Myocardial Infarction: Sex Differences in Symptoms Reported to Emergency

Dispatch

Linda L. Coventry RN, MS, PhD candidate^{1, 2}

Alexandra P. Bremner BSc(Hons), DipEd, GradDipAppStats, PhD²

Ian G. Jacobs BAppSc, DipEd, PhD, RN, FRCNA, FACAP, FERC^{1,3}

Judith Finn RN, RM ICCert, DipAppSc, BSc, MEdSt, GradDipPH, PhD, FRCNA^{1,3}

Affiliations:

¹ Discipline of Emergency Medicine, School of Primary, Aboriginal and Rural Health Care,

The University of Western Australia

² School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Science, The

University of Western Australia

³ St John Ambulance (Western Australia), Perth, Western Australia, Australia

Address for correspondence:

Linda Coventry, Discipline of Emergency Medicine (M516), The University of Western

Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA, 6009, Australia

Email: lcoventry@meddent.uwa.edu.au

Phone: +61 8 9346 4354

Fax: +61 8 9346 1665

Key words: emergency medical service, myocardial infarction, symptoms, sex difference,

emergency medical dispatch

Abstract

Background

Emergency management of myocardial infarction (MI) is time critical, because improved patient outcomes are associated with reduced time from symptom onset to definitive care. Previous studies have identified that women are less likely to present with chest pain. We sought to measure the effect of sex on symptoms reported to the ambulance dispatch and ambulance times for MI patients.

Methods

The Western Australia Emergency Department Information System (EDIS) was used to identify patients with emergency department (ED) diagnoses of MI (STEMI and NSTEMI) who arrived by ambulance between Jan 1 2008 and Oct 31 2009. Their emergency telephone calls to the ambulance service were transcribed to identify presenting symptoms. Ambulance operations data were used to examine ambulance times. Sex differences were analysed using univariable and age-adjusted multivariable techniques.

Results

Of 3,329 MI patients who presented to Perth EDs, 2,100 (63.1%) arrived by ambulance. After pre-defined exclusions, 1,681 emergency calls were analysed. Women (n=621, 36.9%) were older than men (p<0.001) and even after age-adjustment were less likely to report chest pain (OR=0.70; 95% CI 0.57, 0.88).

After age-adjustment, ambulance times did not differ between male and female patients with chest pain. Women with chest pain were less likely than men to be allocated a 'priority 1' (lights and sirens) ambulance response (OR=0.39; 95% CI 0.18, 0.87).

Conclusion

Ambulance dispatch officers (and paramedics) need to be aware of potential sex differences in MI presentation in order to ensure appropriate ambulance response.

1. Background

Current guidelines^{1,2} recommend prompt activation of the emergency medical service (EMS) if a person is experiencing symptoms suggestive of a myocardial infarction (MI). The emergency ambulance is usually the quickest mode of transport to hospital, especially in urban areas. In addition, paramedics are trained to initiate treatment and equipped to treat life threatening cardiac arrhythmias should they occur. The time from onset of MI symptoms to treatment in a hospital influences survival, and rapid reperfusion of an obstructed coronary artery is associated with reduced mortality.³ Additionally, current Australian and American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines for the treatment of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) recommend the time from first medical contact to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) to be less than 90 minutes,⁴ with suggestions EMS to PCI time should be less than 90 minutes as well.^{4,5,7} Studies have consistently shown that this rarely occurs,^{8,9} with pre-hospital median delays ranging from 84 to over 400 minutes.⁹

Many studies have investigated reasons for delay in seeking treatment ^{9,10} and underuse of emergency ambulances ^{11,12} in MI patients. However, no studies were found that have investigated symptoms of MI reported in the telephone call to emergency dispatch as a possible source of delay. Correct recognition of symptoms of an MI is crucial for the ambulance dispatcher to allocate a 'priority 1' (lights and sirens) ambulance response. Most ambulance dispatch systems are primed to rapidly respond to mention of chest pain as a symptom, but not all MI patients experience chest pain as a symptom. ¹³ Previous studies have identified women are less likely to present with chest pain ^{14,15} and have prolong prehospital delays. ^{8,9} Our primary aim was to compare symptoms, in particular chest pain, reported during the emergency telephone call for men and women with MI. We also compared the relationship between symptoms reported and ambulance response in men and women, with adjustment for age.

