



University of Dundee

# Social behaviours by Bacillus subtilis: quorum sensing, kin discrimination and beyond

Kalamara, Margarita; Špacapan, Mihael; MandicMulec, Ines; Stanley-Wall, Nicola

Published in: Molecular Microbiology

DOI: 10.1111/mmi.14127

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

*Citation for published version (APA):* Kalamara, M., Špacapan, M., MandicMulec, I., & Stanley-Wall, N. (2018). Social behaviours by Bacillus subtilis: quorum sensing, kin discrimination and beyond. Molecular Microbiology, 110(6), 863-878. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14127

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Micro**Review**

# Social behaviours by *Bacillus subtilis*: quorum sensing, kin discrimination and beyond

#### Summary

Here, we review the multiple mechanisms that the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis uses to allow it to communicate between cells and establish community structures. The modes of action that are used are highly varied and include routes that sense pheromone levels during quorum sensing and control gene regulation, the intimate coupling of cells via nanotubes to share cytoplasmic contents, and longrange electrical signalling to couple metabolic processes both within and between biofilms. We explore the ability of B. subtilis to detect 'kin' (and 'cheater cells') by looking at the mechanisms used to potentially ensure beneficial sharing (or limit exploitation) of extracellular 'public goods'. Finally, reflecting on the array of methods that a single bacterium has at its disposal to ensure maximal benefit for its progeny, we highlight that a large future challenge will be integrating how these systems interact in mixedspecies communities.

# Introduction

Although prokaryotes are widely viewed as single-celled organisms, many forms of multicellularity are prevalent in the bacterial world. Bacterial multicellularity can be

Accepted 9 September, 2018. \*For correspondence. E-mails n.r.stanleywall@dundee.ac.uk (Nicola R. Stanley-Wall); Ines. MandicMulec@bf.uni-lj.si (Ines Mandic-Mulec). transient or permanent; for example, cells of some species can form aggregates and filaments temporarily, while others, such as filamentous Cyanobacteria, form permanent chains of differentiated cells (Claessen et al., 2014). Multicellular lifestyles have evolved independently in different bacterial species and are characterised by cellcell adhesion, division of labour, and intercellular cooperation (Claessen et al., 2014; Lyons and Kolter, 2015). Communal living provides bacteria with a multitude of benefits: resistance to environmental threats, increased nutrient acquisition, protection from predation and more efficient utilisation of available resources through cell differentiation (Lyons and Kolter, 2015). Intercellular cooperation is often mediated by the production of 'public goods', which are molecules that are produced by a subpopulation of cells in a community but are shared with producers and non-producers alike (West et al., 2006). As public goods are secreted, extracellular products, they are also susceptible to exploitation by 'cheaters'; cells that take advantage of the molecules produced by their neighbours without directly contributing to their production (Rainey and Rainey, 2003; Diggle et al., 2007; Sandoz et al., 2007; West et al., 2007). Given this, bacteria need not only to discriminate between species that are beneficial to cooperate with, and those that need to be competed against but also need to make similar decisions about isolates of the same species. A mechanism by which this process occurs is 'kin discrimination'; the differential treatment of organisms based on how closely related they are. In such systems, conspecific cells (cells of organisms belonging to the same species) that are recognised as self are cooperated with, while cells that are recognised as non-self are competed against [as reviewed by (Hamilton, 1964; Strassmann et al., 2011; Wall, 2016)]. Here, we review the recent advances in understanding the social interactions between isolates of the Gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis highlighting the diversity of communication mechanisms that have evolved, while exploring their links with establishing a social, community life in a biofilm.

<sup>© 2018</sup> The Authors Molecular Microbiology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Multicellularity in *Bacillus subtilis*

Bacillus subtilis is a soil organism that exhibits a multitude of social (multicellular) behaviours including swarming (Kearns and Losick, 2003) and sliding motility (Kinsinger et al., 2003), exoprotease production (Wu et al., 1991; Dahl et al., 1992; Msadek, 1999) and biofilm formation (Branda et al., 2001; Hamon and Lazazzera, 2001) (Fig. 1). Swarming and sliding motility allow bacteria to colonise nutrient rich environments through flagella-dependent and flagella-independent processes respectively (Henrichsen, 1972; Fraser and Hughes, 1999). Each of these motility mechanisms, and biofilm formation (Branda et al., 2001), depends on the production of surfactin, a secreted lipopeptide that lowers surface tension allowing movement of the cells over a surface (Kearns and Losick, 2003; Kinsinger et al., 2003; Kinsinger et al., 2005). Exoprotease production facilitates the breakdown of complex molecules, allowing access to nutrients (Msadek, 1999) and biofilm formation is mediated by the production of the biofilm matrix which provides the community with stability and protection (Flemming and Wingender, 2010). Due to the gene regulatory networks controlling their synthesis, it is likely that the production of many of the molecules that act as public goods are



**Fig. 1.** Multicellular behaviours exhibited by *B. subtilis*. Biofilm formation, assessed after growth on MSgg agar and imaged 48 h after growth at 30°C (top left) [method from (Branda *et al.*, 2001)]. Protease secretion tested on LB+ 1% milk (w/v) agar plates. The image was taken 18 h after growth at 37°C (top right) [method from (Verhamme *et al.*, 2007)]. Swarming motility assessed on low salt LB agar + 0.7% agar (w/v) plates and imaged 8 h after incubation at 37°C (bottom left) [method from (Kearns and Losick, 2003)]. Sliding motility tested by growth on MsggN plates for 72 h at 37°C (bottom right) [method from (Fall *et al.*, 2006)]. In each case, the *B. subtilis* undomesticated isolate NCIB 3610 was used.

stimulated when *B. subtilis* reaches high density, through a process of quorum sensing.

#### Quorum sensing in *B. subtilis*

Quorum sensing (QS) is a cell-cell communication mechanism that allows bacteria to coordinate physiological processes in response to cell density (Miller and Bassler, 2001; Henke and Bassler, 2004). Bacteria secrete signals called autoinducers into the extracellular environment and, as the concentration of autoinducers increases, this stimulates activation of downstream gene expression (Miller and Bassler, 2001; Henke and Bassler, 2004). Besides being a density-dependent mechanism QS has also been indicated as a diffusion sensing (Redfield, 2002) and/or efficiency sensing mechanism (Hense et al., 2007). In B. subtilis, QS systems both directly and indirectly control public good production (Oslizlo et al., 2014; Spacapan et al., 2018) and cooperative behaviours (Schuster et al., 2013). To date there have been no quorum sensing deficient isolates of B. subtilis isolated, a finding that is consistent with cooperative behaviours being crucial for survival.

A well-studied QS system in *B. subtilis* comprises the proteins ComQXPA. ComX is the autoinducer (pheromone) (Magnuson et al., 1994) and ComP is the sensor protein kinase (Weinrauch et al., 1990; Piazza et al., 1999) that is part of the ComP-ComA two-component signal transduction system, with its cognate DNA-binding response regulator ComA (Roggiani and Dubnau, 1993; Wolf et al., 2016). ComQ is required for processing, modification and export of ComX and consequentially production of the mature QS signal (Ansaldi et al., 2002; Bacon Schneider et al., 2002). Extracytoplasmic binding of ComX to the receiver domain of ComP leads to phosphorylation and activation of ComA in the cytoplasm (Roggiani and Dubnau, 1993). It is the phosphorylated form of ComA that positively regulates production of surfactin (Nakano et al., 1991b), and indirectly activates the production of other public goods (Comella and Grossman, 2005; Lopez et al., 2009a) through regulation of degQ transcription (Msadek et al., 1991; Spacapan et al., 2018). DegQ modulates DegU phosphorylation and consequently influences synthesis of exoproteases and other extracellular enzymes (Kobayashi, 2007) (Fig. 2).

Among isolates of *B. subtilis* the locus encoding the ComQXPA system is highly polymorphic (Tran *et al.*, 2000; Tortosa *et al.*, 2001; Ansaldi *et al.*, 2002; Stefanic and Mandic-Mulec, 2009; Oslizlo *et al.* 2015). More specifically, the coding regions for *comQ*, *comX* and 5' end of *comP* are poorly conserved, leading to divergence of isolates into separate social communication groups or 'pherotypes' (Tran *et al.*, 2000; Tortosa *et al.*, 2001;



Fig. 2. The ComXQPA quorum sensing system in Bacillus subtilis. Illustration of the function of the ComXQPA system in B. subtilis under low (A) and high (B) cell density conditions. Dashed arrows represent indirect regulation. Pre-ComX (chain of circles) is synthesised in the cell, modified and exported by ComQ, resulting in secretion of the ComX pheromone (single circle). ComP is the ComX receptor. In low cell density conditions, the extracellular concentration of ComX is low and ComP does not bind ComX. Under high cell density conditions, however, the extracellular concentration of ComX increases and ComX binds ComP. ComP phosphorylates and activates ComA. ComA~P subsequently facilitates surfactin production and activates the production of DegQ. DegQ indirectly controls the phosphorylation and activation of DegU, leading to production and secretion of exoproteases. Secretion of surfactin indirectly causes phosphorylation of Spo0A and Spo0A~P facilitates production of the extracellular matrix.

