Taylor & Francis Group



Studies in Conservation

ISSN: 0039-3630 (Print) 2047-0584 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ysic20

Characterisation of VOCs Surrounding Naum Gabo's *Construction in Space 'Two Cones*', (Tate) by *in situ* SPME GC-MS Monitoring

Mark Kearney, Ivan Parkin, Joyce H. Townsend, Manuel Hidalgo & Katherine Curran

To cite this article: Mark Kearney, Ivan Parkin, Joyce H. Townsend, Manuel Hidalgo & Katherine Curran (2018) Characterisation of VOCs Surrounding Naum Gabo's *Construction in Space 'Two Cones*', (Tate) by *in situ* SPME GC-MS Monitoring, Studies in Conservation, 63:sup1, 369-371, DOI: <u>10.1080/00393630.2018.1486530</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/00393630.2018.1486530

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



0

Published online: 11 Sep 2018.

گ	

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 46

🛛 View Crossmark data 🗹

POSTER



Characterisation of VOCs Surrounding Naum Gabo's Construction in Space 'Two Cones', (Tate) by in situ SPME GC-MS Monitoring

Mark Kearney ¹, Ivan Parkin², Joyce H. Townsend³, Manuel Hidalgo⁴ and Katherine Curran ¹

¹UCL Institute for Sustainable Heritage, University College London, London, UK; ²Department of Chemistry, University College London, London, UK; ³Conservation Department, Tate, London, UK; ⁴Arkema, Paris, France

ARTICLE HISTORY Received October 2017; Accepted April 2018 **KEYWORDS** GC-MS; SPME; polymer degradation; VOC; modern materials; cellulose acetate

Introduction

Currently, there are few options for *in situ* non-destructive monitoring of the stability of modern polymeric materials within heritage collections. Past research has focused on exploiting the information contained in volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted from an object to understand decay mechanisms or identify the polymer composition (Lattuati-Derieux et al. 2013; Hakkarainen, Albertsson, and Karlsson 1997). The fundamental shortcoming of many previous studies utilising VOC capture is that often they use destructive analysis, elevated temperatures, artificially aged samples or combinations of these during analysis. While these studies have given us a wealth of information regarding decay profiles and key VOCs emitted from specific polymers, they often lack real-world applicability.

Previous work by Curran et al. (2016) has shown that it is possible to capture relevant VOC data from threedimensional polymer objects at room temperature using solid phase microextraction gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (SPME-GC-MS). However, this required pre-concentration of VOCs within Tedlar sealable bags, which introduces risks to the object from poor handling during bagging, and severely limits the size of objects which can be studied. The novelty of the method described here lies in using SPME to undertake a systematic and repeated nondestructive and non-invasive monitoring programme of multiple points-of-interest (POI) around a naturally aged three-dimensional polymer object within its normal storage environment.

The central questions this research aimed to answer were –

• Can key VOC markers for polymer composition or decay be efficiently and confidently detected using SPME-GC-MS in a museum storage environment?

- Can differences between the intensity of key VOC markers be detected from different POIs around the object?
- Can insights into object material condition be detected using SPME-GC-MS?
- What is the potential impact for conservation practice and VOC monitoring?

Materials and methods

Two experimental campaigns were carried out on the constructivist sculpture Construction in Space 'Two Cones' (Tate T02143, 1936, this replica 1968) by the artist Naum Gabo. The work is available for study because it is deemed too deteriorated for display, and currently suffers, among other issues, from warping, crazing, and crystal growth (Figure 1(b)). As such an authorised replica is being used instead for display (Lawson and Cane 2016). The sculpture is principally constructed from cellulose acetate (CA) and is currently loosely packed within a polymer storage crate lined with activated charcoal cloth wrapped around Plastazote foam, a Plastazote base, covered by fabric to prevent dust accumulation, but not enclosed. During each campaign, POIs around the object (see Figure 1(a,b) for locations) were examined by placing an exposed 50/ 30 µm DVB/CAR/PDMS SPME fibre at each POI. A fifth SPME fibre was placed within the room housing the object to measure the background VOCs present. The two experimental campaigns differed in the length of time the SPME fibre was exposed to the object. One campaign was exposed to the object for 24 hours, the other for 7 days. Three replicate measurements were made during each campaign, on different dates. Peak areas were normalised to the peak area of ethylbenzene from a MISA Group 17 Non-Halogen Organic Mix (Sigma Aldrich 48133 Supelco) standard.