2. Methods

Study Setting and Cohort

This retrospective cohort study reviewed emergency telephone calls of all adult patients with an emergency department (ED) discharge diagnosis of MI transported by St John Ambulance (SJA) in Western Australia (WA) to one of the seven Perth metropolitan EDs between January 1 2008 and October 31 2009. The Perth metropolitan area covers an area over 5,000 square kilometers 16 and has a population of 1.7 million, with 9.2% aged \geq 65 years and 3% aged ≥ 75 years. ¹⁷ Two and a half per cent of the population are indigenous (Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander), with the overseas born population largely of Asian (23%) and European (19%) descent. ¹⁷ SJA(WA) is the single provider of prehospital emergency care and transport for WA and is staffed by paramedics who attend over 200,000 calls per year. ¹⁸ In WA (as for the whole of Australia) the emergency telephone number is 'triple zero' ('000'). ¹⁸ Calls are answered by an operator who asks whether the emergency requires 'police, fire or ambulance'. Calls requesting 'ambulance' are immediately transferred to the Ambulance Operations Centre located at SJA(WA) headquarters in Perth. All telephone calls are recorded and stored permanently. At the time of the study SJA(WA) ambulance dispatch operators completed a two week in-house course in dispatch and prioritizing ambulances. The dispatch operators were not medically trained. The dispatch operator followed a written in-house protocol asking a series of scripted questions that establish location of the incident, phone number of the caller, chief complaint, and other complaint-specific questions. A Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) System automatically records time the call was received, time the call was dispatched to the ambulance, and time the ambulance arrived on scene, departed the scene and arrived at the hospital. A priority is allocated to each call: 'Priority 1' (lights and sirens) response represents an emergency; 'Priority 2' response represents an urgent call; 'Priority 3' response represents a non-urgent call.¹⁸ Priority allocation from scene to hospital is determined by the paramedic, based on

patient's clinical status. During the study period, current practice of paramedics did not include 12-lead electrocardiogram acquisition or direct transfer to a percutaneous coronary intervention laboratory.

All adult patients with an ED discharge diagnosis of MI (STEMI and non-STEMI) who were transported to ED by SJA(WA) during the study period were identified from the Perth Emergency Department Information System (EDIS). EDIS is a real-time patient tracking system containing utilization and patient disposition data for each ED in Perth.¹⁹ The principal clinical diagnosis is a mandatory field entered by clinical staff on patient discharge from ED. The clinical diagnosis is electronically mapped to the International Classification of Diseases Version 10 codes (ICD-10-AM).²⁰

Patient details identified from EDIS were used to retrieve the emergency phone call made to SJA(WA), which was saved as a '.wav' file. Patient symptoms, as described by the caller, were transcribed verbatim by one author (LLC). To assess transcription accuracy a random sample of 200 phone calls were reviewed. Of the 200 phone calls, 197 were accurate indicating 98.5% data entry accuracy. Initial lists of possible symptoms of MI were created from an extensive literature search and clinical expertise, with additional symptoms added as required from the telephone transcripts. Symptoms were coded 'yes' if stated in the telephone call or 'no' if declared absent or not stated. Multiple symptoms for individual patients were possible. Additional information pertaining to the call was collected, including sex of the caller and their relationship to the patient, and location of the call.

The SJA(WA) patient database contains computerised records of all cases attended by ambulances in WA from the CAD system and the paramedic completed patient care record (PCR), which includes dispatch priority, clinical problem codes and clinical management. The EDIS data was linked to the SJA(WA) database, together with the transcribed symptoms from the emergency phone call to SJA. Only the index hospital admission was included for patients who subsequently transferred to a second hospital. Patients were excluded if they

arrived by private transport, Royal Flying Doctor Service, helicopter, or other voluntary transport services.