Ansaldi et al., 2002; Stefanic and Mandic-Mulec, 2009; Oslizlo et al., 2015). What drives evolution of the polymorphisms in this QS system is currently unknown but the diversity generated allows B. subtilis to be categorised into distinct pherotypes that fail to 'listen' and respond to each other. Striking diversity of pherotypes that use distinct languages for communication is evident even among isolates found in a single cm<sup>3</sup> of soil or on root surfaces of a single plant (Stefanic and Mandic-Mulec, 2009; Oslizlo et al., 2015). The diversity in the quorum sensing groups strongly correlates with the phylogenetic and ecological relationship among *B. subtilis* isolates, such that closely related isolates that belong to the same ecological group, typically also share a pherotype (Stefanic et al., 2012). Thus, B. subtilis primarily communicates with other isolates of its own ecologically distinct group or 'ecotype'. Despite this, there are exceptions to the rule as there are usually minority pherotypes within an ecotype, which can communicate with isolates of different ecotypes in the local environment (Stefanic *et al.*, 2012).

Two models have been proposed for the coexistence of diverse pherotypes in the natural B. subtilis isolates. First, the observed diversification of the quorum sensing alleles may be a result of the ecotype diversity (Stefanic et al., 2012). Different ecotypes may vary in the environmental conditions where QS is used and therefore the sharing of QS signals between distinct ecotypes and the resulting public goods would be problematic. The second model proposed is the 'pherotype cycling model', in which a minority pherotype in a population would have an advantage by exploiting the communal goods produced by other cells in the population (Stefanic et al., 2012). The minority pherotype in the community only has this advantage when below the threshold level for quorum sensing and public good production. It is predicted that 'cheaters' would increase in abundance with time, due to their fitness advantage, and would eventually be the predominant pherotype in the population. Then at this stage the previously dominant pherotype would become the cheater and so on (Stefanic et al., 2012).

# Quorum sensing and facultative cheating

Cheating and pherotype diversity in B. subtilis have been explored by a theoretical model (Eldar, 2011) and further analysed using an experimental system in which the comQXP locus of strains belonging to four different pherotypes were introduced individually, such that the only difference in the otherwise isogenic strains was the comQXPA QS allele (Pollak et al., 2016). Co-culturing various pairs of strains in a swarming co-culture assay uncovered that in almost all cases the minority pherotype had a fitness advantage over the majority (Pollak et al., 2016). These findings are consistent with the previously proposed pherotype cycling model (Stefanic et al., 2012). It is worth noting that there were a few exceptions to the rule, most likely due to asymmetric signalling, where the autoinducer of one pherotype interferes with the signalling of the other (Pollak et al., 2016), as has been previously demonstrated in liquid cultures of B. subtilis (Ansaldi et al., 2002).

While the ComQXPA system is important for determining the social communication group that an isolate belongs to, it is not the only QS system as *B. subtilis* also utilises the Rap-Phr QS systems (Perego and Hoch, 1996; Lazazzera *et al.*, 1997). In contrast to ComQXPA, where there is a single system encoded by the genome, each *B. subtilis* isolate encodes multiple Rap-Phr systems with considerable strain specificity in the Rap-Phr pairs encoded being evident (Even-Tov *et al.*, 2016b) (Table 1). For example, the genome of *B. subtilis* 168

Rap Protein	Phr peptide	Location of cassette	Physiological function regulated	References
RapA	PhrA	Chromosome	Control of sporulation initiation; Dephosphorylates Spo0F	(Perego <i>et al</i> ., 1994; Perego and Hoch, 1996)
RapB	- (PhrC inhibits RapB)	Chromosome	Control of sporulation initiation; Dephosphorylates Spo0F	(Perego <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Perego, 1997)
RapC	PhrC	Chromosome	Control of ComA activity; Interacts with ComA and ComA~P	(Solomon <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Lazazzera <i>et al.</i> , 1999; Auchtung <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
RapD	-	Chromosome	Inhibition of surfactin production; Control of ComA activity	(Ogura and Fujita, 2007)
RapE	PhrE	Chromosome	Control of sporulation initiation; Dephosphorylates Spo0F	(Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2000)
RapF	PhrF	Chromosome	Control of ComA activity; Interacts with ComA and ComA~P	(Bongiorni <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Auchtung <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
RapG	PhrG	Chromosome	Control of DegU; Interacts with DegU~P	(Ogura <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Hayashi <i>et al.</i> , 2006)
RapH	PhrH	Chromosome	Control of sporulation initiation and ComA activity;	(Hayashi <i>et al</i> ., 2006; Mirouze <i>et al</i> ., 2011)
Rapl	Phrl	Chromosome	Dephosphorylates Spo0F	(Parashar <i>et al.</i> , 2011) (Bosch and Graumann, 2015)
			genetic element ICE <i>Bs</i> 1; Dephosphorylates Spo0F	
			Crystal structure of Rapl	(Parashar <i>et al.</i> , 2013a)
КарЈ	-	Chromosome	Control of SpouA phosphorelay Crystal structure of RapJ with CSF	(Parashar <i>et al.</i> , 2011)
				(Parashar <i>et al</i> ., 2013a)
RapK	PhrK	Chromosome	Control of ComA activity	(Auchtung <i>et al</i> ., 2006; Parashar <i>et al.</i> , 2013a)
RapP	PhrP	pBS32	Control of biofilm formation (via modulation of ComA activity); PhrP does not counteract RapP due to a mutation in <i>rapP</i> .	(Parashar <i>et al.</i> , 2013b)
RapQ	PhrQ	pBSG3	Control of sporulation, surfactin	(Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
Rap60	Phr60	pTA1060	Control of secreted protease production	(Koetje <i>et al.</i> , 2003)
Rap <sub>LS20</sub>	Phr <sub>LS20</sub>	pLS20	Control of plasmid conjugation	(Singh <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Rosch and Graumann, 2015)

Table 1. Reported Rap-Phr systems in *B. subtilis* isolates.

encodes eight receptor-signal pairs of the Rap-Phr system (namely, Rap-Phr A, C, E, F, G, H, I, K) as well as three orphan receptors (namely, RapB, D and J) (Kunst et al., 1997; Jiang et al., 2000; Omer Bendori et al., 2015). Interestingly, the QS systems in B. subtilis appear to converge and regulate the same physiological responses (Even-Tov et al., 2016b) (Table 1). This is accomplished as several different Rap proteins repress activity of ComA, the response regulator of the ComQXPA system (Solomon et al., 1996; Lazazzera et al., 1999; Auchtung et al., 2006; Ogura and Fujita, 2007). When cells are at a low cell density, the Rap proteins additionally control other regulators of public good production including DegU (Ogura et al., 2003; Hayashi et al., 2006) and Spo0F (Perego et al., 1994; Perego and Hoch, 1996; Perego, 1997; Jiang et al., 2000; Parashar et al., 2011; Rosch and Graumann, 2015). Spo0F is part of the Spo0A phosphorelay system (Burbulys et al., 1991) which ultimately

controls expression of biofilm matrix genes through modulating the levels of phosphorylated Spo0A (Hamon and Lazazzera, 2001). DegU is involved in the regulation of cooperative processes such as genetic competence (Roggiani et al., 1990; Msadek et al., 1991), swarming motility (Amati et al., 2004), exoprotease secretion (Dahl et al., 1992) and biofilm formation (Stanley and Lazazzera, 2005; Verhamme et al., 2007). When the bacterial population reaches a quorum, the Phr peptides accumulate and repress the Rap proteins (Pottathil and Lazazzera, 2003), thereby allowing the response regulators to trigger expression of genomic regions involved in multicellular behaviours (Fig. 3). Thus, Rap systems firstly act antagonistically to the ComQXPA system as phosphatases or anti-activators of ComA~P (Baker and Neiditch, 2011), but then, through the binding of the specific Phr peptides to their cognate Rap receptors, this inhibition is relieved (Parashar et al., 2013a; Even-Tov et al., 2016b).



**Fig. 3.** The ComXQPA and Rap/Phr quorum sensing systems in *Bacillus subtilis*. Schematic of the quorum sensing systems under low (A) and high (B) cell density conditions. Pre-Pro-Phr is synthesised in the cytoplasm. The signal peptide (represented in brown circles) is cleaved off and Pro-Phr is secreted and modified in the extracellular environment to produce the Phr peptide (light green circle). Under low cell density conditions, the extracellular concentrations of Phr are low and Phr does not enter the cell. The Rap protein represses the response regulators ComA~P, DegU~P and indirectly Spo0A~P. Under high cell density conditions, the extracellular concentration of Phr increases and the Phr peptide enter the cells through the Opp system. Phr represses Rap allowing ComA~P, DegU~P and Spo0A~P to facilitate the production of public goods.

To test the evolutionary advantage of accumulating multiple Rap-Phr systems in one strain, a combined experimental and mathematical modelling approach has been taken (Even-Tov et al., 2016a). Introduction of an additional Rap-Phr system ('Extra-Rap' strain) was found to allow the exploitation of the parental strain, providing the derivative strain with a competitive advantage. This is due to antagonistic interactions between the QS systems. In the strain engineered to encode an additional Rap-Phr system, when at low abundance, Rap proteins repress ComA leading to repression of public good production. The minority member of the population (the facultative cheater) containing the new guorum sensing system therefore avoids acting cooperatively and exploits the products secreted by the parental strain but becomes cooperative in the population when at a quorum (Even-Tov et al., 2016a) (Fig. 4). Thus, two criteria have been proposed for a successful integration of a novel QS system into a strain: (1) the novel system must repress the ancestral QS system at low quorum and (2) addition of the autoinducer of the extra system must restore QS to a level similar to the ancestral strain (Even-Tov et al., 2016a).