CONTACT Mark Kearney a mark.kearney.15@ucl.ac.uk 🕒 UCL Institute for Sustainable Heritage, University College London, Central House, 14 Upper Woburn Place, London WC1H 0NN, UK

 $[\]ensuremath{\mathbb S}$ 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. (a) Shows the POIs where the four fibres were placed around the object. (b) Shows a close-up of the location of fibre two and the condition of the polymer surrounding it. Photos: Mark Kearney. Artwork © Nina and Graham Williams, DACS and Tate 2018.

Results

The results have shown that an exposure time of 24 hours is adequate for the capture of VOCs relating to polymer composition and decay markers (Table 1). VOCs such as acetic acid and phenol, which relate to decay mechanisms, and the plasticisers diethyl phthalate and dimethyl phthalate, were found at each of the POIs examined, though the concentration levels varied at each location.

Another significant result was the apparent differences between POI associated with areas of decay and those POI slightly further away. At both exposure times, the concentration of the four key VOCs, mentioned above, was significantly higher close to an area with visible signs of decay as opposed to the fibres placed inside the storage crate but slightly away from the object – giving two distinct sets of results from the four fibres places around the object.

Possibly the most significant insight was the clear difference between the two areas of decay examined, suggesting different decay mechanisms present on a single object. The area where fibre two was placed had a significantly higher ratio of phenol to acetic acid than where fibre four was placed (Figure 2). This feature was present for both 24 hours and 7 days exposure times, though the ratio was less at 7 days (Table 1). Despite this difference in ratio, the overall concentration of acetic acid was higher at fibre four than at fibre two. Visually, the area centred around fibre two appeared to be more heavily decayed and contained more crystal growth on the polymer surface than fibre four, which had virtually no crystal growth. FTIR analysis was performed on a crystal which had formed on the SPME fibre housing, however, definitive identification was not possible. Results suggested some form of phenyl phosphate plasticiser (tri- or diphenyl phosphate could not be distinguished). These results are also backed up by previous analysis on the object (Townsend, Angelova, and Ormsby 2016) which did show the presence of triphenyl phosphate (TPP). The high levels of phenol could be explained by the work by Shinagawa, Murayama, and Sakaino (1992) who proposed that the decay of TPP, via hydrolysis, led to diphenyl phosphate and phenol. Our results are also in keeping with those of Tsang et al. (2009) who noticed that CA plasticised

Table 1. Normalised peak area results from 24-hour exposure.

Normalised p	eak area's of	4 Key VOCs	from 1-Day	campaign							
Acetic acid	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5	Phenol	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5
Set 1	0.29	0.07	0.01	0.27	0.16	Set 1	0.83	2.81	0.09	0.13	0.14
Set 2	0.43	1.26	0.17	3.38	0.39	Set 2	1.60	6.77	0.32	1.31	0.49
Set 3	0.24	0.83	0.26	2.07	0.32	Set 3	1.21	4.61	0.44	1.21	0.48
Dimethyl	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5	Diethyl	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5
Set 1	n/d	12.56	0.36	0.78	0.43	Set 1	0.20	5.90	0.23	0.24	0.23
Set 2	n/d	16.58	0.94	14.06	2.01	Set 2	0.42	11.25	0.41	3.10	0.76
Set 3	n/d	20.01	1.77	14.20	2.41	Set 3	0.42	9.48	0.72	3.70	0.85
Normalised p	eak area's of	4 Key VOCs	from 7-Day	campaign							
Acetic acid	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5	Phenol	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5
Set 1	0.04	0.15	0.05	0.28	0.09	Set 1	1.05	3.53	0.59	3.31	1.46
Set 2	0.08	0.16	0.08	0.21	0.06	Set 2	1.79	3.53	1.10	2.38	1.00
Set 3	2.75	1.59	1.49	3.06	2.17	Set 3	10.98	10.44	6.09	7.30	6.75
Dimethyl	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5	Diethyl	Fibre 1	Fibre 2	Fibre 3	Fibre 4	Fibre 5
Set 1	0.16	19.23	1.88	15.44	4.28	Set 1	0.60	13.69	0.78	7.24	1.38
Set 2	0.24	19.60	3.10	13.03	3.25	Set 2	0.80	13.99	1.00	6.14	1.01
Set 3	4.33	101.21	58.74	205.94	49.49	Set 3	20.44	126.94	21.76	104.83	17.56