Statistical Methods

Data were presented as frequencies with percentages, or means \pm standard deviations. Ambulance times were described using means, standard deviations, medians and interquartile ranges. Comparisons of baseline characteristics between men and women were performed using chi-square tests for categorical variables, the Mann-Whitney test for medians, and Student's t tests for continuous variables.

For each symptom of MI, logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) for the symptom being reported by women compared to men. Models were subsequently adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and age/sex interaction was tested. For the symptom chest pain, a stratified analysis that dichotomised age into < 70 years and ≥ 70 years, with men aged < 70 years as the comparison group was also conducted. Age 70 was selected as the cut-off based on previous research which demonstrated that presentation with chest pain is less common in individuals over 70 years of age. 21,22

Linear regression was used to model sex differences in ambulance times for 'chest pain' and patients 'without chest pain'. Because model residuals were skewed, time intervals were log transformed. Beta coefficients (and 95% CIs) that estimate the difference in mean log time were reported. For 'chest pain' and patients 'without chest pain' logistic regression was used to compare the odds of women being dispatched as a 'priority 1' (lights and sirens) ambulance response with those of men. Both linear and logistic regression modelling included adjustment for age (as a continuous variable) and testing for age/sex interaction.

All statistical analyses were performed in PASW Release Version 17.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., 2008, Chicago, IL, www.spss.com). Two-sided p-values <0.05 were considered

significant. Ethics approval was obtained for this study from the University of Western Australia, number RA/4/1/2428.

3. Results

During the study period, January 2008 to October 2009, of the 3,329 (women, n = 1115; men, n = 2214) patients who were discharged from a Perth ED with a diagnosis of MI, 63.1% (women, n = 759; men, n = 1341) arrived by ambulance. More women (68%) than men (60%) arrived by ambulance (p<0.001). Following exclusions, as detailed in Figure 1, 1,681 emergency telephone calls to SJA(WA) were analyzed. Of these, 621 (36.9%) were female patients.

Women were older than men (mean age 77.6 vs. 69.1 years, p<0.001). Differences in the relationship of caller to patient and the location of patient at time of the emergency call were found between men and women (Table 1).

Sex differences in chest pain reported by MI patients in the emergency telephone call

As shown in Table 2, women were less likely than men to report chest pain (54% vs. 69%; OR=0.54; 95% CI 0.44, 0.67; p<0.001), even after age adjustment (OR=0.70; 95% CI 0.57, 0.88; p=0.002). There was no significant interaction between sex and age (p=0.10), however in age-stratified analysis, men \geq 70 years (OR=0.48; 95% CI 0.37, 0.63; p<0.001) and women \geq 70 years (OR=0.28; 95% CI 0.22, 0.37; p<0.001) were less likely to have reported chest pain when compared with men < 70 years.

Differences in other symptoms reported by MI patients in the emergency telephone call

As shown in Table 2, women were more likely than men to present with vomiting (7.4% vs. 4.9%; OR=1.55; 95% CI 1.03, 2.34; p=0.04), even after age adjustment (OR=1.57; 95% CI 1.02, 2.41; p=0.04). Greater odds of vomiting in women persisted in subgroup analyzes of chest pain only patients, but not in patients who did not report chest pain.

In a subgroup analysis of patients who did not report chest pain there were no statistically significant differences between other reported symptoms. The most common symptoms in this subgroup included: shortness of breath (38% women vs. 32% men); a fall (17% women vs. 11% men); syncope, collapse or unconsciousness (17% women vs. 18% men); feeling unwell (11% women vs. 8% men); weakness (9% women vs. 7% men); confusion (8% women vs. 5% men); and vomiting (8% women vs. 8% men).

