319-328.
- Meaney, W.R., 1973. Sediment transport and sediment budget in the fore-reef one of a
 fringing coral reef, Discovery Bay, Jamaica. Louisiana State University, Baton
 Rouge, Louisiana, p. 106.
- Moss, A., Brodie, J., Furnas, M., 2005. Water quality guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef
 World Heritage Area: a basis for development and preliminary values. Mar. Poll.
 Bull. 51:76-88
- 751 Muscatine, L., Falkowski, P.G., Dubinsky, Z., Cook, P.A., McCloskey, L.R., 1989. The
- effect of external nutrient resources on the population dynamics of zooxanthellae in a
 reef coral. . Proc. R. Sot. Lund. B 236: 311-324.
- Negri, A.P., Hoogenboom, M.O., 2011. Water contamination reduces the tolerance of coral
 larvae to thermal stress. PLoS ONE 6: e19703.
- Nieuwolt, S., 1973. Climate, in: Chuang, S.H. (Ed.), Animal life and nature in Singapore.
 Singapore University Press, Singapore, pp. 27–40.
- Nordemar, I., Nystroem, M., Dizon, R., 2003. Effects of elevated seawater temperature and
 nitrate enrichment on the branching coral *Porites cylindrica* in the absence of
 particulate food. Mar. Biol. 142: 669-677.
- Orpin, A.R., Ridd, P.V., Thomas, S., Anthony, K.R.N., Marshall, P., Oliver, J., 2004. Natural
 turbidity variability and weather forecasts in risk management of anthropogenic
- sediment discharge near sensitive environments. Mar. Poll. Bull. 49: 602-612.

- 764 Osinga, R., Schutter, M., Griffioen, B., Wijffels, R.H., Verreth, J.A.J., Shafir, S., Henard, S.,
- Taruffi, M., Gili, C., Lavorano, S., 2011. The Biology and Economics of Coral
 Growth. Marine Biotechnology (New York, N.y.) 13, 658-671.
- Pastorok, R.A., Bilyard, G.R., 1985. Effects of sewage pollution on coral-reef communities.
 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 21: 175-189.
- Piniak, G.A., Brown, E.K., 2009. Temporal variability in chlorophyll fluorescence of backreef corals in Ofu, American Samoa. Biol. Bull. 216: 55-67.
- Piniak, G.A., Storlazzi, C.D., 2008. Diurnal variability in turbidity and coral fluorescence on
 a fringing reef flat: Southern Molokai, Hawaii. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 77: 56-64.
- 773 Reichelt-Brushett, A.J., Harrison, P.L., 1999. The effect of copper, zinc and cadmium on
- fertilization success of gametes from scleractinian reef corals. Mar. Poll. Bull. 38:182-187.
- Rice, E.W., Baird, R.B., Eaton, A.D., Clesceri, L.S., 2012. Standard methods for the
 examination of water and wastewater, in: Association., A.P.H. (Ed.), 22nd ed. ed, DC:
- 778 NW Washington.
- Rinkevich, B., Loya, Y., 1979. The reproduction of the Red Sea coral *Stylophora pistillata*.
- 780 II. Synchronisation in breeding and seasonality of planulae shedding. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
 781 Ser. 1: 145–152.
- Risk, M., Edinger, E., 2011. Impacts of Sediment on Coral Reefs, in: Hopley, D. (Ed.),
 Encyclopedia of Modern Coral Reefs. Springer Netherlands, pp. 575-586.
- Risk, M.J., 2014. Assessing the effects of sediments and nutrients on coral reefs. Current
 Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 7, 108-117.
- Risk, M.J., Lapointe, B.E., Sherwood, O.A., Bedford, B.J., 2009. The use of δ 15N in
- assessing sewage stress on coral reefs. Marine Pollution Bulletin 58, 793-802.
- 788 Rogers, C.S., 1983. Sublethal and lethal effects of sediments applied to common Caribbean

- reef corals in the field. Mar. Poll. Bull. 14: 378-382.
- Rogers, C.S., 1990. Responses of coral reefs and reef organisms to sedimentation. Mar. Ecol.
 Prog. Ser. 62: 185-202.
- Sofonia, J.J., Anthony, K.R.N., 2008. High-sediment tolerance in the reef coral *Turbinaria mesenterina* from the inner Great Barrier Reef lagoon (Australia). Estuar. Coast. Shelf
 Sci. 78: 748-752.
- Stafford-Smith, M.G., 1993. Sediment-rejection efficiency of 22 species of Australian
 scleractinian corals. Mar. Biol. 115: 229-243.
- 797 Stafford-Smith, M.G., Ormond, R.F.G., 1992. Sediment rejection mechanisms of 42
- scleractinian corals. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Sciences 43: 638-799 705.
- Stambler, N., Popper, N., Dubinsky, Z., Stimson, J., 1991. Effects of nutrient enrichment and
 water motion on the coral *P.damicornis damicornis*. Pac. Sci. 45: 299-307.