# **Kin discrimination**

It is postulated that to reduce exploitation of public goods by cheaters kin-discrimination (KD) has evolved to stabilise cooperative behaviours among conspecific organisms that are recognised as 'self' (genetically identical individuals) or 'kin' (genetically related individuals of the same species that share cooperative genes and are able to cooperate) (Strassmann et al., 2011; Wall, 2016). Kin discrimination was identified in *B. subtilis* in 2015 by testing the ability of 39 natural isolates to cooperate by forming a common swarm (Stefanic et al., 2015) (Fig. 5A). Those isolates that were able to merge their swarms on agar surface were characterised as kin, while B. subtilis isolates that formed a visible boundary at the meeting point of the two swarms, were characterised as non-kin (Stefanic et al., 2015). As discussed earlier, swarming motility is a cooperative behaviour, in which a group of cells migrates across a semi-solid surface to acquire nutrients and requires the production of the public good surfactin (Kearns and Losick, 2003). Pairwise combinations of 39 isolates from two soil samples indicate that merging and thus cooperation only occurs in the most closely related strains where isolates with < 99.5% housekeeping gene identity (examined using the nucleotide sequences of gyrA, rpoB, dnaJ and recA) fail to recognise each other as kin. It was hypothesised that non-kin form antagonistic rather than cooperative interactions (Stefanic et al., 2015). While there is a strong correlation between phylogenetic relationship, pherotype and kin recognition among isolates, the ability of strains to communicate with each other does not always equate to their ability to merge and potentially cooperate (Stefanic et al., 2015). Analysis of the 39 B. subtilis isolates showed that they belong to three different pherotypes (Stefanic and Mandic-Mulec, 2009) and 12 kin recognition groups (Stefanic et al., 2015). These data suggest that not all isolates within the same pherotype can recognise each other as kin and, therefore, kin-discrimination systems are likely to diversify faster than guorum sensing alleles and perhaps act as a mechanism to prevent cheating (Stefanic et al., 2015).

To test the effect that kin discrimination has on the formation of multicellular communities, *B. subtilis* strains that formed kin and non-kin interactions during swarming were co-inoculated onto plant roots. Consistent with *in vitro* studies, isolates belonging to the same kin recognition group formed mixed biofilms, while non-kin strains engaged in antagonistic interactions resulting in one of the isolates primarily colonising the root (Stefanic *et al.*, 2015). Next, the molecular factors involved in kin discrimination in *B. subtilis* NCIB 3610 and other *B. subtilis* strains such as FENS 2-3-5, COS39 and PS-216 were uncovered by transposon mutagenesis and reverse genetics (Lyons *et al.*, 2016). Mutated genes that brought about



Fig. 4. Quorum sensing and cheating. Schematic of the effect that acquisition of an additional Rap/Phr system has in *Bacillus subtilis* cheating.

A. Representation of the parental strain, which encodes for the Com system and a single Rap/Phr system. The cell produces ComX pheromones and Phr peptides.

B. The 'Extra-Rap' strain, which has the same Com and Rap/Phr system as the parental strain plus an additional Rap/Phr (Rap2/Phr2, shown in blue) system.

C. When the parental strain is at a quorum and the 'Extra-Rap' strain is at a low density in the population, the extracellular concentrations of ComX and Phr are high, while Phr2 is present at low concentrations in the extracellular environment. ComX and Phr enter all cells (both the parental and 'Extra-Rap'). ComX leads to phosphorylation of ComA and Phr represses Rap. In the parental strain, ComA is free to facilitate public good production; while, in the 'Extra-Rap' system, the absence of intracellular Phr2 results in a Rap2 repressing ComA~P, thereby repressing public good production.

D. When both the parental strain and the 'Extra-Rap' strain are at a quorum, public goods are produced by the parental strain as described in C). In the 'Extra-Rap' strain, increased extracellular concentration of Phr2 results in the peptide entering the cell and repressing Rap2, allowing a contribution to public good production.

boundary formation between a mutant and the parental strain were classified as kin discrimination (KD) loci. These are rather diverse and include contact-dependent inhibition (CDI) (wapAI), and other microbial 'attack and defence' loci (sdpABC, sdpIRs, skfA-H) that code for toxin and immunity proteins or peptide antibiotics (sunA, bacA). Additionally, among the KD loci are also regulators (lytST, *yvrHB, sigW*) that control response to antimicrobial attack or synthesis of antibiotics; histidine kinases (ptkA and *ptpZ*) that regulate synthesis of cell-surface molecules; loci that modify cell wall structures (*lytC, dltA, tauD*), an operon that directs biosynthesis of an extracellular polysaccharide (epsA-O) and the PhoR histidine kinase that regulates response to phosphate starvation (Lyons et al., 2016). Thus, kin discrimination in *B. subtilis* is a highly complex system that is influenced by multiple loci but the

general conclusion is that these are in most cases directly or indirectly involved in the attack and defence strategies. Indeed, non-kin strains like 168 (a domesticated version of NCIB 3610 (Earl et al., 2012) and RO-NN-1 (an isolate from the Mojave Desert (Cohan et al., 1991)) that show 97.97% average nucleotide identity, and very tight synteny have also many gaps of non-conservation which could hide potential KD loci. Moreover, at the meeting point of two swarms one of the strains launches an attack, while the attacked one responds to damage by inducing a set of genes like SigW-dependent stress response. However, the transcriptional response to attack is not uniform and it is again strain-dependent (Lyons et al., 2016). Many questions remain unanswered regarding how the surface molecules contribute to kin discrimination, to what extent KD protects cooperative behaviours, how it shapes





A. Different phenotypes of approaching B. *subtilis* swarms can be used to distinguish kin and non-kin strains of *B. subtilis*. Merging swarms indicate kin (two B strains) and a striking boundary indicates non-kin swarms (strain A and strain B) (Stefanic *et al.*, 2015).
B. Phylogenetic tree adapted from Lyons and Kolter, 2017. The tree was calculated using the 16S rRNA sequence of a reference strain of each indicated species. The number of isolates of each species used in the study is indicated in parentheses. The separate clades are marked beside the tree and the purple gradient represents the cut-off point for kin discrimination against *Bacillus subtilis* NCIB 3610.

multicellular mode of microbial life and which evolutionary forces shape this social behaviour.

# Kin discrimination across the species barrier

It was recently revealed that B. subtilis kin discrimination is not restricted to members of the species but is extended to close relatives in the B. subtilis clade (Lyons and Kolter, 2017). As expected, representatives of more distant B. cereus clade and even less related strains were no longer subject to kin discrimination. This was tested using three assays; namely, a swarm meeting assay, the ability of two neighbouring colony biofilms to merge, and the detection of antibiosis halos that formed on lawn plates, where one strain was spotted onto a lawn of another (Lyons and Kolter, 2017). The strains used in this analysis were isolated by spore selection from soil samples from five locations and 38 were previously isolated strains from Genetic Stock Center and the American Culture Collection. Different assays were used to allow the strains to 'meet' under differing environmental conditions, each with their own timing implications. The authors found that for some strain combinations. the nature of interaction among isolates was influenced by the assay used: for example, pairs of isolates that formed kin interactions in one assay condition showed the opposite phenotype in another (Lyons and Kolter, 2017). These findings suggested that cooperation and antagonism can, in some cases, be context-dependent. One hypothesis to explain the variable outcome of the interactions is that a context-specific response is mediated by the factors involved in determining kin discrimination being made under different conditions. Therefore, bacteria could perhaps coexist in conditions under which both partners would benefit but compete when conditions would result in facultative cheating.

While strains and species in the immediate B. subtilis clade were almost always subject to kin discrimination, this was no longer the case after a specific relatedness 'cut-off point'. It was determined that species beyond the B. pumilus clade showed a random mixture of interactions against B. subtilis isolate NCIB 3610 and the KD phenotype have no longer correlated to relatedness (Fig. 5B). The loss of correlation is expected if some but not all of these more distant relatives inhabited different environmental niches, so that selection for or against kin discrimination has not occurred. However, further research is needed to explore the niche breath of the isolates and how niche traits shape KD. To test what the reason behind this cut-off point for kin discrimination could be, an assay was developed for determining the ability of isolates to exploit each other's public goods. Using a 'surfactin stealing assay' the authors found a correlation between the ability of an isolate to exploit the secreted products of another and antagonism (Lyons and Kolter, 2017). These findings strengthen the hypothesis that kin discrimination is involved in reducing social cheating.

