



University of Dundee

# A potato STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY member, StLRPK1, associates with StSERK3A/BAK1 and activates immunity

Wang, Haixia; Chen, Yanlin; Wu, Xingtong; Long, Zongshang; Sun, Chunlian; Wang, Hairong; Wang, Shumei; Rj Birch, Paul; Tian, Zhendong

Published in: Journal of Experimental Botany

DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ery310

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

*Citation for published version (APA):* Wang, H., Chen, Y., Wu, X., Long, Z., Sun, C., Wang, H., ... Tian, Z. (2018). A potato STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY member, StLRPK1, associates with StSERK3A/BAK1 and activates immunity. Journal of Experimental Botany, 69(22), 5573-5586. [ery310]. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery310

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# A potato STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY member, StLRPK1, associates with StSERK3A/BAK1 and activates immunity



# Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/69/22/5573/5077529 by Library - Duncan of Jordanstone user on 28 November 2018

Haixia Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Yanlin Chen<sup>1</sup>, Xingtong Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Zongshang Long<sup>1</sup>, Chunlian Sun<sup>1</sup>, Hairong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Shumei Wang<sup>3</sup>, Paul R.J. Birch<sup>3</sup>, and Zhendong Tian<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Potato Biology and Biotechnology, Ministry of Agriculture, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

<sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology, Ministry of Education, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

<sup>3</sup> Division of Plant Sciences, School of Life Science, University of Dundee, James Hutton Institute, Errol Road, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, UK

\* Correspondence: tianzhd@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Received 24 March 2018; Editorial decision 15 August 2018; Accepted 16 August 2018

Editor: Steven Spoel, University of Edinburgh, UK

# Abstract

Plant *STRUBBELIG (SUB)-RECEPTOR FAMILY (SRF)* genes encode putative leucine-rich repeat transmembrane receptor-like kinases. SRFs have been reported to play essential roles in tissue morphogenesis in many plant organs. Here, we show that a potato *SRF* family gene, *StLRPK1*, is involved in plant immunity. StLRPK1 is located at the cell plasma membrane and is strongly induced by culture filtrate from *in vitro* growth of the late blight pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. Overexpression of *StLRPK1* in stable transgenic potato or ectopic expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants enhances *P. infestans* disease resistance, whereas RNA interference (RNAi) of *StLRPK1* in potato decreases disease resistance. We found that StLRPK1 constitutively interacts with a pivotal co-receptor, SERK3A/BAK1, which plays a central role in plant immunity. Virus-induced gene silencing of *SERK3A/BAK1* in *N. benthamiana* lines expressing *StLRPK1* attenuated *P. infestans* resistance, indicating that SERK3A/BAK1 is required for *StLRPK1*-mediated immunity. Finally, we show that StLRPK1-triggered late blight resistance depends on the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase MEK2 and mitogen-activated protein kinase WIPK. We propose a model in which StLRPK1 associates with SERK3A/BAK1 to positively regulate plant immunity to *P. infestans* through a MAPK cascade. These data provide new insights into our understanding of SRF function in plant immunity.

**Keywords:** Late blight, MAPK cascade, PAMP-triggered immunity, receptor-like kinases, StLRPK1, STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY.

# Introduction

During their lifespan, plants must adapt to their environment, and so require mechanisms for sensing their surroundings and responding appropriately (Belkhadir *et al.*, 2014; Wolf, 2017). Living organisms sense and conduct signals through cell surface receptors. In plants, signal transduction is often initiated by receptor-like kinases (RLKs). The largest group of plant RLKs Ais the leucine-rich repeat RLK family (LRR-RLK) (Liu *et al.*, 2017). An expanded family of more than 200 LRR-RLKs has been reported in Arabidopsis (Shiu and Bleecker, 2001). Based on the phylogenetic relationships of kinase domains and the arrangements of LRR motifs, LRR-RLK proteins were classified into 15 groups in Arabidopsis (Shiu

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and Bleecker, 2001). Recently, plant LRR-RLKs have been expanded to 19 subfamilies (Liu *et al.*, 2017). LRR-RLKs are the largest group of RLKs in plants and play crucial roles in many processes during a plant's life cycle, development, physiology, and immunity (Belkhadir *et al.*, 2014).

Plants have evolved a wide range of cell surface-resident RLKs and receptor-like proteins (RLPs) that detect conserved microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), leading to the activation of pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) FLAGELLIN-SENSING 2 (FLS2) and ELONGATION FACTOR-TU (EF-Tu) RECEPTOR (EFR) perceive bacterial flagellin and EF-Tu, respectively (Zipfel et al., 2006; Chinchilla et al., 2007). Pep is perceived by the Arabidopsis PEPR1/2 (Yamaguchi et al., 2010), whereas chitin is perceived by Arabidopsis LysM-RK LYK5 and rice LysM-RP CEBiP (CHITIN OLIGOSACCHARIDE ELICITOR BINDING PROTEIN) (Kaku et al., 2006; Shimizu et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2014). RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN 23 (RLP23) perceives secreted NECROSIS-AND ETHYLENE-INDUCING PEPTIDE 1 (NEP1)-LIKE PROTEINS (NLPs) from various plant-associated microorganisms (Albert et al., 2015). The csp22 peptide derived from bacterial cold shock protein is perceived by the tomato LRR-RK CORE and tobacco LRR-RP NbCSPR (Saur et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). The oomycete Phytophthora elicitin INF1 is recognized by potato ELICITIN RESPONSE (ELR) (Du et al., 2015). After ligands have been recognized by bona fide LRR-RLK receptors, the signaling pathways activated by RLKs and RLPs often require a small set of co-receptors, called somatic embryogenesis receptor kinases (SERKs), often complexed via ligand-induced heterodimerization and transphosphorylation (Chinchilla et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2017). The plant LRR-RLK BRI1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE 1 (BAK1), also known as SERK3A/BAK1, has been identified as a co-receptor in diverse signaling receptorsomes, such as the brassinosteroid receptor BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1 (BRI1) and the immune PRRs FLS2, EFR, and ELR (Nam and Li, 2002; Zipfel et al., 2006; Chinchilla et al., 2007; Du et al., 2015).

In Arabidopsis, the monophyletic LRR-V family of RLKs consists of nine different genes, one of which is STRUBBELIG (SUB). The LRR-V family has been called the STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY (SRF); it includes SUB (SRF9) and the family members SRF1 to SRF8 (Eyüboglu et al., 2007; http://www.arabidopsis.org/browse/genefamily/lrrv.jsp). SRFs contain a signal peptide, a SUB domain (an amino-terminal region of about 59 residues that is conserved in SRF members), six LRRs, a proline-rich region, a transmembrane domain, and a putative C-terminal cytoplasmic kinase domain (Chevalier et al., 2005; Vaddepalli et al., 2011). Biochemical and genetic data indicate that, although the kinase domain is essential for SUB function, enzymatic phosphotransferase activity is not (Vaddepalli et al., 2011). Thus, SUB is likely a so-called enzymatically inactive kinase. Global gene expression analysis has shown that several Arabidopsis SRF transcripts are present in a broad pattern, including cauline leaves, flowers, siliques, stems, roots, and seedlings (Eyüboglu et al., 2007). SRF4 and SRF5 show highly pronounced expression in mature pollen.

