BMC Medical Education

STUDY PROTOCOL





The impact of an interprofessional training ward on the development of interprofessional competencies: study protocol of a longitudinal mixed-methods study

Johanna Mink^{1*}, Anika Mitzkat¹, André L. Mihaljevic¹, Birgit Trierweiler-Hauke¹, Burkhard Götsch², Jochen Schmidt³, Katja Krug¹ and Cornelia Mahler⁴

Abstract

Background: To meet the patients' needs and to provide adequate health care, students need to be prepared for interprofessional collaborative practice during their undergraduate education. On interprofessional training wards (IPTW) undergraduates of various health care professions potentially develop a mutual understanding and improve their interprofessional competencies in clinical practice. To enhance collaboration of 6th-year medical students and nursing trainees in the third year of their vocational training an IPTW (Heidelberger Interprofessionelle Ausbildungsstation – HIPSTA) was implemented at the University Hospital Heidelberg, Germany. On HIPSTA future physicians and nurses take care of the patients self responsibly and in close interprofessional collaboration, supervised by facilitators of both professions. Although there are positive experiences with IPTWs internationally, little is known about the impact of IPTW on the acquisition of interprofessional competencies. For future interprofessional training and implementation of IPTWs evaluation of interprofessional learning and collaborative practice on Germany's first IPTW is of high relevance.

Methods: To evaluate the acquisition of interprofessional competencies the study follows a mixed-methods approach. Quantitative data is collected from undergraduate participants, staff participants and facilitators on HIPSTA (intervention group) and undergraduate participants and staff participants on a comparable 'conventional' ward without special interprofessional training (comparison group) immediately pre and post HIPSTA and, as follow-up, after three to six months (T0, T1, T2), using three questionnaires, namely the University of the West of England Interprofessional Questionnaire (UWE-IP), the Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale (ISVS) and the Assessment of Interprofessional Team Collaboration Scale (AITCS). Qualitative data is gathered in form of interviews and focus groups based on semi structured guidelines, video recordings of handovers and overt non-participant observations of daily rounds. Quantitative data will be analysed in a longitudinal comparison, presented descriptively and tested with an analysis of variance. Qualitative data will be analysed deductively and inductively.

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: Johanna.Mink@med.uni-heidelberg.de

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Department of General Practice and Health Services Research, University Hospital Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 130.3, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Mink et al. BMC Medical Education (2019) 19:48

(Continued from previous page)

Discussion: The results of the evaluation will give insight in undergraduates', staff's and facilitators' experiences and their self-perception of competency development. In addition the results will help identify benefits, challenges and areas for modification when implementing and establishing similar interprofessional training wards.

Keywords: Study protocol, Interprofessional relations, Interprofessional education, Interprofessional collaborative practice, Interprofessional competencies, Interprofessional training ward, Nursing education, Medical education

Introduction

Background

Despite the importance of interprofessional collaborative practice (IPCP) in clinical practice in order to maintain high quality patient care [1], undergraduate education of health care professions in Germany as in many other countries is predominantly monoprofessional [2]. It has also been shown that although teamwork, leadership and interprofessional communication and collaboration have repeatedly been claimed as necessary to overcome the challenges within health care [3], these competencies are not or insufficiently addressed and developed within medical and nursing curricula as well as in the curricula of other health care professions [2]. In order to meet future challenges in health care, undergraduate education that is focused on acquirement of knowledge has to be complemented by a competency-based education that enables students to achieve profession specific goals and a patient centred interprofessional collaborative practice.

For improvement of educational strategies to develop interprofessional competencies these need to be identified and described. Within the past eight years a number of frameworks have been developed which describe interprofessional core competencies [4–6]. All frameworks have in common that they describe domains such as interprofessional communication and teamwork, competencies referring to roles and responsibilities, ethical aspects and conflict resolution, as well as reflection and shared decision making as relevant [7]. Interprofessional education seems to be effective during undergraduate education where it plays a vital role in reducing prejudices [8, 9] and has positive effects on interprofessional and professional identity development [10]. However, it has also been shown that the readiness for interprofessional learning can decrease over time [8, 11]. Since experience based learning is a relevant aspect for competency development [12, 13], especially for the development of interprofessional competencies [14], educational strategies are required that give the learners the opportunity not only to learn about interprofessional collaborative practice in a classroom setting but to experience interprofessional collaborative practice in real life [15]. Accordingly learning on an interprofessional training ward (IPTW) is regarded as a good opportunity to acquire interprofessional competencies [16].