# Division of labour in biofilm formation

Biofilm formation is arguably the most common multicellular behaviour exhibited by bacteria in nature (Stoodley et al., 2002). As mentioned earlier, biofilms consist of cells attached to each other or a surface and encased in an extracellular matrix produced by the biofilm members. In nature, many biofilms are comprised of multiple different species (Madsen et al., 2018). Due to the complexity of such systems, however, most of the current molecular knowledge on biofilm formation has been acquired using isogenic models. B. subtilis forms architecturally complex communities on agar surfaces (Branda et al., 2001), at the liquid to air interface of standing cultures (Branda et al., 2001), on the roots of plants (Beauregard et al., 2013) and on microtitre plate wells, submerged in buffer (Hamon and Lazazzera, 2001; Bridier et al., 2011). The process of biofilm formation in *B. subtilis* has primarily been studied under laboratory conditions with the undomesticated isolate NCIB 3610 (Branda et al., 2001). However, a naturally competent soil isolate of *B. subtilis*, PS-216 (Stefanic and Mandic-Mulec, 2009), which forms highly structured biofilms under laboratory conditions (Spacapan et al., 2018) and on plant roots (Stefanic et al., 2015) has been sequenced (Durrett et al., 2013) and also serves as an excellent model to study biofilms. NCIB 3610 shows limited competency due to the presence of the plasmid-encoded (pBS32) protein Coml, which interferes with the competency machinery (Konkol et al., 2013). PS-216 is naturally competent, and while there is no published genomic comparison between the two isolates, two explanations can be posited: first, in natural isolates variations in the level of phosphorylated DegU influences the degree of competence for transformation (Miras and Dubnau, 2016) and second, PS-216 does not carry pBS32 (Durrett et al., 2013), and is therefore likely to lack the coml gene. In many cases, a buffered defined medium using glutamic acid, as the sole nitrogen source, and glycerol, as the sole carbon source, is used to trigger production of the biofilm matrix (Branda et al., 2001). A few studies have also examined biofilm formation of model strains in different growth conditions (Dogsa et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2017), while limited insights into the diversity of biofilm regulation and biofilm properties of different B. subtilis isolates have been acquired (Oslizlo et al., 2015; Sanchez-Vizuete et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016).

Through the use of single-cell transcriptional fluorescent reporter fusions within a biofilm of the model isolate NCIB 3610 it has been revealed that, genetically identical cells differentiate into physiologically distinct cell types

resulting in phenotypic heterogeneity (Chai et al., 2008; Vlamakis et al., 2008). Different cell types in the population are yielded that produce a range of public goods which can be shared between community members. Examples of public goods produced by biofilm members include exoproteases (Marlow et al., 2014), surfactants, such as surfactin (Branda et al., 2001) and the biofilm matrix components themselves (Chai et al., 2008). The macromolecules found in the extracellular matrix are crucial for biofilm development and in B. subtilis there are two major components of the matrix: the exopolysaccharides (Eps) (Branda et al., 2001) and protein fibres formed by TasA (Branda et al., 2006) that act synergistically with a bacterial hydrophobin called BsIA (Ostrowski et al., 2011) which renders the community hydrophobic (Epstein et al., 2011; Kobayashi and Iwano, 2012). In addition, the matrix is rich in DNA and the ratios between polysaccharides, proteins and DNA depend on growth media composition (Dogsa et al., 2013). Knowledge about the division of labour during biofilm formation in B. subtilis began with the observation of phenotypic heterogeneity with regards to Eps and TasA production in an isogenic cell population (Chai et al., 2008). It was found that production of Eps is energetically expensive for individual cells and the Eps itself acts as a public good that benefits both the Eps producers and non-producers alike (van Gestel et al., 2014). In spatially mixed populations, made up of a co-culture of eps mutants and Eps producers, the eps mutants have a competitive advantage by exploiting the Eps produced by their neighbouring cells, without investing energy in its production. In spatially segregated communities, however, the eps mutants have a competitive disadvantage. The spatial segregation means the eps mutants are not surrounded by the Eps that is secreted by the wild type. The lack of the Eps makes the eps mutants unable to expand across the surface and they become outcompeted by the spreading wild-type parental strain (van Gestel et al., 2014). Thus, spatial distribution provides another mechanism for reducing social cheating through exploitation of secreted public goods. Similar observations were recently reported for the second major component of the extracellular matrix, TasA. This fibrous protein, which is known to be produced by a subpopulation of the community was also shown to be costly for individual members to produce (although less so than Eps) and, similarly to Eps, albeit to a lesser extent, acts as a shared public good (Dragos et al., 2018).

# Quorum sensing during biofilm development

At the molecular level, division of labour in the isogenic population is a highly complex and tightly regulated process. The differentiation of genetically identical sister cells into phenotypically heterogeneous populations is

called 'bimodality' and involves the regulators Spo0A (Fujita and Losick, 2005; Chai et al., 2008), DegU (Verhamme et al., 2007) and ComA (Nakano et al., 1991a; Stanley and Lazazzera, 2005). Each of these regulators is heavily influenced by quorum sensing, through both the ComQXPA and Rap-Phr systems (Lopez and Kolter, 2010). In non-matrix producing cells, transcription of the matrix operons (namely tapA-sipW-tasA and epsA-O) is repressed when SinR binds to the promoters (Kearns et al., 2005; Chu et al., 2006). SinR is part of a double negative feedback loop with another regulator called SIrR. such that SinR represses slrR transcription (Chai et al., 2010). The presence of intermediate levels of phosphorylated Spo0A triggers production of SinI (Shafikhani et al., 2002; Fujita et al., 2005), which is an antagonist of SinR (Bai et al., 1993). SinI binds to SinR and represses its action, thus allowing the matrix operons and slrR to be expressed (Kearns et al., 2005; Chu et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2008). Once SIrR is produced, it binds to SinR and prevents SinR from inhibiting transcription of its own coding region (Chai et al., 2010). The SIrR-SinR complex also acts to prevent the expression of genes involved in cell separation and motility, resulting in differentiation of cells into non-motile biofilm matrix producers (Chai et al., 2010). This is an epigenetic switch that is stable across multiple generations (Norman et al., 2013).

The epigenetic switch that differentiates motile cells into matrix producers provides an example for the influence that guorum sensing has on cell differentiation and biofilm formation. Although matrix gene expression is not directly controlled by a guorum sensing system, ComA is indirectly involved in matrix production. As detailed earlier, ComA is the response regulator of the ComXQPA QS system, and controls surfactin production and genetic competence (Magnuson et al., 1994). Production and secretion of surfactin in the extracellular environment causes potassium leakage in neighbouring cells (Lopez et al., 2009a). Potassium leakage triggers phosphorylation and activation of Spo0A which, when at intermediate levels in the cell, de-represses the biofilm matrix operons, as discussed previously, leading to the production of the biofilm matrix that encases the community (Lopez et al., 2009a; Lopez et al., 2009c). Other extracellular products present in the biofilm which are controlled by QS include exoproteases. In contrast to 'weaker' biofilm forming 'domesticated' laboratory strains (such as JH642, 168 and PY79), wild or undomesticated isolates of B. subtilis, including the model strain NCIB 3610 and the soil isolate PS-216 form structured biofilms under laboratory conditions. Interestingly, one of the main differences between domesticated and undomesticated strains is in the production of DegQ, which connects the ComQXPA system with DegU (Stanley and Lazazzera, 2005; McLoon et al., 2011; Miras and Dubnau, 2016; Spacapan et al., 2018),

ultimately leading to expression of extracellular proteases. As a result, wild isolates which can produce DegQ, show higher levels of exoprotease and secondary metabolite expression. It has been experimentally demonstrated that deletion of *comQ*, essential for the production of ComX, the signal peptide of the ComQXPA system, results in strong reduction of exoprotease expression in static biofilm cultures of PS-216 (Spacapan et al., 2018), Although it could be speculated that high proteolytic activity in biofilms may promote biofilm dispersal, recent experiments show that the TasA fibres present in the matrix are highly resistant to proteolytic degradation (Erskine et al., 2018). Interestingly however, signalling peptides such as ComX, are sensitive to exoprotease degradation (Spacapan et al., 2018), adding to the complexity of the regulation of public good production in bacterial communities. It could be that proteolysis is a general QS quenching mechanism that may have important implication for the dynamics of peptide-based signalling in B. subtilis and relatives. For example ComX is widespread in Firmicutes (Dogsa et al., 2014), with many Gram-positive bacteria applying signalling peptides as canonical QS signals (Kleerebezem et al., 1997) and the majority of quorum sensing systems regulating synthesis of extracellular proteases (Hense and Schuster, 2015). It will be of interest to identify if there is species-specificity in the degradation of ComX, or if promiscuous proteolytic activity occurs. This would impact the stability of signalling systems and have implications for how single-species and mixed-species communities develop.

In addition to ComXQPA, the Rap-Phr systems also influence the activity of the master regulators involved in cell differentiation, in concert with ComA, Spo0A (through Spo0F) and DegU, as described in previous sections. For example, the RapP-PhrP quorum sensing cassette, encoded on a large plasmid in NCIB 3610, has been found to play a role in controlling biofilm architecture (Omer Bendori *et al.*, 2015). Collectively, these regulators control the expression of multiple genomic regions to regulate processes such as production of the extracellular matrix, exoproteases, development of genetic competence and as a last survival strategy sporulation (Lopez *et al.*, 2009b, Lopez and Kolter, 2010), contributing to the survival of the biofilm members under diverse environmental conditions.

# Long-range metabolic signalling in the biofilm

Intimate cooperation within the biofilm community, mediated by the control of gene regulation, is coupled with an intrinsic need for the resident bacteria to compete or cooperate to access available nutrients. In addition microscopy-based analysis of two-dimensional biofilms formed by NCIB 3610, in a constant nutrient environment,

© 2018 The Authors Molecular Microbiology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 0, 1–16



Fig. 6. Long-range and contact-dependent communication in B. subtilis.

A. Long-range metabolic signalling occurs in developing biofilm communities and results in oscillations between growth and growth inhibition.

