

University of Dundee

Structure-guided design of a high affinity ligand for a riboswitch

Huang, Lin; Wang, Jia; Wilson, Timothy; Lilley, David

Published in: RNA: a Publication of the RNA Society

DOI: [10.1261/rna.069567.118](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.069567.118)

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](https://discovery.dundee.ac.uk/en/publications/698767f1-81c9-4b81-ba2e-029ea06a271f)

Citation for published version (APA): Huang, L., Wang, J., Wilson, T., & Lilley, D. (2019). Structure-guided design of a high affinity ligand for a riboswitch. RNA: a Publication of the RNA Society. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.069567.118

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.

• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Structure-guided design of a high affinity ligand for a riboswitch

Lin Huang, Jia Wang, Timothy J. Wilson and David M. J. Lilley*

 Cancer Research UK Nucleic Acid Structure Research Group, MSI/WTB Complex, The University of Dundee, Dow Street, Dundee DD1 5EH, U.K.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed

Keywords : RNA ligand design; molecular recognition; riboregulation; X-ray crystallography

Running title: Riboswitch ligand design

RNA journal revised 28 December 2018

Editorial enquiries to Professor Lilley: Tel: (+44)-1382-384243 Email: d.m.j.lilley@dundee.ac.uk

REPORT

ABSTRACT :

We have designed structure-based ligands for the guanidine-II riboswitch that bind with enhanced affinity, exploiting the twin binding sites created by loop-loop interaction. We synthesized diguanidine species, comprising two guanidino groups covalently connected by C_n linkers where *n* $=$ 4 or 5. Calorimetric and fluorescent analysis shows that these ligands bind with a ten-fold higher affinity to the riboswitch compared to guanidine. We determined X-ray crystal structures of the riboswitch bound to the new ligands, showing that the guanidino groups are bound to both nucleobases and backbone within the binding pockets, analogously to guanidine binding. The connecting chain passes through side openings in the binding pocket and traverses the minor groove of the RNA. The combination of the riboswitch loop-loop interaction and our novel ligands have potential applications in chemical biology.

INTRODUCTION

RNA provides a versatile scaffold for binding small molecule ligands with high selectivity. This is particularly well illustrated by the riboswitches (Roth and Breaker, 2009; Serganov and Nudler, 2013), *cis*-acting regulatory elements that occur in the 5' non-coding regions of (mostly) bacterial mRNA that are widely used to control gene expression. Many classes have now been identified that respond to a range of metabolites including coenzymes, amino acids, purines and even ions. Atomic resolution structures are available for many riboswitches, and this provides an opportunity to carry out ligand engineering to design new species that will bind with elevated affinity. In this work we have used a structure-guided approach that uses a unique feature of a guanidine riboswitch to create a novel ligand.

The *ykk*C riboswitches comprise a group of three structurally-unrelated riboswitches that bind guanidine. Breaker and colleagues (Nelson et al., 2017) showed that ligand binding to these riboswitches upregulates the expression of a series of genes whose products either chemically convert guanidine or export it from the cell. Three *ykkC* types have been identified, called the guanidine-I (Nelson et al., 2017), -II (Sherlock et al., 2017) and -III (Sherlock and Breaker, 2017) riboswitches. Crystal structures have been solved for members of each class (Battaglia et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2017a; Huang et al., 2017b; Reiss and Strobel, 2017; Reiss et al., 2017). We have exploited a novel feature of the guanidine-II riboswitch structure to design a high-affinity ligand.

The guanidine II riboswitch comprises two stem-loops with G+C rich helices and an ACGR ($R = A$) or G) tetraloop, connected by a short polynucleotide of \sim 14 nt (Sherlock et al., 2017). Individual single stem-loops undergo loop-loop interaction driven by the cooperative binding of guanidine. Using X-ray crystallography our laboratory (Huang et al., 2017a) and that of Strobel (Reiss and Strobel, 2017) showed that the stem-loops dimerize by loop-loop interaction involving the formation of intermolecular base pairs and triples. Formation of the dimer creates identical binding sites for two guanidine ligands symmetrically. These are bound by donation of guanidine protons to O6 and N7 of a guanine nucleobase (G9 in our usual numbering scheme (Huang et al., 2017a)), and to non-bridging oxygen atoms of consecutive phosphate groups. At neutral pH guanidine is protonated, thus having six protons and *D*3h symmetry. Four of these protons are involved in specific interactions with the RNA. Protonation confers a positive charge (i.e. it is more properly called the guanidinium cation), and the ligand is stacked upon the guanine nucleobase of the loopproximal base pair (G6-C11), so that the cation- π interaction contributes to the stability of the dimer.