In order to enable interprofessional learning and collaborative practice within the undergraduate education of the health professions nursing and medicine, in spring 2017 an interprofessional training ward (IPTW) named "Heidelberger Interprofessionelle Ausbildungsstation" (HIPSTA) was implemented in the Department of General, Visceral and Transplantation Surgery of the University Hospital Heidelberg, being the first of its kind in Germany [17]. It is a two-room section within a surgical ward, where patient care is delivered by medical and nursing undergraduates together and self-responsibly, supervised by facilitators of the respective professions (physicians/nurses). These two IPTW rooms are integrated in a surrounding surgical ward, whose staff is responsible for the HIPSTA patients during the nights and weekends (surrounding ward). For comparison a further 'conventional' surgical ward in the same department, without special interprofessional training, is integrated in the study (comparison ward).

Positive outcomes of interprofessional training wards regarding clarification of the own and the others' professional role as well as the understanding and appreciation of teamwork have mainly been described in Scandinavian countries, where placements on interprofessional training wards have been an integral part of the curricula across the health care programmes for over 20 years [18–24]. Hence, the workplace setting is regarded as an area where interprofessional competencies can be acquired and developed within interprofessional collaborative practice, but still little is known about how these competencies are acquired.

Research questions

- How do interprofessional competencies of undergraduates on HIPSTA (intervention group) develop compared to undergraduates on a 'conventional' surgical ward (comparison group)?
- 2. What influence does HIPSTA have on staff working on the surrounding surgical ward HIPSTA is integrated in (intervention group) compared to colleagues on a 'conventional' surgical ward, who are not affected by HIPSTA (comparison group)?
- 3. What influence does HIPSTA have on the interprofessional competencies of the facilitators?

- 4. What influence does HIPSTA have on the selfperception of the facilitators concerning their teaching competencies?
- 5. Which basic conditions do facilitators and students (intervention group) describe as beneficial or impeding for an interprofessional education setting?
- 6. What kinds of competency gain can be observed during the daily interprofessional rounds and handovers?

Trial design

A prospective mixed methods evaluation is being conducted to systematically gather and assesses initial experiences with the IPTW with self-assessment surveys and observational assessment.

Methods/design

Aim of this study is to assess the development of interprofessional competencies of the undergraduates and staff on HIPSTA (intervention group) compared to undergraduates and staff on the comparison ward (comparison group) based on quantitative and qualitative self-assessment surveys and observational assessment.

Study setting

On HIPSTA, a two-room section within a surgical ward at the Department of General, Visceral and Transplantation Surgery of the University Hospital Heidelberg, Germany, four undergraduate medical interns and four nursing trainees (undergraduate participants) are responsible for patient treatment and care, supervised by nursing and medical facilitators. On the comparison ward at the same department 'conventional' patient care is delivered by medical and nursing staff and undergraduates without special interprofessional training.

Study design

To answer research questions 1–3 a prospective quantitative approach with questionnaires will be conducted on HIPSTA (intervention group) and on the 'conventional' surgical ward (comparison group). To get an in-depth insight in competency development, beneficial or impeding factors and experiences in general (questions 1–6) interviews and focus groups will be performed with undergraduate participants and facilitators on HIPSTA and with staff participants on the surrounding ward (intervention group), and hand-over video documentations and structured ward round observations will be performed on HIPSTA.

Interventions

During their four weeks placement on HIPSTA the nursing and medical undergraduates are responsible for preand post-surgical inpatient treatment of six patients in two rooms on a visceral-surgical ward, in shift work (early and late shift). They all participate in an introduction day immediately before placement, where they can get to know each other and learn about some relevant aspects of the daily practice on HIPSTA. During the placement they work self responsibly and in close interprofessional collaboration, supervised by two facilitators, one of each profession (nurse / physician). Undergraduate participants work in a tandem (nursing and medical), each tandem being responsible for three patients. Alongside their profession specific daily routine, they conduct daily rounds and handovers and plan, deliver and reflect on patient treatment together in an interprofessional team. The facilitators supervise their actions mainly passively and only interfere if necessary. They support the undergraduates by encouraging them to autonomous and collaborative decision making and problem solving, as well as by giving them feedback and facilitating reflective processes.

