

Pietto, Marcos and Parra, Mario A. and Trujillo, Natalia and Flores, Facundo and García, Adolfo M. and Bustin, Julian and Richly, Pablo and Manes, Facundo and Lopera, Francisco and Ibáñez, Agustín and Baez, Sandra (2016) Behavioral and electrophysiological correlates of memory binding deficits in patients at different risk levels for Alzheimer's Disease. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 53 (4). pp. 1325-1340. ISSN 1875-8908 , http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-160056

This version is available at https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/66733/

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: <a href="mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk">strathprints@strath.ac.uk</a>

The Strathprints institutional repository (https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output. Heriot-Watt University



Heriot-Watt University **Research Gateway** 

# Behavioral and Electrophysiological Correlates of Memory Binding Deficits in Patients at Different Risk Levels for Alzheimer's Disease

Pietto, Marcos; Parra Rodriguez, Mario; Trujillo, Natalia; Flores, Facundo; García, Adolfo M.; Bustin, Julian; Richly, Pablo; Manes, Facundo; Lopera, Francisco; Ibáñez, Agustín M.; Baez, Sandra

Published in: Journal of Alzheimer's Disease

DOI: 10.3233/JAD-160056

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Heriot-Watt University Research Portal

*Citation for published version (APA):* Pietto, M., Parra Rodriguez, M., Trujillo, N., Flores, F., García, A. M., Bustin, J., ... Baez, S. (2016). Behavioral and Electrophysiological Correlates of Memory Binding Deficits in Patients at Different Risk Levels for Alzheimer's Disease. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 53(4), 1325-1340. DOI: 10.3233/JAD-160056



1 2	Behavioral and electrophysiological correlates of memory binding deficits in patients at different risk levels for Alzheimer's disease
3	
4	Running title: Memory binding in the prodromal stages of AD
5	alaf#aa ahaf#aa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa a
6	Marcos Pietto <sup>a,o,*</sup> , Mario A. Parra <sup>c,u,e,a,*</sup> , Natalia Trujillo <sup>g,u</sup> , Facundo Flores <sup>1</sup> , Adolfo M. García <sup>a,j</sup> ,
7	Julian Bustin <sup>1</sup> , Pablo Richly <sup>1</sup> , Facundo Manes <sup>4,4,4</sup> , Francisco Lopera <sup>41</sup> , Agustín Ibáñez <sup>4,4,4,4</sup> ,
8	Sandra Baez
9 10	<sup>8</sup> Institute de Naure sieneis Constitue y Tasslesienel (INCyT). Lebersterie de Drivelesée
10	Experimental y Neurociencia Cognitiva y Traslacional (INCy1), Laboratorio de Esteologia
11	Experimental y Neurociencias (LPEN), Fundación INECO, Universidad de Favaloro, Consejo
12	<sup>b</sup> Unided de Neurophiología Aplicada (UNA), CEMIC Buenos Aires, Argentina.
13	<sup>c</sup> School of Life Sciences, Psychology, Heriot Wett University, IIK
14	<sup>d</sup> Human Cognitive Neuroscience and Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Enidemiology
15	Department of Psychology University of Edinburgh LIK
17	<sup>e</sup> Alzheimer Scotland Dementia Research Centre and Scottish Dementia Clinical Research
18	Network IIK
19	<sup>f</sup> Universidad Autónoma del Caribe, Barranquilla, Colombia
20	<sup>g</sup> School of Public Health. University of Antioquia (UDEA). Medellin, Colombia.
21	<sup>h</sup> Neuroscience Group, Faculty of Medicine, University of Antioquia (UDEA), Medellin,
22	Colombia.
23	<sup>i</sup> Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO) & Institute of Neuroscience, Favaloro University,
24	Buenos Aires, Argentina.
25	<sup>j</sup> Faculty of Elementary and Special Education (FEEyE), National University of Cuyo (UNCuyo),
26	Sobremonte 74, C5500, Mendoza, Argentina.
27	<sup>1</sup> ACR Centre of Excellence in Cognition and its Disorders, Macquarie University, Sydney,
28	Australia.
29	<sup>m</sup> Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience, Universidad Adolfo Ibanez, Santiago, Chile.
30	<sup>n</sup> Grupo de Investigación Cerebro y Cognición Social, Bogotá, Colombia.
31	
32	
33	# First authors
34 25	
33 26	* Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to: Sandra Baez, Ph.D., Laboratory
30 27	or Experimental Psychology & Neuroscience (LPEN), Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO)
31 20	$\alpha$ CONICE 1, Pacheco de Mielo 1800, Buenos Aires, Argentina, Postal Code 1126. Phone/Fax: +54 (11) 4912 0010, share $\Theta$ in sec. or
38 20	+54 (11) 4812-0010. <u>sbaez@ineco.org.ar</u>
39	
40	

#### 1 **Response to Reviewers**

3 Reviewer 1

2

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

14

17

32

40

The authors have addressed most of my concerns, but there are a few outstanding issues.

Comment 1. Following the reviewers' comments, the authors state that they removed the analysis concerning MCI subtypes from the main text, but the introduction still mentions: "Finally, we expected different patterns of performance across MCI subtypes, anticipating greater VSTM binding deficits in the multiple-domain amnestic variant."

Response 1. We appreciate the Reviewer's comment. In the revised version of the manuscript wehave removed all sentences concerning MCI subtypes analyses from the main text.

Comment 2. I am not sure that the supplementary data is necessary, as the number of patients inthe subgroups are so small.

Response 2. We appreciate the Reviewer's suggestion. We acknowledge that we have small MCI subgroups sample sizes; however, only for curious readers we have presented the results from MCI subgroups in the supplementary data. Moreover, in the supplementary data we provide additional important information about participants and data analysis, and include relevant results concerning electrophysiological data. Therefore, we decided to preserve the supplementary data.

Comment 3. The authors may want to reconsider the following two sentences in the discussion "Recent findings have shown progressive functional default-model network disruption in AD which is related to the spread of tau pathology. In the earliest stages of disease, functional disruption in default-mode network regions involves the affectation of medial temporal lobe structures that are implicated in declarative memory system." There appears to be more evidence that amyloid, rather than tau pathology, is related to dysfunction of the default network. Also these sentences are not coherent with the text that follows.

Response 3. We thankfully welcome this remark. We acknowledge that our previous version of the manuscript was not provided specification regarding the evidence of topographic correspondence between amyloid deposition and the default-model network. We have now provided a better description in the current version of the manuscript. Moreover, we have corrected the paragraph in order to avoid inconsistencies.

39 The paragraph has been reformulated as follows:

41 "These findings could be interpreted at the neural network level. Recent findings have shown a 42 structural and functional default-model network disruption in AD, which is related to 43 components of the disease pathology such as amyloid and tau deposition [1]. In the earliest 44 stages of disease, functional disruption in default-mode network regions involves affectation of 45 medial temporal lobe structures that are implicated in the declarative memory system. In line 46 with this evidence, it has been proposed that neurofibrillary tangles develop initially in the anterior subhippocampal (perirhinal/entorhinal) cortex befor e the hippocampus [2]. The anterior
 subhippocampal area forms part of the anterior mesiotemporal network which has been
 associated with "object-based context-free memory" [2]. These areas would receive perceptual
 and semantic [3] information to perform higher-level inter-items associations [4]".

6 Comment 4. The following statement should be reconsidered:" Finally, computerized assessment 7 can be self-administered and through it can reach faster results". Although there is a certain 8 amount of ambiguity in this sentence, it may suggest that the authors recommend computerized 9 self-administration of diagnostic tests for faster results? As this is not desirable I feel that the 10 authors should remove or re-formulate this sentence.

Response 4. We appreciate the Reviewer's suggestion and have reformulated the sentence asfollows:

Page 24, line 19: "Finally, computerized assessment can be self-administered and can providefaster results".

Comment 5. Finally, the manuscript should be re-read carefully as there are some grammatical
 errors.

Response 5. We thank the Reviewer for this comment. We have now examined the manuscript in
detail to avoid grammatical errors.

# 26 References27

[1] Pievani M, de Haan W, Wu T, Seeley WW, Frisoni GB (2011) Functional network
disruption in the degenerative dementias. Lancet Neurol 10, 829-843.

30 [2] Didic M, Barbeau EJ, Felician O, Tramoni E, Guedj E, Poncet M, Ceccaldi M (2011)

31 Which memory system is impaired first in Alzheimer's disease? J Alzheimers Dis 27, 11-22.

Graham KS, Patterson K, Powis J, Drake J, Hodges JR (2002) Multiple inputs to episodic
 memory: words tell another story. Neuropsychology 16, 380-389.

[4] Mayes A, Montaldi D, Migo E (2007) Associative memory and the medial temporal lobes.
 Trends Cogn Sci 11, 126-135.

36

5

11

14

17 18

25

- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40

41

42

43

- 1 Abstract

3	Deficits in visual short-term memory (VSTM) binding have been proposed as an early and
4	specific marker for Alzheimer's disease (AD). However, no studies have explored the neural
5	correlates of this domain in clinical categories involving prodromal stages with different risk
6	levels of conversion to AD. We assessed underlying electrophysiological modulations in patients
7	with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), patients in the MCI stages of familial AD carrying the
8	mutation E280A of the presenilin-1 gene (MCI-FAD), and healthy controls. Moreover, we
9	compared the behavioral performance and neural correlates of both patient groups. Participants
10	completed a change-detection VSTM task assessing recognition of changes between shapes or
11	shape-color bindings, presented in two consecutive arrays (i.e., study and test) while event
12	related potentials (ERPs) were recorded. Changes always occurred in the test array and consisted
13	of new features replacing studied features (shape only) or features swapping across items (shape-
14	color binding). Both MCI and MCI-FAD patients performed worse than controls in the shape-
15	color binding condition. Early electrophysiological activity (100-250 ms) was significantly
16	reduced in both clinical groups, particularly over fronto-central and parieto-occipital regions.
17	However, shape-color binding performance and their reduced neural correlates were similar
18	between MCI and MCI-FAD. Our results support the validity of the VSTM binding test and their
19	neural correlates in the early detection of AD and highlight the importance of studies comparing
20	samples at different risk for AD conversion. The combined analysis of behavioral and ERP data
21	gleaned with the VSTM binding task can offer a valuable memory biomarker for AD.
22	Keywords: Mild cognitive impairment, familial Alzheimer's disease, short-term memory,
23	memory binding, EEG, ERPs.

#### 1 1. Introduction

2 The temporary and integrated retention of perceptual features relevant to an object (e.g., shapes 3 and colors) relies on short-term memory binding [1]. A subdomain of this function, called visual 4 short-term memory (VSTM) binding, is impaired in patients with early-onset familial [2] and late-onset sporadic [3, 4] Alzheimer's disease (AD). Moreover, these deficits also emerge in 5 asymptomatic and neuropsychologically normal carriers of the single mutation E280A in the 6 7 presenilin-1 gene (E280A-PSEN1) [4], which leads to familial AD in 100% of cases [5]. Such 8 difficulties are observed throughout an otherwise asymptomatic period, presumably starting 9 around 12 years before disease onset [2]. Crucially, VSTM binding remains uncompromised 10 throughout normal aging [6-8] and in other types of non-AD dementia [9].