In this report, we demonstrate how a structure-guided rational approach can be used to re-engineer the ligand of the guanidine-II riboswitch, exploiting its unusual creation of two binding sites by loop-loop interaction. Sherlock et al (Sherlock et al., 2017) found that some variants of guanidine with substitution on one nitrogen atom such as methylguanidine and aminoguanidine bound to the guanidine-II riboswitch with affinities that were within a factor of four of guanidine itself. Furthermore, even addition of a butylamine sidechain (agmatine) led to retention of binding despite a further loss of affinity. Our crystal structure of the *Gloeobacter violaceus* guanidine-II riboswitch (Huang et al., 2017a) revealed that the binding pocket had a side opening that might accommodate one or more additional atoms attached to one nitrogen. We diffused these compounds into our crystals, and obtained structures of the riboswitch bound to the modified guanidine species (Huang et al., 2017a). This revealed that the guanidino moiety was bound in exactly the same way as guanidine, and that the additional methyl, amino and butylamine side chains did indeed emerge from the side pocket. In the latter case while the electron density for the guanidine was clear, that for the longer side chain was poorly defined suggesting that it became progressively more mobile as it emerged from the side opening.

The side openings of the two guanidine binding sites in the stem-loop dimer are approximately 7 Å apart and oriented towards each other on the minor groove side of the loop-loop interface, so we wondered if two guanidino moieties might be covalently linked to create a higher-affinity ligand. Molecular modelling based on our structure of guanidine-bound riboswitch suggested that two guanidine units linked by C_4 or C_5 polymethylene chains should bind to the riboswitch.

We therefore set out to synthesize C_4 and C_5 -linked diguanidine species and examine their binding to the *G. violaceus* guanidine-II riboswitch. We have found that both compounds bind to the riboswitch with enhanced affinity and lower stoichiometry. We crystallized the two bound complexes and solved their structures, showing that the linked ligands bind in the anticipated manner.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of diguanidine species

In order to explore the binding properties of linked guanidines we synthesized two forms of diguanidine species in which the guanidine moieties are covalently connected by four or five carbon atoms (Figure 1). For clarity we term these species diguanidine- C_4 (N,N'-(butane-1,4diyl)bis guanidinium, also known as arcaine) and diguanidine-C₅ (N,N'-(pentane-1,5-diyl)bis guanidinium also known as audouine) respectively. The synthetic procedures are detailed in the Material and Methods section. In brief, diguanidine- C_4 and diguanidine- C_5 were synthesized by guanylation of (4-aminobutyl)guanidine (agmatine) and 1,5-diaminopentane (cadaverine) using 1 and 2 molar equivalents of 1-H-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride (Bernatowicz et al., 1992) respectively (Figure S1A). The products were characterised by ¹H NMR and mass spectrometry (Figure S1B, C), although the crystal structures of the complexes (see below) provide unambiguous evidence that these compounds have the required structure.

Calorimetric analysis of binding of guanidine and diguanidine species to the guanidine II riboswitch

We have investigated the binding of guanidine, diguanidine- C_4 and diguanidine- C_5 to individual guanidine-II riboswitch stem-loops and a complete riboswitch using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) (Figure 2 and Figure S2). First, we have studied the individual P1 and P2 stem-loops derived from *G. violaceous*, for which we have previously determined crystal structures (Huang et al., 2017a). Titration curves are presented in Figure S2. The binding of guanidine to the P1 and P2 stem-loops is an exothermic, enthalpy-dominated reaction. Fitting the titration curves gives dissociation constants (K_d) for the binding reaction of 68 μ M and 66 μ M respectively, at molar ratios $n = 1.9$ and 2.2 (Table S1). A stoichiometry of $n = 1$ is expected for the binding of guanidine to the isolated stem-loops, but crystal structures reveal a second guanidine binding site near the top of the stem-loop. Reiss and Strobel (2017) observed spermidine and a hydrated magnesium ion bound to the same site, which evidently has a tendency to bind cationic ligands, including guanidine. The additional binding site may account for the observed molar ratio of $n \sim 2$, in which case it must bind with a similar affinity. Calorimetric titration was repeated for diguanidine-C4 , giving values of $K_d = 4.7$ and 5.9 μ M for P1 and P2 respectively, each with a molar ratio of $n = 0.4$, close to the expected stoichiometry of 0.5. Thus linking the guanidine ligands increases the affinity by an order of magnitude compared to guanidine.