SUB (SFR9) displays increased expression levels in shoot apices at the bolting stage. SRF1 and SRF3 expression profiles show inductions or repressions in experiments investigating programmed cell death, tumor development, control of lignification, and pectin biosynthesis. SRF6 was strongly induced in plants exposed for a prolonged time (3 h) to heat stress and infections, with fungi inducing arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. SRF7 and SRF8 showed prominent expression in experiments analyzing the effects of sulfate limitation on transcription. Both SRF6 and SRF7 showed elevated transcript levels in experiments involving brassinosteroid treatments (Eyüboglu et al., 2007). This indicates that an increase in SRF transcripts occurs in response to many environmental stimuli. In Arabidopsis, SUB is essential for several developmental processes, including the formation of carpels, petals, ovules, and root hair patterning. The mutant sub phenotype suggests that SUB affects the formation and shape of several organs by influencing cell morphogenesis, the orientation of the division plane, and cell proliferation. Ovules of sub mutants show frequent defects in the initiation and outgrowth of the outer integument. sub mutants exhibit twisted stems, petals, and carpels/siliques. In addition, sub mutations lead to a randomization of root hair patterning (Chevalier et al., 2005; Kwak et al., 2005; Yadav et al., 2008; Fulton et al., 2009). SUB also controls stem and floral organ shape (Chevalier et al., 2005; Fulton et al., 2009; Vaddepalli et al., 2011). In addition, SRF4 plays a role in the regulation of leaf size; SRF4 or SRF7 were proposed to be involved in male sterility; SRF7 may be involved in primary cell wall biosynthesis; and SRF8 may contribute to sterol biosynthesis (Eyüboglu et al., 2007). SRF3 has been reported to play a role in genetic incompatibility in Arabidopsis, a phenotype linked closely to an RPP1-mediated plant pathogen immune response (Alcázar et al., 2010).

Although there are SRF ortholog genes in the genomes of other plant species, little is known about the functions of such receptors. Previously, we isolated a potato receptor-like kinases gene, StLRPK1, which was induced during infection by the oomycete Phytophthora infestans, the causal agent of potato late blight (Wu et al., 2009). StLRPK1 shares conserved domains with Arabidopsis SRFs. Here, we provide further insight into the role of StLRPK1 in plant immunity. StLRPK1 was located at the cell plasma membrane and it was strongly induced by P. infestans culture filtrate (CF), which could be regarded as a cocktail of Phytophthora MAMPs that induce PTI in Solanaceae (McLellan et al., 2013). The overexpression of StLRPK1 in transgenic potato or ectopic expression in Nicotiana benthamiana strongly enhanced resistance to *P. infestans*, indicating that StLRPK1 is a positive regulator of immunity to P. infestans in potato and N. benthamiana. Moreover, we confirmed that StLRPK1 interacts with a pivotal co-receptor, SERK3A/ BAK1, during immunity and that this is essential for StLRPK1mediated resistance in N. benthamiana. Finally, we provide evidence that StLRPK1-triggered resistance to Phytophthora is dependent on the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MAP2K) MEK2 and the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) WIPK. We propose a model in which StLRPK1 associates with SERK3A/BAK1 to positively regulate plant innate immunity to P. infestans through a MAPK cascade. To

# Materials and methods

### Constructs

The coding region of StLRPK1 was digested from the pMD18-T-StL-RPK1 construct by using HindIII/BamHI enzymes and inserted into pBI121. For the RNAi vector, a non-conserved fragment of StLRPK1 was amplified by the attB1-StLRPK1-RNAi-F and attB2-StLRPK1-RNAi-R primers (see Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online) and recombined into the entry vector pDONR201 using BP clonase (Invitrogen), followed by recombination into pHellsgate8 using LR clonase (Invitrogen). pBI121-StLRPK1 and pHellsgate8-StLRPK1 vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 by electroporation and cultured on YEB medium containing appropriate antibiotics. StLRPK1-GFP, StSERK3A-cMyc, and NbSERK3A-cMyc were cloned from potato and N. benthamiana by PCR with gene specific primers (Supplementary Table S1) modified to contain the Gateway (Invitrogen) attB recombination sites. The PCR products were recombined into pDONR201 (Invitrogen) to generate entry clones, followed by recombination into pK7FGWT7, PGWB17, and PGWB20 (Nakagawa et al., 2007), respectively, by using LR clonase (Invitrogen), Vectors were then transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. cMvc-StBSL1-PGWB18 was described by Saunders et al (2012).

### Confocal microscopy

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 containing the fusion protein constructs was grown overnight in YEB medium containing selective antibiotics at 28 °C, pelleted, resuspended in infiltration buffer [10 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 200 mM acetosyringone], and pressure infiltrated into leaves of 4-weekold N. benthamiana or transgenic N. benthamiana line CB173 (expressing a plasma membrane marker gene mOrang-Lti6; Wang et al., 2017). StLRPK1-GFP and mRFP (cytoplasmic marker) were also co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves. Fluorescence was observed at 2 days post-infiltration (dpi) using a Nikon A1R confocal microscope and water dipping lenses. GFP fluorescence was observed with excitation at 488 nm and emissions were collected between 500 and 530 nm. Imaging of mOrange and mRFP fluorescent proteins was performed using excitation at 561 nm and emissions were collected between 600 and 630 nm. Image processing for the figures was conducted with Adobe Photoshop CS5 and Adobe Illustrator CS6.

### Plant transformation and growth conditions

Agrobacterium tumefaciens containing the overexpression vector pBI-35S-StLRPK1 and pHellsgate8-StLRPK1 was transformed into a Chinese potato cultivar, 'E-potato-3' (E3), by microtuber disc transformation, as described by Tian *et al.* (2015). Transgenic plants were selected on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing kanamycin and confirmed by PCR with the gene-specific primers of *NPTII*. The expression level of the transgene was analyzed by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) (primers are shown in Supplementary Table S1). The plantlets were maintained and propagated by growing single nodes on MS medium in growth chambers at 22 °C with a 16 h photoperiod. For *N. benthamiana*, leaf discs were used as explants for transformation using *A. tumefaciens* containing pBI121-35S: *StLRPK1*. All other conditions were the same as for potato transformation. Selfpollinated seeds from transgenic plants were collected for further use.

Transgenic and E3 potato plantlets were propagated on MS medium supplemented with 4% sucrose and 0.7% agar, and raised in a climate room under controlled conditions (16/8 h light/dark cycle at 22 °C). Four-week-old transgenic potato lines were transferred and grown in individual pots with general-purpose compost in the greenhouse under normal conditions. Seven-week-old potato plants were used for *P. infestans* inoculation. Homozygous transgenic *N. benthamiana* seeds were collected and sown in general-purpose compost; 2-week-old seed-lings were transplanted into individual pots and were grown in a growth chamber with a 16/8 h light/dark cycle at 22-24 °C and 70% humidity. *N. benthamiana* plants at 5–6 weeks old were used for agroinfiltration and *P. infestans* inoculation.

### Culture filtrate and flg22 treatment

*Phytophthora infestans* CF was prepared by the inoculation of sterile Plich media (0.5 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.25 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 1 g asparagine, 1 mg thiamine, 0.5 g yeast extract, 10 mg β-sitosterol, 25 g glucose) with *P. infestans* strain 88069 for *N. benthamiana* treatment, or with mixture of the isolates HB09-14-2 and HB09-21 for potato treatment. Inoculated media were incubated in darkness at room temperature for 2–3 weeks before being centrifuged to remove mycelium. The synthetic 22-amino-acid flg22 peptide (QRLSSGLRINSAKDDAAGLAIS) was dissolved at a concentration of 40 µM in sterile distilled water before infiltration of leaves in the same manner. CF or flg22 solution was pressure infiltrated into leaves using a 1 ml plastic syringe (without a needle) until the liquid spread dimeter reached ~1.5 cm. The treated leaves were collected at 0, 0.5, 1, 3, and 6 h for RNA extraction.