Outcomes

Primary outcome are interprofessional teamwork and collaboration, interprofessional learning and interprofessional interaction as measured with the University of West of England Interprofessional Questionnaire (UWE-IP) [25]. Within a longitudinal comparison between T0, directly before the beginning of HIPSTA, T1, at the end of HIPSTA, and T2, 3 months after the end of HIPSTA, it will be assessed if participants develop more positive attitudes towards these interprofessional aspects due to their placement on the IPTW. Secondary outcomes are the differences in longitudinal comparison between T0, T1, and T2 for participants on the IPTW and on the comparison ward as measured with the "Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale" (ISVS) [26] and the "Assessment of Interprofessional Team Collaboration Scale (AITCS)" [27]; as well as an in-depth insight in and a deeper understanding of the development of interprofessional competencies and beneficial and impeding factors for competency gain as assessed with the help of structured non-participant observations and video analysis of the handovers, focus groups and interviews.

Study sample

All undergraduate participants and staff participants placed or employed on HIPSTA or its surrounding ward (intervention group), or on the comparison ward (comparison group) are included in the study and questioned to participate. To answer the research questions three different participant samples were identified and divided into three levels. Undergraduate participants on HIPSTA and the comparison ward are assigned to level one, staff working on the surrounding ward HIPSTA is integrated in and on the comparison ward is assigned to level two and the facilitators on HIPSTA are assigned to level three. All participants of the study have to be at least 18 years old.

For the medical undergraduates the placement on HIPSTA is part of their surgical four months placement during their practical year, after their study programme and before taking their final exams (sixth and final year of training). In Germany nursing training at present is predominantly not an academic programme. In most cases it is a hospital based 3 year vocational training programme in contrast to medical school programmes which are all university based [28]. Nurse undergraduates on HIPSTA are in their third year of training shortly before their final exams. The facilitators (level 3), nurses with an additional qualification as practical teachers and ward physicians, are prepared briefly beforehand for practical supervision on HIPSTA by members of the project team. This preparation encompasses information on interprofessional collaboration and on the facilitators' tasks of enabling self-responsible working of the undergraduates and supporting them.

Within 15 months 12 consecutive cohorts comprising four medical and four nursing undergraduate participants at a time will be placed on HIPSTA for four weeks. In terms of the pragmatic approach of the study all of the undergraduates employed on HIPSTA and all undergraduates on the comparable ward are integrated in data collection following an exploratory design. By that a sufficient sample size for longitudinal analysis of all three points in time can be achieved, as a high drop-out rate is expected. Hence, an estimated number of about 96 undergraduate participants will be recruited (level 1) within the phase of one year (April 2017 - June 2018). Accordingly, all affected staff members working on the ward HIPSTA is integrated in, and all colleagues working on the comparable ward, as well as all facilitators employed on HIPSTA are recruited within the time of data collection (April 2017 - June 2018). The number of colleagues and facilitators that can be recruited for the study can roughly be projected at 30 to 60 participants, depending on the number of employees working on the wards at the points in time of the survey (levels 2 and 3).

Data collection

Within a mixed methods design quantitative and qualitative data is collected. Staff and facilitators working on HIPSTA and staff working on the comparison ward are informed about the study before the first cohort's placement on HIPSTA and their consent to participate is obtained. Undergraduates are informed about the study by the researchers during the introduction day and their consent to participate is obtained before starting their placement on HIPSTA or on the comparison ward. Besides being informed verbally, staff, facilitators and undergraduates receive an information sheet, which clarifies how their data is used and gives them the contact information on the principle investigator. Since May 2018, in an updated version according to the General Data Protection Regulation, the contact information of the data protection officers of the faculty and of the state is provided. They are informed that their participation in the study is voluntary and that they can withdraw their consent to participate any time. After being informed they can give their consent to participate by signing a

Quantitative data is collected at different points in time for undergraduate participants (level 1), staff participants (level 2) and facilitators (level 3), with a questionnaire consisting of the validated German version of the University of Western England Interprofessional Questionnaire (UWE-IP) [25], the German version of the Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale (ISVS) [26] and the German version of the Assessment of Interprofessional Team Collaboration Scale (AITCS) [27]. The German versions of the ISVS and AITCS are subject to testing of psychometric properties.

consent form.

Three scales of the UWE-IP, each consisting of nine items, are applied: The "Communication and Teamwork Scale" uses a four-point Likert-scale with ratings from 1 = strongly disagree to 4 = strongly agree. Both the "Interprofessional Learning Scale" and the "Interprofessional Interaction Scale" use a five-point Likert scale with ratings from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. Scale scores are calculated by summing items, achieving scores from nine to 36 (communication and teamwork), and nine to 45 for the other two scales.