11

12 Therefore, VSTM binding deficits seem to constitute an early and specific marker for AD [2-4], 13 appearing in familial and sporadic variants long before other disturbances tapped by classical 14 neuropsychological tasks. In this sense, further research is needed to assess whether the VSTM 15 binding task can validly and reliably detect subtle deficits in patients at risk for AD, such as 16 those with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [10, 11]. To date, only one study has reported behavioral VSTM binding deficits in this population [12], and none has explored their 17 18 underlying electrophysiological correlates. The latter gap needs to be bridged, especially since 19 electrophysiological methods are robust, non-invasive, low-cost tools [13] to trace 20 neurocognitive changes throughout both the asymptomatic and symptomatic stages of AD [14]. 21

22 To this end, we explored whether VSTM binding impairments are associated with 23 electrophysiological changes in two clinical groups at different risk levels for AD: patients who

1 may develop late-onset sporadic AD such as those with MCI (most of them amnestic MCI, single 2 or multi-domain) and patients in the prodromal stages of familial AD carrying the mutation 3 E280A of the presenilin-1 gene (MCI-FAD). Specifically, we compared behavioral and event-4 related potential (ERP) measures between these samples and healthy controls. Building on previous findings, we hypothesized that both patient samples would show behavioral and 5 electrophysiological abnormalities in the VSTM task, particularly in the memory binding 6 7 condition. Moreover, since the risk of conversion to AD is 100% for MCI-FAD and much lesser 8 for MCI, we predicted different behavioral and electrophysiological profiles in each group. In 9 particular, we expected that MCI-FAD would show more restricted behavioral and 10 electrophysiological abnormalities in the binding relative to shape only condition of the VSTM 11 task. More generally, this study seeks to test the sensitivity of this memory biomarker as a 12 potential contribution to the early identification of AD pathology.

13

#### 14 2. Materials and methods

15

#### 16 2.1. Participants

Thirteen patients with MCI were recruited from the Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO) in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Diagnosis was based on criteria by Pertersen [15] and Winblad et al. [16] (for further details, see Supplementary Data S1). All the patients underwent neurological, neuropsychiatric, and neuropsychological evaluations. Most of the patients (n = 9) were impaired in memory functions (amnestic MCI single domain or amnestic MCI multi-domain) while three patients were classified as non-amnestic MCI multi-domain. Both amnestic MCI single and multi-domain patients were included since these two clinical phenotypes have been shown high

1 risk for AD conversion [17].

2

3 The MCI-FAD sample comprised 10 patients recruited from the Colombian province of 4 Antioquia. All of them carried the mutation E280A of the presenilin-1 gene, which leads to 5 early-onset familial Alzheimer's disease in 100% of carriers [5]. These patients also completed 6 formal neurological and neuropsychological assessments.

7

8 Two separate groups of healthy participants were formed as controls for the MCI and MCI-FAD 9 groups. These samples, which comprised 14 and 10 individuals, respectively, were matched for 10 age and education with their respective patient samples and recruited from their corresponding 11 geographical area. For further details about the control groups, see Tables 1 and 2, as well as 12 Supplementary Data S1.

13

14 Neither the patients nor the controls had a history of psychiatric or neurological diseases. All 15 participants provided written informed consent in agreement with the Helsinki declaration. The 16 Ethics Committees of the University of Antioquia and INECO approved this study.

17

#### 18 2.2. Neuropsychological assessment

19 The general cognitive status of MCI patients was assessed with the mini mental state 20 examination (MMSE) [18] and the Addenbrooke's cognitive examination-revised (ACE-R) [19]. 21 Their premorbid intellectual level was examined with the word accentuation test [20]. Memory 22 was assessed with the Rey auditory verbal learning test (RAVLT) [21] and the recall of the 23 complex Rey figure [21]. Attention and executive functions were evaluated via a digit span task

[22], the two parts of the trail-Making test (TMT-A and TMT-B) [21], and a verbal fluency task
 [21, 23]. Visuospatial and constructional abilities were assessed with the copy task of the
 complex Rey figure [21]. Additional data were garnered through the instrumental activities of
 daily living scale (IADL) [24] and the geriatric depression scale (GDS) [25].

5

6 MCI-FAD patients were evaluated with the MMSE, the verbal fluency task, the TMT-A, the 7 copy and recall task of the complex Rey figure, and the IADL. Demographic and 8 neuropsychological data of these patients were compared to those of the control group or to the 9 local norms [26, 27] via independent sample and one sample *t*-tests, respectively.

10

#### 11 2.3. The visual short-term memory task

12 The VSTM task taps change-detection skills to assess memory for single or combined features 13 [4]. It is sensitive to impairments of integrative memory functions in both late-onset sporadic and 14 familial Alzheimer's disease [2-4, 28]. The task consists of visual arrays of stimuli sequentially 15 presented on a computer screen. An example of a trial is shown in Figure 1. Each trial features a study array followed by a test array. In 50% of the trials, the two arrays show identical items. In 16 17 the remaining half, two items in the test array are replaced by new items. The to-be-remembered 18 items change location from study to test, rendering location an uninformative feature (i.e., it 19 cannot be used as a memory cue). Participants are asked to remember the items shown during the 20 study and decide whether the items that follow in the test display are the same or different (see 21 more details in Supplementary Data S2).

22

23 The stimuli consisted of either single shapes (i.e., VSTM for single features) or shapes combined

with colors (i.e., VSTM binding). Each type of stimulus was presented in a separate condition. 1 2 During the shape-only condition, participants viewed three black shapes for study. In the test 3 array for "different trials", two of the previously studied items were replaced by new shapes. In 4 the shape-color binding condition, participants were presented with three shapes, each in a different color. Detection of changes across displays now required remembering the 5 combinations of shape and color presented in the study array. In the test display for "different 6 7 trials", the color of two shapes swapped relative to the ones they had in the study phase. No 8 shape or color was repeated within a given array. Previous research has shown that healthy 9 memory for binding is consistently defined by memory for the more challenging feature [29-31]. It is therefore revealing when this relationship between memory for binding and memory shapes 10 11 is lost in AD. However, color has not showed to constrain consistently memory for binding as 12 shape did it. Thus, the shape-binding comparison presents a conservative and reliable indicator 13 of an impairment that is unrelated to task difficulty [30].

14

Each condition consisted of a brief practice session followed by 100 test trials per experimental
condition (200 trials in total). Trials were fully randomized across participants and conditions
were delivered in a counterbalanced order.

18

#### 19 2.4. EEG recording

#### 20 MCI patients and controls

MCI patients and their controls sat comfortably at a desk with a computer, set up in an electrically shielded, dimly lit room. As they performed the VSTM task, EEG recordings were obtained with a Biosemi 128-channel Active Two system (Amsterdam, NLD). The sampling rate was set at 512 Hz, and signals were bandpass filtered between 1 Hz (high pass) and 100 Hz (low

1 pass).

2

#### 3 MCI-FAD patients and controls

MCI-FAD patients and their controls completed the task in a room offering similar conditions as
the one described above. EEG activity was collected using 64-channel SynAmps 2.5 system
from Neuroscan. In order to eliminate oculomotor artifacts, the EOG signal was collected with 4
electrodes (HEOR, HEOL, VEOL, and VEOU). Impedances were kept below 10 KΩ. The
sampling rate was set at 500 Hz, and signals were bandpass filtered between 1 Hz (high pass)
and 100 Hz (low pass).

10

#### 11 2.5. Data analyses

#### 12 Behavioral data

Comparisons of demographic and neuropsychological data between each patient sample and its corresponding control group were performed via parametric *t*-tests. As in previous studies [2, 12], corrected recognition in the VSTM task was calculated by subtracting the proportion of false alarms from the hits. We followed the same procedure for each sample (MCI vs. controls and MCI-FAD vs. controls) and condition (shape only and shape-color binding) – see Supplementary Data S4. These indexes were compared through non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests with Bonferroni correction.

20

Considering that MCI and MCI-FAD groups were different in terms of age, for this calculation
we used control-group-derived parameters of the variables revealing significant between-group
differences (i.e., patients vs. their respective controls). For each MCI and MCI-FAD patient, we

calculated normalized z scores using parameters (mean and SD) derived of the respective control
 group. Z-scores were then compared across the two groups through a non-parametric Mann Whitney U test. In addition, the effect size for all pairwise comparisons was calculated following
 the Cohen's method.

5

#### 6 ERPs

7 MCI and MCI-FAD data were analyzed offline following the same procedures. Analyses were 8 performed with EEGLAB (version 13.1.1b) and MATLAB (version R2012a). Data were filtered 9 between 0.5 Hz (high-pass) and 30 Hz (low-pass) and were down-sampled to 256 Hz. EEG 10 activity was re-referenced to the grand average. Visual inspection of the data was followed by 11 independent component analysis (ICA) to further remove oculomotor artifacts. Continuous EEG 12 data were segmented in epochs of -200 to 1000 ms locked to stimulus onset. Epochs containing 13 artifacts which exceeded a threshold of  $\pm/-100 \,\mu\text{V}$  were manually removed. Separate average 14 waveforms were computed for each individual in each condition of the VSTM task (i.e., shape 15 only and shape-color binding). Only correct trials were considered for analysis.

16

First, to identify significant between-group differences across the two conditions, we used a combination of the Monte Carlo test and non-parametric bootstrapping running 4.000 permutations. The data were later analyzed by applying 4.000 permutation draws to generate a histogram called the Monte-Carlo approximation of the permutation distribution. To calculate the differences between our data and this distribution, we used the Monte-Carlo estimation of the permutation p-value, which is the proportion of random partitions in which the observed test statistic is larger than the value drawn from the permutation distribution. If this p-value is smaller

1 than the critical alpha-level, then it is concluded that the data between the two groups are 2 significantly different. This method offers a straightforward solution for multiple comparison 3 problems and does not depend on multiple comparisons correction or Gaussian assumptions 4 about the probability distribution of the data [33, 34]. This approach has been used in recent 5 ERPs reports of our group [35-37]. Permutations were calculated following a component-free 6 approach across the entire array of electrodes for every millisecond. Electrodes with significant results (p < .01) were placed into regions of interest (ROIs), and the activity within such regions 7 8 was averaged out. We considered six ROIs: (1) fronto-central left (FC left), (2) fronto-central 9 right (FC right), (3) centro-parietal left (CP left), (4) centro-parietal right (CP right), (5) parieto-10 occipital left (PO left), and (6) parieto-occipital right (PO right). For each ROI we assigned 11 seven and fourteen electrodes in the MCI and MCI-FAD samples respectively (see 12 Supplementary Figure 1).