We have further explored the binding of guanidine, diguanidine- C_4 and diguanidine- C_5 to a complete *G. violaceous* riboswitch with linked P1 and P2 stem loops using ITC (Figure 2A-C). As with the individual stem-loops, all three compounds exhibit exothermic binding, with $K_d = 33, 2.2$ and 5.1 µM respectively (Table S1). Binding exhibited molar ratios of *n* = 2.7, 1.1 and 1.2 respectively. The binding affinities for each compound is approximately two-fold higher for the complete riboswitch compared to the individual stem-loops. Furthermore, as with the individual stem-loop structures, the diguanidine species bind an order of magnitude more tightly than guanidine and diguanidine-C4 binds with the highest affinity. The results are consistent with the binding of multiple molecules of guanidine to the riboswitch and a single molecule of the

diguanidine species with \sim ten-fold higher affinity.

Spectroscopic analysis of binding of diguanidine species to the complete guanidine II riboswitch

A feature of the dimerization of the stem-loops observed in the crystal was mutual stacking of the A10 nucleobases, i.e. the 3'-terminal nucleotide of the loop. We have exploited this interaction to construct a spectroscopic probe of the loop-loop interaction between the stem-loops of the complete *G. violaceous* riboswitch. We synthesized a riboswitch in which A10 of the P2 loop was replaced by 2-aminopurine. This nucleobase is fluorescent, but subject to marked static quenching when stacked with another nucleobase. We therefore anticipated that the 2-aminopurine fluorescence intensity might decrease upon binding guanidine or related ligands that induce loop-loop interaction.

The 2-aminopurine-substituted riboswitch was titrated separately with guanidine and diguanidine-C4, recording the emission spectrum of 2-aminopurine excited at 315 nm. Addition of the ligands led to reduced fluorescence. The fluorescence intensity was integrated between 355 and 375 nm, and plotted as a function of ligand concentration (Figure 2D, E). Addition of guanidine leads to a three-fold quenching of 2-aminopurine fluorescence, and fitting the observed intensities to a twostate binding model gives $K_d = 53 \mu M$ (Figure 2D). 2-aminopurine fluorescence was also quenched on addition of diguanidine-C₄, and fitting the intensity data led to a calculated affinity of $K_d = 1.4$ µM (Figure 2E). The data are consistent with an intramolecular loop-loop interaction in the riboswitch, involving stacking of the adenine and 2-aminopurine at the 10 positions leading to quenching of the latter.

We have studied the ligand-induced folding of the 2-aminopurine-containing riboswitch in response to the addition of guanidine and diguanidine-C4 by ITC (Figure S2F, G). The ligands bound with an affinity of $K_d = 41$ and 6.6 μ M, with molar ratios of $n = 4.3$ and 0.79 respectively.

A crystal structure of the guanidine II riboswitch bound to ethylguanidine

Ethylguanidine can be regarded as half of diguanidine-C4, just lacking the central C-C bond. The compound was soaked into ligand-free crystals of the *G. violaceous* P1 stem-loop (Table S2), and the resulting crystals diffracted to 1.54 Å (Table S3). The structure (PDB ID 6HBX) is shown in Figure 3. The structure of the stem-loop is closely similar to that bound to guanidine and methylguanidine (Figure 3B) (Huang et al., 2017a). The guanidine moieties are hydrogen bonded in the normal manner to O6 and N7 on the Hoogsteen edge of G9, with the remaining guanidine N atom donating hydrogen bonds to non-bridging O atoms of successive phosphate groups (Figure

3C). The ethyl chains emerge from the same side openings as observed with bound methyl- and aminoguanidine and agmatine (Huang et al., 2017a). The terminal C atoms of the side chain are separated by 3.3 Å in this structure (Figure 3D). However the electron density is weak for the terminal atoms and the barrier to rotation about the N-C bonds to bring them to within bonding distance (1.5 Å) should be small.