B. Contact-dependent communication between *B. subtilis* and other cells (either *B. subtilis* or other species) occurs using nanotubes. Cytoplasmic contents can be moved from donor to recipient cells, while small nutrient molecules can be extracted from the prey cell by the predator, demonstrating bidirectional movement of molecules.

has revealed that when the communities exceed a certain size (an average diameter of  $580 \pm 85 \mu$ m) they exhibit periodic arrests of growth (oscillations) (Liu et al., 2015). These collective oscillations were sustained for more than one day, where the average periodicity was  $2.5 \pm 0.8$  h. The oscillations in growth were found to be controlled by a long-range metabolic co-dependence between the cells in the periphery of the biofilm with those in the interior regions (Fig. 6A). The cessation of cell growth at the biofilm periphery is linked with ammonium limitation and this transient growth arrest allows cells in the interior of the biofilm to acquire, and consume, glutamate from the medium. The cells generate ammonium that is accessed by the cells in the periphery, thus allowing them to consume glutamate, restoring growth again, albeit transiently. The periodic ammonium starvation at the biofilm periphery occurs as ammonium, produced in this zone during glutamate utilisation, and is released into the extracellular medium, thereby becoming inaccessible to the cells (Liu et al., 2015). The overall bacterial population was found to benefit from the process of oscillating periods of biofilm growth and arrest, with an increase in the overall level of cell survival after exposure to extracellular stress (Liu et al., 2015). Therefore, while the cells in the biofilm periphery transiently starve those in the interior, they also protect them (Liu et al., 2015).

The metabolic coordination in the two-dimensional *B. subtilis* biofilm was later found to depend on electrochemical signalling (Prindle *et al.*, 2015). Metabolically starved cells located in the interior of the biofilm collectively trigger depolarisation of the cell membranes of those situated at the biofilm periphery, through a sudden release of potassium. This limits the ability of cells to take up glutamate, to retain ammonium, and thus to grow, allowing cells in the interior access to the nutrients

(Prindle et al., 2015). The coordination of metabolic activity is not restricted to within one biofilm. When B. subtilis biofilms are found in close, but non-touching proximity, synchronised oscillations in growth develop in the two physically separate communities (Liu et al., 2017). The reach of the electrical signal goes beyond communication within biofilms as potassium release from a mature oscillating biofilm can stimulate recruitment of motile cells to biofilm edge where they get subsumed into the developing structure (Humphries et al., 2017). The ability to recruit motile cells to the oscillating biofilm bypasses the species barrier with motile Pseudomonas aeruginosa cells being attracted by the electrical signal released by the B. subtilis biofilm (Humphries et al., 2017). These findings demonstrate the broad impacts of the long-range signalling processes both within B. subtilis simple and mixed communities. This example of cooperation within an isogenic community to access and maximise nutrient sources is however not unique. In planktonically growing B. subtilis the population divides into two metabolically distinct, but dynamic, subpopulations: one which produces acetate and one that produces acetoin (Rosenthal et al., 2018). Therefore, it will be important to address how these intricate interactions manifest and impact communities in the natural environment where nutrients may exist in micro-niches, biofilms will be more complex structurally and where multiple species will be present.

# Short-range contact-dependent communication

Intercellular communication between *B. subtilis* cells can be contact independent, as in the case of electrical signalling and quorum sensing, but also contact-dependant. *B. subtilis* can directly exchange cytoplasmic molecules through (or using) tube-like membranous appendages dubbed 'nanotubes' (Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011). Molecules that have been experimentally demonstrated to transfer between cells using the nanotubes have been heterologous 'marker' proteins which include the green fluorescent protein, calcein and antibiotic resistance proteins. For example, co-culturing cells encoding antibiotic resistance cassettes with unmarked wild-type cells resulted in transient antibiotic resistance of the wild-type strain (Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011). The cells were also found to be able to transport DNA to their wild-type neighbours using nanotubes, this was in the form of non-conjugative plasmids that harboured antibiotic resistance of the recipient cells (Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011) (Fig. 6B).

Research into the composition of these structures revealed that the protein YmdB is required for nanotube formation, and thus intercellular exchange of molecules (Dubey et al., 2016). Consistent with a role in structuring the B. subtilis community, YmdB was first identified as required for both biofilm formation (Diethmaier et al., 2011) and later for wild-type colony formation on solid media (Mamou et al., 2016). YmdB is a phosphodiesterase with activity against 2',3'- and 3',5'-cyclic nucleotide monophosphates that is required for the differentiation of motile-cells into biofilm matrix producers, such that the absence of YmdB cause loss of gene expression bimodality, resulting in a population made up exclusively of short motile cells (Diethmaier et al., 2014). While there is a link between nanotube and biofilm formation, the extracellular biofilm matrix components themselves are not required for development of nanotubes, as tasA mutant strains are capable of forming functional structures (Dubey et al., 2016). Therefore, the function that nanotubes, and the consequential exchange of cytoplasmic contents, play in the formation of biofilms, if any, remains to be elucidated.

Nanotube formation, and the exchange of cytoplasmic contents, is not restricted to members of the same species. It has been shown that B. subtilis can transport molecules, through nanotubes, to both Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus (Dubey et al., 2016). This means that nanotubes can play a role in interspecies competition. For example, B. subtilis can use nanotubes to transfer the toxic protein WapA into neighbouring B. megaterium cells, resulting in growth inhibition (Stempler et al., 2017). WapA is not toxic in B. subtilis strains that carry an anti-toxin, Wapl (Koskiniemi et al., 2013; Lyons et al., 2016). The nanotubes are capable of bidirectional movement of molecules as they allow B. subtilis to extract nutrients from rival B. megaterium cells (Stempler et al., 2017) (Fig. 6B). The fact that intimate connections are able to form between *B. subtilis* and both Gram-positive and Gram-negative species suggests a non-specific interaction between the nanotube and the recipient cell. How

the nanotube connects to a neighbouring cells through the thick cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria or how the nanotube connects to and extends across the outer membrane of *E. coli* allowing passage of molecules into the cytoplasm remains unanswered. Nonetheless, nanotubes may potentially have an immense influence in the social life of *B. subtilis* in nature, contributing to both cooperative and antagonistic interactions.

# **Concluding Remarks**

The molecular basis of multicellular processes has been primarily studied in single-genotype populations under laboratory conditions. However, this is, of course, not representative of the complexity and diversity which exists in nature. For example, the properties and functions of biofilms are greatly dependent on interactions between species and have been termed 'community-intrinsic properties' (Madsen et al., 2018). Indeed a combination of four species in a biofilm was found to result in a 3-4 times increase in the biomass compared with the single isolate biofilms of its constituent species. In this experiment, the number of cells belonging to each of the four species was all increased by comparison to growth in pure culture. Additionally, the spatial organisation of the members in the four-species biofilm was unpredictable based on analysis of two species models (Burmolle et al., 2006). This demonstrates the immense influence that each species has on the community in terms of growth and structure. While the effect that diverse species have on biofilm formation in B. subtilis remain largely underexplored, other soil bacteria have been found to induce or repress B. subtilis biofilm formation (Powers et al., 2015). Repression of biofilm development has been described as a result of co-culture of B. subtilis with soil isolates of Pseudomonas putida and Pseudomonas protogens. P. protogens was found to produce the antifungal 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG), responsible for B. subtilis biofilm inhibition (Powers et al., 2015). In contrast, most of the soil species that could induce biofilm formation in B. subtilis were members of the genus Bacillus (Shank et al., 2011). The identity of the secreted molecules produced by these soil isolates is largely unknown but they induce biofilm matrix production through two mechanisms; (1) induction of matrix gene expression via the Spo0A~P pathway that is activated by the sensor kinase KinD or (2) by preferentially killing the non-matrix-producing cells in the population.

In addition to the direct effect that microorganisms have on each other in multicellular contexts, environmental conditions are also critical to shaping social interactions among microbes. As discussed above, this was demonstrated in *B. subtilis*, where growth under different

© 2018 The Authors Molecular Microbiology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 0, 1–16

multicellular conditions influenced the nature of the interactions among isolates (Lyons and Kolter, 2017). This is not specific to Bacillus species as similar findings have also been shown for Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus which usually do not coexist, as P. aeruginosa outcompetes S. aureus through production of molecules that are under the control of QS systems. In the blood however, QS signalling is inhibited in P. aeruginosa due to binding on serum albumin to QS molecules, resulting in coexistence of the two organisms (Smith et al., 2017). Therefore, it will be interesting to address the relationship between kin discrimination, quorum sensing and cheating in the formation, competitive fitness and spatial organisation of cells within in environmental biofilms and couple this with an analysis of the impact exerted by diverse environmental settings.

# **Declarations of interest**

None.

# Acknowledgements

Work in the NSW laboratory is supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council [BB/P001335/1; BB/R012415/1]. Work in the IMM laboratory is supported by the Slovenian research agency program grant P4-0116, the J4-7637 and J4-9302 grants for fundamental research and the young researcher grant awarded to MS. We thank Prof Akos Kovacs for his helpful input.

#### References

- Amati, G., Bisicchia, P. and Galizzi, A. (2004) DegU-P represses expression of the motility *fla-che* operon in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **186**, 6003–6014.
- Ansaldi, M., Marolt, D., Stebe, T., Mandic-Mulec, I. and Dubnau, D. (2002) Specific activation of the Bacillus quorum-sensing systems by isoprenylated pheromone variants. *Molecular Microbiology*, **44**, 1561–1573.
- Auchtung, J.M., Lee, C.A. and Grossman, A.D. (2006) Modulation of the ComA-dependent quorum response in *Bacillus subtilis* by multiple Rap proteins and Phr peptides. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **188**, 5273–5285.
- Bacon Schneider, K., Palmer, T.M. and Grossman, A.D. (2002) Characterization of comQ and comX, two genes required for production of ComX pheromone in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**, 410–419.
- Bai, U., Mandic-Mulec, I. and Smith, I. (1993) SinI modulates the activity of SinR, a developmental switch protein of *Bacillus subtilis*, by protein-protein interaction. *Genes & Development*, **7**, 139–148.
- Baker, M.D. and Neiditch, M.B. (2011) Structural basis of response regulator inhibition by a bacterial anti-activator protein. *PLoS Biology*, 9, e1001226.