### P. infestans inoculation and determination of biomass

Phytophthora infestans isolates HB09-14-2 and HB09-21 (Tian et al., 2015), with different pathogenicity, were used for inoculating potato leaves. P. infestans strain 88069 was used for inoculation of N. benthamiana plants. P. infestans isolates were routinely grown on rye agar medium supplemented with 2% sucrose at 18 °C in the dark. P. infestans sporangia were collected as described by Champouret et al. (2009). Sporangia were quantified using a hemocytometer, and the inoculation concentration was adjusted to  $1 \times 10^5$  sporangia ml<sup>-1</sup> for potato plants and  $8 \times 10^4$ sporangia ml-1 for N. benthamiana plants. Droplets (10 µl) were inoculated on to the abaxial side of detached leaves, which were stored on moist tissue in sealed boxes. Lesions were measured at 5 dpi for transgenic potato lines and 6 dpi for transgenic N. benthamiana plants. Biomass assays were performed as described previously (Tian et al., 2015). qRT-PCR was applied to determine the growth of *P. infestans* on potato leaves; P. infestans-specific primers used for amplification and detection are shown in Supplementary Table S1. P. infestans hyphae on infected potato leaves were stained with trypan blue as described by He et al. (2015).

### Co-immunoprecipitation and western blot

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 containing the fusion protein constructs was infiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves. Four leaf discs for each sample were collected at 48 h post-infiltration and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Protein extraction was carried out by incubating ground leaf tissue samples in 400 µl extraction buffer (10% glycerol, 25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 0.15% Nonidet P40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, protease inhibitors with 1 mM EDTA) on ice for 0.5 h, followed by centrifugation at 12470 g at 4 °C for 10 min. A 40 µl aliquot of supernatant was removed and 40 µl 2×SDS sample loading buffer was added. The protein samples were boiled at 95 °C for 10 min for Western blots. Then, 20 µl of equilibrated GFP-Trap beads was incubated in the remaining supernatant at 4 °C for 2 h with constant mixing in a tube rotator. The GFP beads were magnetically separated and then washed with 500 µl of washing buffer (10% glycerol, 25 mM Tris, pH7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, protease inhibitors with 1 mM EDTA) three times. GFP beads were eluted with 50 µl 2×SDS sample loading buffer and the eluate was incubated at 95 °C for 10 min. Protein samples were separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The membranes were blocked in 4% milk in 1×PBS with 0.1% Tween (1×PBST) by shaking for 1 h at room temperature and were then incubated overnight with a polyclonal GFP or cMyc antibody (MBL, Nagoya, Japan) at 1:2000 and in 4% milk 1×PBST. A secondary

# 5576 | Wang et al.

incubation with anti-mouse IgGHRP (MBL, Nagoya, Japan) at 1:5  $000\,$  was carried out for 1 h.

### Virus-induced gene silencing in N. benthamiana

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) was performed by using a tobacco rattle virus (TRV) vector (Liu *et al.*, 2002). A TRV construct expressing GFP was used as a control TRV-SERK3A/BAK1 construct, as described previously (Heese *et al.*, 2007). Primers for TRV2-*NbSERK3A/BAK1*, TRV2-*NbMEK1*, TRV2-*NbMEK2*, and TRV2-*NbWIPK* are shown in Supplementary Table S1. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 containing a mixture of TRV1 and each TRV2-gene construct at OD<sub>600</sub>=0.5 were infiltrated into the upper leaves of four-leaf-stage *N. benthamiana* plants. Systemic leaves were detached and analyzed by qRT-PCR for silencing efficiency, and used for *P. infestans* colonization assays 2–3 weeks later as described previously by McLellan *et al.* (2013).

### Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted from plant tissue by using the EASY spin plant RNA extraction kit (Aidlab, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, including the on-column DNase treatment. RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cDNA synthesized using Hiscript Reverse Transcriptase (Vazyme, Nanjin, China) and oligo(dT) primers (Vazyme). qRT-PCR was performed using Bio-Rad SYBR Green Supermix, and PCR parameters were as follows: 95 °C for 5 min (first cycle); 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, 58 °C for 10 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; and a final cycle of 72 °C for 5 min. PCRs were performed in triplicate with a Bio-Rad CFX Connect<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Gene expression levels were calculated by a comparative ΔΔCt method as described in the manufacturer's instructions for the CFX Connect<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time Detection System. All primers, including internal controls used for measurement of transcript abundance, are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

# **Results and discussion**

# StLRPK1 belongs to the STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY (SRF) subfamily

RLKs are a prominent class of cell surface receptors that regulate many aspects of plant development, hormone signaling, and immunity (Antolín-Llovera *et al.*, 2012). We previously isolated a receptor-like kinase gene, *StLRPK1*. StLRPK1 protein shares conserved domains with SRF members in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Wu *et al.*, 2009). Here, we compared StLRPK1 with the Arabidopsis SRF1 and SRF3 in detail. StLRPK1 contains all the typical conserved domains of SRFs (Fig. 1A, B). We compared putative SRF kinase domains with the potato StSERK3A/ BAK1 kinase domain and found that they share low similarity. Interestingly, two typical His-x-Asp (HxD) and Asp-Phe-Gly (DFG) motifs found in active kinases (Zhang *et al.*, 2015) were replaced by HRN and DCG in the three SRF kinase domains (Fig. 1A). This indicates that StLRPK1 is likely an enzymatically inactive kinase. This is something that will be tested in the future.

Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the fulllength amino acid sequence of the nine SRF members from Arabidopsis (SRF1 to SRF9) and StLRPK1. This showed that StLRPK1 is more closely related to SRF3 (AY518288) of the Arabidopsis SRF family (Fig. 1C). StLRPK1 shares high identity with predicted solanaceous SRF3-like proteins from tomato [XP\_010323714.1, *Solanum lycopersicum* 758/796 (95%)], *Capsicum annuum* [XP\_016580745.1, 716/796 (90%)], and Nicotiana tomentosiformis [XP\_009625937.1, 680/797 (85%)]. In contrast, StLRPK1 shares only 76% (605/800) identity with a potato predicted SRF3 isoform X1 (XP\_006350044.1) and 74% (595/800) identity with a tomato predicted SRF3 isoform X1 (XP\_004251794.1), reflecting the possibility that SRF3 and SRF3-like proteins perform different functions in Solanaceae species. The alignment and conservation of seven SRF3-like protein sequences along with a predicted potato SRF3 isoform X1 protein from Solanaceae species are high-lighted in Supplementary Fig. S1.

# StLRPK1 responds to oomycete MAMP treatment and the protein localizes to the plasma membrane

Wu et al. (2009) showed that StLRPK1 was up-regulated in response to P. infestans. In this study, we tested whether StLRPK1 was induced by either the bacterial MAMP flg22 or by P. infestans CF, which likely contains several Phytophthora MAMPs that induce PTI marker genes in potato (McLellan et al., 2013). As shown in Fig. 2A, StLRPK1 transcript abundance increased strongly in response to CF, but did not change with flg22 treatment, as compared with their corresponding controls (P. infestans liquid medium and H2O treatment, respectively) in the time-course of the experiment; this indicates that StLRPK1 is involved in specific immune responses. To examine whether the predicted potato SRF3 isoform X1 (XP\_006350044.1), which shares only 76% identity with StLRPK1, could be induced by CF, the expression levels were tested 1, 3, and 6 h after CF treatment. It was found that, unlike StLRPK1, the potato SRF3 isoform does not respond to CF, indicating that it is differentially expressed and therefore potentially has a different function (Supplementary Fig. S2). Alcázar et al. (2010) showed that SRF3 allelic variants were localized to the plasma membrane when transiently expressed in N. benthamiana. As a candidate SRF3-like homolog, StLRPK1 contains a transmembrane domain. To test whether StLRPK1 localizes to the plasma membrane, GFP was fused to the C-terminus of StLRPK1 to form StLRPK1-GFP. Transient expression of StLRPK1-GFP in transgenic N. benthamiana (carrying the plasma membrane marker mOrange-LTi6) showed clear colocalization with mOrange-LTi6 when examined by using confocal microscopy. In contrast, StLRPK1-GFP did not colocalize with free mRFP (cytoplasmic marker) in N. benthamiana (Fig. 2B). This supports the hypothesis that StLRPK1 may act at the level of MAMP recognition or signaling.