13

14 Then, we compared the average activity from the six ROIs using 4.000 bootstrapping 15 permutations (p < .05). Such contrasts were independently carried out in three time-windows 16 (early: 100-250 ms; intermediate: 250-500 ms; late: 500-900 ms) for each condition (shape only 17 and shape-color binding), memory phase (encoding and test), and group - see Supplementary 18 Data S4. This activity was also compared across groups: (a) MCI vs. controls, (b) MCI-FAD vs. 19 controls, and (c) MCI vs. MCI-FAD. These analyses were focused on four different components: 20 N1, P2, P3, and LPP. The N1 is a parieto-occipital negative component [38], peaking around 170 21 ms post-stimulus onset, which reflects early stages of visual processing and is sensitive to 22 different types of attention [39, 40]. The P2 is a positive component with fronto-central 23 distribution, peaking around 150-300 ms [41]. This component has been associated with

1 attentional control processes, such as stimulus evaluation [41] and feature detection of task-2 relevant stimuli [42]. The P3 is a positive centro-parietal component, which peaks between 300 3 and 600 ms post-stimulus-onset, and is considered to reflect activity in a distributed network 4 associated with attention and working memory [43, 44], including context updating and attentional resource allocation [45]. The LPP is a slow positive modulation with an onset around 5 400-1000 ms after stimulus presentation. The enhancement of this component has been related to 6 7 memory encoding and storage processes [46, 47]. Moreover, it has been associated with post-8 retrieval stages, such as decisional monitoring [48] and evaluation [49-52] processes. All the 9 functions indexed by these components are called upon by the VSTM task.

- 10
- 11 3. Results

#### 12 **3.1. MCI patients vs. healthy controls**

- 13 Behavioral data
- 14 Neuropsychological assessment

15 The results of the neuropsychological assessment are shown in Table 1. Relative to controls,

16 MCI patients had poorer cognitive performance on both screening tests and on the majority of

17 standard neuropsychological measures (memory, language, and attention) –see details in S4.

- 18
- 19 VSTM task
- 20 There were no significant within-group differences in response accuracy between task conditions
- 21 in controls (Mann-Whitney U: 66.5, Z = 1.42, p = .16, d = .60) or MCI patients (Mann-Whitney
- 22 U: 54, Z = 1.54, p = .12, d = 0.64). MCI patients performed significantly worse than controls in
- both the shape-only (Mann-Whitney U: 42.5, Z = 2.33, p < .05, d = .91) and the shape-color
  - 13

binding (Mann-Whitney U: 42.0, Z = 2.35, p < .05, d = .92) conditions (see Figure 2D). 1

2

#### 3 **ERP** results

4 MCI vs. controls

Shape-only condition. Significant differences in P2 amplitude during the encoding phase 5 emerged during the early time-window (100-250 ms) over the bilateral FC region (left: t = 3.16, 6 p < .01, d = 1.22; right: t = 3.16-, p < .01, d = 1.21). The same was true of the LPP amplitude 7 during the late time-window (500-900 ms) over the right CP region (t = 2.20, p < .05, d = .84). 8 In the test phase, we found differences in N1 amplitude during the early time-window (100-250 9 10 ms) over the right PO region (t = -2.40, p < .05, d = -.92) (see Figure 2A-C).

11

12 Shape-color binding condition. There were significant differences in the encoding phase during 13 the early time-window (100-250 ms). These concerned the P2 component over the bilateral FC 14 region (left: t = 2.37, p < .05, d = 0.91; right: t = 2.43, p < .05, d = .93) and the N1 component 15 over the right PO region (t = -2.33, p < .05, d = -.90). In the test phase, significant differences in N1 amplitude emerged during the early time-window (100-250 ms) over the PO region 16 17 bilaterally (left: t: -2.53, p < .01, d = -.97; right: t = -3.15, p = .01, d = -1.20), and in the LPP during the late time-window (500-900 ms) over the right FC (t = 2.57, p = .02, d = 1.00) and the 18 19 CP (t = 2.69, p = .01, d = .05) regions (see Figure 2A-C). 20

- 21
- 22



#### 1 **3.2. MCI-FAD patients vs. healthy controls**

- 2 Behavioral data
- 3 Neuropsychological assessment

Demographic data and general cognitive state results are shown in Table 2. Statistical
comparisons revealed that MCI-FAD patients had poorer general cognitive abilities and memory
performance than healthy controls. However, the IADL scale revealed that they were highly
functional, confirming the pre-dementia stage of this sample.

- 8
- 9 VSTM task

Response accuracy to the two VSTM task conditions was similar in both controls (Mann-Whitney U: 34, Z = 1.17, p = .24, d = .64) and MCI-FAD patients (Mann-Whitney U: 28, Z = 1.63, p = .10, d = .77). Between-group comparisons revealed higher accuracy for controls in the shape-color binding condition (Mann-Whitney U: 22.5, Z = -2.08, p < .05, d = .93), but no differences were observed in the shape-only condition (Mann-Whitney U: 25.0, Z = -1. 89, p= .063, d = 1.02) –see Figure 3D.

16

#### 17 ERPs results

- 18 MCI-FAD vs. controls
- 19 *Shape-only condition.* Significant differences during the encoding phase were observed for the
- 20 P3 component in the intermediate time-window (250-500 ms) over the left PO region (t = -2.17,
- 21 p < .05, d = .75) -see Figure 3A-C.
- 22
- 23 Shape-color binding condition. We found significant between-group differences in the amplitude

of two components during the encoding phase: P2, over the right FC region (t = 2.57, p < .05, d</li>
 = 1.08); and N1, over the left PO region (t = -2.91, p < .01, d = -1.14); both patterns emerged</li>
 during the early time-window (100-250 ms) -see Figure 3A-C.

4

#### 5 3.3. MCI vs. MCI-FAD

6 VSTM task

No significant differences emerged between groups upon comparing their *Z*-scores (see details in data analyses) from performance on the shape-color binding condition of the VSTM task (Mann-Whitney U: 63, Z = -.09, p = .93, d = .02) –see Supplementary Figure 4.

10

#### 11 ERPs results

We also compared the patients' *Z*-scores drawn from the electrophysiological data that indicated significant between-group differences over specific ROIs and time-windows. Only the ERP activity elicited during the shape-color binding condition met these criteria (FC right, during the encoding phase, in the early time-window). However, contrasts between MCI and MCI-FAD including this activity revealed no significant differences (see supplementary Figure 4).

17

#### 18 Summary of findings

Behavioral performance on the shape-color binding condition was significantly worse in MCI and MCI-FAD than in their respective control groups. No differences between MCI and MCI-FAD were observed in the shape-color binding condition. Also, comparisons between each patient group and its respective controls showed that performance on the shape-only condition was impaired for MCI but not for MCI-FAD patients.

2 ERP activity underlying VSTM performance was significantly reduced in MCI and MCI-FAD 3 patients compared to their corresponding control groups (Table 3). This was observed in all ROIs, 4 with most conspicuous activation decreases appearing over FC and PO regions during the early time-window (N1 and P2). MCI patients exhibited reduced amplitude across both conditions and 5 memory phases, whereas MCI-FAD patients showed reduced amplitude in both conditions but 6 7 only during the encoding phase. Differences in behavioral performance were associated to 8 measurable differences in the underlying ERPs in MCI patients. For MCI-FAD patients, 9 differences observed in the ERPs elicited during the shape-only condition were not accompanied 10 by significant differences in behavioral performance. However, such an association was present 11 for the shape-color binding condition. Finally, analysis of electrophysiological data from MCI 12 and MCI-FAD patients showed no differences in the shape-color binding condition between 13 groups, although both exhibited significant deficits in this function.

14

#### 15 4. Discussion

16 To our knowledge, this is the first study comparing the behavioral and electrophysiological 17 correlates of VSTM binding deficits in patients in the prodromal stages (i.e., MCI) of sporadic 18 and familial AD. The two samples shared a common phenotype characterized by behavioral and 19 electrophysiological deficits during the shape-color binding condition of the VSTM task. These 20 results lend further support to the validity of the VSTM binding test in the early detection of 21 dementia. By comparing a sample of MCI patients with 100% probability of conversion to AD 22 with a sample of MCI patients with a less certain conversion probability, we have identified a 23 VSTM binding deficits as marker common to both populations. Below we discuss the theoretical

17

1 implications of our findings.

2

#### 3 4.1. Behavioral performance on the VSTM task

4 MCI patients performed significantly worse than healthy controls in both the shape-only and shape-color binding conditions of the VSTM task. These findings are consistent with those 5 reported in a recent study [12] using the same task. However, previous studies in patients with 6 7 early-onset familial [2] and late-onset sporadic AD [3, 4] have found a selective deficit in the 8 shape-color binding condition. The discrepancy across these studies may be due to 9 methodological differences. Previous studies have equated performance on the baseline 10 condition (i.e., shape only) across patients and controls by assessing the former with smaller set 11 sizes (i.e., patients saw arrays of two items and controls saw arrays of three items). In the present 12 study, as in the one conducted by [12], patients were assessed with the same set size. We 13 followed the logic of earlier studies involving pre-symptomatic mutation carriers [2]. That is, 14 patients who did not meet criteria for dementia and controls were evaluated under the same 15 testing conditions (i.e., same memory load). Although this approach proved valid for the 16 preclinical stages of AD, it does not seem to hold for the clinical stages (i.e., MCI). Nevertheless, 17 shape-only is just a baseline condition which does not hold sensitivity and specificity for AD. It 18 is the shape-color binding condition of the task that has proved clinically relevant. Future studies 19 interested in the previously reported dissociation (i.e., shape-only vs. shape-color binding) may 20 want consider this methodological caveat. In fact, our results show that impairments in shapecolor binding are systematically observed across the two populations and were the only deficits 21 22 found in those with the highest risk for AD (MCI-FAD).

23

1 MCI-FAD patients were outperformed by controls, but the difference only reached significance 2 in the shape-color binding condition. This finding aligns with previous reports of VSTM binding 3 deficits in asymptomatic carriers of the mutation E280A in the presentiin-1 gene [2, 3]. Although 4 mutation carriers in the present study were in more advanced stages of the disease process, our results corroborate that VSTM binding deficits may emerge well before the onset of full-blown 5 AD. Note that mean scores for the shape-only condition also evinced a drop in MCI-FAD 6 7 patients. However, unlike what was observed in MCI, this difference did not reach significance. 8 This discrepancy could partially reflect age differences between the samples, as MCI patients 9 were older than MCI-FAD patients. Although age does not differentially affect short-term 10 memory binding abilities [6, 8, 53], it has an overall impact on short-term memory. This may 11 account for the slightly greater difference between conditions in each group. However, 12 performance on the shape-color binding condition was similar between patient groups. 13 Accordingly, VSTM binding seems to be selectively compromised by AD, above and beyond the 14 effects of age. As suggested in previous research then, this memory function may well constitute 15 a sensitive marker for AD [3, 4, 6, 9]. Note that although Argentinean controls were older and 16 had more years of education than those from Colombia, the behavioral performance of these 17 samples was indistinguishable -see also Parra, et al. [3], who reported similar findings in 18 samples of sporadic and familiar AD. Therefore, demographic variables could be ruled out as a 19 factor behind the key findings reported here and in previous studies.