Sex differences in Ambulance times

As shown in Figure 2, compared with men, women had statistically significantly longer median on-scene time (19 vs. 17.7 minutes; p<0.001) and call to hospital time (48 vs. 46.5 minutes; p=0.009). The greatest component of pre-hospital time for both men and women was on-scene time.

As shown in Table 3, there were no sex differences in any component of ambulance times, except for on-scene time for the subgroup of MI patients with chest pain . The mean on-scene time for women was one minute longer than it was for men (p=0.001), but after age-adjustment, mean on-scene time did not differ by sex.

Sex differences in priority allocation

As shown in Table 4, women with chest pain were less likely than men to be allocated a 'priority 1' (lights and sirens) ambulance response (98.3% vs. 95.5%; OR=0.36; 95% CI 0.17, 0. 78; p=0.009), even after age-adjustment (OR=0.39; 95% CI 0.18, 0.87; p=0.02). Women were less likely than men to be allocated a 'priority 1' ambulance response from the scene to the hospital (17.5% vs. 25.6%; OR 0.62; 95% CI 0.44, 0.86; p=0.004), but after age adjustment this priority response did not differ by sex.

Sex differences in total time from emergency call to hospital for each priority response

As shown in Table 5, there were no sex differences in median total time from emergency call to hospital for each priority response for patients with and without chest pain. There was an increase in total call to hospital time for those patients allocated a 'priority 2 or 3' response compared to a 'priority 1' response.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze sex differences in symptoms reported during the emergency telephone calls for (ED confirmed) MI patients. Even after age-adjustment women were much less likely than men to report chest pain. Additionally, on-scene time and total ambulance time was found to be statistically significantly longer for women than men. Women were also less likely to have a 'priority 1' ambulance response compared to men, and median total time from emergency call to hospital for men and women who were allocated a 'priority 2 or 3' ambulance response was longer. Finally, less than 2/3 of MI patients utilized EMS for transport to the hospital. Other studies that have examined emergency telephone calls have either not focused on confirmed MI cases or have not investigated sex differences. We feel these findings add to the literature on sex differences in MI patients transported by EMS and offer an opportunity to improve care provided to female MI patients.

Sex differences in chest pain

The reasons why women with MI experience less chest pain and are more likely to report vomiting than men are not entirely understood. Numerous studies have examined sex differences in symptom presentation of MI, but most have abstracted data from medical records or interviewed patients post MI. Recent studies^{23,15} alysis found women were less likely than men to experience chest pain. Men and women with MI may have different locations of obstructing lesions, ^{24,25} and women have been reported to have less obstructive

coronary disease at angiography. ²⁶⁻²⁸ This may lead to different combinations of sympathetic and vagal stimulation resulting in differences in the reporting of pain and vomiting. ^{29,30} Also, it is well known that women with MI are older ^{15,29,26} and have more co-morbid conditions such as diabetes, ^{15,29,26} hypertension ^{15,26,31} and heart failure, ^{15,26,31} and these conditions have been associated with MI without pain. ^{29,32,33} For patients who did not report chest pain, there was no sex differences in the symptoms reported, possibly due to insufficient statistical power due to small numbers. The most common non-chest pain symptoms for both men and women were shortness of breath, a fall, or collapse, syncope or unconsciousness. These symptoms are similar to those found in a study ¹³ from a large multi-centre prospective trial in 1993 of patients presenting to ED with suspected Acute Coronary Syndrome but without chest pain. The most common symptom at presentation in this study was shortness of breath and syncope. ¹³

Sex differences in ambulance times

Although on-scene time and total ambulance time intervals were statistically significantly longer in women compared with men, this is unlikely to be clinically significant. Other studies analysing ambulance times have found the longest component of pre-hospital time is on-scene time. 34-36

Only one study³⁵ reported sex differences in ambulance times and found women were delivered to hospital 2.3 minutes slower on average than men.