### Phenotypes of transgenic potato plants with altered StLRPK1 expression

To investigate the function of StLRPK1, we made two constructs, pBI121-StLRPK1 and pHellsgate8-StLRPK1. The pBI121-StLRPK1 construct harbored the full-length gene of StLRPK1 under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. The pHellsgate8-StLRPK1 construct contained two inverted repeats of the partial StLRPK1 gene and was used for downregulating StLRPK1 expression by RNAi. Overexpression and RNAi constructs were transferred into the susceptible Chinese potato variety E3 via A. tumefaciens-mediated stable



**Fig. 1.** Protein alignment of StLRPK1 with Arabidopsis SRF family protein sequences. (A) Alignment of the amino acid sequences of SRF full-length proteins and the StSERK3A kinase domain. Full conservation across the kinase domain alignment is marked by black columns and partial conservation by gray columns. The predicted signal peptide sequences and the kinase subdomain are underlined with thick pink and red lines, respectively. The SUB domains, the transmembrane domains, and the proline-rich regions are marked with black, yellow and blue rectangles, respectively. The six LRRs are marked with green lines above each LRR region. Two red rectangles indicate the regions containing two typical HxD and DFG motifs in active kinases, corresponding to the VI and VIIa subdomains of the StSERK3A/BAK1 kinase domain. (B) Schematic representation of the StLRPK1 structure. LRR, leucine-rich repeat; PRR, proline-rich region; SP, signal peptide; SUB, SUB domain; TM, transmembrane domain. (C) Phylogenetic tree of the SRF family. A maximum likelihood tree obtained using the amino acid sequences of the potato StLRPK1 and Arabidopsis SRF members as input. The branch support values are indicated. The protein GenBank accession numbers for alignments are as follows: StLRPK1 (EU049848), Arabidopsis SRF1 (AY518286), SRF2 (AY518287), SRF3 (AY518288), SRF4 (AY518289), SRF5 (AY518290), SRF6 (AY518291), SRF7 (AY518292), SRF8 (AY518293), SRF9 (AF399923, SUB), and StSERK3A/BAK1 (AGT21432.1).

transformation. In total, eight transgenic overexpression lines and three RNAi lines were produced. The transcript level in overexpression lines ranged from 4- to 15-fold higher than the level in control plants, while only  $\sim$ 10% of the wild-type transcript level was detected in the RNAi plants (Fig. 3A).

The *in vitro* plantlets of overexpression lines and RNAi lines showed no obvious phenotypic differences. The phenotypes of

the field-grown plants were further observed; again, most overexpression lines and the three RNAi lines showed no obvious phenotypic changes compared with wild-type E3 during the growing season. The height, leaves, and tubers of transgenic plants of most lines did not differ from the wild type (Fig. 3B), indicating that, unlike many characterized SRF family members, StLRPK1 may not be involved in development. However,



**Fig. 2.** *StLRPK1* transcript abundance in response to flg22 and CF, and the localization of StLRPK1-GFP. (A) Expression of *StLRPK1* in response to flg22 and *P. infestans* culture filtrate (CF) treatment. Leaves on intact potato plants were pressure infiltrated with *P. infestans* CF or medium as a control, or flg22 or double-distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) as a control. Treated leaves were collected at 0, 0.5, 1, 3, and 6 h. qRT-PCR was performed to test the gene expression level. Data represent three biological replicates. (B) Representative confocal images of StLRPK1-GFP localization. Transient expression of StLRPK1-GFP in transgenic *N. benthamiana* expressing the plasma membrane (PM) marker mOrange-LTi6 or co-expression of StLRPK1-GFP with the cytoplasmic marker mRFP. From left to right: the green channel (StLRPK1-GFP), the merged channel, and the orange channel (mOrange-Lti6) or red channel (mRFP). The plots of fluorescence profiles (to the right of the confocal images), represented by the white arrows in the two merged images, indicate clear co-localization of StLRPK1-GFP with the PM marker but no co-localization with free mRFP. Scale bars=10 µm. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

the two highest-level overexpression lines, OE-5 and OE-16, showed retarded growth and a dwarf phenotype. In addition, a cup-shaped single leaf grew on the stem of line OE-5, rather than a compound leaf (Fig. 3C). In contrast, a compound leaf was formed on the OE-16 line, although the size of the leaflets was smaller than that on the wild-type control. It is likely that high overexpression of StLRPK1, as observed in the OE-5 and OE16 lines, led to 'off-target' regulatory effects from the excessive protein levels.

# *StLRPK1 positively regulates* P. infestans *resistance in potato and* N. benthamiana

As reported by Wu et al. (2009), StLRPK1 is induced in potato leaves by *P. infestans*. In this study, we found that StLRPK1 was induced by *P. infestans* CF, which likely contains several oomycete MAMPs. This prompted us to investigate whether *StLRPK1* contributes to late blight resistance in potato.

Two different *P. infestans* isolates were used to evaluate the resistance of transgenic lines. In each line, *P. infestans* colonization was measured as lesion size; disease lesions are strikingly apparent with trypan blue staining of the (Fig. 4A). Compared with the untransformed cultivar E3 and another transgenic line transformed with a 35S:GUS construct (EV), the lesion areas of three RNAi lines (4, 6 and 10) were significantly (P<0.01, one way ANOVA) larger than those in the controls. In contrast, the disease lesion areas in six overexpression lines were significantly smaller than those of the controls, apart from OE-15, where the lesion area caused by one of the *P. infestans* isolates was not significantly different from that in the two controls (Fig. 4B). qRT-PCR was performed to evaluate the biomass of *P. infestans* during pathogen colonization. The results showed that



**Fig. 3.** Representative phenotypes of *StLRPK1* overexpression (OE) and RNAi potato lines. (A) Target gene expression level in transgenic potato OE and RNAi lines. qRT-PCR was performed in three biological replicates. Statistical analysis was carried out using ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Lower case letters denote statistically significant differences relative to the non-transgenic control line 'E-potato-3' (E3) (*P*<0.05). (B) Phenotype of 6-week-old *StLRPK1* OE and RNAi transgenic potato lines, E3 non-transgenic control plants, and EV (E3 35S: GUS-transgenic) control plants. (C) Abnormal leaf shape of two OE lines. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

*P. infestans* mycelium biomass at inoculated sites was lower in a selected overexpression line and higher in a selected RNAi line compared with the E3 and EV controls after 3 dpi (Fig. 4C). In conclusion, overexpression lines showed smaller disease lesions and lower pathogen biomass, suggesting that StLRPK1 may positively regulate late blight resistance in potato.