20

#### 21 4.2. Electrophysiological correlates of the VSTM task

22 Compared to controls, MCI patients exhibited reduced amplitudes in all ERP components23 associated with the VSTM task. The cognitive mechanisms supporting this memory function

1 seem to be attenuated along relevant processing stages. Specifically, the amplitude of the N1 2 component was reduced in both memory phases of the shape-color binding condition, and in the 3 test phase of the shape-only condition. Notably, the scalp distribution (and similar source space 4 [38]) of the diminished N1 was detected over parieto-occipital regions. Enhancements of N1 modulations have been associated to facilitatory mechanisms of spatial attention and orientation 5 towards task-relevant stimuli [39, 40], which subserve discrimination processes [54]. Moreover, 6 7 N1 modulations may be sensitive to variations in the visual parameters of stimulus configuration 8 [55], reflecting early information processing prior activation of abstract feature representations of 9 the perceived objects. Also, during the encoding phase of both task conditions, P2 modulations 10 were less positive-going in MCI patients than in controls. These differences were observed over 11 bilateral fronto-central regions, in line with the previously reported source of this component 12 [41]. The P2 seems to index stimulus evaluation [41] and detection of features in task-relevant 13 stimuli [42]. Thus, diminished amplitudes of the N1 and P2 components in MCI patients may 14 reflect abnormalities in the early visual integration stages of memory binding. These findings 15 suggest impairments in processing of stimulus features and detection of relevant features, 16 mechanisms related to visual and orbitofrontal association cortices, respectively.

17

18 These findings could be interpreted at the neural network level. Recent findings have shown a 19 structural and functional default-model network disruption in AD, which is related to 20 components of the disease pathology such as amyloid and tau deposition [56]. In the earliest 21 stages of disease, functional disruption in default-mode network regions involves affectation of 22 medial temporal lobe structures that are implicated in the declarative memory system. In line 23 with this evidence, it has been proposed that neurofibrillary tangles develop initially in the

- anterior subhippocampal (perirhinal/entorhinal) cortex before the hippocampus [57]. The anterior
   subhippocampal area forms part of the anterior mesiotemporal network which has been
   associated with "object-based context-free memory" [57]. These areas would receive perceptual
   and semantic [58] information to perform higher-level inter-items associations [59].
- 5

MCI patients also exhibited reduced amplitudes in the LPP component. This occurred first over
centro-parietal regions during the encoding phase of shape-only condition, which may reflect a
general encoding deficit. Consistent with this interpretation, it has been suggested that LPP
enhancement reflects additional involvement of memory encoding and storage processes [46, 47].
A more elaborate encoding is associated with larger LPP amplitude over parietal scalp sites [60,
61]. Thus, relative to MCI patients, control subjects may have deployed more successful
encoding strategies during perceptual input.

13

14 Furthermore, reduced LPP amplitude was also observed in MCI patients during the test phase of 15 shape-color binding over centro-parietal and fronto-central electrodes. This abnormal pattern 16 may be related to better control mechanisms during retrieval and post-retrieval processes, 17 reflecting differences in monitoring and evaluation processes required to decide whether a 18 change across study and test arrays. In line with this view, the LPP has been implicated in post-19 retrieval processes, such as decisional monitoring [48] and evaluation [49-52]. For instance, 20 Eimer and Mazza [50] showed reduced LPP amplitudes when participants were uncertain about 21 the presence of a change between stimulus displays. Thus, convergent evidence suggests that in 22 MCI patients, impaired evaluation and monitoring processes during the comparison of the two 23 memory arrays may increase uncertainty about feature changes, particularly in the shape-color

Eliminado: These findings could be interpreted at the neural network level. Recent findings have shown progressive functional default-model network disruption in AD which is related to the spread of tau pathology [56]. The symptoms at early stages of AD seem to be indicative of a pathology spread throughout interconnected regions within large-scale networks [56]. In the earliest stages of disease, functional disruption in default-mode network regions involves the affectation of medial temporal lobe structures that are implicated in declarative memory system. In line with this evidence, it has been proposed that neurofibrillary tangles develop initially in the anterior subhippocampal (perirhinal/entorhinal) cortex before the hippocampus [57]. The anterior subhippocampal area form part of anterior mesiotemporal network which has been associated with "object-based context-free memory" [57]. These areas would receive perceptual and semantic [58] information to perform higher level inter-items associations [59]. Thus, convergent evidence suggests that diminished amplitudes in P2 and N1 at early stages of processing in MCI patients could be associated with functional disruption of attentional recruitment in frontal areas and associative cortical regions required for working memory encoding/consolidation of the integration of features within unified objects.

1 binding condition. From a behavioral perspective, this is consistent with the view that higher 2 similarity between study- and test-item configurations induces greater error rates during the 3 comparison stages of a change-detection task [62]. Comparison processes between arrays 4 containing multi-feature objects seem to demand more cognitive resources than those required to compare single-feature objects. Such resources would avoid misattribution of features across 5 objects, thus contributing to solve the binding problem. Our results indicate that a fronto-parietal 6 7 network may subserve these binding operations, and that failures of such a network are crucially 8 related to memory binding impairments in patients at risk for AD.

9

10 It is worth mentioning that despite the vast existing literature regarding the deficit in associative 11 memory resulting from damage to the hippocampus which has proved a significant predictor of 12 likelihood of conversion from MCI to AD, the evidence regarding the VSTM task has 13 consistently shown that memory binding functions assessed by this change detection paradigm 14 does not involve the hippocampus [63-65]. Indeed, the change detection task reported here has 15 proved to be performed accurately after hippocampal pathology [64]. Moreover, a recent fMRI 16 study in healthy individuals [5] has been shown that binding function does not involve the 17 hippocampus but it relies on a network that involves the activity of parietal and occipitotemporal areas. 18

19

Otherwise, LPP retrieval-related activity has been associated with processes of familiarity and recollection (dual process model of recognition) [66] both of which contribute to performance on change detection tasks. Consistent with our results, a recent ERP study [67] showed that amnestic MCI patients present an attenuation of LPP waveforms during the performance of a

recognition memory task when they retrieved memories based on recollection and familiarity processes. Thus, this evidence suggests that in MCI patients, reduced amplitude of LPP in the shape-color binding condition may involve retrieval affectation of recollection and familiaritybased memories, either because fewer items are retrieved, and/or fewer entire itemconfigurations have been successful retrieved.

6

7 In addition to visual electrophysiological markers found in our study, the P50 auditory 8 component has been recently proposed as a candidate ERP biomarker of prodromal AD [68]. 9 MCI patients with Amyloid and p-Tau positive showed larger P50 amplitudes relative to the 10 amlyloid-negative patients during the performance of an oddball task, which reflects poorer 11 inhibitory control to sensory information. However, despite the amplitude of P50 is larger in 12 MCI relative to older normal controls, it increases with normal aging [69, 70]. Crucially, VSTM 13 binding has been shown to be more specific since it remains uncompromised throughout normal 14 aging [6, 71, 72]. Therefore, combining with ERP, the VSTM task may offer a unique 15 opportunity to detect early neurocognitive abnormalities associated with risk for AD.

16

MCI-FAD patients exhibited attenuated electrophysiological responses only in the encoding phase of the VSTM task. Specifically, they showed reduced amplitudes of N1 and P2 components associated to shape-color binding processing over parieto-occipital and frontocentral regions, respectively. As we discussed above, reduced N1 amplitude may reflect difficulties to direct attention to task-relevant stimuli [39, 40] and process attributes of visual configurations [55], all linked to early visual processing. Reduced amplitude of the P2 component seems related to deficits in stimulus evaluation [41] and features detection processes

1 [42]. Limitations to encode feature bindings in MCI may thus originate quite early in the visual 2 processing stream. MCI-FAD patients also showed reduced amplitude of the P3 component over 3 parieto-occipital regions in the shape-only condition. This component is considered to reflect 4 activity in a distributed network subserving attention and working memory [43, 44], including context updating and resource allocation [45]. Specifically, P3 increases when stimulus encoding 5 promotes successful memory storage and facilitates retrieval during recognition tasks [73]. Thus, 6 7 while behaviorally unimpaired, MCI-FAD patients did show electrophysiological evidence of 8 subthreshold anomalies during the shape-only condition of the VSTM task. These subthreshold 9 impairments support the proposal that the mechanisms responsible for holding combinations of 10 shape and color in VSTM are affected by AD to a far greater extent than those responsible for 11 holding single features, such as shapes. Previous ERP studies assessing memory impairments in 12 E280A-PSEN1 presymptomatic mutation carriers have reported functional disruption of brain 13 regions similar to those reported in our study [74, 75]. Taken together, all these findings 14 highlight the importance of ERP analysis to unveil key neural correlates of cognitive 15 impairments throughout the continuum of AD.

16

Finally, we compared electrophysiological data from MCI and MCI-FAD considering variables which indicated departure from normality. Specifically, we focused on P2 modulations during the encoding phase of the shape-color binding condition over the right fronto-central ROI. Crucially, no between-group differences were observed. This suggests that both prodromal stages of AD (i.e., sporadic-MCI and familiar-MCI) share a common behavioral and electrophysiological phenotype associated to VSTM binding. Such ERP abnormalities seem to reflect impairments during early sensory processing, which are probably associated with stimulus

1 evaluation [41] and feature detection [42]. When we compared patients to their respective 2 controls, both clinical samples showed decreased N1 activity over parieto-occipital regions, 3 suggesting similar deficits in feature discrimination processes [54]. As recently shown in fMRI 4 studies [29, 76-78], these regions seem to support spatial attentional mechanisms necessary to integrate features in VSTM. Therefore, the reduced amplitudes observed in MCI and MCI-FAD 5 during the encoding of shape-color bindings over fronto-central and parieto-occipital regions 6 7 could be associated to specific impairments in attentional mechanisms supporting feature 8 conflation in VSTM. We argue that these indexes of activation could to reflect reduced 9 attentional control efficiency in frontoparietal attention circuit required for 10 encoding/consolidation binding in VSTM. In sum, the abnormalities observed during the 11 encoding stages in both patient samples could account for behavioral feature-binding 12 impairments in the VSTM task.

13

14 Providing that age is not an influential factor, contrasting performance of MCI patients whose 15 phenotype unequivocally suggests the presence of AD (younger MCI-FAD patients) with those 16 with a less certain phenotype (older MCI patients), enables assessment of whether such VSTM 17 binding deficit are a phenotypic feature of prodromal AD regardless of its clinical variant. In line 18 with previous studies suggesting that is the case for patients with the full-blown disease [2], our 19 results revealed that this also characterizes stages of AD prior to diagnosis. Although these 20 results are appealing, they also pose some challenges as contrary to our MCI-FAD cases, we do 21 not predict that 100% of our MCI cases will progress to AD. Future studies involving larger 22 samples of MCI patients should investigate the specific phenotype of those patients who drive 23 such a group effect reported here.

2 Neurocognitive processes can be studied appropriately with high-temporal resolution techniques 3 such as EEG. These methods are suited to capture properties of transient cognitive events [79] 4 which may be undetected via high-spatial resolution techniques, such as fMRI. In the context of the VSTM binding task, previous fMRI studies [78] did not identify task-related activation over 5 frontal regions. In the present study, within-group analyses showed significant enhanced fronto-6 7 central activity during the test phase of the shape-color binding condition. However, we did 8 corroborate the involvement of posterior (viz., parietal) regions in feature binding. Therefore, our 9 results suggest that combining the VSTM task with ERP analysis may offer a unique opportunity 10 to detect early neurocognitive alterations in individuals at risk for AD. Such electrophysiological 11 findings underscore the potential of the VSTM task as a biomarker for AD.

