

EDITORIAL: SPECIAL ISSUE ON “SOUNDSCAPES OF BUILDINGS AND BUILT ENVIRONMENTS”

Francesco Aletta ^{1,2*}, Arianna Astolfi ³

¹*Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering – University College London, London WC1H 0NN, United Kingdom*

²*Department of Information Technology – Ghent University, 9052 Ghent, Belgium*

³*Department of Energy – Polytechnic Institute of Turin, Turin 10129, Italy*

E-mail: f.aletta@ucl.ac.uk

The term soundscape has been defined in 2014 by the ISO 12913-1 standard as “[the] acoustic environment as perceived or experienced and/or understood by a person or people, in context” (International Organization for Standardization, 2014). However, the debate about soundscape and its meaning goes as back as the late Sixties when authors like Southworth and Schafer started to question how built environments should sound like (Southworth, 1969) (Schafer, 1977) (Truax, 1978). Such debate has been going on ever since, and in recent years researchers and practitioners have shown an increasing interest for the assessment, management and design of both outdoor and indoor acoustic environments, from a perceptual point of view (Aletta, Kang, & Axelsson, 2016). While much soundscape research has been conducted at a relatively large urban scale and for outdoor spaces (Schulte-Fortkamp & Dubois, 2006) (Schulte-Fortkamp & Kang, 2013) (Davies, 2013) (Kang, et al., 2016), the definition provided by the ISO standard focuses on “context”; thus, the concept also applies to buildings and indoor environments in general. Indeed, several studies went in this direction, addressing the perceived quality of the acoustic environment of (public) enclosed spaces (van den Bosch, 2015) (Lindborg, 2016) (Lindborg & Friberg, 2016) (Dokmeci Yorukoglu & Kang, 2016) (Xiao & Aletta, 2016) (Aletta, et al., 2017).

The present Special Issue on **Soundscapes of buildings and built environments** aimed to gather new research results dealing with the relationship between soundscape, architecture and urban design, as well as the perception of indoor and outdoor acoustic environments and how buildings (and building elements) can mediate these two.

Papers generally considered the relationships between the physical elements of the acoustic environments and the perceptual constructs (i.e., the soundscapes) they elicit. Some studies focused explicitly on general assessment methodologies for indoor soundscapes (Dokmeci Yorukoglu & Kang, 2017) (Dokmeci Yorukoglu & Aburawis, 2018), while others sought to look for connections between conventional acoustic comfort measures used in building acoustics and subjective quality assessments (Vardaxis, Bard, & Persson Waye, 2018) (Vardaxis & Bard, 2018) (Vardaxis & Bard, 2018). Several papers addressed the perception of acoustic environments of indoor settings with specific functions, such as: worship spaces (Yilmazer & Acun, 2018), care facilities (Aletta, et al., 2018) (van den Bosch, Andringa, Post, Ruijssenaars, & Vlaskamp, 2018), learning and teaching environments (Rossi, Prato, Lesina, & Schiavi, 2018) (Lam, Hodgson, Prodi, & Visentin, 2018), or commuting hubs (Yilmazer & Bora, 2017). On the other hand, some papers looked at the built environment more broadly, to explore how buildings and building elements, as part of a more complex urban fabric, often play a crucial role in defining the acoustic quality of a context (Fusaro, D'Alessandro, Baldinelli, & Kang, 2018) (Calleri, Shtrepi, Armando, & Astolfi, 2018) (D'Alessandro, Evangelisti, Guattari, Grazieschi, & Orsini, 2018) (Estévez-Mauriz, Forssén, & Dohmen, 2018). The number (and quality) of the contributions published in this special issue reflects the growing interest for the emerging field of “indoor soundscaping” and points out that we should start looking at buildings also as mediators of our everyday aural experience.

As Editors, we can say that working on this special issue has been as demanding as rewarding a task. We would like to take this opportunity to thank all the authors, reviewers and assistant editors for their outstanding work, throughout this process.

References

- Aletta, F., Botteldooren, D., Thomas, P., Vander Mynsbrugge, T., De Vriendt, P., Van de Velde, D., et al. (2017). Monitoring Sound Levels and Soundscape Quality in the Living Rooms of Nursing Homes: A Case Study in Flanders (Belgium). *Applied Sciences*, 7(9), 874.
- Aletta, F., Kang, J., & Axelsson, Ö. (2016). Soundscape descriptors and a conceptual framework for developing predictive soundscape models. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 149, 65-74.
- Aletta, F., Vander Mynsbrugge, T., Van de Velde, D., De Vriendt, P., Thomas, P., Filipan, K., et al. (2018). Awareness of 'sound' in nursing homes: A large-scale soundscape survey in Flanders (Belgium). *Building Acoustics*, 25(1), 43-59.
- Calleri, C., Shtrepi, L., Armando, A., & Astolfi, A. (2018). Evaluation of the influence of building façades design on the acoustic characteristics and auditory perception of urban spaces. *Building Acoustics*, 25(1), 77-95.
- D'Alesandro, F., Evangelisti, L., Guattari, C., Grazieschi, G., & Orsini, F. (2018). Influence of visual aspects and other features on the soundscape assessment of a University external area. *Building Acoustics*, 25(3), in press.
- Davies, W. J. (2013). Editorial to the Special issue: Applied soundscapes. *Applied Acoustics*, 74, 223.
- Dokmeci Yorukoglu, P. N., & Aburawis, A. A. (2018). An integrated framework on soundscape perception and spatial experience by adapting post-occupancy evaluation methodology. *Building Acoustics*, 25(1), 3-16.
- Dokmeci Yorukoglu, P. N., & Kang, J. (2016). Analysing Sound Environment and Architectural Characteristics of Libraries through Indoor Soundscape Framework. *Archives of Acoustics*, 41(2), 203-212.
- Dokmeci Yorukoglu, P. N., & Kang, J. (2017). Development and testing of Indoor Soundscape Questionnaire for evaluating contextual experience in public spaces. *Building Acoustics*, 24(4), 307-324.
- Estévez-Mauriz, L., Forssén, J., & Dohmen, M. (2018). Is the sound environment relevant for how people use common spaces? *Building Environment*, 25(3), in press.
- Fusaro, G., D'Alessandro, F., Baldinelli, G., & Kang, J. (2018). Design of urban furniture to enhance the soundscape: A case study. *Building Acoustics*, 25(1), 61-75.
- International Organization for Standardization. (2014). *ISO 12913-1:2014 Acoustics — Soundscape — Part 1: Definition and conceptual framework*. Geneva: ISO.
- Kang, J., Aletta, F., Gjestland, T. T., Brown, L. A., Botteldooren, D., Schulte-Fortkamp, B., et al. (2016). Ten questions on the soundscapes of the built environment. *Building and Environment*, 108, 284-294.
- Lam, A., Hodgson, M., Prodi, N., & Visentin, C. (2018). Effects of classroom acoustics on speech intelligibility and response time: A comparison between native and non-native listeners. *Building Acoustics*, 25(1), 35-42.
- Lindborg, P. (2016). A taxonomy of sound sources in restaurants. *Applied Acoustics*, 110, 297-310.
- Lindborg, P., & Friberg, A. (2016). Personality Traits Bias the Perceived Quality of Sonic Environments. *Applied Sciences*, 6(12), 405.
- Rossi, L., Prato, A., Lesina, L., & Schiavi, A. (2018). Effects of low-frequency noise on human cognitive performances in laboratory. *Building Acoustics*, 25(1), 17-33.
- Schafer, R. M. (1977). *The tuning of the world*. New York, NY: Knopf.
- Schulte-Fortkamp, B., & Dubois, D. (2006). Preface to the special issue: Recent Advances in Soundscape Research. *Acta Acustica United with Acustica*, 92, I-VIII.
- Schulte-Fortkamp, B., & Kang, J. (2013). Introduction to the special issue on soundscapes. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 134(1), 765-766.
- Southworth, M. (1969). The sonic environment of cities. *Environment and Behavior*, 1(1), 49-70.
- Truax, B. (1978). *Handbook for Acoustic Ecology*. Vancouver, Canada: ARC Publications.
- van den Bosch, K. A. (2015). *Safe and Sound: Soundscape research in special needs care*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- van den Bosch, K. A., Andringa, T. C., Post, W. J., Ruijsenaars, W. A., & Vlaskamp, C. (2018). The relationship between soundscapes and challenging behavior: A small-scale intervention study in a healthcare organization for individuals with severe or profound intellectual disabilities. *Building Acoustics*, 25(2), 123-135.
- Vardaxis, N., & Bard, D. (2018). Review of acoustic comfort evaluation in dwellings: part III—airborne sound data associated to subjective responses in laboratory tests. *Building Acoustics*, 25(3), in press.
- Vardaxis, N.-G., & Bard, D. (2018). Review of acoustic comfort evaluation in dwellings: part II—impact sound data associated with subjective responses in laboratory tests. *Building Acoustics*, 25(2), 171-192.
- Vardaxis, N.-G., Bard, D., & Persson Waye, K. (2018). Review of acoustic comfort evaluation in dwellings—part I: Associations of acoustic field data to subjective responses from building surveys. *Building Acoustics*, 25(2), 151-170.
- Xiao, J., & Aletta, F. (2016). A soundscape approach to exploring design strategies for acoustic comfort in modern public libraries: a case study of the Library of Birmingham. *Noise Mapping*, 3(1), 264-273.
- Yilmazer, S., & Acun, V. (2018). A grounded theory approach to assess indoor soundscape in historic religious spaces of Anatolian culture: A case study on Hacı Bayram Mosque. *Building Acoustics*, 25(2), 137-150.
- Yilmazer, S., & Bora, Z. (2017). Understanding the indoor soundscape in public transport spaces: A case study in Akköprü metro station, Ankara. *Building Acoustics*, 24(4), 325-339.