802 Stimson, J., 1997. The annual cycle of density of zooxanthellae in the tissues of field and

- 803 laboratory-held *P.damicornis damicornis* (Linnaeus). J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 214: 35804 48.
- Stimson, J., Kinzie, R.A., 1991. The temporal pattern and rate of release of zooxanthellae
 from the reef coral *P.damicornis* (Linnaeus) under nitrogen-enrichment
 and control conditions. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 153, 63–74.
- Storlazzi, C.D., Field, M., Bothner, M.H., 2011. The use (and misuse) of sediment traps in
 coral reef environments: theory, observations, and suggested protocols. Coral Reefs
 30: 23-38.
- Szmant, A.M., 2002. Nutrient enrichment on coral reefs: Is it a major cause of coral reef
 decline? Estuaries 25: 743-766.

- Todd, P., Ong, X., Chou, L., 2010. Impacts of pollution on marine life in Southeast Asia.
 Biodivers. Conserv. 19: 1063-1082.
- Tomascik, T., Sander, F., 1985. Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. Marine
- Biology 87, 143-155.
- Tun, K.P.P., 2013. Optimisation of reef survey methods and application of reef metrics and
 biocriteria for the monitoring of sediment-impacted reefs. PhD Thesis, National
 University of Singapore, Singapore.
- 820 Tun, K.P.P., Cheshire, A.C., Chou, L., 1994. Photosynthetic production of four scleratinian
- 821 corals from Singapore, Third ASEAN-Australia Symposium on Living Coastal822 Resources, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Warner, M., Chilcoat, G., McFarland, F., Fitt, W., 2002. Seasonal fluctuations in the
 photosynthetic capacity of photosystem II in symbiotic dinoflagellates in the
 Caribbean reef-building coral *Montastraea*. Mar. Biol. 141: 31-38.
- 826 Warner, M.E., Fitt, W.K., Schmidt, G.W., 1996. The effects of elevated temperature on the
- photosynthetic efficiency of zooxanthellae in hospite from four different species of
 reef coral: a novel approach. Plant Cell & Environ. 19: 291-299.
- Winters, G., Loya, Y., Beer, S., 2006. In situ measured seasonal variations in Fv/Fm of two
 common Red Sea corals. . Coral Reefs 25: 593-598.
- Winters, G., Loya, Y., Rottgers, R., Beer, S., 2003. Photoinhibition in shallow-water colonies
 of the coral *Stylophora pistillata* as measured in situ. Limnol. Oceanogr. 48: 13881393.
- 834 Wolanski, E., De'ath, G., 2005. Predicting the impact of present and future human land-use
- on the Great Barrier Reef. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 64: 504-508.

Table 1: One-way repeated measures ANOVA using untransformed data (n=9, except for *P.damicornis* where n=3) to determine if there are significant differences in coral condition over time,

and over time between sites for each coral species

Variable	Species		Ŷ	ield		Growth			Symbiont density				Chlorophyll a				
Time		df	MS	F	p value	df	MS	F	p value	df	MS	F	p value	df	MS	F	p value
	M.ampliata	3.9	0.025	8.83	<u><0.001</u>	3.1	14.7	9.97	<u><0.001</u>	2.1	5.01 x 10 ¹²	7.65	<u><0.001</u>	1.3	105.4	3.55	<u>0.050</u>
	P.speciosa	3.8	0.021	10.23	<u><0.001</u>	3.5	18.2	8.69	<u><0.001</u>	1.3	2.913 x 10 ¹²	3.24	0.073	1.7	131.3	10.08	<u><0.001</u>
	P.sinensis	7.0	0.006	3.32	<u>0.003</u>	5.0	0.9	2.15	0.070	3.0	1.976 x 10 ¹²	1.21	0.316	1.9	134.5	11.54	<u><0.001</u>
	P.damicornis	7.0	0.004	1.39	0.25	5	0.298	1.882	0.143	1.1	4.793 x 10 ¹²	13.71	<u>0.017</u>	3.0	0.7	4.87	<u>0.019</u>
Site*Time	M.ampliata	7.9	0.017	6.21	<u><0.001</u>	6.2	8.0	5.44	<u><0.001</u>	4.2	2.63 x 10 ¹²	3.50	<u>0.013</u>	2.5	45.4	1.53	0.230
	P.speciosa	7.5	0.016	7.73	<u><0.001</u>	7.1	3.3	1.56	0.159	2.5	$7.76 \ge 10^{12}$	0.86	0.454	3.5	27.2	2.09	0.107
	P.sinensis	14.0	0.004	2.23	<u>0.012</u>	10.0	9.1	2.24	0.055	6.0	2.55 x 10 ¹²	1.57	0.179	3.8	15.2	1.31	0.291
	P.damicornis	7.0	0.007	2.71	<u>0.028</u>	5.0	0.1	0.43	0.834	1.1	1.097 x 10 ¹²	3.14	0.065	3.0	0.3	1.86	0.190

