



**Raistrick, Mark and Hutcheon, Ian and Shevalier, Maurice and Nightingale, Michael and Johnson, Gareth and Taylor, Stephen and Mayer, Bernhard and Durocher, Kyle and Perkins, Ernie and Gunter, Bill (2009) Carbon dioxide-water-silicate mineral reactions enhance CO2 storage : evidence from produced fluid measurements and geochemical modeling at the IEA Weyburn-Midale project. Energy Procedia, 1 (1). pp. 3149-3155. ISSN 1876-6102 , <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2009.02.097>**

This version is available at <https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/66713/>

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: [strathprints@strath.ac.uk](mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk)

The Strathprints institutional repository (<https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk>) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output.



GHGT-9

**Carbon dioxide-water-silicate mineral reactions enhance CO<sub>2</sub> storage; evidence from produced fluid measurements and geochemical modeling at the IEA Weyburn-Midale Project**

Mark Raistrick<sup>a,b</sup>, Ian Hutcheon<sup>b</sup>, Maurice Shevalier<sup>b</sup>, Michael Nightingale<sup>b</sup>, Gareth Johnson<sup>b</sup>, Stephen Taylor<sup>b</sup>, Bernhard Mayer<sup>b</sup>, Kyle Durocher<sup>d</sup>, Ernie Perkins<sup>c</sup>, and Bill Gunter<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Senergy Ltd., Aberdeen AB11 6DE, United Kingdom*

<sup>b</sup>*Applied Geochemistry Group, Department of Geoscience  
University of Calgary,*

*Calgary, T2N 1N4, Alberta, Canada*

<sup>c</sup>*Alberta Research Council, Edmonton, T6N 1E4, Alberta, Canada*

<sup>d</sup>*ConocoPhillips Canada, Calgary, T2P 3C5, Alberta, Canada*

---

**Abstract**

At the International Energy Agency Greenhouse Gas (IEA GHG) Weyburn-Midale Project in Saskatchewan, Canada, CO<sub>2</sub> storage research takes place alongside CO<sub>2</sub> enhanced oil recovery (EOR) in the Weyburn oil field.

Over four years of production well monitoring at Weyburn, measured changes in chemical and isotopic data for produced aqueous fluids and gases (i.e. an increase in Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and a decrease in δ<sup>13</sup>C<sub>HCO<sub>3</sub></sub> and δ<sup>13</sup>C<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> values), confirm the integrity of CO<sub>2</sub> storage, trace CO<sub>2</sub> migration and dissolution in the reservoir fluids, and record a range of water-rock-CO<sub>2</sub> reactions including carbonate mineral dissolution and alteration of K-feldspar. K-feldspar alteration buffers the pH decrease resulting from CO<sub>2</sub> injection, enhances aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> storage as HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (ionic trapping) and can lead to mineral storage of CO<sub>2</sub> as CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Geochemical reaction path simulations of the water-mineral-CO<sub>2</sub> system reproduce the changes in measured data observed over the first few years, confirming proposed reaction pathways and rates. Extension of these history matched reaction path simulations over 100s of years shows that alteration of K-feldspar and other silicate minerals present in the Weyburn reservoir will lead to further storage of injected CO<sub>2</sub> in the aqueous phase and as carbonate minerals.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Weyburn, K-feldspar, silicate, monitoring, ionic trapping, pH buffer, CO<sub>2</sub> storage

---

## 1. Introduction

The IEA GHG (International Energy Agency Greenhouse Gas) Weyburn-Midale CO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring and Storage Project in Saskatchewan, Canada, involves the injection of around 1.5 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> annually into the Weyburn and Midale Fields for the purpose of enhanced oil recovery and geological CO<sub>2</sub> storage. The Weyburn and Midale fields in southeast Saskatchewan produce medium gravity H<sub>2</sub>S-bearing (sour) crude oil from the Midale Beds of the Mississippian (Lower Carboniferous) Charles Formation. The Midale Beds include the Frobisher Evaporite and the Midale Carbonate and are buried at a depth of approximately 1.5km. The Midale Carbonate in the Weyburn and Midale Fields is divided into two flow units: the grainstones and packstones of the depleted calcite-dominated lower ‘Vuggy’ flow unit and the finer grained heterogeneous ‘Marly’ flow unit, with dolomite, anhydrite and a range of silicates. The Marly flow unit is the main target for CO<sub>2</sub> injection [e.g. 1,2].