Sex differences in priority allocation

For patients with chest pain, even after age adjustment, women were less likely than men to be allocated a 'priority 1' ambulance response Other studies have found women with MI were less likely than men to be allocated an Advanced Life Support ambulance (76% vs. 92%)³⁷ or a 'priority 1' ambulance (67% vs. 81%).³⁸ . As to be expected irrespective of chest pain status we have shown both men and women with MI who were not allocated a 'priority 1' ambulance response had longer median total time from emergency call to hospital. Further

research is required to investigate strategies to better identify patients who do not present with the classic MI symptoms, otherwise they are unlikely to be allocated to a high priority response and thus will have increased delay to definitive treatment.

On closer analysis of specific phone calls the reason why some of the patients with chest pain were not allocated a 'priority 1' ambulance response was they were not experiencing chest pain at time of the emergency call. Some had experienced chest pain, taken sublingual nitrate, and their chest pain had resolved. Several had experienced chest pain earlier and consulted their general practitioner, who identified changes on the electrocardiogram or a positive test for troponin. While telephone dispatchers were instructed to ask if the patient was experiencing chest pain at time of the call, some dispatchers allocated a 'priority 2' response to patients if their chest pain had resolved, contrary to existing SJA(WA) policy. Even though allocating a 'priority 2' response was incorrect, after these 19 calls were removed from the analysis, we found no difference in 'priority 1' response between men and women. Recently, SJA(WA) has introduced dispatch protocols (Medical Priority Dispatch System³⁹ – Version 12 AUE-std) with specific scripted dispatcher-asked questions, which ensures all patients who have had chest pain are allocated a 'priority 1' response. Finally, this study found a persistent underuse of emergency ambulance for the whole cohort (63%), with significantly more women (68%) than men (60%) using an ambulance. This finding is comparable to the 53% to 70% of MI patients transported by emergency ambulances in other studies. 11,12 Also, consistent with other studies, women were more often transported by ambulance than men, possibly a reflection of the fact women tended to be older. ^{12,40} Nonetheless, reasons why more than 35% of all MI patients in Perth chose not to call an ambulance is of concern and warrants further exploration. It is recommended that all patients experiencing symptoms of an MI call the emergency ambulance. 1,2

Limitations

The strength of our study is that it is a population-based cohort of patients with ED-confirmed MI (STEMI / nonSTEMI), drawn from all public hospital EDs in the Perth metropolitan area. Whilst we are confident in sex differences found in MI patients experiencing chest pain, some caution is required in the interpretation of sex differences in other symptoms, including vomiting. It is important to note that the ambulance dispatch officer did not attempt to elicit the full spectrum of symptoms experienced by the patient. If chest pain was mentioned, the need for a 'priority 1' response was established and further questioning about other symptoms was unlikely. As such, patients without chest pain were likely to report more of the other symptoms.

Also, SJA(WA) had no formalised or routine call taker quality assurance program undertaken during the study period. Variations may have been caused by different subjective interpretations of the symptoms reported between dispatch operators. We also need to highlight 'the caller' was often not a first party caller (self) and the majority of calls were from a second or third party caller. In three other studies 41-43 the first party caller was between 5 – 11%, as such we would suggest our data is similar. Another limitation is that we did not include MI patients for whom an ambulance was called, but were pronounced dead by the paramedics and not admitted to ED. Whilst unlikely, it is possible that such patients may have reported a different pattern of symptoms. Also the ambulance record (n=26) and the emergency telephone call (n=198) were missing for a number of patients and could not be analyzed.

We were also unable to identify patient co-morbid conditions or location of the obstructed coronary artery that may be associated with atypical symptom presentation of MI. However, our primary research question was to determine sex differences in symptoms (particularly chest pain) during the emergency telephone in MI patients and examine the effect of such differences on ambulance response.