The ISVS comprises 21 items, each item beginning with *At this point in time, based on my participation in interprofessional education activities and/or clinical practice* ...,. Items can be scored on a seven-point Likert scale with ratings from 1 = not at all to 7 = to a very great extend and a further "0= not applicable" option. Items are summed achieving scores from 0 to 147. The AITCS consists of 37 items, each with the introduction *When we are working as a team* ...,. Items are scored on a five-point Likert scale with ratings from 1 = never to 5 = always, including a response option "no answer". The AITCS consists of three subscales, namely "partnership" (19 items), "cooperation" (11 items) and "coordination" (7 items).

All three questionnaires are administered to the undergraduate participants and the staff participants (medical and nursing) directly before the beginning of HIPSTA, at the end and as follow-up. Hence, all undergraduate participants of each cohort fill out the questionnaires at the beginning of their introduction day (T0), four weeks later, at the last day of their placement (T1) and three months after their placement (T2) (see



Fig. 1 Data collection for undergraduate participants during their 4 week placement on HIPSTA. Points in time, when quantitative and qualitative data will be collected from undergraduate participants of each cohort placed on HIPSTA and on a comparable ward throughout four-weeks of placement and three months follow up

Fig. 1), whereas the staff participants and the facilitators fill them out shortly before the general start of HIPSTA (T0), after about 12–18 months (T1), and six months later as follow up (T2) (see Fig. 2).

Qualitative data comprises audio recorded focus groups and interviews with the undergraduate participants of the intervention group at the end of their placement on HIP-STA and with the facilitators on HIPSTA, based on semi-structured guidelines. Two handovers per cohort are video recorded and two to four daily rounds per cohort are observed overtly by two non-participating researchers using a self-developed assessment sheet, containing items addressing the interprofessional collaboration and communication. Figures 1 and 2 show the schedule for data collection during the implementation of HIPSTA. The four weeks placement on HIPSTA includes the introduction day at the beginning, where study approval of the undergraduate participants is obtained.

Data analysis

Quantitative data will be analysed descriptively (frequencies, mean, SD). Scale scores will be calculated, and differences between time points will be analysed for each of the questionnaires (UWE-IP, ISVS, AITCS) individually (primary outcome - UWE-IP scales) in a longitudinal comparison and tested with an analysis of variance

Level 2		4 4 4 4 weeks weeks weeks 9 – 18 months		6 months	N
Intervention Group (IG) Ward staff participants		IG qualitative data collection: - Semi-structured interviews			
Comparison group (CG) Ward staff participants	ТО		TI		T2
Level 3 Facilitators		IG qualitative data collection: - Regular jour fixe - Focus groups			-

Fig. 2 Data collection for staff participants and facilitators. Points in time, when quantitative and qualitative data will be collected from the staff employed on the ward, HIPSTA is integrated in and on the comparable ward as well as from the facilitators throughout one year of evaluation phase and six months follow up

(ANOVA). Data is analysed with focus on longitudinal and intergroup comparisons concerning cohort, profession and gender, as well as comparisons with the undergraduate and staff participants on the comparable ward without special interprofessional training (comparison group). Qualitative data will be analysed within qualitative content analysis deductively and inductively using the computer-assisted data analysis program MAXODA in order to generate categories for hypothesizing [29]. Inductive analysis enables an in depth insight into participants' experiences and behaviours. Quantitative data can be complemented. The deductive approach is chosen to cluster and compare data, especially in terms of a triangulation with the quantitative data in order to gain deeper insight from multiple perspectives in various chosen aspects.

Discussion

A placement on an interprofessional training ward does not only address interprofessional competencies on a theoretical level but contributes to prepare undergraduate health professionals in a real-life clinical setting for future interprofessional collaborative practice in order to improve patient care. The four weeks placement on HIPSTA facilitates undergraduate health professionals' competency and professional identity development, for example due to responsibility for the patients' well-being, decision making processes with health care team members and patients, and thus clarification of the various roles in the team. The amount of responsibility undergraduate participants have on HIPSTA is unusual in undergraduate education for medical and nursing training in Germany [17, 30]. Furthermore, they normally do not have that many interprofessional encounters and especially do not focus on working together in interprofessional teams [2, 17, 31]. Undergraduate participants on HIPSTA can learn what it means to be responsible for patient care and what role interprofessional collaborative practice and communication play in this context whilst being supervised by facilitators. Not only interprofessional competencies can be developed but it is assumed that the placement on HIPSTA also affects the professional and interprofessional role clarification, due to freedom of decision, responsibility, intense patient contact, being part of an interprofessional team, participating in decision making processes and identifying working areas and interfaces (cf. [10, 18-20]). Thus undergraduate health professionals can learn with, about and from each other within a real life practice setting. Assessing the undergraduate participants' staff participants' and facilitators' experiences can help to identify preconditions that have to be fulfilled for long-term implementation of an interprofessional training ward into the medical and nursing curricula.