Monitoring of geological storage is essential to evaluate the different storage processes and confirm the integrity of the storage reservoir. In 2000, prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection at Weyburn, produced fluids and gases were sampled from over forty production wells, with a range of chemical and isotopic parameters measured; a further 11 monitoring events followed at four month intervals. Geochemical data from the twelve geochemical monitoring events were used to trace injected CO<sub>2</sub>, quantify the mass of injected CO<sub>2</sub> stored as HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, estimate molecular CO<sub>2</sub> storage in the reservoir fluids and evaluate CO<sub>2</sub>-aqueous fluid-mineral reactions [1,2,3,4]. Durocher et al. [5] and Perkins et al. [6] suggested that injected CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution in the aqueous reservoir fluids at Weyburn would lead to silicate mineral alteration. Alteration of silicate minerals such as Na or K-feldspar (e.g. equation 1), buffers pH and forms Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> - HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> brines, and if there is sufficient Ca<sup>2+</sup> or Mg<sup>2+</sup> (or Fe) the reaction can lead to the precipitation of carbonate minerals [e.g.7,8]. The objective of this study was to evaluate whether silicate mineral dissolution occurred in the first phase of the Weyburn-Midale project and to model the potential impact of silicate mineral reactions for future CO<sub>2</sub> storage via ionic and mineral trapping.



## 2. Methods

The procedures used to sample and preserve the produced fluids and gases from wells at Weyburn are based on United States Geological Survey guidelines for geothermal and oilfield fluid analysis [9]. Transient variables (pH, alkalinity) were either measured in the field immediately after sample collection or samples were preserved and then analysed in the laboratory (majority of cations, anions and both fluid and gas carbon and sulfur isotope analyses). Produced fluid and gas samples are the most effective way to monitor CO<sub>2</sub>-fluid-reservoir interactions in a large active oilfield. Compared with samples from dedicated monitoring wells, fast moving reservoir fluids from producing wells have less time to react with parts of the non-reservoir production environment like the production tubing, well casing, completion materials, and well cements. Physical and chemical changes that take place as fluids move from the reservoir to the surface contribute to uncertainty in the concentration of volatile species and related parameters (e.g. CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, pH etc.) and this effect must be evaluated and understood. In the absence of scale formation wellbore transit is not thought to alter the chemical concentration or isotopic composition of the majority of non-volatile species (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> etc.). For these anions and cations, repeated analysis of Weyburn samples along with analysis of laboratory standards of a known concentration suggests that analytical errors are less than ±10% of the concentration measurements. In contrast, cumulative errors in calculated HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration, corrected to reservoir conditions using SOLMINEQ88 [10] are higher at approximately ±20%. This uncertainty in calculated HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration results from uncertainties in oil, water, and gas compositions (salinity, oil density, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration) and volumes (oilfield separators do not provide high quality volumetric data), surface pH, and alkalinity measurements and physical parameters (pressure, temperature, Henry's law constants, estimates of CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in oil), along with H<sub>2</sub>S concentration measurements and the resulting contribution of HS<sup>-</sup> to total alkalinity. Analytical uncertainties for δ<sup>13</sup>C values of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub> (i.e. <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C expressed using the δ-notation in ‰ relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard) are approximately ± 0.2 ‰ and ± 0.5 ‰, respectively.

Four wells were selected to provide a sample of the fluid and gas in part of the reservoir volume targeted by CO<sub>2</sub> injection. The selected wells have detailed pre-CO<sub>2</sub> injection mineralogical and aqueous fluid compositional data [2, 5], while independent monitoring data e.g. 4D seismic, production engineering, gas compositional, and carbon isotope data [4, 11] trace injected CO<sub>2</sub> migration into the reservoir volume sampled by the wells. Therefore, the timing of arrival of injected CO<sub>2</sub> is indicated by a range of independent data, and the subsequent injected CO<sub>2</sub>-aqueous fluid-mineral reactions can be evaluated using high quality mineral and aqueous fluid geochemical data.

## 3. Results

Table 1 summarizes the produced fluid monitoring results from the four selected wells. The initial measurements were taken prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection and the final measurements following approximately three years and three million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> injection.