Figure 2. Ratios of phenol to acetic acid for the two exposures. Not the consistent high levels of phenol recorded by fibre two (in black) compared to fibre four (in grey). This suggests that there is something additional driving the emission of phenol in the area covered by fibre two.

with TPP appeared to decay at a faster rate than CA without. Our results, therefore, heavily suggest that we are detecting two distinct decay mechanisms from the two different areas. The higher levels of acetic acid seen at fibre four are generated by the deacetylation of CA, while hydrolysis of TPP leads to higher levels of phenol.

Taken together, these results suggest that SPME is an efficient methodology for monitoring polymer object decay. Our research also provides evidence that monitoring of VOCs emitted from polymer objects either needs to be targeted at specific POIs close to the object, or else generally, with the understanding that VOC 'hot spots' may be missed.

Our work highlights the capabilities of SPME-GC-MS to detect potential differences in decay mechanisms from a single object. Our work also adds further evidence to the theory that CA plasticisers with TPP decay at a faster rate than other forms of the material.

There is also a potential impact for collection care, as our research suggests that current storage practices, such as open storage and charcoal inhibitors for highly decayed polymer objects are insufficient for eliminating VOC build-up in certain areas. Our research points towards the need for better control of the ventilation of troublesome polymer objects kept at room temperature – this would likely take the form of an invasive procedure such as a continuous air flow over the object or periodic flushing of the atmosphere around the object.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (H2020

European Research Council) under grant agreement number 646063 and also this work was supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council: [grant number EP/L016036/1].

ORCID

Mark Kearney b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3986-1153 *Katherine Curran* b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7169-3359

References

- Curran, K., M. Underhill, L. T. Gibson, and M. Strlič. 2016. "The Development of a SPME-GC/MS Method for the Analysis of VOC Emissions from Historic Plastic and Rubber Materials." *Microchemical Journal* 124: 909–918. doi:10.1016/j.microc. 2015.08.027.
- Hakkarainen, M., A.-C. Albertsson, and S. Karlsson. 1997. "Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) as an Effective Means to Isolate Degradation Products in Polymers." *Journal of Environmental Polymer Degradation* 5 (2): 67–73. http:// link.springer.com/10.1007/BF02763589.
- Lattuati-Derieux, A., C. Egasse, S. Thao-Heu, N. Balcar, G. Barabant, and B. Lavédrine. 2013. "What Do Plastics Emit? HS-SPME-GC/MS Analyses of New Standard Plastics and Plastic Objects in Museum Collections." *Journal of Cultural Heritage* 14 (3): 238–247. doi:10.1016/j.culher. 2012.06.005.
- Lawson, L., and S. Cane. 2016. "Do Conservators Dream of Electric Sheep? Replicas and Replication." *Studies in Conservation* 61 (S2): 109–113. doi:10.1080/00393630. 2016.1181348.
- Shinagawa, Y., M. Murayama, and Y. Sakaino. 1992. "Investigation of the Archival Stability of Cellulose Triacetate Film: The Effect of Additives to CTA Support." *Postprints of Polymers in Conservation* 105: 138–150.
- Townsend, J. H., L. Angelova, and B. Ormsby. 2016. "T02143 Analysis 2016." Unpublished Tate analysis report.
- Tsang, J., O. Madden, M. Coughlin, A. Maiorana, J. Watson, N. Little, and R. Speakman. 2009. "Degradation of 'Lumarith' Cellulose Acetate: Examination and Chemical Analysis of a Salesman's Sample Kit." *Studies in Conservation* 54: 90– 105.