Nicotiana benthamiana, as a model host plant for *P. infestans*, has been extensively used to investigate pathogen and host gene functions in *P. infestans*-plant interactions (McLellan *et al.*, 2013; Whisson *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2017). Transgenic *N. benthamiana* plants ectopically overexpressing *StLRPK1*  were obtained. Two independent homozygous 35S: StLRPK1 lines were used further to test late blight resistance. Purified lines came from self-pollination and were confirmed by kanamycin resistance selection and PCR tests (Supplementary Fig. 3). We found that ectopically overexpressing StLRPK1 in N. benthamiana enhanced late blight resistance, as indicated by significantly (P<0.001, based on ANOVA) smaller disease lesion diameters and lower infection percentage (i.e. the percentage of inoculated leaves forming an infection lesion) compared with that of untransformed N. benthamiana plants (Fig. 5). Taken together, overexpressing StLRPK1 in potato



**Fig. 4.** StLRPK1 positively regulates late blight resistance in potato. (A) Representative images of detached leaves of transgenic potato cv. 'E-potato-3' (E3) overexpressing (OE) or RNAi *StLRPK1*, and E3 and EV (35S:GUS transformant) controls infected with *P. infestans* and photographed at 5 dpi. The lower images are of leaves stained with trypan blue. (B) Average *P. infestans* lesion area (mm<sup>2</sup>) at inoculation sites on OE lines, RNAi lines, and E3 and EV controls measured at 5 dpi. Leaves were detached from 8-week-old potato plants and inoculated with suspensions of sporangia of *P. infestans* isolates HB09-21 and HB09-14-2 ( $10^5$  sporangia ml<sup>-1</sup>) separately. The total number of samples per line involved more than 60 leaves combined with three or four replicates from four plants of each line. Values labeled with different letters are statistically different by one-way ANOVA using pairwise multiple comparison procedures with the Holm–Sidak method (*P*<0.05); error bars show ±SD. (C) Representative image of *P. infestans* lesions development (left) and dynamic growth of the pathogen biomass (right) at infected sites on leaves of OE and RNAi lines, and E3 and EV controls, from 1 to 4 dpi. Biomass was calculated at infected sites using qRT-PCR. Error bars represent ±SE. Three biological replicates were performed, each combining five inoculation sites. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

and in *N. benthamiana* reduced *P. infestans* colonization, demonstrating that StLRPK1 positively regulates immunity in these solanaceous plants.

# StLRPK1 interacts with StSERK3A/BAK1 and NbSERK3A/BAK1 in planta

A co-immunoprecipitation assay in N. benthamiana was undertaken to test the potential association of StLRPK1 with the co-receptor StSERK3A/BAK1 in planta. StLRPK1-GFP was co-expressed with cMyc-tagged StSERK3A/BAK1 (StSERK3A/BAK1-cMyc) or with cMyc-tagged StBSL1 (a brassinosteroid phosphatase, as a non-interacting control; Saunders et al., 2012) and then pulled down with GFP-Trap beads. StLRPK1-GFP, StSERK3A/BAK1-cMyc, and cMyc-StBSL1 were all stable when transiently expressed in planta, as indicated in the input samples. Fig. 6A shows that, although all proteins were present in the relevant input samples, StLRPK1-GFP was immunoprecipitated only with StSERK3A/ BAK1-cMyc but not with the cMyc-StBSL1 control. We also confirmed that StLRPK1 interacts with NbSERK3A/ BAK1 in planta (Supplementary Fig. 4). In summary, the coimmunoprecipitation experiments provide evidence that StLRPK1 constitutively associates with StSERK3A/BAK1 and NbSERK3A/BAK1, although further experiments are required to investigate whether this is a direct interaction leading to transphosphorylation.

It has been shown that SERKs usually dimerize with receptors upon perception of the cognate ligand (Han et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2016). SERK3A/BAK1 associates with receptors BRI1 and FLS2 only when they bind to their cognate ligands BR and flg22, respectively (Santiago et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013). The tobacco COLD SHOCK PROTEIN (CSP) RECEPTOR (CSPR) associates with SERK3A/BAK1 upon csp22 treatment (Saur et al., 2016). A similar mechanism of complex formation and activation also extends to the Pep1induced association of PEPR1 with SERK3A/BAK1 (Tang et al., 2015) and IDA-induced association of HAESA with SERK1 (Santiago et al., 2016). The secreted EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR (EPF) ligands induce SERK interactions with ERECTA and the close homolog ERL1 (Meng et al., 2015). However, there are examples of SERKs associating with LRR-RLPs in a ligand-independent manner. The LRR-RLP TOO MANY MOUTHS (TMM) constitutively associates with ERECTA and ERL1 to regulate stomatal patterning (Lee et al., 2012). TMM also associates with SERKs, but in an EPF-independent manner (Meng et al., 2015). In this study, we found that StLRPK1-GFP could immunoprecipitate StSERK3A/BAK1-cMyc in N. benthamiana without CF induction, indicating that the association of StLRPK1 with StSERK3A/BAK1 may occur in a ligand-independent manner (Fig. 6B). Similarly, ELR associates with potato StSERK3A/ BAK1 independently of INF1 treatment (Du et al., 2015). Moreover, Wang et al. (2018) reported that RXEG1 (Nicotiana LRR receptor-like protein that responds to Phytophthora sojae MAMP XEG1) interacts with SERK3A/BAK1 in planta even without XEG1 elicitation. Nevertheless, XEG1 treatment can significantly promote the RXEG1-SERK3A/BAK1

interaction. In the present study, we observed that *StLRPK1* transcripts accumulate in response to *P. infestans* CF. However, CF likely contains many MAMPs (McLellan *et al.*, 2013), and the potential specific ligands/MAMPs required for StLRPK1mediated immunity have not yet been identified. PRRs represent a means by which broad-scale resistance can be enhanced (Tang *et al.*, 2017). However, although some PRRs have been identified that detect bacterial PAMPs, very few have yet been discovered that are responsible for detecting PAMPs from the major pests and pathogens of plants (oomycetes, fungi, nematodes, and insects). Up to now, only the RLP23, detecting NLPs (Albert *et al.*, 2015), and receptor ELR, detecting elicitons (Du *et al.*, 2015), have been shown to detect conserved molecules from oomycete pathogens.

Identification of MAMPs and the PRRs through which they activate immunity remains a major challenge (Ma *et al.*, 2016). To unravel the role of StLRPK1 as a positive regulator of immunity, future work will focus on identifying its potential ligands/MAMPs and the detailed molecular mechanisms of the StLRPK1-StSERK3A/BAK1 association.

## StLRPK1 requires NbSERK3A/BAK1 to inhibit P. infestans colonization

As a common co-receptor, SERK3A/BAK1 is a central regulator of innate immunity in plants via its interactions with other receptors to form the receptor complex for signaling activation. SERK3A/BAK1 is required for ligand-triggered hypersensitive response and resistance. For example, NbSERK3A/ BAK1 is required for *N. benthamiana* resistance to *P. infestans* (Chaparro-Garcia *et al.*, 2011). The LRR-RLP ELR from the wild potato *Solanum microdontum* is a receptor of INF1. ELR is required for the defense responses triggered by INF1, a secreted elicitin from *P. infestans*. INF1-triggered defense responses depend on NbSERK3A/BAK1 in *N. benthamiana* (Du *et al.*, 2015).

As ectopic overexpression of StLRPK1 in N. benthamiana significantly increased resistance to P. infestans (Fig. 5), and StLRPK1 interacts with the StSERK3A/BAK1 (Fig. 6), this prompted us to explore further whether StLRPK1-mediated late blight resistance is dependent on StSERK3A/BAK1. To do this, late blight resistance was measured in EV-GFP and NbSERK3A/BAK1 VIGS plants. Typical phenotypes and qRT-PCR confirmed efficient silencing of NbSERK3A/BAK1 in N. benthamiana plants (Fig. 7A, B). Crucially, in TRV-GFP VIGS plants, we observed that the two selected N. benthamiana StLRPK1 overexpression lines showed significantly smaller lesion diameters compared with those of control plants (Fig. 7C), which correlated with elevated defense levels in N. benthamiana plants. However, no significant differences in lesion diameters were found in the NbSERK3A/BAK1VIGS background plants between StLRPK1 overexpression lines and the control (Fig. 7C), indicating that StLRPK1 was no longer able to reduce P. infestans colonization. This confirmed that NbSERK3A/BAK1 is essential for StLRPK1 to activate a defense response against P. infestans. Overall, this evidence supports a model in which StLRPK1-mediated defense depends on a protein complex containing SERK3A/BAK1.



