

EDITORIAL published: 21 September 2016 doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01430



Editorial: Research Methods Pedagogy: Engaging Psychology Students in Research Methods and Statistics

Lynne D. Roberts *

School of Psychology and Speech Pathology, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

Keywords: research methods, statistics, pedagogy, teaching, psychology students, learning

The Editorial on the Research Topic

Research Methods Pedagogy: Engaging Psychology Students in Research Methods and Statistics

Across disciplines, many introductory research methods students present as uninterested and unmotivated to learn a topic they see as lacking in relevance (Earley, 2014); psychology is no exception (Ruggeri et al., 2008). This is problematic as research methods and statistics are central to the development of professional competence and evidence based psychological practice. Reflecting this, the ability to interpret, design, and conduct basic psychological research forms part of the "scientific inquiry and critical thinking" goal of the *APA Guidelines for the Undergraduate Psychology Major: Version 2.0* (American Psychological Association, 2016), with research methods and statistics a requirement of almost all undergraduate psychology programs (Norcross et al., 2016). Furthermore, the ability to interpret and apply research findings contributes to the development of psychological literacy, the primary outcome of an undergraduate education in psychology (Cranney and Dunn, 2011). This Research Topic brings together current research, innovative evidence-based practice and critical discourse related to engaging undergraduate psychology students in learning quantitative and qualitative methods research.

In the first of fourteen articles, Lacot et al. present a perspective on two methods of stimulating first year undergraduate students' interest in research methods within an introductory psychology course, with the aim of promoting critical thinking about research right from the beginning of the undergraduate degree. Teaching students to critically question what they hear and read, to "evidence check" and propose ways of testing are important components underlying the development of research methods competence.

While interest in research methods can be stimulated in introductory psychology course, most teaching of research methods occurs within dedicated research methods courses. Three articles explore the role of active learning within research methods courses in psychology, a strategy with demonstrated effectiveness for increasing student performance across science, technology, engineering and mathematics courses (Freeman et al., 2014). Allen and Baughman report that in comparison to students in didactic workshops, students in activity based workshops demonstrated greater knowledge of, and confidence in using, research methods, but did not differ in their satisfaction with the learning experience. Rock et al. describe how eLearning systems might be used to actively engage psychology students in research methods and statistics through the application of eLearning pedagogical principles, providing examples of teaching advanced research methods within a virtual world. Lim et al. report that retrieval practice produces better long-term retention of statistical knowledge than does repeated studying. These articles share a focus on the benefits of

OPEN ACCESS

Reviewed by:

Douglas Kauffman, Boston University School of Medicine, USA

> *Correspondence: Lynne D. Roberts lynne.roberts@curtin.edu.au

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Educational Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 07 July 2016 Accepted: 07 September 2016 Published: 21 September 2016

Citation:

Roberts LD (2016) Editorial: Research Methods Pedagogy: Engaging Psychology Students in Research Methods and Statistics. Front. Psychol. 7:1430. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01430 actively engaging psychology undergraduate students in learning research methods and statistics.

Structural knowledge of research methods can be developed through formal methodology training (Balloo et al., 2016). In an original research article Allen et al. identify a key area of difficulty for psychology students is selecting appropriate statistical tests for analysing data. Provided with a range of vignettes depicting common research scenarios, undergraduate psychology students struggled to articulate a systematic decision making process for selecting statistical tests. This finding highlights the need for a statistical decision making aid based on decision-tree logic to support student learning. Building on this, Allen et al.'s technology report introduces a free mobile app, (Allen et al., 2015), designed to do just that. StatHand guides students through a systematic process for identifying an appropriate statistical test for a wide range of research scenarios, scaffolding learning through a focus on the structural features of research scenarios.

Two further articles focus on the use of technology to support student learning of research methods and statistics. Ellis and Merdian highlight the use of dynamic and interactive data visualizations using the open-source statistical software R with a range of packages, such as (Chang et al., 2015), designed for this purpose. These packages enable teachers and students to modify visualizations in real time through, for example, selecting subsets of data to examine and compare, or manipulating inputs. Dynamic, interactive data visualizations have the potential to replace the static graphical illustrations commonly used in teaching research methods statistics. Moreau provides a list of recommended online resources that can be accessed by academics wishing to incorporate dynamic integrative data visualizations into their own teaching of research methods to enhance student learning.