**Table 1. Changes in the concentration of chemical parameters (mg/L) for aqueous fluid samples from the selected wells during CO<sub>2</sub> injection. Concentration uncertainties are approximately ±10%.**

Well		Ca <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	Mg <sup>2+</sup> (mg/L)	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	K <sup>+</sup> (mg/L)	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)
1	<i>initial</i>	1865	433	3411	481	118
	<i>final</i>	2047	510	3781	728	920
	<i>increase</i>	182	77	370	247	802
2	<i>initial</i>	1832	539	3817	670	205
	<i>final</i>	2099	555	3774	754	1637
	<i>increase</i>	266	16	-43	84	1432
3	<i>initial</i>	2011	601	3157	558	318
	<i>final</i>	2325	560	3835	839	1678
	<i>increase</i>	314	-42	678	281	1360
4	<i>initial</i>	2018	507	3744	627	433
	<i>final</i>	2179	541	3995	892	1456
	<i>increase</i>	161	34	250	264	1022

#### 4. Discussion

Samples from the selected wells display a range of chemical variability over the monitoring period with significant increases in  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration (i.e. > 15% of measured initial concentration). As a result of the analytical uncertainties associated with each measured parameter this data only provides an estimate of the magnitude of the reactions taking place during  $\text{CO}_2$  injection. Increases in  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration for samples from wells 1, 3, and 4 over the defined injection period suggest that anhydrite is dissolving, probably as a result of undersaturation for this mineral due to the changes in pore fluid composition during production operations (e.g. water flooding):



The  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  contribution from carbonate mineral dissolution can be calculated by subtracting the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  produced via anhydrite dissolution from the total  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  concentration increase. The carbonate mineral sourced  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  increase together with the  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  concentration increase (in millimoles) can then be used to estimate the amount of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  produced from dissolution of Ca- and Ca/Mg- carbonates (equations 3 and 4):



This estimate of the amount of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  provided by carbonate mineral dissolution is subtracted from the total measured  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  concentration increase to quantify the  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  formed via ionic trapping of injected  $\text{CO}_2$  (equation 5). These chemical data provide an independent evaluation of the relative contributions of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  from different sources to compare with the carbon isotope approach used by Raistrick et al. [3].



The measured increase in  $\text{K}^+$  concentration (Table 1) suggests that the pH decrease caused by  $\text{CO}_2$  injection led to silicate mineral alteration. The most likely silicate reaction is alteration of K-feldspar (equation 1) to kaolinite, a reaction that buffers pH and leads to enhanced ionic trapping, storing  $\text{CO}_2$  as  $\text{K}^+$  -  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  brines. If sufficient  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is available, the continued alteration of K-feldspar and other silicate minerals has the potential to lead to mineral storage of  $\text{CO}_2$  as Ca-carbonate [4-8].

Geochemical reaction pathway modeling software *GWB* (Geochemists Workbench React; [12]) was used to simulate the measured changes in the selected monitoring well chemical data over 750 days following the arrival of injected  $\text{CO}_2$ . This history matching confirms the type and magnitude of  $\text{CO}_2$ -aqueous fluid-mineral reactions recorded in the chemical data (Figure 1). Using measured geochemical, physical, and geological (mineralogical) data to define model conditions, the *GWB-React* simulations confirm that, following  $\text{CO}_2$  injection, the dominant source of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  is ionic trapping of injected  $\text{CO}_2$  with carbonate mineral dissolution as a subordinate  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  source. The simulations also provide an estimation of the amount of injected  $\text{CO}_2$  required to react with aqueous fluids and minerals to produce the measured chemical changes, and support the hypothesis that K-feldspar alteration is an effective pH buffer during  $\text{CO}_2$  injection, promoting gradual mineral storage of  $\text{CO}_2$ .




Figure 1: Well 1, 750 day simulation using Geochemists Workbench React, comparison of simulation results with measured chemical data (dotted black lines and symbols are from measured chemical data). Simulation conditions: temperature 55°C, atmospheric pressure, 0.56g CO<sub>2</sub> added over first 75 days (4).

The modeling timescale was extended to investigate the fate of CO<sub>2</sub> over post injection timescales (i.e. 100s of years). These longer term simulations, matched to the measured data over the first few hundred days, highlight the importance of pH buffering via a range of silicate mineral reactions, including K-feldspar, plagioclase and illite alteration, which lead to mineral storage of the added CO<sub>2</sub>. As a result of alteration of these silicate minerals, up to 50% of the HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> generated from CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution over the monitoring interval may be stored as calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) over timescales of a few 100 years [4].

## 5. Conclusions

The produced fluid monitoring data from Weyburn summarized in this study confirm earlier predictions on CO<sub>2</sub>-fluid-mineral reactions [5-8] and the interpretation of carbon isotope data [3]. The chemical data, with support from history matched reaction path simulations, suggest that pH buffering and K-HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> brine formation via K-feldspar alteration has enhanced aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> storage (as HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) over the monitoring period. In addition, as indicated by carbon isotope mass balance [3], the chemical data demonstrate that the majority of the increase in the concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during CO<sub>2</sub> injection resulted from dissolution and dissociation of injected CO<sub>2</sub>, with a minor contribution from carbonate mineral dissolution. Longer term reaction path simulations conducted to simulate 100s of years of CO<sub>2</sub>-aqueous fluid-mineral reactions, calibrated via history matching over the first few years, show that reaction of K-feldspar, plagioclase and illite in the Weyburn reservoir has the potential to lead to secure storage of injected CO<sub>2</sub> in the aqueous phase and the precipitation of injected CO<sub>2</sub> as carbonate minerals.