- Beauregard, P.B., Chai, Y., Vlamakis, H., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2013) *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm induction by plant polysaccharides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, E1621–E1630.
- Bongiorni, C., Ishikawa, S., Stephenson, S., Ogasawara, N. and Perego, M. (2005) Synergistic regulation of competence development in *Bacillus subtilis* by two Rap-Phr systems. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **187**, 4353–4361.
- Branda, S.S., Chu, F., Kearns, D.B., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2006) A major protein component of the *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm matrix. *Molecular Microbiology*, **59**, 1229–1238.
- Branda, S.S., Gonzalez-Pastor, J.E., Ben-Yehuda, S., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2001) Fruiting body formation by *Bacillus subtilis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **98**, 11621–11626.
- Bridier, A., Le Coq, D., Dubois-Brissonnet, F., Thomas, V., Aymerich, S. and Briandet, R. (2011) The spatial architecture of *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms deciphered using a surface-associated model and *in situ* imaging. *PLoS One*, 6(1), e16177.
- Burbulys, D., Trach, K.A. and Hoch, J.A. (1991) Initiation of sporulation in *B. subtilis* is controlled by a multicomponent phosphorelay. *Cell*, 64, 545–552.
- Burmolle, M., Webb, J.S., Rao, D., Hansen, L.H., Sorensen, S.J. and Kjelleberg, S. (2006) Enhanced biofilm formation and increased resistance to antimicrobial agents and bacterial invasion are caused by synergistic interactions in multispecies biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **72**, 3916–3923.
- Chai, Y., Chu, F., Kolter, R. and Losick, R. (2008) Bistability and biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **67**, 254–263.
- Chai, Y., Norman, T., Kolter, R. and Losick, R. (2010) An epigenetic switch governing daughter cell separation in *Bacillus subtilis. Genes & Development*, **24**(8), 754–765.
- Chu, F., Kearns, D.B., Branda, S.S., Kolter, R. and Losick, R. (2006) Targets of the master regulator of biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis. Molecular Microbiology*, **59**, 1216–1228.
- Chu, F., Kearns, D.B., McLoon, A., Chai, Y., Kolter, R. and Losick, R. (2008) A novel regulatory protein governing biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 68, 1117–1127.
- Claessen, D., Rozen, D.E., Kuipers, O.P., Sogaard-Andersen, L. and van Wezel, G.P. (2014) Bacterial solutions to multicellularity: a tale of biofilms, filaments and fruiting bodies. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **12**, 115–124.
- Cohan, F.M., Roberts, M.S. and King, E.C. (1991) The potential for genetic exchange by transformation within a natural population of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Evolution*, **45**, 1393–1421.
- Comella, N. and Grossman, A.D. (2005) Conservation of genes and processes controlled by the quorum response in bacteria: characterization of genes controlled by the quorum-sensing transcription factor ComA in *Bacillus subtilis. Molecular Microbiology*, **57**, 1159–1174.
- Dahl, M.K., Msadek, T., Kunst, F. and Rapoport, G. (1992) The phosphorylation state of the DegU response regulator acts as a molecular switch allowing either degradative enzyme synthesis or expression of genetic competence in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **267**, 14509–14514.

© 2018 The Authors Molecular Microbiology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 0, 1–16

- Diethmaier, C., Newman, J.A., Kovacs, A.T., Kaever, V., Herzberg, C., Rodrigues, C. *et al.* (2014) The YmdB phosphodiesterase is a global regulator of late adaptive responses in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **196**, 265–275.
- Diethmaier, C., Pietack, N., Gunka, K., Wrede, C., Lehnik-Habrink, M., Herzberg, C. *et al.* (2011) A novel factor controlling bistability in *Bacillus subtilis*: the YmdB protein affects flagellin expression and biofilm formation. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **193**, 5997–6007.
- Diggle, S.P., Griffin, A.S., Campbell, G.S. and West, S.A. (2007) Cooperation and conflict in quorum-sensing bacterial populations. *Nature*, **450**, 411–414.
- Dogsa, I., Brloznik, M., Stopar, D. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2013) Exopolymer diversity and the role of levan in *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms. *PLoS One*, **8**, e62044.
- Dogsa, I., Choudhary, K.S., Marsetic, Z., Hudaiberdiev, S., Vera, R., Pongor, S. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2014) ComQXPA quorum sensing systems may not be unique to *Bacillus subtilis*: a census in prokaryotic genomes. *PLoS One*, **9**, e96122.
- Dragos, A., Kiesewalter, H., Martin, M., Hsu, C.Y., Hartmann, R., Wechsler, T. *et al.* (2018) Division of labor during biofilm matrix production. *Current Biology*, **28**(1903–1913), e1905.
- Dubey, G.P. and Ben-Yehuda, S. (2011) Intercellular nanotubes mediate bacterial communication. *Cell*, **144**, 590–600.
- Dubey, G.P., Malli Mohan, G.B., Dubrovsky, A., Amen, T., Tsipshtein, S., Rouvinski, A. *et al.* (2016) Architecture and characteristics of bacterial nanotubes. *Developmental Cell*, **36**, 453–461.
- Durrett, R., Miras, M., Mirouze, N., Narechania, A., Mandic-Mulec, I. and Dubnau, D. (2013) Genome sequence of the *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm-forming transformable strain PS216. *Genome Announcements*, 1(3).
- Earl, A.M., Eppinger, M., Fricke, W.F., Rosovitz, M.J., Rasko, D.A., Daugherty, S. *et al.* (2012) Whole-genome sequences of *Bacillus subtilis* and close relatives. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **194**, 2378–2379.
- Eldar, A. (2011) Social conflict drives the evolutionary divergence of quorum sensing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 13635–13640.
- Epstein, A.K., Pokroy, B., Seminara, A. and Aizenberg, J. (2011) Bacterial biofilm shows persistent resistance to liquid wetting and gas penetration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 995–1000.
- Erskine, E., Morris, R.J., Schor, M., Earl, C., Gillespie, R.M.C., Bromley, K. *et al.* (2018) Formation of functional, non-amyloidogenic fibres by recombinant *Bacillus subtilis* TasA. *Molecular Microbiology*. Available at: doi: 10.1111/ mmi.13985.
- Even-Tov, E., Bendori, S.O., Valastyan, J., Ke, X., Pollak, S., Bareia, T. *et al.* (2016a) Social evolution selects for redundancy in bacterial quorum sensing. *PLoS Biology*, **14**, e1002386.
- Even-Tov, E., Bendori, S.O., Pollak, S. and Eldar, A. (2016b) Transient duplication-dependent divergence and horizontal transfer underlie the evolutionary dynamics of bacterial cell-cell signaling. *PLoS Biology*, **14**, e2000330.

- Fall, R., Kearns, D.B. and Nguyen, T. (2006) A defined medium to investigate sliding motility in a *Bacillus subtilis* flagella-less mutant. *BMC Microbiology*, 6, 31.
- Flemming, H.C. and Wingender, J. (2010) The biofilm matrix. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **8**, 623–633.
- Fraser, G.M. and Hughes, C. (1999) Swarming motility. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, **2**, 630–635.
- Fujita, M., Gonzalez-Pastor, J.E. and Losick, R. (2005) Highand low-threshold genes in the Spo0A regulon of *Bacillus* subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology, **187**, 1357–1368.
- Fujita, M. and Losick, R. (2005) Evidence that entry into sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis* is governed by a gradual increase in the level and activity of the master regulator Spo0A. *Genes & Development*, **19**, 2236–2244.
- Hamilton, W.D. (1964) The genetical evolution of social behaviour. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **7**, 1–16.
- Hamon, M.A. and Lazazzera, B.A. (2001) The sporulation transcription factor Spo0A is required for biofilm development in *Bacillus subtilis. Molecular Microbiology*, **42**, 1199–1209.
- Hayashi, K., Kensuke, T., Kobayashi, K., Ogasawara, N. and Ogura, M. (2006) *Bacillus subtilis* RghR (YvaN) represses rapG and rapH, which encode inhibitors of expression of the srfA operon. *Molecular Microbiology*, **59**, 1714–1729.
- Henke, J.M. and Bassler, B.L. (2004) Bacterial social engagements. *Trends in Cell Biology*, **14**, 648–656.
- Henrichsen, J. (1972) Bacterial surface translocation: a survey and a classification. *Bacteriological Reviews*, **36**, 478–503.
- Hense, B.A., Kuttler, C., Muller, J., Rothballer, M., Hartmann, A. and Kreft, J.-U. (2007) Does efficiency sensing unify diffusion and quorum sensing? *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **5**, 230–239.
- Hense, B.A. and Schuster, M. (2015) Core principles of bacterial autoinducer systems. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, **79**, 153–169.
- Humphries, J., Xiong, L., Liu, J., Prindle, A., Yuan, F., Arjes, H.A. *et al.* (2017) Species-independent attraction to biofilms through electrical signaling. *Cell*, **168**(200–209), e212.
- Jiang, M., Grau, R. and Perego, M. (2000) Differential processing of propeptide inhibitors of Rap phosphatases in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **182**, 303–310.
- Kearns, D.B., Chu, F., Branda, S.S., Kolter, R. and Losick, R. (2005) A master regulator for biofilm formation by *Bacillus* subtilis. *Molecular Microbiology*, **55**, 739–749.
- Kearns, D.B. and Losick, R. (2003) Swarming motility in undomesticated *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 49, 581–590.
- Kinsinger, R.F., Kearns, D.B., Hale, M. and Fall, R. (2005) Genetic requirements for potassium ion-dependent colony spreading in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, 187, 8462–8469.
- Kinsinger, R.F., Shirk, M.C. and Fall, R. (2003) Rapid surface motility in *Bacillus subtilis* is dependent on extracellular surfactin and potassium ion. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **185**, 5627–5631.
- Kleerebezem, M., Quadri, L.E., Kuipers, O.P. and de Vos, W.M. (1997) Quorum sensing by peptide pheromones and two-component signal-transduction systems in Grampositive bacteria. *Molecular Microbiology*, 24, 895–904.
- © 2018 The Authors Molecular Microbiology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 0, 1–16