A series of three articles by Perezgonzalez focus on the Null Hypothesis Significant Testing (NHST) controversy as it applies to the teaching of statistics in psychology. Once described as "surely the most bone-headedly misguided procedure ever institutionalized in the rote training of science students" (Rozeboom, 1997, p. 335), NHST remains a commonly used analytic approach in psychology, although now with requirements for the addition of effect sizes, confidence intervals and descriptive text (American Psychological Association, 2009). In the first opinion piece, Perezgonzalez addresses theoretical misinterpretations regarding statistical significance. This is followed by a general commentary article on the use of *p*-values, where he illustrates the differences in interpretation depending upon whether a percentile or probability heuristic is used. The third review article presents a tutorial for teaching hypothesis testing theories. Working from a different perspective Aksentijevic presents statistics anxiety in psychology students as a rational response to myths about the nature of probability and statistics. In his perspective piece Aksentijevic suggests the focus needs to shift away from NHST to the larger role of statistics in research.

Quantitative research methods and statistics predominate in the teaching of research methods within psychology. Perhaps reflecting this, only one article in this research topic focused on teaching qualitative methods. Roberts and Castell examine third year undergraduate psychology students' attitudes to qualitative research. Students viewed qualitative research as a paradigmatic shift requiring new ways of thinking about research that was alternatively construed as a threat or an advantage. Roberts and Castell advocate for the integration of teaching of qualitative and quantitative research methods to reduce the perceived dichotomy between the two.

Rounding off this special issue is an opinion piece on scientific integrity. Schoenherr argues for the explicit inclusion of scientific integrity in the undergraduate psychology curriculum. The importance of this is highlighted by research identifying research misconduct and questionable research practices by psychology students (Rajah-Kanagasabai and Roberts, 2015).

The articles in this research topic contribute to what has been referred to as the "under-researched and under-developed" pedagogical culture for teaching research methods in the social sciences (Lewthwaite and Nind, 2016). The combination of strategies, practices, and recommended software provides psychology academics with new avenues for teaching research methods and supporting student learning. As found by Allen and Baughman, and reported previously by Sizemore and Lewandowsky (2009), better outcomes do not necessarily equate with more positive attitudes toward research methods. These findings highlight the importance of addressing attitudes in addition to increasing students' knowledge and application of research methods.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and approved it for publication.

REFERENCES

- Allen, P., Roberts, L., Baughman, F., van Rooy, D., Rock, A., and Loxton, N. (2015). StatHand [computer software]. Sydney: Office for Learning and Teaching. Available online at: https://www.stathand.net/
- American Psychological Association (2009). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- American Psychological Association (2016). Guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major: Version 2.0. Am. Psychol. 71, 102–111. doi: 10.1037/a0037562

Balloo, K., Pauli, R., and Worrell, M. (2016). Individual differences in psychology undergraduates' development of research methods knowledge and skills. *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.* 217, 790–800. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.02.147

Chang, W., Cheng, J., Allaire, J., Xie, Y., and McPherson, J. (2015). *Shiny: Web Application Framework for R.* R package version 0.11, 1.

Cranney, J., and Dunn, D. S. (2011). The Psychologically Literate Citizen: Foundations and Global Perspectives. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Earley, M. A. (2014). A synthesis of the literature on research methods education. *Teach. High. Educ.* 19, 242–253. doi: 10.1080/13562517.2013.860105

Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., et al. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science,

engineering, and mathematics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 8410–8415. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319030111

- Lewthwaite, S., and Nind, M. (2016). Teaching research methods in the social sciences: expert perspectives on pedagogy and practice. *Br. J. Educ. Stud.* 1–17. doi: 10.1080/00071005.2016.1197882
- Norcross, J. C., Hailstorks, R., Aiken, L. S., and Pfund, R. A. (2016). Undergraduate study in psychology: curriculum and assessment. Am. Psychol. 71, 89–101. doi: 10.1037/a0040095
- Rajah-Kanagasabai, C. J., and Roberts, L. D. (2015). Predicting self-reported research misconduct and questionable research practices in university students using an augmented Theory of Planned Behavior. *Front. Psychol.* 6:535. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00535
- Rozeboom, W. W. (1997). "Good science is abductive, not hypotheticodeductive," in What if there were no Significance Tests? eds L. L. Harlow, S. A. Mulaik, and J. H. Steiger (Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum), 335–392.

- Ruggeri, K., Dempster, M., Hanna, D., and Cleary, C. (2008). Experiences and expectations: the real reason nobody likes stats. *Psychol. Teach. Rev.* 14, 74–83.
- Sizemore, O. J., and Lewandowsky, G. W. (2009). Learning might not equal liking: research methods course changes knowledge but not attitudes. *Teach. Psychol.* 36, 90–95. doi: 10.1080/00986280902739727

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Roberts. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.