A crystal structure of the guanidine II riboswitch bound to diguanidine-C4

Our chemically-synthesized diguanidine-C4 was soaked into the *G. violaceous* P1 stem-loop crystals, and the resulting crystals diffracted to 1.66 Å (Table S3). The structure was solved (PDB ID 6HBT; Figure 4) and the position of the diguanidine-C4 ligand is well defined by the electron density map. This demonstrates that the two guanine moieties are bound in the usual manner (Figure 4B), and are connected by the four-carbon methylene chain just as anticipated. The electron density for the C4 linker region is weaker compared to the guanidine groups (Figure 4C). Moreover the crystallographic B-factors for these atoms are significantly higher (average value of 57.5) compared to those of the guanidino-C atoms (46.2). These data collectively suggest a greater flexibility of the C4 chain, most likely in a kind of crankshaft rotation. Figure 4D shows that the ligand emerges from the side opening of the binding pocket, traverses the minor groove and enters the binding pocket of the second site.

A crystal structure of the guanidine II riboswitch bound to diguanidine- C_5

We also soaked our chemically-synthesized diguanidine-C5 into the *G. violaceous* P1 stem-loop crystals, and the structure was solved to a resolution of 1.41 Å (PDB ID 6HC5; Figure 4; Table S3). The position of the two guanidine groups is well defined, bound in the normal manner (Figure 4E). Electron density for the guanidines and the first two C atoms of the linker is clear, but that for the central C atom cannot be observed at a contour level of $\sigma = 1.5$ (Figure 4F). The B-factor for the central C atom is 86.0, and the mean value for those flanking it is 50.2. The ligand is clearly bound in the expected manner, but the longer linker is more mobile. Our calorimetric measurements (Table S1) show that lower free energy of binding of diguanidine-C₅ compared to diguanidine-C₄ is entirely due to a greater change in T∆S, consistent with a higher conformational entropy of the linker.

In conclusion

The work described here clearly demonstrates that the two guanidine ligands of the guanidine II riboswitch can be covalently linked by a chain of four or five methylene carbon atoms that pass through the side openings of the two ligand binding pockets located on the minor groove side of the dimeric riboswitch. The diguanidine ligands are hydrogen bonded into the two binding sites in the

same manner as guanidine (Huang et al., 2017a), or ethylguanidine, although with subtle changes of position (Figure S6). The guanidine groups of diguanidine- C_5 and ethylguanidine are within 0.2 Å, but those of diguanidine-C₄ are retracted by 0.4 Å . This indicates that the shorter linker may be under some degree of tension.

We have measured the affinity of binding by calorimetry and fluorescence spectroscopy. Although there is some variation in the affinities measured using different methods, the diguanidine ligands bind with affinities that are consistently one order of magnitude higher than that of guanidine. Diguanidine-C₄ has a slightly higher affinity than that of diguanidine-C₅, most probably because of the greater flexibility of the linking chain in the latter. It is possible that rigidifying the linking chain could further increase binding affinity. The stoichiometry of the binding of the diguanidine ligands is consistent with binding as a single ligand. Thus the results are entirely consistent with our structural understanding of the guanidine II riboswitch, and provide a new class of higheraffinity ligand.

The work here provides an example of structure-based ligand design using a natural riboswitch. The guanidine II riboswitch ACGR stem-loop is one of the smallest riboswitches and so easy to combine with other RNA elements. The combination of this with diguanidine ligands has a number of potential applications in chemical and synthetic biology and RNA-based nanotechnology. For these the diguanidine-C4 has a number of important properties. The compound binds to the riboswitch-derived RNA with higher affinity compared to guanidine, and is a non-natural compound so chemically orthogonal to cellular metabolism. Importantly, diguanidine-C4 has low toxicity in rats (Ceretta et al., 2008), and is much less toxic than guanidine. This specific ligandinduced RNA interaction could be generally applicable in RNA technology, RNA design and perhaps RNA-based therapeutics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis and characterization of diguanidine compounds

Diguanidine compounds were synthesized by guanylation of amines using 1-H-pyrazole-1 carboxamidine hydrochloride, following procedure B of Bernatowicz et al., (Bernatowicz et al., 1992) (Figure S1). NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance DPX 400 spectrometer (¹H at 400 MHz; 9.4 T) using automatic tuning and matching. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm recorded using the residual solvent peak at 4.7 ppm as the internal reference in both cases. Signal splitting patterns are described as triplet (t), quintet, multiplet (m), or a combination thereof.