4. Conclusion

During the emergency telephone call for an ambulance, women with an ED confirmed diagnosis of MI were less likely than men to report chest pain as a symptom. Women with chest pain were also less likely to be allocated a 'priority 1' (lights and sirens) ambulance response. Overall ambulance times did not differ between men and women, although women had a marginally longer on-scene time. Ambulance dispatch officers and paramedics need to be aware of the potential sex differences in MI presentation, in order to ensure appropriate ambulance response.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the contribution of Associate Professor Nick Gibson from the Discipline of Emergency Medicine, University of Western Australia for assistance with accessing and analysis of EDIS data. Appreciation is also expressed to Mr Julian Smith and the operational Team Leaders from St John Ambulance (WA) for their assistance with ambulance call data; and to Ms Laraine Salo of the WA Pre-hospital Care Research Unit for her assistance in accessing ambulance data.

Declaration of interest

Linda Coventry and Alexandra Bremner have no conflict of interest to disclose.

This study was undertaken as part of Linda Coventry's PhD programme of research, supervised by Judith Finn, Alexandra Bremner and Ian Jacobs.

Ian Jacobs has the following conflict to disclose:

Ian is the Clinical Services Director, St John Ambulance (Western Australia).

Judith Finn has the following conflict to disclose:

Judith receives partial salary support from St John Ambulance (WA) for the purpose of conducting pre-hospital research, however SJA(WA) played no role in the study design, conduct, or interpretation of results.

The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

Funding

This manuscript was not funded by any grant.

References

- 1. Jacobs AK, Antman EM, Faxon DP, Gregory T, Solis P: Development of Systems of Care for ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients: Executive Summary. Circulation 2007:116:217-230.
- 2. Tubaro M, Danchin N, Goldstein P, Filippatos G, Hasin Y, Heras M, Jansky P, Norekval TM, Swahn E, Thygesen K, Vrints C, Zahger D, Arntz HR, Bellou A, de La Coussaye JE, de Luca L, Huber K, Lambert Y, Lettino M, Lindahl B, McLean S, Nibbe L, Peacock WF, Price S, Quinn T, Spaulding C, Tatu-Chitoiu G, van de Werf F: Pre-hospital treatment of STEMI patients. A scientific statement of the Working Group Acute Cardiac Care of the European Society of Cardiology. Acute Card Care 2011;13:56-67.
- 3. Brodie BR, Stuckey TD, Wall TC, Kissling G, Hansen CJ, Muncy DB, Weintraub RA, Kelly TA: Importance of time to reperfusion for 30-day and late survival and recovery of left ventricular function after primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;32:1312-1319.
- 4. Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Halasyamani LK, Hochman JS, Krumholz HM, Lamas GA, Mullany CJ, Pearle DL, Sloan MA, Smith SC, Jr., Writing Committee M, Anbe DT, Kushner FG, Ornato JP, Jacobs AK, Adams CD, Anderson JL, Buller CE, Creager MA, Ettinger SM, Halperin JL, Hunt SA, Lytle BW, Nishimura R, Page RL, Riegel B, Tarkington LG, Yancy CW: 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: Developed in Collaboration With the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians: 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Writing on Behalf of the 2004 Writing Committee. Circulation 2008; January 15, 2008;117:296-329.
- 5. O'Connor RE, Brady W, Brooks SC, Diercks D, Egan J, Ghaemmaghami C, Menon V, O'Neil BJ, Travers AH, Yannopoulos D: Part 10: Acute Coronary Syndromes. Circulation 2010; November 2, 2010;122:S787-S817.
- 6. Aroney CN, Aylward P, Kelly A, Chew DPB, Clune E: Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes 2006. Med J Aust 2006;184:S1-S30.
- 7. Cheskes S, Turner L, Foggett R, Huiskamp M, Popov D, Thomson S, Sage G, Watson R, Verbeek R: Paramedic Contact to Balloon in Less than 90 Minutes: A Successful Strategy for St-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Bypass to Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in a Canadian Emergency Medical System. Prehosp Emerg Care 2011;15:490-498.
- 8. Gartner C, Walz L, Bauernschmitt E, Ladwig K: The causes of prehospital delay in myocardial infarction. Deutsches Arzteblatt International 2008;105:286-91.
- 9. Nguyen H, Saczynski J, Gore J, Goldberg R: Age and sex differences in duration of prehospital delay in patients with acute myocardial infarction a systematic review. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality Outcomes 2010;3:82-92.
- 10. Herlitz J, WireklintSundstrom B, Bang A, Berglund A, Svensson L, Blomstrand C: Early identification and delay to treatment in myocardial infarction and stroke: differences and similarities. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2010;18:48.
- 11. Goldberg RJ, Kramer DG, Yarzebski J, Lessard D, Gore JM: Prehospital transport of patients with acute myocardial infarction: A community-wide perspective. Heart Lung 2008;37:266-274.
- 12. Mathews R, Peterson ED, Li S, Roe MT, Glickman SW, Wiviott SD, Saucedo JF, Antman EM, Jacobs AK, Wang TY: Use of Emergency Medical Service Transport Among