- Kobayashi, K. (2007) Gradual activation of the response regulator DegU controls serial expression of genes for flagellum formation and biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **66**, 395–409.
- Kobayashi, K. and Iwano, M. (2012) BsIA (YuaB) forms a hydrophobic layer on the surface of *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms. *Molecular Microbiology*, **85**, 51–66.
- Koetje, E.J., Hajdo-Milasinovic, A., Kiewiet, R., Bron, S. and Tjalsma, H. (2003) A plasmid-borne Rap-Phr system of *Bacillus subtilis* can mediate cell-density controlled production of extracellular proteases. *Microbiology*, **149**, 19–28.
- Konkol, M.A., Blair, K.M. and Kearns, D.B. (2013) Plasmidencoded Coml inhibits competence in the ancestral strain of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology*.
- Koskiniemi, S., Lamoureux, J.G., Nikolakakis, K.c, t'Kint de Roodenbeke, C., Kaplan, M.D, Low, D.A. *et al.* (2013) Rhs proteins from diverse bacteria mediate intercellular competition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, 7032–7037.
- Kunst, F., Ogasawara, N., Moszer, I., Albertini, A.M., Alloni, G., Azevedo, V. *et al.* (1997) The complete genome sequence of the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nature*, **390**, 249–256.
- Lazazzera, B.A., Kurtser, I.G., McQuade, R.S. and Grossman, A.D. (1999) An autoregulatory circuit affecting peptide signaling in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **181**, 5193–5200.
- Lazazzera, B.A., Solomon, J.M. and Grossman, A.D. (1997) An exported peptide functions intracellularly to contribute to cell density signaling in *B. subtilis. Cell*, **89**, 917–925.
- Liu, J., Martinez-Corral, R., Prindle, A., Lee, D.D., Larkin, J., Gabalda-Sagarra, M. *et al.* (2017) Coupling between distant biofilms and emergence of nutrient time-sharing. *Science*, **356**, 638–642.
- Liu, J., Prindle, A., Humphries, J., Gabalda-Sagarra, M., Asally, M., Lee, D.Y. *et al.* (2015) Metabolic co-dependence gives rise to collective oscillations within biofilms. *Nature*, **523**, 550–554.
- Lopez, D., Fischbach, M.A., Chu, F., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2009a) Structurally diverse natural products that cause potassium leakage trigger multicellularity in *Bacillus sub-tilis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 280–285.
- Lopez, D. and Kolter, R. (2010) Extracellular signals that define distinct and coexisting cell fates in *Bacillus subtilis*. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **34**, 134–149.
- Lopez, D., Vlamakis, H. and Kolter, R. (2009b) Generation of multiple cell types in *Bacillus subtilis. FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **33**, 152–163.
- Lopez, D., Vlamakis, H., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2009c) Paracrine signaling in a bacterium. *Genes & Development*, **23**, 1631–1638.
- Lyons, N.A. and Kolter, R. (2015) On the evolution of bacterial multicellularity. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 24, 21–28.
- Lyons, N.A. and Kolter, R. (2017) *Bacillus subtilis* protects public goods by extending kin discrimination to closely related species, *MBio*, **8**.

- Lyons, N.A., Kraigher, B., Stefanic, P., Mandic-Mulec, I. and Kolter, R. (2016) A combinatorial kin discrimination system in *Bacillus subtilis. Current Biology*, **26**, 733–742.
- Ma, W., Peng, D., Walker, S. L., Cao, B., Gao, C.-H., Huang, Q., and Cai, P. (2017). *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm development in the presence of soil clay minerals and iron oxides. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*, **3**, 4.
- Madsen, J.S., Sorensen, S.J. and Burmolle, M. (2018) Bacterial social interactions and the emergence of community-intrinsic properties. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 42, 104–109.
- Magnuson, R., Solomon, J. and Grossman, A.D. (1994) Biochemical and genetic characterization of a competence pheromone from *B. subtilis. Cell*, **77**, 207–216.
- Mamou, G., Malli Mohan, G.B., Rouvinski, A., Rosenberg, A. and Ben-Yehuda, S. (2016) Early developmental program shapes colony morphology in bacteria. *Cell Reports*, 14, 1850–1857.
- Marlow, V.L., Cianfanelli, F.R., Porter, M., Cairns, L.S., Dale, J.K. and Stanley-Wall, N.R. (2014) The prevalence and origin of exoprotease-producing cells in the *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm. *Microbiology*, **160**, 56–66.
- McLoon, A.L., Guttenplan, S.B., Kearns, D.B., Kolter, R. and Losick, R. (2011) Tracing the domestication of a biofilm-forming bacterium. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **193**, 2027–2034.
- Miller, M.B. and Bassler, B.L. (2001) Quorum sensing in bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **55**, 165–199.
- Miras, M. and Dubnau, D. (2016) A DegU-P and DegQdependent regulatory pathway for the K-state in *Bacillus subtilis. Frontiers in Microbiology*, **7**, 1868.
- Mirouze, N., Parashar, V., Baker, M.D., Dubnau, D.A. and Neiditch, M.B. (2011) An atypical Phr peptide regulates the developmental switch protein RapH. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **193**, 6197–6206.
- Msadek, T. (1999) When the going gets tough: survival strategies and environmental signaling networks in *Bacillus subtilis. Trends Microbiology*, **7**, 201–207.
- Msadek, T., Kunst, F., Klier, A. and Rapoport, G. (1991) DegS-DegU and ComP-ComA modulator-effector pairs control expression of the *Bacillus subtilis* pleiotropic regulatory gene *degQ*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **173**, 2366–2377.
- Nakano, M.M., Magnuson, R., Myers, A., Curry, J., Grossman, A.D. and Zuber, P. (1991a) *srfA* is an operon required for surfactin production, competence development, and efficient sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **173**, 1770–1778.
- Nakano, M.M., Xia, L.A. and Zuber, P. (1991b) Transcription initiation region of the *srfA* operon, which is controlled by the comP-comA signal transduction system in *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **173**, 5487–5493.
- Norman, T.M., Lord, N.D., Paulsson, J. and Losick, R. (2013) Memory and modularity in cell-fate decision making. *Nature*, **503**, 481–486.
- Ogura, M. and Fujita, Y. (2007) *Bacillus subtilis* rapD, a direct target of transcription repression by RghR, negatively regulates *srfA* expression. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **268**, 73–80.
- Ogura, M., Shimane, K., Asai, K., Ogasawara, N. and Tanaka, T. (2003) Binding of response regulator DegU to

the *aprE* promoter is inhibited by RapG, which is counteracted by extracellular PhrG in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **49**, 1685–1697.

- Omer Bendori, S., Pollak, S., Hizi, D. and Eldar, A. (2015) The RapP-PhrP quorum-sensing system of *Bacillus subtilis* strain NCIB3610 affects biofilm formation through multiple targets, due to an atypical signal-insensitive allele of RapP. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **197**, 592–602.
- Oslizlo, A., Stefanic, P., Dogsa, I. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2014) Private link between signal and response in *Bacillus subtilis* quorum sensing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **111**, 1586–1591.
- Oslizlo, A., Stefanic, P., Vatovec, S., Beigot Glaser, S., Rupnik, M. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2015) Exploring ComQXPA quorum-sensing diversity and biocontrol potential of Bacillus spp. isolates from tomato rhizoplane. *Microbial Biotechnology*, **8**, 527–540.
- Ostrowski, A., Mehert, A., Prescott, A., Kiley, T.B. and Stanley-Wall, N.R. (2011) YuaB functions synergistically with the exopolysaccharide and TasA amyloid fibers to allow biofilm formation by *Bacillus subtilis. Journal of Bacteriology*, **193**, 4821–4831.
- Parashar, V., Jeffrey, P.D. and Neiditch, M.B. (2013a) Conformational change-induced repeat domain expansion regulates Rap phosphatase quorum-sensing signal receptors. *PLoS Biology*, **11**, e1001512.
- Parashar, V., Konkol, M.A., Kearns, D.B. and Neiditch, M.B. (2013b) A plasmid-encoded phosphatase regulates *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm architecture, sporulation, and genetic competence. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **195**, 2437–2448.
- Parashar, V., Mirouze, N., Dubnau, D.A. and Neiditch, M.B. (2011) Structural basis of response regulator dephosphorylation by Rap phosphatases. *PLoS Biology*, **9**, e1000589.
- Perego, M. (1997) A peptide export-import control circuit modulating bacterial development regulates protein phosphatases of the phosphorelay. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **94**, 8612–8617.
- Perego, M., Hanstein, C., Welsh, K.M., Djavakhishvili, T., Glaser, P. and Hoch, J.A. (1994) Multiple protein-aspartate phosphatases provide a mechanism for the integration of diverse signals in the control of development in *B. subtilis. Cell*, **79**, 1047–1055.
- Perego, M. and Hoch, J.A. (1996) Cell-cell communication regulates the effects of protein aspartate phosphatases on the phosphorelay controlling development in *Bacillus subtilis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **93**, 1549–1553.
- Piazza, F., Tortosa, P. and Dubnau, D. (1999) Mutational analysis and membrane topology of ComP, a quorum-sensing histidine kinase of *Bacillus subtilis* controlling competence development. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **181**, 4540–4548.
- Pollak, S., Omer-Bendori, S., Even-Tov, E., Lipsman, V., Bareia, T., Ben-Zion, I. and Eldar, A. (2016) Facultative cheating supports the coexistence of diverse quorum-sensing alleles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 2152–2157.
- Pottathil, M. and Lazazzera, B.A. (2003) The extracellular Phr peptide-Rap phosphatase signaling circuit of *Bacillus subtilis. Frontiers in Bioscience*, **8**, d32–d45.