Coupling constants (*J*) are quoted to the nearest 0.1 Hz. Fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectrometry was performed using an Agilent G6470A Triple Quadrupole spectrometer in positive mode of detection. A syringe pump was used to deliver methanol solutions of the diguanidine compounds (1.0 μ g/mL) with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. A stainless-steel capillary was held at a potential of 3.0 kV. Nitrogen was used as nebulizer gas at a flow-rate of 7.0 L/min, pressure 40 psi at 350°C. Reported spectra are the averages of 15 scans using 500 ms accumulation time.

N,N'-(butane-1,4-diyl)bis guanidinium (diguanidine-C4). Agmatine sulfate (85 mg, 370 µmol), 1-H-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride (55 mg, 370 µmol) and 0.75 mL of 1.0 M Na2CO3 were stirred overnight at room temperature. The white precipitate was collected and washed three times with 1 mL MeOH/H₂O (1:1) then dried *in vacuo* to yield 54 mg (53 %). ¹H NMR : 3.13 (4H, m, $(CH_2)_2$, 1.56 (4H, m, $(CH_2)_2$) (Figure S2A). FAB mass spectrometry gave a molecular mass of 173.10 (calculated 173.25) (Figure S3A).

N,N'-(pentane-1,5-diyl)bis guanidinium (diguanidine-C5). Cadaverine hydrochloride (100 mg, 590 µmol), 1-H-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride (170 mg, 1.18 mmol) and 2.4 mL of 1.0 M Na2CO3 were stirred overnight at room temperature. The white precipitate was collected and washed three times with 1 mL MeOH/H₂O (1:1) then dried *in vacuo* to yield 110 mg (74%). ¹H NMR : 3.10 (4H, t, J 6.3 Hz, (CH2)2), 1.53 (4H, quintet, J 6.9 Hz, (CH2)2), 1.36 – 1.28 (2H, m, CH2) (Figure S2B). FAB mass spectrometry gave a molecular mass of 187.10 (calculated 187.25) (Figure S3B).

Synthesis of RNA oligonucleotides

RNA oligonucleotides were synthesized using solid-phase *t*-BDMS phosphoramidite chemistry (Beaucage and Caruthers, 1981) as described in Wilson et al. (Wilson et al., 2001), implemented on an Applied Biosystems 394DNA/RNA synthesizer. Oligonucleotides containing 5-bromocytidine (ChemGenes) were deprotected in a 25% ethanol/ammonia solution for 36 h at 20°C. The oligonucleotide containing 2-aminopurine (Glen Research) was deprotected in 1:1 ammonia/methylamine solution for 20 min at room temperature followed by 10 min at 65°C. All oligoribonucleotides were redissolved in 100 μL of anhydrous DMSO and 125 μL triethylamine trihydrofluoride (Aldrich) to remove *t*-BDMS groups, and agitated at 65°C in the dark for 2.5 h. After cooling on ice for 10 min, the RNA was precipitated with 1 mL of butanol, washed twice with 70 % ethanol and suspended in double-distilled water.

RNA was purified by gel electrophoresis in polyacrylamide under denaturing conditions in the presence of 7 M urea. The full-length RNA product was visualized by UV shadowing. The band was excised and electroeluted using an Elutrap Electroelution System (GE Healthcare) into 45 mM Tris-borate (pH 8.5), 5 mM EDTA buffer for 8 h. at 200 V at 4°C. The RNA was precipitated with ethanol, washed once with 70 % ethanol and suspended in double-distilled water.

Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC titrations were performed at 298 K using an ITC-200 microcalorimeter (GE). RNA solutions $(30-60 \mu)$ were prepared by diluting concentrated stocks into the binding buffer containing 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2. Guanidine and diguanidine compounds were prepared in the same binding buffer with a concentration of 0.5-1 mM. Solutions were degassed for $2-5$ min before loading. The sample cell was filled with $200 \mu L$ of RNA. Guanidine or diguanidine was injected in a volume of 0.4 µL for the first injection and 2 μL for the next 19 injections using a computer-controlled 40 µL microsyringe with an injection interval of 120 s. Titration of ligands into the binding buffer or titration of the binding buffer into the RNA solution resulted in negligible evolution of heat. Integrated heat data were analyzed using a one-set-of-sites model in MicroCal Origin following the manufacturer's instructions. The first data point was excluded in analysis. The binding parameters Δ*H* (reaction enthalpy change in cal mol−1), *K* (binding constant in M−1) and *n* (bound ligands per RNA) were variables in the fit. The binding free energy Δ*G* and reaction entropy ΔS were calculated using the relationships $\Delta G = -RT \ln K$, where $R = 1.987$ cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, $T = 298$ K and $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$. The dissociation constant K_d was calculated as 1/*K*.

Fluorescence spectroscopy

Fluorescence spectra were recorded in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl₂ at 25°C using an SLM-Aminco 8100 fluorimeter. The spectra were corrected for lamp fluctuations and instrumental variations, and polarization artifacts were avoided by crossing excitation and emission polarizers at 54.7°. Steady-state fluorescence emission spectra were recorded between 330 nm and 460 nm in 1 nm intervals with excitation at 315 nm. Spectra were integrated between 355 and 375 nm.

X-ray crystallography

The *G. violaceus* P1 stem-loop RNA sequence used for crystallization was (5' to 3') GGUGGGGACGACCCCA(BrC)C where BrC is 5-bromocytosine. A solution of 1 mM RNA in 5 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 100 mM KCl was heated to 95 °C for 1 min. The solution was slowly cooled to 20° C and MgCl₂ added to a final concentration of 2 mM. Ligands were soaked into crystals of the ligand-free P1 RNA using the conditions indicated in Table S2. All the crystals were cryoprotected using mother liquid with an additional 25-30% glycerol.

Diffraction data were collected on beamlines I04 and I03 of Diamond Light Source (Harwell, UK). Data were processed by XIA2 (Winter et al., 2018). The resolution cutoff for the data was determined by examining by CC1/2 and density map as described previously (Karplus and Diederichs, 2012). Initial phase information were acquired from the SAD data by locating the bromine atoms with Autosol in the PHENIX suite. Models were adjusted manually using Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) and subjected to several rounds of adjustment and optimization using Coot, phenix.refine and PDB_REDO (Joosten et al., 2014). Model geometry and the fit to the electron density maps were monitored with MOLPROBITY (Chen et al., 2010) and the validation tools in Coot. The unbiased electron density maps were generated through Br-SAD phasing and density modification by Phenix AutoSol. Details of data collection and refinement statistics for the crystallographic data are shown in Table S3.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes three figures and three tables and can be found with this article online at http:// …

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Saira Ashraf, Lauren Webster and De Lin for oligonucleotide synthesis, NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry respectively and Cancer Research UK for financial support (program grant A18604). We thank Diamond for synchrotron time and the Wellcome Trust for the in-house diffractometer.