Table 2: Post hoc analysis using Bonferroni on untransformed data (n=9). Significant results (in bold) indicate that there is a significant difference in coral condition among sites.

Spacing	Site	Yield		Growth rates			Symbiont density			Chlorophyll a			
Species	Site	Hantu	Kusu	Labrador	Hantu	Kusu	Labrador	Hantu	Kusu	Labrador	Hantu	Kusu	Labrador
M.ampliata	Hantu		0.115	<u><0.001</u>		0.392	0.116		1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000
	Kusu	0.115		<u>0.014</u>	0.392		1.000	1.000		0.275	1.000		1.000
	Labrador	<u><0.001</u>	<u>0.014</u>		0.116	1.000		1.000	0.275		1.000	1.000	
P.speciosa	Hantu	_	<u>0.365</u>	<u><0.001</u>		1.000	1.000		0.856	1.000		1.000	1.000
	Kusu	<u>0.365</u>	-	<u><0.001</u>	1.000		1.000	0.856		0.438	1.000		1.000
	Labrador	<u><0.001</u>	<u><0.001</u>		1.000	1.000		1.000	0.438		1.000	1.000	
P.sinensis	Hantu		1.000	0.654		1.000	1.000		1.000	0.327		1.000	1.000
	Kusu	1.000		1.000	1.000		0.714	1.000		0.766	1.000		1.000
	Labrador	0.654	1.000		0.714	1.000		0.327	0.766		1.000	1.000	
P.damicornis	Hantu			<u>0.022</u>			0.15			0.289			0.193
	Labrador	0.022			0.15			0.289			0.193		

Table 3: Results of the PERMANOVA main test. Environmental variables (chla = chlorophyll a, grain = grain size, PAR = light levels, SAR = sediment accumulation rate, SSC = suspended sediment concentration, SST = sea surface temperature, WQI = water quality index) were added stepwise into a Distance Linear Model to find the best combination of variables that explained the most amount of variation in coral condition across all three sites.

Species	Variable	AIC	SS	Pseudo- F	p value	% variation explained
M.ampliata	+ Grain	53.345	245	6.19	<u>0.01</u>	
	+ Chla	50.04	149	5.06	<u>0.02</u>	
	+ PAR	50.03	43	1.54	0.26	
	+ WQI	49.4	48	1.86	0.20	67.6
P.speciosa	+ PAR	53.4	150	3.78	<u>0.04</u>	
	+ SSC	51.8	109	3.27	<u>0.07</u>	
	+ Grain	51.1	64	2.11	0.17	51.6
P.sinensis	- Grain	62.5	13	0.18	0.75	
	- Chla	61	16	0.25	0.71	
	-SAR	59.5	16	0.27	0.69	
	- SSC	58.8	46	0.87	0.41	38.5
P.damicornis	+ Temp	49.9	182	3	0.08	
	+ SAR	43.9	198	4.58	<u>0.03</u>	
	+ Chla	36.9	194	8.92	<u>0.02</u>	
	+ Grain	36.6	28	1.36	0.36	82.9