12

#### 13 4.3. Implications and further assessments

14 Electrophysiological markers could be considered in daily clinical practice to favor the early 15 detection of AD. Such inexpensive, non-invasive measures are robust, fast to compute, and 16 applicable for large-scale screening. This novel approach can overcome several limitations of 17 available biomarkers for AD [14, 80]. As ERPs have high temporal resolution, they can detect subtle information-processing abnormalities, even in the absence of significant behavioral 18 19 manifestations. Such methodological attributes have important clinical implications in the 20 context of VSTM research. We have replicated behavioral VSTM binding impairments in AD 21 samples [2, 9, 12], further demonstrating their presence in presymptomatic stages of AD (see 22 also [1]). VSTM binding deficits thus seem to constitute a phenotypic feature of AD, detectable 23 throughout the continuum of the disease. The task used in this study could represent a valuable

1 tool to identify candidates for prevention trials. Previous ERPs studies [81-83] have proposed 2 statistical methods for single-case analyses that can be implemented by future research assessing 3 patients in prodromal stages of AD. Moreover, individual ERPs measures may be useful in 4 follow-up clinical assessment of individuals at risk of developing AD or patients with diagnosis 5 of MCI or AD. Longitudinal ERPs measures may provide further insights on the AD nature and may be potentially useful in predicting the disease progression based on the combination of 6 7 behavioral and electrophysiological measures. Moreover, although computerized assessments of 8 cognitive functions in the early detection of AD are not commonly used, their validity and 9 reliability as testing tools for the clinical practice is being recognized [84]. Computerized testing 10 tools have a number of complementary advantages. They allow more standardized, precise and 11 objective measures of subject performance, and features such as randomization allow throw out 12 practice effects. Finally, computerized assessment can be self-administered and may provide 13 faster results.

(Eliminado: through it can reach

Con formato: Fuente: (Predeterminado) Times, Diseño: Claro (Blanco)

#### 14

15 We acknowledge some limitations in the present work. First, the two patient samples are not 16 comparable in terms of their demographic characteristics, and they were recruited from different 17 countries. To control for these factors we standardized VSTM scores and demonstrated that, 18 despite such differences, both samples shared a common phenotype both behaviorally and 19 electrophysiologically. Finally, other important limitation is that our MCI group included 20 different clinical phenotypes. However, our sample is similar to that reported in the only study 21 that had assessed different clinical phenotypes of MCI with the VSTM task, in which most of 22 patients were impaired in memory [85]. Future research may to study how sensitive VSTM 23 binding is to cognitive and neuropathological changes considering larger MCI cohort with

1 different characteristics of clinical phenotypes.

#### 2 5. Conclusion

3 The prodromal stages of AD are characterized by VSTM binding deficits cutting across sporadic 4 and familial variants of the disease. Such deficits are accompanied by detectable and measurable 5 electrophysiological abnormalities, which are also shared by MCI patients. The incorporation of ERP analyses can boost the sensitivity of the VSTM task to anticipate probable AD, both 6 7 physiologically (by unveiling relevant biological mechanisms) and clinically (by detecting 8 impaired individuals earlier). All in all, we advocate the combined analysis of behavioral and 9 ERP data gleaned with the VSTM binding task can offer a valuable tool for assessing memory 10 impairments in individuals at risk for AD.

11

#### 12 Acknowledgments

13 Authors declare no conflict of interests. This work was partially supported by grants from 14 CONICET, CONICYT/FONDECYT Regular (1130920), FONCyT-PICT 2012-0412, 2012-1309, 15 FONDAP 15150012 and the INECO Foundation. MAP work was supported by Alzheimer's 16 Society, Grant # AS-R42303. This study was also supported by the MRC grant # MRC-R42552, 17 awarded to MAP in collaboration with AI and FM. We acknowledge the contribution from CODI, University of Antioquia, whose Sustainability Program 2015-2016 supported the 18 19 Neuroscience Group of Antioquia led by Professor Francisco Lopera. We also acknowledge the 20 support from the Alzheimer's Scotland Dementia Research and the Centre for Cognitive Ageing 21 and Cognitive Epidemiology part of the cross council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing Initiative 22 (MR/K026992/1) both from the University of Edinburgh.

23

#### 2 References

3

4	[1]	Luck SJ, Vogel EK (1997) The capacity of visual working memory for features and
5		conjunctions Nature 390 279-281

- 6 [2] Parra MA, Abrahams S, Logie RH, Mendez LG, Lopera F, Della Sala S (2010) Visual
  7 short-term memory binding deficits in familial Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 133, 27028 2713.
- 9 [3] Parra MA, Sala SD, Abrahams S, Logie RH, Mendez LG, Lopera F (2011) Specific
  10 deficit of colour-colour short-term memory binding in sporadic and familial Alzheimer's
  11 disease. *Neuropsychologia* 49, 1943-1952.
- Parra M, Abrahams S, Logie R, Della Sala S (2010) Visual short-term memory binding in
  Alzheimer's disease and depression. *J Neurol* 257, 1160-1169.
- 14 [5] Lopera F, Ardilla A, Martinez A, Madrigal L, Arango-Viana JC, Lemere CA, Arango15 Lasprilla JC, Hincapie L, Arcos-Burgos M, Ossa JE, Behrens IM, Norton J, Lendon C,
  16 Goate AM, Ruiz-Linares A, Rosselli M, Kosik KS (1997) Clinical features of early-onset
- Alzheimer disease in a large kindred with an E280A presenilin-1 mutation. *JAMA* 277,
  793-799.
- 19 [6] Parra MA, Abrahams S, Logie RH, Sala SD (2009) Age and binding within-dimension
  20 features in visual short-term memory. *Neurosci Lett* 449, 1-5.
- [7] Read CA, Rogers JM, Wilson PH (2015) Working memory binding of visual object
   features in older adults. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn*, 1-19.
- 23 [8] Rhodes S, Parra MA, Logie RH (2015) Ageing and feature binding in visual working

- 1 memory: The role of presentation time. *Q J Exp Psychol (Hove)*, 1-15.
- 2 [9] Della Sala S, Parra MA, Fabi K, Luzzi S, Abrahams S (2012) Short-term memory
  3 binding is impaired in AD but not in non-AD dementias. *Neuropsychologia* 50, 833-840.
- 4 [10] Sarazin M, Berr C, De Rotrou J, Fabrigoule C, Pasquier F, Legrain S, Michel B, Puel M,
  5 Volteau M, Touchon J, Verny M, Dubois B (2007) Amnestic syndrome of the medial
  6 temporal type identifies prodromal AD: a longitudinal study. *Neurology* 69, 1859-1867.
- 7 [11] Fischer P, Jungwirth S, Zehetmayer S, Weissgram S, Hoenigschnabl S, Gelpi E, Krampla
  8 W, Tragl KH (2007) Conversion from subtypes of mild cognitive impairment to
- 9 Alzheimer dementia. *Neurology* **68**, 288-291.
- 10 [12] Koppara A, Frommann I, Polcher A, Parra MA, Maier W, Jessen F, Klockgether T,
  11 Wagner M (2015) Feature Binding Deficits in Subjective Cognitive Decline and in Mild
  12 Cognitive Impairment. *J Alzheimers Dis* 48 Suppl 1, S161-170.
- 13 [13] da Silva FL (2013) EEG and MEG: relevance to neuroscience. *Neuron* 80, 1112-1128.
- [14] Poil SS, de Haan W, van der Flier WM, Mansvelder HD, Scheltens P, LinkenkaerHansen K (2013) Integrative EEG biomarkers predict progression to Alzheimer's disease
  at the MCI stage. *Front Aging Neurosci* 5, 58.
- 17 [15] Petersen RC (2004) Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med* 256,
  18 183-194.
- 19 [16] Winblad B, Palmer K, Kivipelto M, Jelic V, Fratiglioni L, Wahlund LO, Nordberg A,
  20 Backman L, Albert M, Almkvist O, Arai H, Basun H, Blennow K, de Leon M, DeCarli C,
  21 Erkinjuntti T, Giacobini E, Graff C, Hardy J, Jack C, Jorm A, Ritchie K, van Duijn C,
- 22 Visser P, Petersen RC (2004) Mild cognitive impairment--beyond controversies, towards
- 23 a consensus: report of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment. J

1 Intern Med 256, 240-246.

- [17] Mitchell J, Arnold R, Dawson K, Nestor PJ, Hodges JR (2009) Outcome in subgroups of
   mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is highly predictable using a simple algorithm. J
   *Neurol* 256, 1500-1509.
- 5 [18] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) "Mini-mental state". A practical method
  6 for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 12, 189-198.
- 7 [19] Torralva T, Roca M, Gleichgerrcht E, Bonifacio A, Raimondi C, Manes F (2011)
  8 Validation of the Spanish Version of the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised
- 9 (ACE-R). Neurologia 26, 351-356.
- [20] Burin DI, Jorge RE, Arizaga RA, Paulsen JS (2000) Estimation of premorbid intelligence:
   the word accentuation test-Buenos Aires version. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* 22, 677-685.
- 13 [21] Burin DI, Ramenzoni V, Arizaga RL (2003) Evaluación neuropsicológica del
  14 envejecimiento: normas según edad y nivel educacional. *Revista Neurológica Argentina*15 28, 149-152.
- 16 [22] Wechsler D (2002) Buenos Aires: Paidós.
- 17 [23] Butman J, Allegri RF, Harris P, Drake M (2000) Fluencia verbal en español. Datos
  18 normativos en Argentina. *Medicina* 60, 561-564.
- 19 [24] Lawton MP, Brody EM (1969) Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and
   20 instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist* 9, 179-186.
- [25] Yesavage JA, Brink T, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, Leirer VO (1983)
   Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary
- 23 report. Journal of psychiatric research 17, 37-49.

1	[26]	Acosta-Baena N, Sepulveda-Falla D, Lopera-Gomez CM, Jaramillo-Elorza MC, Moreno
2		S, Aguirre-Acevedo DC, Saldarriaga A, Lopera F (2011) Pre-dementia clinical stages in
3		presenilin 1 E280A familial early-onset Alzheimer's disease: a retrospective cohort study.
4		<i>Lancet Neurol</i> <b>10</b> , 213-220.