**Fig. 5.** Ectopic overexpression of *StLRPK1* in *N. benthamiana* enhances late blight resistance. (A) Representative image of *P. infestans* lesions at 6 dpi on leaves of wild-type control *N. benthamiana* and two transgenic homozygous lines ectopically overexpressing *StLRPK1*. The lower photographs were taken under UV light. *P. infestans* isolate 88069 sporangia were used to inoculate leaves. (B, C) Mean *P. infestans* lesion diameter (B) and mean lesion percentage (C) on control and transgenic *N. benthamiana* leaves, measured at 6 dpi (three replicates, 30 leaves per replicate). Values labeled with different letters are statistically different by one-way ANOVA using pairwise multiple comparison procedures with the Holm–Sidak method (P<0.01). Error bars represent ±SD. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)



**Fig. 6.** StLRPK1 interacts with StSERK3A *in planta*. (A) Immunoprecipitation (IP) of protein extracts from agroinfiltrated leaves using GFP-Trap confirmed that GFP-tagged StLRPK1 specifically associated with StSERK3A-cMyc and not with the cMyc-StBSL1 control. The expression of constructs in the leaves is indicated by +. Protein size markers are indicated in kD, and protein loading is indicated by Ponceau stain (PS). (B) IP of protein extracts from agroinfiltrated leaves using GFP-Trap confirmed that GFP-tagged StLRPK1 associated with StSERK3A-cMyc with (+) or without (-) infiltration of *P. infestans* culture filtrate (CF). The expression of constructs in the leaves is indicated by +. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

# StLRPK1-mediated inhibition of P. infestans colonization relies on the MAPK pathway

Activation of PRRs usually triggers MTI via MAPK cascades, which typically contain three sequentially activated kinases: a MAPK kinase kinase (MAP3K or MEKK), a MAPK kinase (MAP2K or MKK), and a MAPK (MPK) (Pitzschke *et al.*, 2009). MAPK cascades serve as convergence points downstream of multiple cell surface-resident receptors. In Arabidopsis, the RLK FLS2 associates with BAK1 to activate the MAP3K MEKK1, which activates the MAP2Ks MKK4 and MKK5, which in turn activate the MAPKs MPK3 and MPK6 to positively activate MTI responses (Chinchilla *et al.*,



**Fig. 7.** Silencing of *NbBAK1* compromises the ability of StLRPK1 to inhibit *P. infestans* colonization in *N. benthamiana*. (A) Representative image of *N. benthamiana* plants after VIGS of *NbBAK1*. OE1 and OE9 are two transgenic homozygous lines ectopically overexpressing *StLRPK1*. (B) Silencing efficiency is shown by the mean fold change measured by qRT-PCR of three biological replicates, using *N. benthamiana* plants 3 weeks post-inoculation with TRV:GFP and TRV:BAK1 vectors. (C) Mean *P. infestans* lesion diameter measured at 6 dpi in a sample of approximately 60 leaves (approximately120 lesions) for each construct in each VIGS background from four biological replicates. *P. infestans* isolate 88069 sporangia were used to inoculate leaves. Values labeled with different letters are statistically different by one-way ANOVA using pairwise multiple comparison procedures with the Holm–Sidak method (*P*<0.01). Error bars represent ±SD. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

2007). In tobacco and *N. benthamiana*, the MPK6 and MPK3 orthologs salicylic acid-induced protein kinase (SIPK) (Zhang and Klessig, 1998) and wound-induced protein kinase (WIPK) are two major defense-associated MAPKs downstream of the MAP2K MKK1/MEK2 (Asai *et al.*, 2008; Seo *et al.*, 1995). VIGS of *NbSIPK* and *NbWIPK* in *N. benthamiana* abolishes antibacterial immunity, indicating that both NbWIPK and NbSIPK make important contributions to PTI in *N. benthamiana* (Segonzac *et al.*, 2011).

To examine whether VIGS of *MEK1*, *MEK2*, and *NbWIPK* diminishes StLRPK1-mediated resistance to *P. infestans* in *N. benthamiana*, *Agrobacterium* containing TRV:GFP and the VIGS vectors TRV:MEK1, TRV:MEK2, and TRV:WIPK was agroinfiltrated into *N. benthamiana* plants. The silencing efficiency of the targeted genes *MEK1*, *MEK2*, and *WIPK* was detected and confirmed by qRT-PCR, and the results demonstrate that VIGS of the three genes was effective (Supplementary Fig. 5). Measurements of *P. infestans* lesion size on TRV:GFP, TRV:MEK1, and TRV: MEK2-expressing *N. benthamiana* revealed that silencing of *MEK1* does not attenuate *P. infestans* resistance in *N. benthamiana* plants expressing *StLRPK1* compared with control plants. Notably, lesion

sizes on the TRV:MEK2-expressing N. benthamiana plants were significantly larger compared with TRV: GFP VIGS plants. Moreover, unlike silencing of TRV: MEK1, the lesion sizes of two StLRPK1 overexpression lines were the same as the control, which indicates that silencing of MEK2 abolishes the StLRPK1-mediated resistance in N. benthamiana (Fig. 8A). These results suggest that StLRPK1-mediated resistance to P. infestans is dependent on MEK2 to activate MAPK signaling. In NbWIPK silencing experiments, P. infestans lesion diameters on TRV: NbWIPK control, StLRPK1 OE-1 and OE-9 plants, compared with the corresponding TRV: GFP plants, were all significantly larger (Fig. 8B). This result revealed that silencing of NbWIPK in N. benthamiana significantly attenuated StLRPK1-mediated Phytophthora resistance. These results indicate that StLRPK1-triggered Phytophthora resistance relies on NbWIPK for the activation of PTI.

We found that two important conserved motifs, HxD and DFG, of the catalytic core of protein kinases were replaced by HRN and DCG in two Arabidopsis SRF and StLRPK1 putative kinase domains (Fig. 1A), indicating that they are likely enzymatically inactive kinases. A number of enzymatically inactive receptor kinases are described in the literature



**Fig. 8.** StLRPK1-mediated inhibition of *P. infestans* colonization in *N. benthamiana* depends on *NbMEK2* and *NbWIPK*. (A) Mean *P. infestans* lesion diameter measured at 6 dpi in a sample of approximately 60 leaves (approximately 120 lesions) for TRV:GFP, TRV:MEK1, and TRV:MEK2 in each VIGS background from three biological replicates. (B) Mean *P. infestans* lesion diameter measured at 6 dpi in a sample of approximately 70 leaves (approximately 140 lesions) for TRV:GFP and TRV:WIPK in each VIGS background from three or four biological replicates. *P. infestans* isolate 88069 sporangia were used to inoculate leaves. OE1 and OE9 are two transgenic homozygous lines ectopically overexpressing *StLRPK1*. Values labeled with different letters are statistically different by one-way ANOVA using pairwise multiple comparison procedures with the Holm–Sidak method (*P*<0.01). Error bars represent ±SE.