		RECOMMEN	SINGAE	SINGAPORE WATER OUALITY STATUS					
	ANZECC (2000)	Moss et al., 2005	ASEAN (2002)	This study	<u>on (on</u>			100	
	For inshore tropical waters	For inshore waters	For aquatic life protection	For coral growth	Typical value	Maximum value	Status	Concern	
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (µg l ⁻¹)	0.7 to 1.4	0.6		1 to 1.5	1 to 2	3.2	Limit exceeded	Medium	
Ammonium (µg l ⁻¹)	1 to 10		70	20 ^B	<20	120	exceeded Limit	High	
Nitrate (µg l ⁻¹)	2 to 8 ^A		60	100	50 to 200	280	exceeded Limit	High	
TN (μg l ⁻¹)	100	145-155		175	150 to 250	360	exceeded Limit	Low	
Phosphate ($\mu g l^{-1}$)	5	3 to 8	15	20	<30	45	exceeded Limit	High	
$TP \ (\mu g \ l^{-1})$	15	15 to 20		15	<20	43	exceeded Limit	Low	
Turbidity (NTU)	1 to 20			5 to 10	<5	20 ^D	exceeded ^D	Medium	
Aluminium ($\mu g l^{-1}$)	ID				<200	700	Within		
Silver (µg l ⁻¹)	0.8 to 2.6 ^C				<0.5	2	limits Within	None	
Cadmium (µg l ⁻¹)	0.7 to 3 ^C		10		<0.2	0.5	limits Limit	None	
Copper (µg l ⁻¹)	0.3 to 8 ^C		8		<4	12	exceeded	Low	
Iron ($\mu g l^{-1}$)	ID				<100	350	Within		
Nickel (µg l ⁻¹)	7 to 560 ^C				<4	16	limits Within	None	
Lead ($\mu g l^{-1}$)	2.2 to 12 ^C		8.5		<1	6	limits Within	None	
Zinc (µg l ⁻¹)	7 to 43 ^c				10	35	limits	None	

Table 4: Comparison of guidelines from three studies (ASEAN guidelines, ANZECC guidelines, Moss et al., 2005) together with this studies water quality recommendations for coral growth.

^A Nitrate + Nitrite ^B Ammonia

^C Lower limit to protect 99% of species and upper limit to protect 80% of species ^D Note that these data are from water samples. Authors have YSI data that indicate that turbidity can reach >150 mg L⁻¹ ^{ID} Insufficient data

Figure legends



Figure 1: Map of the region.



Figure 2: Monthly variations in A. suspended sediment concentration (SSC), B. sediment accumulation, C. light, D. total rainfall (data supplied by the Singapore Meteorological Services) and E. Water temperature at 3 m (dotted line) and at the surface (continuous line; data supplied by the Singapore Meteorological Services) over one year at Pulau Hantu, Kusu Island and Labrador Park. The south-westerly monsoon falls in season 2 and the north-easterly monsoon falls in season 4.



Figure 3: Principle components analysis of water quality variables sampled over one year at Hantu, Kusu Island and Labrador Park (chla = chlorophyll *a*, grain = grain size, PAR = light levels, SAR = sediment accumulation rate, SSC = suspended sediment concentration, SST = sea surface temperature, WQI = water quality index). Numbers denote the site (1= Hantu, 2= Kusu Island, 3= Labrador Park) and sampling season (1= April 2012, 2= May-July 2012, 3=Aug-Oct 2012, 4= Nov – Jan 2013, 5= Feb-March 2013).



Figure 4: Monthly variations in trace metals over one year at Pulau Hantu, Kusu Island and Labrador Park. Error bars represent standard errors and n = 18. Water quality parameters included: A. aluminium B. silver C. cadmium D. copper E. iron F. nickel G. lead and H. zinc.



Figure 5: Monthly variations in water quality parameters over one year at Pulau Hantu, Kusu Island and Labrador Park. Error bars represent standard errors and n = 18. Water quality parameters included: A. Chlorophyll *a* B. Ammonia (NH₃) C. Nitrates (NO₃) D. Total Nitrogen (TN) E. Phosphates (PO₄) F. Total phosphates (TP) G. Alkalinity and H. Silicates.



Figure 6: Maximum quantum yield (Fm/Fv) for A. *M.ampliata* B. *P.speciosa* C. *P.sinensis* and D. *P.damicornis*. Error bars represent standard errors and n = 27.



Figure 7: Growth rates for A. *M.ampliata* B. *P.speciosa* C. *P.sinensis* and D. *P.damicornis*. Error bars represent standard errors and n = 9. Please note that the growth rate for *P.damicornis* is in cm mo⁻¹ whereas the growth rates for the other three coral species was in cm² mo⁻¹



Figure 8: Symbiont density for A. *M.ampliata* B. *P.speciosa* C. *P.sinensis* and D. *P.damicornis*.. Error bars represent standard errors and n = 18.



Figure 9: Chlorophyll *a* concentrations for A. *M.ampliata* B. *P.speciosa* C. *P.sinensis* and D. *P.damicornis*. Error bars represent standard errors and n = 18.



Figure 10: Mean coral growth rates for months characterised by improved WQI (<-0.1; white bars) and reduced WQI (>0.00; grey bars). Note that coral growth rates for *P.damicornis* is in cm mon⁻¹.