- Patients With ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 2011; June 20, 2011.
- 13. Coronado BE, Pope JH, Griffith JL, Beshansky JR, Selker HP: Clinical features, triage, and outcome of patients presenting to the ED with suspected acute coronary syndromes but without pain: A multicenter study. Am J Emerg Med 2004;22:568-574.
- 14. Canto JG, Goldberg RJ, Hand MM, Bonow RO, Sopko G, Pepine CJ, Long T: Symptom presentation of women with acute coronary syndromes. Arch Intern Med 2007;167:2405-2413.
- 15. Coventry LL, Finn J, Bremner AP: Sex differences in symptom presentation in acute myocardial infarction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart & Lung: the Journal of Acute and Critical Care 2011;40:477-491.
- 16. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Stats Talk WA. Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra. 2010 (Accessed 07 October 2011, at
- $\frac{\text{http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Previousproducts/1304.5Main\%20Features7M}{\text{ar\%202010?opendocument\&tabname=Summary\&prodno=1304.5\&issue=Mar\%202010\&num=\&view=).}$
- 17. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Population by Age and Sex, Regions of Australia Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra.2010 (Accessed 21 September 2011, at http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Products/3235.0~2010~Main+Features~Western+A ustralia?OpenDocument).
- 18. St John Ambulance Western Australia. Belmont.2011 (Accessed 14 March 2011, at www.ambulance.net.au/).
- 19. Western Australian Department of Health. Emergency Department Data Collection. East Perth.2007 (Accessed Sept 30 2011, at
- http://www.health.wa.gov.au/healthdata/docs/EDDC_dictionary.pdf).
- 20. National Centre for Classification in Health, The international statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, tenth revision, Australian modification (ICD-10-AM) 6th ed. Sydney: University of Sydney, 2008.
- 21. Hwang SY, Park EH, Shin ES, Jeong MH: Comparison of Factors Associated with Atypical Symptoms in Younger and Older Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. J Korean Med Sci 2009;24:789-794.
- 22. Milner KA, Funk M, Richards S, Vaccarino V, Krumholz HM: Symptom predictors of acute coronary syndromes in younger and older patients. Nurs Res 2001;50:233-241.
- 23. Canto JGMDM, Rogers WJMD, Goldberg RJP, Peterson EDMDMPH, Wenger NKMD, Vaccarino VMDP, Kiefe CIMDP, Frederick PDMPHMBA, Sopko GMDMPH, Zheng Z-JMDP, for the NI: Association of Age and Sex With Myocardial Infarction Symptom Presentation and In-Hospital Mortality. JAMA 2012;307:813-822.
- 24. Čulić V, Mirić D, Eterović D: Correlation between symptomatology and site of acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol 2001;77:163-168.
- 25. Lichstein E, Breitbart S, Shani J, Hollander G, Greengart A: Relationship between location of chest pain and site of coronary artery occlusion. Am Heart J 1988;115:564-568.
- 26. Wenger N, Shaw L, Vaccarino V: Coronary heart disease in women: Update 2008. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;83:37-51.
- 27. Shaw L, Shaw R, Merz N, Brindis R, Klein L, Nallomothu B, Douglas M, Krone R, McKay C, Block P, Hewitt K, Weintraub W, Peterson E: Impact of ethnicity and gender differences on angiographic coronary artery disease prevalence and in-hospital mortality in the American college of cardiology-national cardiovascular data registry. Circulation 2008:117:1787-1801.
- 28. Nguyen P, Nag D, Wu J: Sex differences in the diagnostic evaluation of coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol 2011;18:144-152.