## Acknowledgements

The International Energy Agency Weyburn-Midale project is coordinated by the Petroleum Technology Research Centre of Regina, Saskatchewan, in collaboration with EnCana Corporation (the operator of the Weyburn oilfield) and Apache Corporation (Midale oilfield operator). Financial sponsorship of the project is provided by Natural Resources Canada, the U.S. Department of Energy, Alberta Energy Research Institute, Saskatchewan Industry and Resources, the European Community and ten industrial sponsors.

## References

1. White, D.J., Burrowes, G., Davis, T., Hajnal, Z., Hirsche, K., Hutcheon, I., Majer, E., Rostron, B. and Whittaker, S. 2004. Greenhouse gas sequestration in abandoned oil reservoirs: The International Energy Agency Weyburn pilot project. *Geological Society of America Today*, 14 (7), 4–10.
2. Emberley, S., Hutcheon, I., Shevalier, M., Durocher, K., Mayer, B., Gunter, W.D. and Perkins, E.H. 2005. Monitoring of Fluid-Rock Interaction and CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Through Produced Fluid sampling at the Weyburn CO<sub>2</sub>-Injection Enhanced Oil Recovery Site, Saskatchewan, Canada. *Applied Geochemistry*, 20, 1131-1157.
3. Raistrick, M., Mayer, B., Shevalier, M., Perez, R.J., Hutcheon, I., Perkins, E. and Gunter, B. 2006. Using Chemical and Isotopic Data to Quantify Ionic Trapping of Injected Carbon Dioxide in Oil Field Brines. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 40 (21), 6744-6479.
4. Raistrick, M. 2008. Using Chemical and Isotopic Data to Monitor Geological Carbon Dioxide Storage at the International Energy Agency Weyburn Carbon Dioxide Monitoring and Storage Project, Saskatchewan, Canada. PhD Thesis, Department of Geoscience, University of Calgary.
5. Durocher, K.E., Bloch, J., Perkins, E., Hutcheon, I., Shevalier, M., Mayer, B. and Gunter, 2005. Mineralogical Characterization of the Weyburn Reservoir, Saskatchewan, Canada: are mineral reactions driving injected CO<sub>2</sub> storage? In: Wilson, M., Morris, T., Gale, J. and Thambimuthu, K. (Editors) *Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies*, Volume II, Elsevier, Oxford, 2097-2101.
6. Perkins, E., Czernichowski-Lauriol, I., Azaroual, M. and Durst, P. 2005. Long term predictions of CO<sub>2</sub> storage by mineral and solubility trapping in the Weyburn Midale reservoir. In: Wilson, M., Morris, T., Gale, J. and Thambimuthu, K. (Editors) *Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies*, Volume II, Elsevier, Oxford, 2093-2096.
7. Gunter, W.D., Perkins, E.H. and Hutcheon, I. 2000. Aquifer disposal of acid gases: modeling of water-rock reactions for trapping of acid wastes. *Applied Geochemistry* 15, 1085-1095.
8. Gunter, W.D. Bachu, S. and Benson, S. 2004. The role of hydrogeological and geochemical trapping in sedimentary basins for secure geological storage of carbon dioxide. In: Baines, S.J. and Worden, R.H., (editors); *Geological Storage of Carbon Dioxide*, Geological Society, London, Special Publications 233, 129-145.

9. Lico, M.S., Kharaka, Y.K., Carothers, W.W. and Wright, V.A. 1982. Methods of collection and analysis of geopressed geothermal and oil field waters. United States Geological Survey Paper, Washington DC, 2194.
10. Kharaka, Y.K., Gunter, W.D., Aggarwal, P.K., Perkins, E.H., and DeBraal, J.D. 1988. SOLMINEQ-88: A computer program for geochemical modeling of water-rock interactions. United States Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 1988, 88-4227.
11. Wilson, M. and Monea, M. 2004. IEA GHG Weyburn CO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring and Storage Project Summary Report 2000-2004. Petroleum Technology Research Centre, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada.
12. Bethke, C.M. 1994. The Geochemists Workbench, Release 3.2, a User's Guide to Rxn, Act2, Tact, React, and Gtplot. Hydrogeology program. Urbana, IL, USA. University of Illinois Press, Champaign, Illinois.