(Kroiher *et al.*, 2001). However, signaling by enzymatically inactive kinases is poorly understood in plants (Castells and Casacuberta, 2007; Gish and Clark, 2011). They may function via regulated protein–protein interactions with downstream effectors (Kroiher *et al.*, 2001). The kinase activity is not essential for SUB function (Chevalier *et al.*, 2005; Vaddepalli *et al.*, 2011), but SUB interacts synergistically with an RLK, ERECTA, in the control of internode length (Vaddepalli *et al.*, 2011). Recently, Bai *et al.* (2013) reported that SUB interacts with ANGUSTIFOLIA directly, and ANGUSTIFOLIA

is involved in SUB-dependent signaling events in Arabidopsis morphogenesis. In addition, SUB interacts in a complex with QUIRKY and PAL OF QUIRKY to regulate cell growth anisotropy during Arabidopsis gynoecium development (Trehin *et al.*, 2013). As StLRPK1 might be an enzymatically inactive receptor kinase, like SUB, there would likely be other factors acting together with or downstream of StLRPK1 to transmit signals.

In conclusion, we found that StLRPK1 localizes at the plasma membrane, where it interacts with StSERK3A/BAK1 in plant cells. We further found that StLRPK1-mediated P. infestans resistance in N. benthamiana depends on NbSERK3A/BAK1 and the MAPK signaling cascade components MEK2 and WIPK. It will be interesting to unravel this signaling pathway in detail in the future, and to identify the potential ligand(s) required for activating PTI via StLRPK1. Moreover, as RXLR effectors from P. infestans have been shown to block the phosphorylation and activation of WIPK following the perception of diverse pathogen elicitors (King et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2014), and indeed effector PexRD2 suppresses a MEK2dependent signal transduction pathway leading to programmed cell death (King et al., 2014), it will be interesting to investigate whether specific RXLR effectors suppress the signal transduction from StLRPK1.

# Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Table S1. Primers and constructs used in this study.

Fig. S1. Protein alignment of the SRF3-like family proteins from Solanaceae species.

Fig. S2. The potato SRF3 isoform X1 (XP\_006350044.1) does not respond to CF treatment in potato.

Fig. S3. Ectopic expression of StLRPK1 in transgenic *N. benthamiana* and homozygote screening by kanamycin resistance selection.

Fig. S4. StLRPK1 interacts with NbSERK3A in planta.

Fig. S5. Silencing efficiency of TRV:MEK1, TRV:MEK2, and TRV:WIPK constructs.

# Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31171603, 31471550), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (2013AA1026/03-05), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2662017PY069) to ZT, China Scholarship Council support to HXW and SW, and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) (BB/N009967/1, BB/L026880/1) to PRJB and SW.

# References

Albert I, Böhm H, Albert M, et al. 2015. An RLP23-SOBIR1-BAK1 complex mediates NLP-triggered immunity. Nature Plants 1, 15140.

Alcázar R, García AV, Kronholm I, de Meaux J, Koornneef M, Parker JE, Reymond M. 2010. Natural variation at Strubbelig Receptor Kinase 3 drives immune-triggered incompatibilities between *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. Nature Genetics **42**, 1135–1139.

Antolín-Llovera M, Ried MK, Binder A, Parniske M. 2012. Receptor kinase signaling pathways in plant-microbe interactions. Annual Review of Phytopathology **50**, 451–473.

Asai S, Ohta K, Yoshioka H. 2008. MAPK signaling regulates nitric oxide and NADPH oxidase-dependent oxidative bursts in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. The Plant Cell **20**, 1390–1406.

Bai Y, Vaddepalli P, Fulton L, Bhasin H, Hülskamp M, Schneitz K. 2013. ANGUSTIFOLIA is a central component of tissue morphogenesis mediated by the atypical receptor-like kinase STRUBBELIG. BMC Plant Biology **13**, 16.

**Belkhadir Y, Yang L, Hetzel J, Dangl JL, Chory J.** 2014. The growthdefense pivot: crisis management in plants mediated by LRR-RK surface receptors. Trends in Biochemical Sciences **39**, 447–456.

Cao Y, Liang Y, Tanaka K, Nguyen CT, Jedrzejczak RP, Joachimiak A, Stacey G. 2014. The kinase LYK5 is a major chitin receptor in *Arabidopsis* and forms a chitin-induced complex with related kinase CERK1. eLife **3**, e03766.

**Castells E, Casacuberta JM.** 2007. Signalling through kinase-defective domains: the prevalence of atypical receptor-like kinases in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany **58**, 3503–3511.

**Champouret N, Bouwmeester K, Rietman H, et al.** 2009. *Phytophthora infestans* isolates lacking class I *ipiO* variants are virulent on *Rpi-blb1* potato. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **22**, 1535–1545.

Chaparro-Garcia A, Wilkinson RC, Gimenez-Ibanez S, Findlay K, Coffey MD, Zipfel C, Rathjen JP, Kamoun S, Schornack S. 2011. The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is required for basal resistance against the late blight pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. PLoS One **6**, e16608.

**Chevalier D, Batoux M, Fulton L, Pfister K, Yadav RK, Schellenberg M, Schneitz K.** 2005. STRUBBELIG defines a receptor kinase-mediated signaling pathway regulating organ development in *Arabidopsis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **102**, 9074–9079.

Chinchilla D, Shan L, He P, de Vries S, Kemmerling B. 2009. One for all: the receptor-associated kinase BAK1. Trends in Plant Science **14**, 535–541.

Chinchilla D, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Kemmerling B, Nürnberger T, Jones JD, Felix G, Boller T. 2007. A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. Nature **448**, 497–500.

**Du J, Verzaux E, Chaparro-Garcia A, et al.** 2015. Elicitin recognition confers enhanced resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato. Nature Plants **1**, 15034.

Eyüboglu B, Pfister K, Haberer G, Chevalier D, Fuchs A, Mayer KF, Schneitz K. 2007. Molecular characterisation of the *STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY* of genes encoding putative leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biology **7**, 16.

Fulton L, Batoux M, Vaddepalli P, Yadav RK, Busch W, Andersen SU, Jeong S, Lohmann JU, Schneitz K. 2009. *DETORQUEO*, *QUIRKY*, and *ZERZAUST* represent novel components involved in organ development mediated by the receptor-like kinase STRUBBELIG in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS Genetics **5**, e1000355.

Gish LA, Clark SE. 2011. The RLK/Pelle family of kinases. The Plant Journal 66, 117–127.

Han Z, Sun Y, Chai J. 2014. Structural insight into the activation of plant receptor kinases. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **20**, 55–63.

**He Q, McLellan H, Boevink PC, Sadanandom A, Xie C, Birch PR, Tian Z.** 2015. U-box E3 ubiquitin ligase PUB17 acts in the nucleus to promote specific immune pathways triggered by *Phytophthora infestans*. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 3189–3199.

Heese A, Hann DR, Gimenez-Ibanez S, Jones AM, He K, Li J, Schroeder JI, Peck SC, Rathjen JP. 2007. The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is a central regulator of innate immunity in plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **104**, 12217–12222.

Kaku H, Nishizawa Y, Ishii-Minami N, Akimoto-Tomiyama C, Dohmae N, Takio K, Minami E, Shibuya N. 2006. Plant cells recognize chitin fragments for defense signaling through a plasma membrane receptor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **103**, 11086–11091.

King SR, McLellan H, Boevink PC, Armstrong MR, Bukharova T, Sukarta O, Win J, Kamoun S, Birch PR, Banfield MJ. 2014. *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector PexRD2 interacts with host MAPKKK  $\epsilon$  to suppress plant immune signaling. The Plant Cell **26**, 1345–1359.

Kroiher M, Miller MA, Steele RE. 2001. Deceiving appearances: signaling by "dead" and "fractured" receptor protein-tyrosine kinases. BioEssays **23**, 69–76.