- 29. El-Menyar A, Zubaid M, Sulaiman K, AlMahmeed W, Singh R, Alsheikh-Ali AA, Al Suwaidi J: Atypical presentation of acute coronary syndrome: A significant independent predictor of in-hospital mortality. J Cardiol 2011;57:165-171.
- 30. Arslanian-Engoren C, Engoren M: Physiological and anatomical bases for sex differences in pain and nausea as presenting symptoms of acute coronary syndromes. Heart & Lung: The Journal of Acute and Critical Care 2010;39:386-393.
- 31. Vaccarino V, Parsons L, Peterson ED, Rogers WJ, Kiefe CI, Canto J: Sex Differences in Mortality After Acute Myocardial Infarction Changes From 1994 to 2006. Arch Intern Med 2009;169:1767-1774.
- 32. Kosuge M, Kimura K, Ishikawa T, Ebina T, Hibi K, Tsukahara K, Kanna M, Iwahashi N, Okuda J, Nozawa N, Ozaki H, Yano H, Nakati T, Kusama I, Umemura S: Differences between men and women in terms of clinical features of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction. Circ J 2006;70:222-226.
- 33. Canto JG, Shlipak MG, Rogers WJ, Malmgren JA, Frederick PD, Lambrew CT, Ornato JP, Barron HV, Kiefe CI: Prevalence, clinical characteristics, and mortality among patients with myocardial infarction presenting without chest pain. JAMA 2000;283:3223 3229.
- 34. Chughtai H, Ratner D, Pozo M, Crouchman JA, Niedz B, Merwin R, Lahita RG: Prehospital delay and its impact on time to treatment in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Emerg Med 2011;29:396-400.
- 35. Concannon T, Griffith J, Kent D, Normand S, Newhouse J, Atkins J, Beshansky J, Selker H: Elapsed time in emergency medical services for patients with cardiac complaints are some patients at greater risk for delay? Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality Outcomes 2009;2:9-15.
- 36. Grzybowski M, Zalenski R, Ross M, Bock B: A prediction model of prehospital triage of patients with suspected cardiac ischemia. J Electrocardiol 2000;33:253-58.
- 37. Gellerstedt M, Bång A, Andréasson E, Johansson A, Herlitz J: Does sex influence the allocation of life support level by dispatchers in acute chest pain? Am J Emerg Med 2010;28:922-927.
- 38. Herlitz J, Starke M, Hansson E, Ringvall E, Karlson B, Waagstein L: Characteristics and outcome among women and men transported by ambulance due to symptoms arousing suspicion of acute coronary syndrome. Medical Science Monitor 2002;8:CR251-CR256.
- 39. National Academies of Emergency Dispatch. Salt Lake City(Accessed 16 December 2011, at http://www.emergencydispatch.org/ResourcesEDS).
- 40. Tymchak W, Armstrong PW, Westerhout CM, Sookram S, Brass N, Fu Y, Welsh RC: Mode of hospital presentation in patients with non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction: Implications for strategic management. Am Heart J 2011;162:436-443.
- 41. Johansson I, Stromberg A, Swahn E: Ambulance use in patients with acute myocardial infarction. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2004;19:5-12.
- 42. Neely K, Norton R, Schmidt T: The strength of specific EMS dispatcher questions for identifying patients with important clinical field findings. Prehosp Emerg Care 2000;4:322-6.
- 43. Sramek M, Post W, Koster RW: Telephone triage of cardiac emergency calls by dispatchers. A prospective study. Br Heart J 1994;71:440 445.