- 5 [27] Ardila A, Lopera F, Rosselli M, Moreno S, Madrigal L, Arango-Lasprilla JC, Arcos M,
  6 Murcia C, Arango-Viana JC, Ossa J, Goate A, Kosik KS (2000) Neuropsychological
  7 profile of a large kindred with familial Alzheimer's disease caused by the E280A single
  8 presenilin-1 mutation. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 15, 515-528.
- 9 [28] Parra MA, Saarimäki H, Bastin ME, Londoño AC, Pettit L, Lopera F, Della Sala S,
  10 Abrahams S (2015) Memory binding and white matter integrity in familial Alzheimer's
  11 disease. *Brain*, awv048.
- [29] Parra MA, Della Sala S, Logie RH, Morcom AM (2014) Neural correlates of shape-color
  binding in visual working memory. *Neuropsychologia* 52, 27-36.
- [30] Allen RJ, Baddeley AD, Hitch GJ (2006) Is the binding of visual features in working
  memory resource-demanding? *J Exp Psychol Gen* 135, 298-313.
- [31] Wheeler ME, Treisman AM (2002) Binding in short-term visual memory. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 131, 48-64.
- [32] Mansbach WE, Mace RA, Clark KM (2015) Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in longterm care patients: subtype classification and occurrence. *Aging Ment Health*, 1-6.
- 20 [33] Nichols TE, Holmes AP (2002) Nonparametric permutation tests for functional
  21 neuroimaging: a primer with examples. *Hum Brain Mapp* 15, 1-25.
- 22 [34] Hesse E, Mikulan E, Decety J, Sigman M, Garcia Mdel C, Silva W, Ciraolo C, Vaucheret
- 23 E, Baglivo F, Huepe D, Lopez V, Manes F, Bekinschtein TA, Ibanez A (2016) Early

- 1 detection of intentional harm in the human amygdala. *Brain* **139**, 54-61.
- [35] Hesse E, Mikulan E, Decety J, Sigman M, Garcia Mdel C, Silva W, Ciraolo C, Vaucheret
  E, Baglivo F, Huepe D, Lopez V, Manes F, Bekinschtein TA, Ibanez A Early detection of
  intentional harm in the human amygdala. *Brain* 139, 54-61.
- 5 [36] Chennu S, Noreika V, Gueorguiev D, Blenkmann A, Kochen S, Ibanez A, Owen AM,
  6 Bekinschtein TA Expectation and attention in hierarchical auditory prediction. *J Neurosci*7 33, 11194-11205.
- 8 [37] Gonzalez-Gadea ML, Chennu S, Bekinschtein TA, Rattazzi A, Beraudi A, Tripicchio P,
  9 Moyano B, Soffita Y, Steinberg L, Adolfi F, Sigman M, Marino J, Manes F, Ibanez A
  10 Predictive coding in autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.
  11 *J Neurophysiol* 114, 2625-2636.
- [38] Di Russo F, Martinez A, Sereno MI, Pitzalis S, Hillyard SA (2002) Cortical sources of
  the early components of the visual evoked potential. *Hum Brain Mapp* 15, 95-111.
- I4 [39] Luck SJ, Heinze HJ, Mangun GR, Hillyard SA (1990) Visual event-related potentials
  index focused attention within bilateral stimulus arrays. II. Functional dissociation of P1
  and N1 components. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 75, 528-542.
- [40] Hillyard SA, Anllo-Vento L (1998) Event-related brain potentials in the study of visual
  selective attention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95, 781-787.
- [41] Potts GF (2004) An ERP index of task relevance evaluation of visual stimuli. *Brain Cogn*56, 5-13.
- [42] Luck SJ, Hillyard SA (1994) Electrophysiological correlates of feature analysis during
   visual search. *Psychophysiology* 31, 291-308.
- 23 [43] Kok A (2001) On the utility of P3 amplitude as a measure of processing capacity.

- 1 Psychophysiology **38**, 557-577.
- [44] Polich J (2007) Updating P300: an integrative theory of P3a and P3b. *Clin Neurophysiol* **118**, 2128-2148.
- 4 [45] Smart CM, Segalowitz SJ, Mulligan BP, MacDonald SW (2014) Attention capacity and
  5 self-report of subjective cognitive decline: a P3 ERP study. *Biol Psychol* 103, 144-151.
- 6 [46] Koenig S, Mecklinger A (2008) Electrophysiological correlates of encoding and
   7 retrieving emotional events. *Emotion* 8, 162-173.
- 8 [47] Friedman D, Johnson R, Jr. (2000) Event-related potential (ERP) studies of memory
  9 encoding and retrieval: a selective review. *Microsc Res Tech* 51, 6-28.
- 10 [48] Trott CT, Friedman D, Ritter W, Fabiani M, Snodgrass JG (1999) Episodic priming and
- memory for temporal source: event-related potentials reveal age-related differences in
   prefrontal functioning. *Psychol Aging* 14, 390-413.
- [49] Nessler D, Mecklinger A, Penney TB (2001) Event related brain potentials and illusory
  memories: the effects of differential encoding. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* 10, 283-301.
- 15 [50] Eimer M, Mazza V (2005) Electrophysiological correlates of change detection.
   16 Psychophysiology 42, 328-342.
- [51] Koivisto M, Revonsuo A Event-related brain potential correlates of visual awareness.
   *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 34, 922-934.
- 19 [52] Busch NA (2013) The fate of object memory traces under change detection and change
  20 blindness. *Brain Res* 1520, 107-115.
- [53] Brockmole JR, Parra MA, Della Sala S, Logie RH (2008) Do binding deficits account for
  age-related decline in visual working memory? *Psychon Bull Rev* 15, 543-547.
- 23 [54] Vogel EK, Luck SJ (2000) The visual N1 component as an index of a discrimination

- 1 process. *Psychophysiology* **37**, 190-203.
- 2 [55] Mazza V, Caramazza A (2015) Multiple object individuation and subitizing in
  3 enumeration: a view from electrophysiology. *Front Hum Neurosci* 9, 162.
- 4 [56] Pievani M, de Haan W, Wu T, Seeley WW, Frisoni GB Functional network disruption in
  5 the degenerative dementias. *Lancet Neurol* 10, 829-843.
- 6 [57] Didic M, Barbeau EJ, Felician O, Tramoni E, Guedj E, Poncet M, Ceccaldi M Which
  7 memory system is impaired first in Alzheimer's disease? *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*
- **8 27**, 11-22.
- 9 [58] Graham KS, Patterson K, Powis J, Drake J, Hodges JR (2002) Multiple inputs to episodic
   10 memory: words tell another story. *Neuropsychology* 16, 380-389.
- [59] Mayes A, Montaldi D, Migo E (2007) Associative memory and the medial temporal
  lobes. *Trends Cogn Sci* 11, 126-135.
- [60] Friedman D, Trott C (2000) An event-related potential study of encoding in young and
  older adults. *Neuropsychologia* 38, 542-557.
- [61] Sanquist TF, Rohrbaugh JW, Syndulko K, Lindsley DB (1980) Electrocortical signs of
  levels of processing: perceptual analysis and recognition memory. *Psychophysiology* 17,
  568-576.
- [62] Awh E, Barton B, Vogel EK (2007) Visual working memory represents a fixed number
  of items regardless of complexity. *Psychol Sci* 18, 622-628.
- [63] Parra MA, Fabi K, Luzzi S, Cubelli R, Hernandez Valdez M, Della Sala S Relational and
  conjunctive binding functions dissociate in short-term memory. *Neurocase* 21, 56-66.
- [64] Baddeley A, Allen R, Vargha-Khadem F Is the hippocampus necessary for visual and
  verbal binding in working memory? *Neuropsychologia* 48, 1089-1095.

1	[65]	Mayes AR, Holdstock JS, Isaac CL, Montaldi D, Grigor J, Gummer A, Cariga P, Downes
2		JJ, Tsivilis D, Gaffan D, Gong Q, Norman KA (2004) Associative recognition in a patient
3		with selective hippocampal lesions and relatively normal item recognition. Hippocampus
4		<b>14</b> , 763-784.
5	[66]	Rugg MD, Curran T (2007) Event-related potentials and recognition memory. Trends
6		Cogn Sci 11, 251-257.
7	[67]	Wolk DA, Manning K, Kliot D, Arnold SE Recognition memory in amnestic-mild
8		cognitive impairment: insights from event-related potentials. Front Aging Neurosci 5, 89.
9	[68]	Green DL, Payne L, Polikar R, Moberg PJ, Wolk DA, Kounios J P50: A candidate ERP
10		biomarker of prodromal Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res 1624, 390-397.
11	[69]	Golob EJ, Irimajiri R, Starr A (2007) Auditory cortical activity in amnestic mild
12		cognitive impairment: relationship to subtype and conversion to dementia. Brain 130,
13		740-752.
14	[70]	Azumi T, Nakashima K, Takahashi K (1995) Aging effects on auditory middle latency
15		responses. Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol 35, 397-401.
16	[71]	Read CA, Rogers JM, Wilson PH Working memory binding of visual object features in
17		older adults. Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn 23, 263-281.
18	[72]	Rhodes S, Parra MA, Logie RH Ageing and feature binding in visual working memory:
19		The role of presentation time. Q J Exp Psychol (Hove) 69, 654-668.
20	[73]	Azizian A, Polich J (2007) Evidence for attentional gradient in the serial position
21		memory curve from event-related potentials. J Cogn Neurosci 19, 2071-2081.
22	[74]	Quiroz YT, Ally BA, Celone K, McKeever J, Ruiz-Rizzo AL, Lopera F, Stern CE,

23 Budson AE (2011) Event-related potential markers of brain changes in preclinical

- 1 familial Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* **77**, 469-475.
- 2 [75] Bobes MA, Garcia YF, Lopera F, Quiroz YT, Galan L, Vega M, Trujillo N, Valdes-Sosa
  3 M, Valdes-Sosa P (2010) ERP generator anomalies in presymptomatic carriers of the
- 4 Alzheimer's disease E280A PS-1 mutation. *Hum Brain Mapp* **31**, 247-265.
- 5 [76] Xu Y, Chun MM (2006) Dissociable neural mechanisms supporting visual short-term
  6 memory for objects. *Nature* 440, 91-95.
- 7 [77] Todd JJ, Marois R (2004) Capacity limit of visual short-term memory in human posterior
  8 parietal cortex. *Nature* 428, 751-754.
- 9 [78] Shafritz KM, Gore JC, Marois R (2002) The role of the parietal cortex in visual feature
  10 binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99, 10917-10922.
- 11 [79] Cohen MX Analyzing neural time series data: theory and practice, MIT Press.
- 12 [80] Pievani M, de Haan W, Wu T, Seeley WW, Frisoni GB (2011) Functional network
  13 disruption in the degenerative dementias. *Lancet Neurol* 10, 829-843.
- 14 [81] Peterburs J, Pergola G, Koch B, Schwarz M, Hoffmann KP, Daum I, Bellebaum C (2011)
- Altered error processing following vascular thalamic damage: evidence from an
  antisaccade task. *PLoS One* 6, e21517.
- [82] Dalrymple KA, Oruc I, Duchaine B, Pancaroglu R, Fox CJ, Iaria G, Handy TC, Barton JJ
  (2011) The anatomic basis of the right face-selective N170 IN acquired prosopagnosia: a
  combined ERP/fMRI study. *Neuropsychologia* 49, 2553-2563.
- 20 [83] Oruc I, Krigolson O, Dalrymple K, Nagamatsu LS, Handy TC, Barton JJ (2011)
- Bootstrap analysis of the single subject with event related potentials. *Cogn Neuropsychol*28, 322-337.
- 23 [84] Canini M, Battista P, Della Rosa PA, Catricala E, Salvatore C, Gilardi MC, Castiglioni I

1		Computerized neuropsychological assessment in aging: testing efficacy and clinical
2		ecology of different interfaces. Comput Math Methods Med 2014, 804723.
3	[85]	Koppara A, Frommann I, Polcher A, Parra MA, Maier W, Jessen F, Klockgether T,
4		Wagner M Feature Binding Deficits in Subjective Cognitive Decline and in Mild
5		Cognitive Impairment. J Alzheimers Dis 48 Suppl 1, S161-170.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

1

2 Table 1. Demographic and neuropsychological data of MCI patients and controls, with

results from statistical comparisons.