REFERENCES

- Battaglia, R.A., Price, I.R., and Ke, A. (2017). Structural basis for guanidine sensing by the ykkC family of riboswitches. RNA *23*, 578-585.
- Beaucage, S.L., and Caruthers, M.H. (1981). Deoxynucleoside phosphoramidites a new class of key intermediates for deoxypolynucleotide synthesis. Tetrahedron Letters *22*, 1859-1862.
- Bernatowicz, M.S., Wu, Y., and Matsueda, G.R. (1992). 1H-pyrazole-1-carboxamidine hydrochloride: an attractive reagent for guanylation of amines and Its application to peptide synthesis. J. Org. Chem. *57*, 2497-2502.
- Ceretta, A.P., Camera, K., Mello, C.F., and Rubin, M.A. (2008). Arcaine and MK-801 make recall state-dependent in rats. Psychopharmacol. *201*, 405-411.
- Chen, V.B., Arendall, W.B., 3rd, Headd, J.J., Keedy, D.A., Immormino, R.M., Kapral, G.J., Murray, L.W., Richardson, J.S., and Richardson, D.C. (2010). MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Cryst. D *66*, 12-21.
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. Acta Cryst. D *66*, 486-501.
- Huang, L., J., W., and Lilley, D.M.J. (2017a). The structure of the guanidine-II riboswitch. Cell Chem. Biol. *24*, 1-8.
- Huang, L., Wang, J., Wilson, T.J., and Lilley, D.M.J. (2017b). Structure of the Guanidine III Riboswitch. Cell Chem Biol *24*, 1407-1415 e1402.
- Joosten, R.P., Long, F., Murshudov, G.N., and Perrakis, A. (2014). The PDB_REDO server for macromolecular structure model optimization. IUCrJ *1*, 213-220.
- Karplus, P.A., and Diederichs, K. (2012). Linking crystallographic model and data quality. Science *336*, 1030-1033.
- Nelson, J.W., Atilho, R.M., Sherlock, M.E., Stockbridge, R.B., and Breaker, R.R. (2017). Metabolism of free guanidine in bacteria Is regulated by a widespread riboswitch class. Molec. cell *65*, 220-230.
- Reiss, C.W., and Strobel, S.A. (2017). Structural basis for ligand binding to the guanidine-II riboswitch. RNA *23*, 1338-1343.
- Reiss, C.W., Xiong, Y., and Strobel, S.A. (2017). Structural basis for ligand binding to the guanidine-I riboswitch. Structure *25*, 195-202.
- Roth, A., and Breaker, R.R. (2009). The structural and functional diversity of metabolite-binding riboswitches. Ann. Rev. Biochem. *78*, 305-334.
- Serganov, A., and Nudler, E. (2013). A decade of riboswitches. Cell *152*, 17–24.
- Sherlock, M.E., and Breaker, R.R. (2017). Biochemical validation of a third guanidine riboswitch class in bacteria. Biochemistry *56*, 359-363.
- Sherlock, M.E., Malkowski, S.N., and Breaker, R.R. (2017). Biochemical validation of a second guanidine riboswitch class in bacteria. Biochemistry *56*, 352-358.
- Wilson, T.J., Zhao, Z.-Y., Maxwell, K., Kontogiannis, L., and Lilley, D.M.J. (2001). Importance of specific nucleotides in the folding of the natural form of the hairpin ribozyme. Biochemistry *40*, 2291-2302.
- Winter, G., Waterman, D.G., Parkhurst, J.M., Brewster, A.S., Gildea, R.J., Gerstel, M., Fuentes-Montero, L., Vollmar, M., Michels-Clark, T., Young, I.D.*, et al.* (2018). DIALS: implementation and evaluation of a new integration package. Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol. *74*, 85-97.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* d.m.j.lilley@dundee.ac.uk

ORCID 0000-0001-6882-2818

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Chemical structures of guanidine, modified guanidine species and diguanidine species discussed in this work. The parental guanidine is shown top left, followed by ethylguanidine and agmatine that are modified by addition of ethyl and butylamine groups respectively. Below are shown the diguanidine- C_4 and diguanidine- C_5 species in which two guanidine groups are connected by C_4 and C_5 chains respectively. In all cases the guanidino moieties are shown as guanidinium cations that are the abundant form at neutral pH.

Figure 2. Binding of guanidine and diguanidine species to the complete *G. violaceous* guanidine-II riboswitch studied by isothermal titration calorimetry and fluorescence spectroscopy. **A** – **C**; Calorimetry. A solution of ligand was titrated into the RNA solution, and the heat evolved was measured as the power required to maintain zero temperature difference with a reference cell. Integration over time gives the heat required to maintain thermal equilibrium between cells. In each case the upper panel shows the raw data for sequential injections of 2 μ L volumes (following an initial injection of 0.4 μ L) of ligand into 200 μ L of a 15 μ M RNA solution in 40 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2. This represents the differential of the total heat (i.e. enthalpy ∆*H*° under conditions of constant pressure) for each ligand concentration. The lower panels present the integrated heat data fitted to a single-site binding model. The thermodynamic parameters calculated are summarized in Table S1. Binding was studied using the ligands guanidine (**A**), diguanidine-C₄ (**B**) and diguanidine-C₅ (**C**). The sequence of the riboswitch is shown on the right. Titration of individual P1 and P2 stem loops is shown in Figure S2.