Trial status

The placement of the first cohort of undergraduates started on the 10th of April, 2017. At present, questionnaires have been collected from 112 undergraduate participants and 52 staff participants. 5 focus groups with 36 undergraduate participants and 4 focus groups with 9 facilitators, as well as individual interviews with 31 undergraduates and 9 facilitators have been conducted. To date 30 ward rounds and 14 handovers have been observed.

Abbreviations

AITCS: Assessment of Interprofessional Team Collaboration Scale; ANOVA: Analysis of Variance; CG: Comparison Group; HIPSTA: Heidelberger Interprofessionelle Ausbildungsstation; IG: Intervention Group; IPCP: Interprofessional Collaborative Practice; IPE: Interprofessional Education; IPTW: Interprofessional Training Ward; ISVS: Interprofessional Socialization and Valuing Scale; SD: Standard Deviation; UWE-IP: University of Western England Interprofessional Questionnaire

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Gisela Müller and Prof. Dr. med. Markus W. Büchler for supporting the implementation of the Interprofessional Training Ward at the University Hospital Heidelberg.

Funding

Funding was received by a charitable foundation, namely the Robert Bosch Foundation within the "Operation Team – Interprofessionelles Lernen in den Gesundheitsberufen" (funding number: 32.5.A381.0026.0). The funding body was not involved in the design of the study, data collection, analysis, and interpretation of data nor was it involved in the writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

JM: Contributed to conception and design, drafting of the manuscript, final approval of the version to be published; AM1, AM2, KK, CM: Contributed to the conception and design, revised the publication and gave final approval of the version to be published. BT-H, BG, JS: contributed to the design, and gave final approval of the version to be published.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The project received ethics approval from the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the University Heidelberg (S-072/2017). Written consent to participate is obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of General Practice and Health Services Research, University Hospital Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 130.3, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ²Academy of Health Professions Heidelberg, Nursing School, Wieblinger Weg 19, D-69123 Heidelberg, Germany. ³Department of General, Visceral and Transplantation Surgery, University Hospital Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ⁴Department of Nursing Science, University Tübingen, Geissweg 5/1, D-72076 Tuebingen, Germany.

Received: 16 February 2018 Accepted: 29 January 2019 Published online: 07 February 2019