	MCI (r	<i>n</i> = 13)	controls (n	<i>i</i> = 14)	<i>t</i> -test		Effect size
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	Р	Cohen's d
Age	73.08	9.01	67.21	10.14	-1.58	NS	-0.61
Education	14.08	4.44	16.50	1.99	1.85	NS	0.70
GDS	6.00	3.74	4.93	2.95	-0.83	NS	-0.32
IADL (Fam)	6.38	1.06					
WAT	41.46	8.42	45.98	4.32	1.77	NS	0.67
ACE-III	81.15	12.49	95.07	4.30	3.87	.0007	1.47
MMSE	26.46	2.47	29.50	0.52	4.50	.0001	1.70
RAVLT-Total Recall	28.77	9.49	43.93	9.22	4.214.13	.0003	1.62
RAVLT-Delayed Recall	4.46	3.71	11.09	10.06	2.24	.03	0.87
RAVLT-List							
Recognition	0.67	0.15	0.95	0.32	2.88	.008	1.12
(corrected)							
<b>Rey Figure - Copy</b>	30.42	4.58	32.16	5.80	0.86	NS	0.33
<b>Rey Figure - Recall</b>	11.04	6.36	16.49	6.55	2.19	.04	0.84
Rey Figure	- 17.62	2.53	21.69	3.65	3.34	.003	1.29

	Recognition							
	Digit Span	5.54	1.13	7.22	3.23	1.77	.09	0.69
	TMT-A	59.23	24.37	42.63	25.87	-1.71	NS	-0.66
	ТМТ-В	183.38	119.47	87.81	46.52	-2.78	.01	-1.05
	Verbal Fluency F	12.08	5.20	17.50	9.30	1.85	NS	0.71
	Verbal Fluency A	11.54	4.41	21.74	22.41	1.61	NS	0.63
	Verbal Fluency S	11.23	3.17	15.14	2.91	3.35	.003	1.29
1	NS: non-significant;	RAVLT	: Rey	Auditory	Verbal Lea	arning Te	est; IADL:	Instrumental
2	Activities of Daily Li	ving Sca	le; WA	T: Word	Accentuation	Test; TN	/IT-A: Trail	-Making Test
3	(part A); TMT-B: Tra	ail-Makir	ng Test	(part B);	ACE: Adde	nbrooke's	Cognitive	Examination;
4	MMSE: Mini-Mental S	State Exa	minatio	n. GDS: G	eriatric Dep	ression Sc	ale.	
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								

2 Table 2. Demographic and neuropsychological data of MCI-FAD patients and healthy

	MCI-FA	AD	Contro	ols	t toat		Effect size
	( <i>n</i> = 10	)	(n = 10)	0)	<i>i</i> -test		Effect size
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	р	Cohen's d
Age	44.40	3.20	44.30	5.60	-0.05	NS	-0.02
Education	7.30	4.10	11.30	13.90	0.87	NS	0.38
MMSE	25.20	4.50	29.10	1.10	2.75	.023	0.86
IADL (Fam)	7.2	1.0			·		
Verbal Fluency	15.3	5.0	21.4	4.8	3.66,	.006	1.02
TMT-A	87.75	38.30	73.67	26.44	1.04	NS	-0.33
Rey Figure – Copy	21.89	5.03	26.38	4.99	2.68,	.028	0.73
Rey Figure – Recall	7.33	4.89	14.32	5.18	4.29	.003	1.14

3 controls, with results from statistical comparisons.

4 NS: non-significant; IADL: Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale (IADL); MMSE: Mini-

5 Mental State Examination; TMT-A: Trail-Making Test (part A).

# 2 Table 3. Summary of significant results (p < .05) drawn from the ERP analyses.

	DETWIN			TC (DA T	IENTS VS CONT			
	DEIWE	EIN-GK	OUP CONTRAS	15 (PAT	IENIS VS. CUNT	KULS)		
		N1	MCI vs. CTR	ТВ	TS EB TB			
	100-250	111	FAD vs. CTR	EB				
	100-250	Р2	MCI vs. CTR				ES EB	ES EB
		12	FAD vs. CTR					EB
	250-500	P3	MCI vs. CTR					
			FAD vs. CTR					
	500-900	LPP	MCI vs. CTR			ES TB		TB
	500 900		FAD vs. CTR					
3	E=encoo	ling, T	=test, S=shape or	nly, B=sł	nape-color binding			
4								
5								
5								
7								
8								
9								
)								
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								





Figure 2. (A) ERP activity from significant ROIs comparing MCI patients and controls in the shape-only (encoding and test) and shape-color binding (encoding and test) conditions. (B) Electrodes by numbers comprising the ROIs. Red points indicate significant electrodes. (C) Scalp distribution of activity during the early (100-250 ms) and late (500-900 ms) time-windows across conditions and groups. (D) Mean performance during the VSTM task in the shape-only and shape-color binding conditions. Error bars represent standard deviations from the mean.



**Figure 3.** (A) ERP activity from significant ROIs comparing MCI-FAD and controls in the shape-only (encoding) and shape-color binding (encoding) conditions. (B) Electrodes by numbers comprising the ROIs. Red points indicate significant electrodes. (C) Scalp distribution of activity during the early (100-250 ms) and intermediate (250-500 ms) time-windows across conditions and groups. (D) Mean performance during the VSTM task in the shape-only and shape-color binding conditions. Error bars represent standard deviations from the mean.

1

- 9
- 10
- 11
- 10

12



#### Supplementary data

#### 2 S1. Participants

3 Mild cognitive impairment patients

4 MCI patients were diagnosed according to the criteria proposed by Pertersen [1] and Winblad et 5 al. [2], namely: (1) change in cognition recognized by the affected individual and/or a close 6 informant; (2) relatively preserved general cognition for age; (3) objective memory impairment 7 (defined by scores of 1.5 SDs below age norms); (4) independence in functional activities; and (5) 8 absence of dementia [3].

9

1

#### 10 MCI subtypes

11 Clinical phenotypes of MCI were distinguished based on previously established criteria [2, 4]. 12 MCI patients were classified into three sub-groups according to an impairment threshold set at 13 1.5 SDs for memory, executive functions, and attention capacity: non-amnestic MCI (in which 14 patients had executive and/or attention impairments), amnestic MCI (in which patients had only 15 memory impairment), and multi-domain MCI (in which patients had memory and executive 16 and/or attention impairments).

17

### 18 MCI-FAD patients

MCI-FAD patients were recruited in a large kindred from the Colombian province of Antioquia. These individuals carried a gene mutation (i.e., E280A in the PSEN-1 gene) that leads to earlyonset familial Alzheimer's disease in 100% of cases [5]. At the time of assessment, all the participants led an active working life, proved functionally independent, and were off medication (i.e., anticholinesterase inhibitors). Patients with MCI-FAD met the definition proposed by [6]. All the participants had previously undergone genetic screening and the presence of the mutation,
 which was unknown both to the participants and the researchers, had been either confirmed or
 ruled out.

4

#### 5 S2. Visual short-term memory task

6 The trial sequence was as follows: each trial began with a fixation cross visible for 500 ms. This 7 was followed by the study array, which also remained on screen for 500 ms. Then came a blank 8 retention interval of 900 ms, after which the test array appeared and persisted until the participant 9 responded. Participants tested in Argentina used a mouse to indicate recognition of a change (or absence thereof) between the study and the test arrays (left button for "same trial" and right 10 11 button for "different trial"). Participants tested in Colombia responded orally upon the 12 experimenter's request. This procedure allowed the isolation of the artifact induced by verbal responses. The experimenter then entered participants' responses using the keyboard. The results 13 14 presented here suggest that these different procedures do not affect response accuracy. The two 15 patient samples were affected and their impairments were indistinguishable.

16

#### 17 S3. Data analysis

- 18 Behavioral data
- 19 Neuropsychological assessment
- 20 Comparisons among MCI subtypes

Intra-group analyses within the MCI sample were performed to determine whether these subgroups are comparable in term of demographic (i.e. age, education) and cognitive functioning (ACE III). This analysis was effectuated using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. A Tukey

1 correction was applied to each comparison among MCI subtypes.

2

#### 3 VSTM task

4 Comparisons among MCI subtypes

5 For an intra-group analyses within the MCI sample, patients were pooled into three clinical 6 phenotypes [1, 2, 4]: (a) non-amnestic MCI with deficits in a single domain (nMCI), (b) pure 7 amnestic MCI (aMCI), and (c) multiple-domain amnestic MCI (mMCI) –see S1. To compare the 8 performance of these subgroups on the VSTM task, we used a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis 9 test. A Tukey correction was applied to each comparison among MCI subtypes. This procedure 10 was not applied to the FAD-MCI patients as they were all in an mMCI stage.

11

### 12 Comparisons between MCI and MCI-FAD

As a confirmatory analysis in order to compare behavioral and ERP data between the MCI and FAD-MCI groups, we converted raw scores to z-scores. For this calculation we used groupderived parameters (common mean and SD of the overall group) of the variables revealing significant between-group differences. Z-scores were then compared across the two groups through a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. In addition, for all pairwise comparisons the effect size Cohen's method was calculated.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

23

#### 1 S4. Results

#### 2 S4.1 MCI patients vs. healthy controls

3 Behavioral data

#### 4 Neuropsychological assessment

5 Statistical comparisons revealed that MCI patients had poorer cognitive performance on both 6 screening tests and on the majority of standard neuropsychological tests. The domains yielding 7 more severe impairments were memory, language, and attention. However, no differences were 8 found between MCI patients and controls in premorbid intelligence, copy of the Rey figure, 9 TMT-A, digit span, and two of the phonological fluency task trials. Finally, MCI patients 10 showed no impairments in daily living functions, which ruled out the presence of dementia (see 11 Table 1 in main text).

12

#### 13 Comparisons among MCI subtypes

MCI subgroups were comparable in terms of age (Kruskal-Wallis test H: 0.07, p = .96), years of education (Kruskal-Wallis test H: 1.34, p = .51) and in cognitive functioning (Kruskal-Wallis test H: 6.22, p = .08).

17

There were significant differences among MCI subtypes in both the shape-only (Kruskal-Wallis test H: 8.6, p = .01) and the shape-color binding (Kruskal-Wallis test H: 6.5 p = .04) conditions. Multiple comparisons showed that nMCI outperformed mMCI on both the shape-only (Z = 2.54, p = .03, d = 2.50) and the shape-color binding (Z = 2.40; p = .05, d = 2.54) conditions. In addition, performance in the shape-only condition was better for aMCI than mMCI (Z = 2.44, p = .04, d = 2.52). No significant differences were found between aMCI and nMCI in any

1 condition (see Supplementary Table 1).

2

#### 3 ERP results

4 MCI patients

Encoding phase. There were differences between conditions in the right FC region, departing 5 from the P2 (t = -3.04, p < .01, d = -0.58) to the P3 component (t = -2.80, p < .05, d = -0.67), 6 7 which emerged in the early time-window (100-250 ms) and extended over the intermediate time-8 window (250-500 ms). Differences were also observed in the amplitude of the P2 component 9 during the early time-window (100-250 ms) over the left (t = -2.30, p < .05, d = -0.37) and the right (t = -2.41, p < .05, d = -0.45) CP regions. Furthermore, there were differences over the right 10 11 CP region in the P3 (t = -2.26, p < .05, d = -0.48) during the intermediate time-window (250-500 12 ms) and in the LPP (t = -2.80, p < .01, d = -0.47) in a latency range of 500-900 ms. We also found bilateral differences over the PO region in the N1 (left: t = -2.35, p < .05, d = -0.36; right: t 13 14 = -2.98, p < .01, d = -0.42) and the LPP (left: t = -2.27, p < .05, d = -0.45; right: t = -2.82, p 15 < .01, d = -0.59) components, in early (100-250 ms) and late (500-900 ms) time-windows, 16 respectively.

17

Test phase. We found differences in P2 amplitudes over bilateral FC regions (left: t = -2.48, p (0.5, d = -0.57; right: t = 2.46, p < .05, d = 0.47) in the early time-window (100-250 ms). Significant differences also emerged in the N1 component over the left CP (t = -2.66, p < .05, d = -0.44) and bilateral PO regions (left: t = -3.55, p < .01, d = -0.40; right: t = -2.59, p < .05, d = -0.48), both in early time-windows (100-250 ms) (see Supplementary Figure 2).

23

1 Closer inspection of the waveforms revealed that the above effects were driven by larger 2 amplitudes of all the ERP components, except N1 and P2, during the shape-color binding 3 condition relative to the shape-only condition. The amplitude of N1 during the encoding and test 4 and the amplitude of P2 during the test phase were larger in the shape-only than in the shape-5 color binding condition (see Supplementary Table 3).

6

#### 7 Controls

8 Encoding phase. No significant differences between conditions were observed.

9 Test phase. We found differences between conditions over the left FC region in the amplitude of the P2 (t = -2.53, p < .05, d = -0.65), P3 (t = -3.36, p < .05, d = -0.70), and LPP (t = -3.02, p < .05, 10 11 d = -0.77) components during the early (100-250 ms), intermediate (250-500 ms), and late (500-12 900 ms) time-windows, respectively. Differences in these time-windows were also observed in the left (early: t = -2.42, p < .05, d = -0.47; intermediate: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, p < .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, q > .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, q > .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, q > .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, q > .01, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.36, d = -0.64; late: t = -3.3613 14 4.24, p < .01, d = -0.98) and right (early: t = -2.08, p < .05, d = -0.42; intermediate: t = -3.52, p 15 < .01, d = -0.46; late: t = -3.87, p < .01, d = -0.78) CP regions for the P2, P3, and LPP 16 components. In addition, there were differences over the right PO region, departing from the P3 (t = -1.97, p < .05, d = -0.29) to the LPP (t = -3.45, p < .01, d = -0.53), which emerged in the 17 18 intermediate time-window (250-500 ms) and extended over the late time-window (500-900 ms). 19 Differences were also observed in the LPP component (t = -2.67, p < .05, d = -0.56) over the left PO during the late time-window (500-900 ms) (see Supplementary Figure 2). 20 21

22 Closer inspection of the waveforms revealed that these effects were driven by larger amplitudes

## 23 of all the ERP components in the shape-color binding condition compared to the shape-only

1 condition (see Supplementary Table 3).

2

#### 3 S.4.2 MCI-FAD patients vs. healthy controls

- 4 ERP results
- 5 MCI-FAD patients

Encoding phase. There were significant differences between conditions in the P2 amplitude over
the left FC (t = -2.18, p < .01, d = -1.10) during the early time-window (100-250 ms). This time-</li>
window also yielded differences in the N1 component over the right CP (t = 3.24, p < .05, d =</li>
1.26) and PO (t = 3.05, p < .05, d = 1.13) regions (see supplementary Figure 3).</li>

10

11 Test phase. There were differences between conditions in the N1 amplitude over the right PO 12 region (t = 3.15, p < .05, d = 0.33) during the early time-window (100-250 ms) -see 13 supplementary Figure 3.

14 These within-group analyses showed that all the ERPs exhibited greater amplitude in the shape-

15 color binding than in the shape-only condition (see Supplementary Table 3).

16

#### 17 Controls

Encoding phase. We found significant differences between conditions over the left PO region in the amplitude of the N1 (t = 2.60, p < .05, d = 0.49) and P3 (t = 2.19, p < .05, d = 0.51) components during early (100-250 ms) and intermediate (250-500 ms) time-windows, respectively. There were also differences in the amplitude of the N1 (t = 3.00, p < .05, d = 0.32) over the right PO during the early time-window (100-250 ms) –see supplementary Figure 3.

23

1 Test phase. We found significant differences between conditions in the P2 component during the 2 early window (100-250 ms) over the left FC (t = -3.08, p < .01, d = -0.95). Amplitude differences 3 during that same time-window also emerged for the N1 component over the right CP (t = 2.97, p 4 < .01, d = 0.81) and PO (t = 4.35, p < .01, d = 0.53) regions (see supplementary Figure 3).

5

6 Closer inspection of the waveforms revealed that the above effects were driven by larger
7 amplitudes of all the ERP components in the shape-color binding condition relative to the shape8 only condition (see Supplementary Table 3).

9

### 10 S.4.3 MCI vs. MCI-FAD

#### 11 VSTM task

No significant differences emerged between groups upon comparing their z-scores (see details in
data analyses) from performance on the shape-color binding condition of the VSTM task (MannWhitney U: 52.0, Z = .78, p = .44, d = .52).

15

#### 16 ERP results

17 We also compared the patients' z-scores drawn from the electrophysiological data which 18 indicated significant between-group differences over specific ROIs and time-windows (see 19 section 3.3 in the main text). However, contrasts between MCI and MCI-FAD including ERP 20 activity revealed no significant differences (Mann-Whitney U: 63.0, Z = .09, p = .93, d = .05).

21

22

23

# 1 Supplementary Table 1. VSTM task performance in different MCI's subgroups.

VSTM task conditions	Mean (SD) corr	rected recognition	n scores	
	$\underline{nMCI} (n = 4)$	$\underline{\mathrm{aMCI}}(n=4)$	$\underline{\mathrm{mMCI}}\ (n=5)$	<u>Controls</u>
Shape only	0.50 (0.17)	0.47 (0.14)	0.05 (0.19)	0.45 (0.13)
Shape-color binding	0.34 (0.15)	0.25 (0.24)	<u>-0.08 (0.18)</u>	0.33 (0.22)

- 1 Supplementary Table 2. Demographic data and general cognitive impairment in different
- 2 MCI's subgroups.

	Mean (SD)		
	nMCI (n = 4)	aMCI $(n = 4)$	mMCI (n = 5)
Age	73.00 (12.57)	71.50 (11.39)	74.40 (4.83)
Education	14.25 (6.18)	15.75 (0.96)	12.60 (4.93)
ACE III	87.25 (5.50)	88.25 (2.36)	70.60 (14.64)

#### Table 3. Summary of significant results (p < .05) drawn from the ERP analyses.

WITHI	N-GRO	UP CONTRAS	STS (SHAI	PE ONLY V	/S. SHA	PE-CO	LOR BINI	DING)
			Parieto	-occipital	Centro- parietal		Fronto-central	
Time- windo w (ms)	ERP	Group- contrast	L	R	L	R	L	R
100- 250	N1	MCI	ΕT	ΕT	Т			
		MCI-CTR			Т	Т		
		FAD		ΕT		Е		
		FAD-CTR	Е	ΕT		Т		
	P2	MCI			Е	Е	Т	ΕT
		MCI-CTR					Т	
		FAD						
		FAD-CTR					Т	
250- 500	P3	MCI				Е		Е
		MCI-CTR		Т	Т	Т	Т	
		FAD						
		FAD-CTR	Е					
500- 900	LPP	MCI	E	Е		Е		
		MCI-CTR		Т	Т	Т	Т	
		FAD						
		FAD-CTR						
E=enco	ding, T=	=test, S=shape c	only, B=sha	pe-color bin	ding.			



Figure 1. (A) ERP activity from significant ROIs between the shape-only and shape-color
binding conditions in each memory phase of the VSTM task, in controls and MCI patients. (B)
Electrodes by numbers comprising the ROIs.







Figure 2. (A) ERP activity from ROIs yielding significant differences between the shape-only
and the shape-color binding conditions in each memory phase of the VSTM task, in controls and
MCI-FAD patients. (B) Electrodes by numbers comprising the ROIs.



Figure 3. Behavioral and electrophysiological comparisons between MCI and MCI-FAD during the VSTM task. The y-axis shows the means of the distance between patients and controls, as measured with z-values. Error bars represent standard deviations from the mean. The mean z value of behavioral performance in the shape-color binding condition is depicted on the left side. The mean z value of electrophysiological activity in the shape-color binding condition is portrayed on the right (ROI: FC right; memory-phase: encoding; time-window: 100-250 ms).

- 9
- 10
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- -





2 Figure 4. Implemented electrode dispositions by number in Colombia (MCI and controls, left

3 panel) and Argentina (MCI-FAD and controls, right panel).

1
4

Supplementary References 

3	[1]	Pievani M, de Haan W, Wu T, Seeley WW, Frisoni GB (2011) Functional network
4		disruption in the degenerative dementias. Lancet Neurol 10, 829-843.
5	[2]	Didic M, Barbeau EJ, Felician O, Tramoni E, Guedj E, Poncet M, Ceccaldi M (2011)
6		Which memory system is impaired first in Alzheimer's disease? J Alzheimers Dis 27, 11-
7		22.
8	[3]	Graham KS, Patterson K, Powis J, Drake J, Hodges JR (2002) Multiple inputs to episodic
9		memory: words tell another story. Neuropsychology 16, 380-389.
10	[4]	Mayes A, Montaldi D, Migo E (2007) Associative memory and the medial temporal
11		lobes. Trends Cogn Sci 11, 126-135.
12	[5]	Lopera F, Ardilla A, Martinez A, Madrigal L, Arango-Viana JC, Lemere CA, Arango-
13		Lasprilla JC, Hincapie L, Arcos-Burgos M, Ossa JE, Behrens IM, Norton J, Lendon C,
14		Goate AM, Ruiz-Linares A, Rosselli M, Kosik KS (1997) Clinical features of early-onset
15		Alzheimer disease in a large kindred with an E280A presenilin-1 mutation. JAMA 277,
16		793-799.
17	[6]	Acosta-Baena N, Sepulveda-Falla D, Lopera-Gomez CM, Jaramillo-Elorza MC, Moreno
18		S, Aguirre-Acevedo DC, Saldarriaga A, Lopera F (2011) Pre-dementia clinical stages in
19		presenilin 1 E280A familial early-onset Alzheimer's disease: a retrospective cohort study.

Lancet Neurol 10, 213-220.