D and **E**; Ligand-induced folding of the guanidine riboswitch studied by 2-aminopurine fluorescence. The GVP1P2 construct used in these experiments (the sequence is shown on the right) contains a single A10 2-aminopurine (2AP) at the 3' end of the loop. On binding the ligand looploop interaction generates A10-A10' stacking in the crystal, and results in static quenching of 2 aminopurine fluorescence. Fluorescence emission spectra (λ excite = 315 nm; λ emission = 340 – 450 nm) were recorded as a function of added ligand concentration using guanidine and diguanidine-C₄. Fluorescence intensity was integrated between 355-375 nm, and plotted as a function of **D** guanidine and **E** diguanidine-C4 concentration. Ligand binding was also studied by ITC, shown in Figure S2.

Figure 3. Crystal structure of ethylguanidine bound to *G. violaceous* riboswitch P1 stem-loop. **A**. The sequence of the P1 stem-loop. The nucleotide numbering preserves the A7 to A10 numbering of the loop used previously (Huang et al., 2017b).

B. The overall structure shown in parallel-eye stereoscopic view. The P1 stem-loop forms a dimer

by loop-loop interaction; the individual monomeric RNA species are colored here as blue and green. This color scheme is also used in Figures 5 and 6. The ethylguanidine molecules are colored magenta.

C. Parallel-eye stereoscopic view of the two bound ethylguanidine molecules bound at the dimer interface, with electron density $(2\mathbf{F}_{o}\text{-}\mathbf{F}_{c})$ contoured at 2σ shown for the ligands. The ethylguanidine molecules are hydrogen bonded to G9 and G9' and non-bridging phosphate oxygens of the backbone.

D. The two ethylguanidine molecules with their experimental phasing electron density map contoured at 1 σ . The two terminal carbon atoms are separated by 3.5 Å (broken red line); these would be connected by a single C-C bond in diguanidine-C4 .

Figure 4. Crystal structures of diguanidine-C4 and diguanidine-C5 bound to *G. violaceous* riboswitch P1 stem-loop.

A. The overall structure of the P1 stem-loop dimer shown in parallel-eye stereoscopic view. The diguanidine-C4 ligand molecule is colored magenta.

B. Parallel-eye stereoscopic view of the diguanidine-C4 molecule bound at the dimer interface. Electron density ($2\mathbf{F}_o\text{-}\mathbf{F}_o$) contoured at 2σ is shown for the diguanidine-C₄ molecule. Each guanidine moiety is hydrogen bonded to G9 and G9' and non-bridging phosphate oxygens of the backbone.

C. The diguanidine-C₄ with its experimental phasing electron density map contoured at 1σ . The position of the entire chain is defined, although the density for the central carbon atoms is weaker, indicative of some flexibility in this region.

D. A parallel-eye stereoscopic view of the dimer interface with a surface depicted for the riboswitch with bound diguanidine-C4. The polymethylene chain connecting the guanidine moieties is clearly visible emerging from the side openings of the binding pockets and traversing the minor groove side of the dimer interface.

E. A parallel-eye stereoscopic close-up view of the dimer interface with bound diguanidine-C5, with the electron density map $(2\mathbf{F}_{o}\text{-}\mathbf{F}_{c})$ for the ligand contoured at 2σ . Each guanidine moiety is hydrogen bonded to G9 and G9' and non-bridging phosphate oxygens of the backbone.

F. The bound diguanidine-C5 molecule shown in isolation, with its experimental phasing electron density map contoured at 1σ . Electron density for the central carbon atoms in the polymethylene linker is not visible, indicative of significant flexibility in the polymethylene chain.

Structure-guided design of a high affinity ligand for a riboswitch

Lin Huang, Jia Wang, Timothy J. Wilson, et al.

RNA published online January 4, 2019

identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication. PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object publication). Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final Advance online articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions> To subscribe to RNA go to: