

Heath, Michael and Benkort, Deborah and Brierley, Andrew and Daewel, Ute and Hofmeister, Richard and Proud, Roland and Schrum, Corinna and Speirs, Douglas (2018) Modelling the effects of changes in sea-ice extent on Arctic marine food webs. In: MASTS Annual Science Conference, 2018-10-31 - 2018-11-01, TIC conference centre.

This version is available at https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/67247/

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

The Strathprints institutional repository (https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output.

Modelling the effects of changes in sea-ice extent on Arctic marine food webs

Michael Heath¹, Deborah Benkort², Andrew Brierley³, Ute Daewel², Richard Hofmeister², Roland Proud³, Corinna Schrum² and Douglas Speirs¹

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, UK ²Institute of Coastal Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Germany ³School of Biology, University of St Andrews, UK



Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht

Centre for Materials and Coastal Research





Retreating Arctic sea-ice cover and increasing primary production





Barents Sea April (winter maximum ice extent)

September

minimum ice

(summer

extent)

Total extent (million km ²)				
	1979	2016	decease	
winter	15.4	13.7	11%	
summer	7.1	4.5	37%	
Climate projections indicate continuing loss of ice cover in the future				

Increasing Arctic primary production linked to loss of ice cover







Images: National Snow and Ice Data Centre, Univ. Colorado

Atlantification of the Barents Sea



Winter ice concentration 1979-2010 (colours), and ice extent (15% concⁿ)

From: Arthun et al. (2012). Journal of Climate 25, 4736-4743 See also: Lind et al. (2018). Nature Climate Change 8, 634–639



Borealization of Barents Sea fish communities

Shifting distributions of fish communities



Redrawn from Fossheim et al. 2015 Nature Climate Change DOI:10.1038/NCLIMATE2647

Retreating ice and the prospect of fisheries in polar waters

On 30 November 2017, the EU plus 9 major fishing nations agreed not to develop fisheries in the Central Arctic Ocean for at least the next 16 years, to give time for development of scientific understanding.

















How will increased primary production propagate up the food web in the absence of sea-ice?



Depending on details of the processes, we might see:

Enhanced pelagic system

Enhanced benthic system

StrathE2E food web model - predicting the ecological effects of multiple types of perturbations

Dynamic, mass conserving network model, driven by input data on hydrodynamics, nutrient fluxes, and fishery harvesting rates

"Middle-out" cascade

Harvesting of top predator species

down

do

 Harvesting of mid-trophic level species,

- Benthos mortality
- Nutrient inputs

Bottom-up

- Light intensity
- Sediment disturbance
- Mixing and transport

Four interconnected compartments: Pelagic, Benthic, Scavenging, and Sediment



Network of coupled ordinary differential equations

- Models flow rates of nitrogen between the living, dead and inorganic components of the food web
- Output at daily intervals
- Computational fitting to observed data

Heath (2012) Prog. Oceanogr. 102, 42-66 Heath et al. (2014) Ecology Letters 17, 101-114 Heath et al. (2014).Nature Communications 5:3893

Barents Sea model – annual cycles of physical driving data

Compare two StrathE2E models for an Arctic shelf sea (Barents Sea)

- (1) Baseline : **December-June sea-ice cover**; (2) Scenario : **year-round ice-free**
- Both models initially, no fishing



Ice-cover baseline model driving data based on 1980's Barents Sea

Comparison of stationary annual cycle in the ice-cover baseline model with 1980's observations from Svalbard



Symbols – Monthly averaged 1980's data from Hornsund, Svalbard (*Węslawski et al. (1988). Polar Research 6, 185-189*)

Differences between annual average masses of ecosystem components in the ice-free model and the baseline

Less in the ice-free model Birds & mammals Demersal Fish Pelagic fish Carnivorous zooplankton Carnivorous benthos Mesozooplankton Susp/deposit feeding benthos Phytoplankton Water column nitrate Water column ammonia Water column detritus Sediment nitrate Sediment ammonia Sediment detritus -0.25Log₂ change in averade

Greater in the ice-free model

The increase in phytoplankton biomass is amplified as it cascades up the food web.

BUT – sensitive to:

- Temperature
- Attenuation of light and vertical mixing by ice-cover
- Preference parameters defining the accessibility of prey to predators vertically and horizontally

Annual primary production:				
(gC.m ⁻² .y ⁻¹)				
Ice-cover model:	50.9			
Ice-free model:	55.4			

How will increased primary production propagate up the food web in the absence of sea-ice?





Ice-free scenario:

Increased draw-down of nitrate and more primary production, as anticipated, but this leads to:

Enhanced benthic system

Increased mixing carries spring primary production deeper into the water column where it becomes accessible to filter feeding benthos

Sensitivity of steady state fisheries yield to ice cover



Modelled fish catches in relation to annual harvest ratio (= fishing mortality rate)

- Demersal fish yields are greater in the ice-free model than in the baseline – due to enhanced benthos production
- Pelagic fish yields are smaller in the icefree model than in the baseline – due to enhanced predation from demersal fish and mammals

Take-home messages

- Loss of winter sea-ice leads to increased primary production
- In this model, increased primary production leads to enhancement of the benthos/demersal fish, rather than the pelagic system.
- Sensitivity analysis and more investigation needed, to better represent the physical and biological effects of ice cover
- Potential impact strategic guidance related to general policy on future exploitation of Arctic living resources
- Scope for public engagement digestible results to illustrate the threats and opportunities posed by climate change in the Arctic







Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht

Centre for Materials and Coastal Research



MiMeMo Microbes to Megafauna Modelling of Arctic Seas

www.changing-arctic-ocean.ac.uk/project/mimemo/

Fishing village with cod-drying racks in the Norwegian Arctic