References

- Reeves S, Fletcher S, Barr H, Birch I, Boet S, Davies N, et al. A BEME systematic review of the effects of interprofessional education: BEME guide no. 39. Med Teach. 2016;38(7):656–68.
- Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, Cohen J, Crisp N, Evans T, et al. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. Lancet. 2010;376(9756):1923–58.
- World Health Organisation. Framework for Action on Interprofessional Education & Collaborative Practice. Department of Human Resources for Health, 2010.
- Canadian Interprofessional Health Collaborative. A national interprofessional competency framework. Vancouver BC 2010. www.cihc.ca/files/CIHC_ IPCompetencies_Feb1210.pdf. Accessed 7 Feb 2018.
- Curtin University. Interprofessional capability framework. Faculty of Health Sciences, Perth Curtin University. 2013. https://healthsciences.curtin.edu.au/ wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2017/11/interprofessional_A5_broch_1-29072015.pdf. Accessed 7 Feb 2018.
- Interprofessional Education Collaborative Expert Panel. Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice: report of an expert panel. Washington: Interprofessional Education Collaborative; 2011.
- Rogers GD, Thistlethwaite JE, Anderson ES, Abrandt Dahlgren M, Grymonpre RE, Moran M, et al. International consensus statement on the assessment of interprofessional learning outcomes. Med Teach. 2017;39(4):347-59.
- Pollard K, Miers ME, Gilchrist M. Second year scepticism: pre-qualifying health and social care students' midpoint self-assessment, attitudes and perceptions concerning interprofessional learning and working. J Interprof Care. 2005;19(3):251–68.
- Mahler C, Schwarzbeck V, Mink J, Goetz K. Students' perception of interprofessional education in the bachelor programme "Interprofessional health care" in Heidelberg, Germany: an exploratory case study. BMC Med Educ. 2018;18:19.
- Khalili H. Interprofessional socialization and dual identity development amongst cross-disciplinary students. University of Western Ontario -Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository. 2013; paper 1742.
- Kashner TM, Hettler DL, Zeiss RA, Aron DC, Bernett DS, Brannen JL, et al. Has interprofessional education changed learning preferences? A national perspective. Health Serv Res. 2017;52:23 S.
- 12. Dreyfus S, Dreyfus H. A fife-stage model of the mental activities involved in directed skill acquisition. Berkeley: University of California; 1980.
- Benner P. Using the Dreyfus model of skill acquisition to describe and interpret skill acquisition and clinical judgment in nursing practice and education. Bull Sci Technol Soc. 2004;24(3):188–99.
- Joynes VCT. Defining and understanding the relationship between professional identity and interprofessional responsibility: implications for educating health and social care students. Adv Health Sci Educ. 2018;23(1): 133–49.
- Morison S, Boohan M, Jenkins J, Moutray M. Facilitating undergraduate interprofessional learning in healthcare: comparing classroom and clinical learning for nursing and medical students. Learn Health Soc Care. 2003;2: 92–104.
- 16. Reeves S. Why we need interprofessional education to improve the delivery of safe and effective care. Interface. 2016;20(56):185–96.
- Mihaljevic AL, Schmidt J, Mitzkat A, Probst P, Kenngott T, Mink J, et al. Heidelberger Interprofessionelle Ausbildungsstation (HIPSTA): a practiceand theory-guided approach to development and implementation of Germany's first interprofessional training ward. GMS J Med Educ. 2018;35(3): Doc33.
- Pelling S, Kalen A, Hammar M, Wahlstrom O. Preparation for becoming members of health care teams: findings from a 5-year evaluation of a student interprofessional training ward. J Interprof Care. 2011;25(5):328–32.
- Wilhelmsson M, Pelling S, Ludvigsson J, Hammar M, Dahlgren LO, Faresjo T. Twenty years experiences of interprofessional education in Linkoping-ground-breaking and sustainable. J Interprof Care. 2009;23(2):121–33.
- Jacobsen F, Fink AM, Marcussen V, Larsen K, Hansen TB. Interprofessional undergraduate clinical learning: results from a three year project in a Danish Interprofessional training unit. J Interprof Care. 2009;23(1):30–40.

- Jacobsen F, Larsen K, Hansen TB. This is the closest I have come to being compared to a doctor: views of medical students on clinical clerkship in an Interprofessional training unit. Med Teach. 2010;32(9):399–406.
- 22. Jacobsen F, Hansen TB, Eika B. Knowing more about the other professions clarified my own profession. J Interprof Care. 2011;25(6):441–6.
- Jacobsen F, Lindqvist S. A two-week stay in an Interprofessional training unit changes students' attitudes to health professionals. J Interprof Care. 2009;23(3):242–50.
- Robert Bosch Stiftung. 2017. Operation Team Interprofessionelles Lernen in den Gesundheitsberufen. http://www.bosch-stiftung.de/de/projekt/ operation-team-interprofessionelles-lernen-den-gesundheitsberufen/ projekte. Accessed 14 Feb 2018.
- Mahler C, Berger S, Pollard K, Krisam J, Karstens S, Szecsenyi J, et al. Translation and psychometric properties of the German version of the University of the West of England Interprofessional questionnaire (UWE-IP). J Interprof Care. 2017;31(1):105–9.
- King G, Shaw L, Orchard CA, Miller S. The interprofessional socialization and valuing scale: a tool for evaluating the shift toward collaborative care approaches in health care settings. Work. 2010;35(1):77–85.
- Orchard CA, King GA, Khalili H, Bezzina MB. Assessment of Interprofessional team collaboration scale (AITCS): development and testing of the instrument. J Contin Educ Heal Prof. 2012;32(1):58–67.
- Mahler C, Berger SJ, Karstens S, Campbell S, Roos M, Szecsenyi J. Re-profiling today's health care curricula for tomorrow's workforce: establishing an interprofessional degree in Germany. J Interprof Care. 2015;29(4):386–8.
- Flick U. The Sage handbook of qualitative data analysis. Los Angeles: Sage; 2014.
- Nikendei C, Krautter M, Celebi N, Obertacke U, Jünger J. Final year medical education in Germany. Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundhwes. 2012;106:75–84.
- Walkenhorst U, Mahler C, Aistleithner R, Hahn EG, Kaap-Frohlich S, Karstens S, et al. Position statement GMA Committee--"Interprofessional Education for the Health Care Professions". GMS Z Med Ausbild. 2015;32(2):Doc22.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