- Powers, M.J., Sanabria-Valentin, E., Bowers, A.A. and Shank, E.A. (2015) Inhibition of cell differentiation in *Bacillus subtilis* by *Pseudomonas protegens. Journal of Bacteriology*, **197**, 2129–2138.
- Prindle, A., Liu, J., Asally, M., Ly, S., Garcia-Ojalvo, J. and Suel, G.M. (2015) Ion channels enable electrical communication in bacterial communities. *Nature*, **527**, 59–63.
- Rainey, P.B. and Rainey, K. (2003) Evolution of cooperation and conflict in experimental bacterial populations. *Nature*, 425, 72–74.
- Redfield, R.J. (2002) Is quorum sensing a side effect of diffusion sensing? *Trends in Microbiology*, **10**, 365–370.
- Roggiani, M. and Dubnau, D. (1993) ComA, a phosphorylated response regulator protein of *Bacillus subtilis*, binds to the promoter region of *srfA*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **175**, 3182–3187.
- Roggiani, M., Hahn, J. and Dubnau, D. (1990) Suppression of early competence mutations in *Bacillus subtilis* by mec mutations. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **172**, 4056–4063.
- Rosch, T.C. and Graumann, P.L. (2015) Induction of plasmid conjugation in *Bacillus subtilis* is bistable and driven by a direct interaction of a Rap/Phr quorum-sensing system with a master repressor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **290**, 20221–20232.
- Rosenthal, A.Z., Qi, Y.T., Hormoz, S., Park, J., Li, S.H.J. and Elowitz, M.B. (2018) Metabolic interactions between dynamic bacterial subpopulations. *eLife*, 7.
- Sanchez-Vizuete, P., Le Coq, D., Bridier, A., Herry, J.-M., Aymerich, S. and Briandet, R. (2015) Identification of *ypqP* as a New *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm determinant that mediates the protection of *Staphylococcus aureus* against antimicrobial agents in mixed-species communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **81**, 109–118.
- Sandoz, K.M., Mitzimberg, S.M. and Schuster, M. (2007) Social cheating in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum sensing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **104**, 15876–15881.
- Schuster, M., Sexton, D.J., Diggle, S.P. and Greenberg, E.P. (2013) Acyl-homoserine lactone quorum sensing: from evolution to application. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **67**, 43–63.
- Shafikhani, S.H., Mandic-Mulec, I., Strauch, M.A., Smith, I. and Leighton, T. (2002) Postexponential regulation of sin operon expression in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**, 564–571.
- Shank, E.A., Klepac-Ceraj, V., Collado-Torres, L., Powers, G.E., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2011) Interspecies interactions that result in *Bacillus subtilis* forming biofilms are mediated mainly by members of its own genus. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, **108**, E1236–E1243.
- Singh, P.K., Ramachandran, G., Ramos-Ruiz, R., Peiro-Pastor, R., Abia, D., Wu, L.J. *et al.* (2013) Mobility of the native *Bacillus subtilis* conjugative plasmid pLS20 is regulated by intercellular signaling. *PLoS Genetics*, 9, e1003892.
- Smith, A.C., Rice, A., Sutton, B., Gabrilska, R., Wessel, A.K., Whiteley, M. *et al.* (2017) Albumin inhibits pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum sensing and alters polymicrobial interactions. *Infection and Immunity*, **85**(9).
- Solomon, J.M., Lazazzera, B.A. and Grossman, A.D. (1996) Purification and characterization of an extracellular
- © 2018 The Authors Molecular Microbiology Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 0, 1–16

peptide factor that affects two different developmental pathways in *Bacillus subtilis. Genes & Development*, **10**, 2014–2024.

- Spacapan, M., Danevcic, T. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2018) ComX-induced exoproteases degrade ComX in *Bacillus* subtilis PS-216. Frontiers in Microbiology, 9, 105.
- Stanley, N.R. and Lazazzera, B.A. (2005) Defining the genetic differences between wild and domestic strains of *Bacillus subtilis* that affect poly-gamma-dl-glutamic acid production and biofilm formation. *Molecular Microbiology*, 57, 1143–1158.
- Stefanic, P., Decorosi, F., Viti, C., Petito, J., Cohan, F.M. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2012) The quorum sensing diversity within and between ecotypes of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Environmental Microbiology*, **14**, 1378–1389.
- Stefanic, P., Kraigher, B., Lyons, N.A., Kolter, R. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2015) Kin discrimination between sympatric *Bacillus subtilis* isolates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**, 14042–14047.
- Stefanic, P. and Mandic-Mulec, I. (2009) Social interactions and distribution of *Bacillus subtilis* pherotypes at microscale. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **191**, 1756–1764.
- Stempler, O., Baidya, A.K., Bhattacharya, S., Mohan, G.B.M., Tzipilevich, E., Sinai, L. *et al.* (2017) Interspecies nutrient extraction and toxin delivery between bacteria. *Nature Communications*, 8(1).
- Stoodley, P., Sauer, K., Davies, D.G. and Costerton, J.W. (2002) Biofilms as complex differentiated communities. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **56**, 187–209.
- Strassmann, J.E., Gilbert, O.M. and Queller, D.C. (2011) Kin discrimination and cooperation in microbes. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **65**, 349–367.
- Tortosa, P., Logsdon, L., Kraigher, B., Itoh, Y., Mandic-Mulec, I. and Dubnau, D. (2001) Specificity and genetic polymorphism of the Bacillus competence quorum-sensing system. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **183**, 451–460.
- Tran, L.S., Nagai, T. and Itoh, Y. (2000) Divergent structure of the ComQXPA quorum-sensing components: molecular basis of strain-specific communication mechanism in *Bacillus subtilis. Molecular Microbiology*, **37**, 1159–1171.
- van Gestel, J., Weissing, F.J., Kuipers, O.P. and Kovacs, A.T. (2014) Density of founder cells affects spatial pattern

formation and cooperation in *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms. *The ISME Journal*, **8**, 2069–2079.

- Verhamme, D.T., Kiley, T.B. and Stanley-Wall, N.R. (2007) DegU co-ordinates multicellular behaviour exhibited by *Bacillus subtilis. Molecular Microbiology*, **65**, 554–568.
- Vlamakis, H., Aguilar, C., Losick, R. and Kolter, R. (2008) Control of cell fate by the formation of an architecturally complex bacterial community. *Genes & Development*, 22, 945–953.
- Wall, D. (2016) Kin recognition in bacteria. Annual Review of Microbiology, 70, 143–160.
- Weinrauch, Y., Penchev, R., Dubnau, E., Smith, I. and Dubnau, D. (1990) A *Bacillus subtilis* regulatory gene product for genetic competence and sporulation resembles sensor protein members of the bacterial two-component signal-transduction systems. *Genes & Development*, 4, 860–872.
- West, S.A., Griffin, A.S. and Gardner, A. (2007) Evolutionary explanations for cooperation. *Current Biology*, **17**, R661–R672.
- West, S.A., Griffin, A.S., Gardner, A. and Diggle, S.P. (2006) Social evolution theory for microorganisms. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 4, 597–607.
- Wolf, D., Rippa, V., Mobarec, J.C., Sauer, P., Adlung, L., Kolb, P. and Bischofs, I.B. (2016) The quorum-sensing regulator ComA from *Bacillus subtilis* activates transcription using topologically distinct DNA motifs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44, 2160–2172.
- Wu, X.C., Lee, W., Tran, L. and Wong, S.L. (1991) Engineering a *Bacillus subtilis* expression-secretion system with a strain deficient in six extracellular proteases. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **173**, 4952–4958.
- Yang, Y., Wu, H.J., Lin, L., Zhu, Q.Q., Borriss, R. and Gao, X.W. (2015) A plasmid-born Rap-Phr system regulates surfactin production, sporulation and genetic competence in the heterologous host, *Bacillus subtilis* OKB105. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **99**, 7241–7252.
- Yu, Y., Yan, F., Chen, Y., Jin, C., Guo, J.H. and Chai, Y. (2016) Poly-gamma-glutamic acids contribute to biofilm formation and plant root colonization in selected environmental isolates of *Bacillus subtilis. Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1811.