Kwak SH, Shen R, Schiefelbein J. 2005. Positional signaling mediated by a receptor-like kinase in *Arabidopsis*. Science **307**, 1111–1113.

Lee JS, Kuroha T, Hnilova M, Khatayevich D, Kanaoka MM, McAbee JM, Sarikaya M, Tamerler C, Torii KU. 2012. Direct interaction of ligandreceptor pairs specifying stomatal patterning. Genes & Development **26**, 126–136.

Liu PL, Du L, Huang Y, Gao SM, Yu M. 2017. Origin and diversification of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) genes in plants. BMC Evolutionary Biology **17**, 47.

Liu Y, Schiff M, Dinesh-Kumar SP. 2002. Virus-induced gene silencing in tomato. The Plant Journal **31**, 777–786.

Ma X, Xu G, He P, Shan L. 2016. SERKing coreceptors for receptors. Trends in Plant Science 21, 1017–1033.

McLellan H, Boevink PC, Armstrong MR, Pritchard L, Gomez S, Morales J, Whisson SC, Beynon JL, Birch PR. 2013. An RxLR effector from *Phytophthora infestans* prevents re-localisation of two plant NAC transcription factors from the endoplasmic reticulum to the nucleus. PLoS Pathogens **9**, e1003670.

Meng X, Chen X, Mang H, Liu C, Yu X, Gao X, Torii KU, He P, Shan L. 2015. Differential function of *Arabidopsis* SERK family receptor-like kinases in stomatal patterning. Current Biology **25**, 2361–2372.

Nakagawa T, Kurose T, Hino T, Tanaka K, Kawamukai M, Niwa Y, Toyooka K, Matsuoka K, Jinbo T, Kimura T. 2007. Development of series of gateway binary vectors, pGWBs, for realizing efficient construction of fusion genes for plant transformation. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering **104**, 34–41.

Nam KH, Li J. 2002. BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signaling. Cell **110**, 203–212.

Pitzschke A, Schikora A, Hirt H. 2009. MAPK cascade signalling networks in plant defence. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 12, 421–426.

Santiago J, Brandt B, Wildhagen M, Hohmann U, Hothorn LA, Butenko MA, Hothorn M. 2016. Mechanistic insight into a peptide hormone signaling complex mediating floral organ abscission. eLife 5, e15075.

**Santiago J, Henzler C, Hothorn M.** 2013. Molecular mechanism for plant steroid receptor activation by somatic embryogenesis co-receptor kinases. Science **341**, 889–892.

**Saunders DG, Breen S, Win J, et al.** 2012. Host protein BSL1 associates with *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector AVR2 and the *Solanum demissum* immune receptor R2 to mediate disease resistance. The Plant Cell **24,** 3420–3434.

Saur IM, Kadota Y, Sklenar J, Holton NJ, Smakowska E, Belkhadir Y, Zipfel C, Rathjen JP. 2016. NbCSPR underlies age-dependent immune responses to bacterial cold shock protein in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **113**, 3389–3394.

Segonzac C, Feike D, Gimenez-Ibanez S, Hann DR, Zipfel C, Rathjen JP. 2011. Hierarchy and roles of pathogen-associated molecular patterninduced responses in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Plant Physiology **156**, 687–699.

Seo S, Okamoto M, Seto H, Ishizuka K, Sano H, Ohashi Y. 1995. Tobacco MAP kinase: a possible mediator in wound signal transduction pathways. Science **270**, 1988–1992.

Shimizu T, Nakano T, Takamizawa D, et al. 2010. Two LysM receptor molecules, CEBiP and OsCERK1, cooperatively regulate chitin elicitor signaling in rice. The Plant Journal **64**, 204–214.

Shiu SH, Bleecker AB. 2001. Receptor-like kinases from *Arabidopsis* form a monophyletic gene family related to animal receptor kinases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **98**, 10763–10768.

Sun Y, Li L, Macho AP, Han Z, Hu Z, Zipfel C, Zhou JM, Chai J. 2013. Structural basis for flg22-induced activation of the *Arabidopsis* FLS2-BAK1 immune complex. Science **342**, 624–628.

Tang D, Wang G, Zhou JM. 2017. Receptor kinases in plant-pathogen interactions: more than pattern recognition. The Plant Cell **29**, 618–637.

Tang J, Han Z, Sun Y, Zhang H, Gong X, Chai J. 2015. Structural basis for recognition of an endogenous peptide by the plant receptor kinase PEPR1. Cell Research **25**, 110–120.

Tian Z, He Q, Wang H, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Shao F, Xie C. 2015. The potato ERF transcription factor StERF3 negatively regulates resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* and salt tolerance in potato. Plant & Cell Physiology **56**, 992–1005.

Trehin C, Schrempp S, Chauvet A, Berne-Dedieu A, Thierry AM, Faure JE, Negrutiu I, Morel P. 2013. *QUIRKY* interacts with *STRUBBELIG* and *PAL OF QUIRKY* to regulate cell growth anisotropy during *Arabidopsis* gynoecium development. Development **140**, 4807–4817.

Vaddepalli P, Fulton L, Batoux M, Yadav RK, Schneitz K. 2011. Structure-function analysis of STRUBBELIG, an *Arabidopsis* atypical receptor-like kinase involved in tissue morphogenesis. PLoS One **6**, e19730.

Wang L, Albert M, Einig E, Fürst U, Krust D, Felix G. 2016. The patternrecognition receptor CORE of Solanaceae detects bacterial cold-shock protein. Nature Plants **2**, 16185.

Wang S, Boevink PC, Welsh L, Zhang R, Whisson SC, Birch PRJ. 2017. Delivery of cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors from *Phytophthora infestans* haustoria by distinct secretion pathways. New Phytologist **216**, 205–215.

Wang Y, Xu Y, Sun Y, *et al.* 2018. Leucine-rich repeat receptor-like gene screen reveals that *Nicotiana* RXEG1 regulates glycoside hydrolase 12 MAMP detection. Nature Communications **9**, 594.

Whisson SC, Boevink PC, Wang S, Birch PR. 2016. The cell biology of late blight disease. Current Opinion in Microbiology **34**, 127–135.

Wolf S. 2017. Plant cell wall signalling and receptor-like kinases. The Biochemical Journal 474, 471–492.

Wu T, Tian Z, Liu J, Xie C. 2009. A novel leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase gene in potato, *StLRPK1*, is involved in response to diverse stresses. Molecular Biology Reports **36**, 2365–2374.

Yadav RK, Fulton L, Batoux M, Schneitz K. 2008. The *Arabidopsis* receptor-like kinase STRUBBELIG mediates inter-cell-layer signaling during floral development. Developmental Biology **323**, 261–270.

Yamaguchi Y, Huffaker A, Bryan AC, Tax FE, Ryan CA. 2010. PEPR2 is a second receptor for the Pep1 and Pep2 peptides and contributes to defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **22**, 508–522.

Zhang S, Klessig DF. 1998. The tobacco wounding-activated mitogenactivated protein kinase is encoded by *SIPK*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **95**, 7225–7230.

Zhang L, Wang JC, Hou L, Cao PR, Wu L, Zhang QS, Yang HY, Zang Y, Ding JP, Li J. 2015. Functional role of histidine in the conserved His-x-Asp Motif in the catalytic core of protein kinases. Scientific Reports **5**, 10115.

Zheng X, McLellan H, Fraiture M, et al. 2014. Functionally redundant RXLR effectors from *Phytophthora infestans* act at different steps to suppress early flg22-triggered immunity. PLoS Pathogens **10**, e1004057.

Zipfel C, Kunze G, Chinchilla D, Caniard A, Jones JD, Boller T, Felix G. 2006. Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. Cell **125**, 749–760.