



University of Dundee

Routinely collected infant feeding data

Whitford, Heather; Hoddinott, Pat; Amir, Lisa H.; Chamberlain, Catherine; East, Christine E.; Jones, Leanne

Published in: Maternal and Child Nutrition

DOI: 10.1111/mcn.12616

Publication date: 2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Whitford, H., Hoddinott, P., Amir, L. H., Chamberlain, C., East, C. E., Jones, L., & Renfrew, M. J. (2018). Routinely collected infant feeding data: Time for global action. *Maternal and Child Nutrition*, *14*(4), e12616. [e12616]. https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12616

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- · You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim. "This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Whitford, H., Hoddinott, P., Amir, L. H., Chamberlain, C., East, C. E., Jones, L., & Renfrew, M. (2018). Routinely collected infant feeding data: time for global action in the era of big data. Maternal and Child Nutrition. DOI: 10.1111/mcn.12616, which has been published in final form at https:// onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/mcn.12616. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions."

1	1. Title
2	Routinely collected infant feeding data: time for global action in the era of big data
3 4	2. Word Count
5	Abstract = 39 words
6	Main body of text = 1638
7	References = 21
8 9 10	 Authors Heather Whitford, PhD, Lecturer, Mother and Infant Research Unit, School of Nursing and
11 12	Health Sciences, University of Dundee, 11 Airlie Place, Dundee, DD1 4HJ. United Kingdom h.m.whitford@dundee.ac.uk
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	 Pat Hoddinott, PhD, Chair in Primary Care, University of Stirling, Nursing Midwifery and Allied Health Professions Research Unit, Unit 13, Scion House, Innovation Park, University of Stirling, Stirling. FK9 4NF. United Kingdom p.m.hoddinott@stir.ac.uk Lisa H Amir, PhD, Principal Research Fellow, Judith Lumley Centre, La Trobe University, 215 Franklin St, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia l.amir@latrobe.edu.au Catherine Chamberlain, PhD, Senior Research Fellow, La Trobe University, Judith Lumley Centre, 215 Franklin Street, Melbourne VIC 3000. Australia c.chamberlain@latrobe.edu.au Christine E East, PhD, Professor of Midwifery, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Monash University & Monash Women's Maternity Services, Monash Health, 246 Clayton Road, Clayton, Vic 3168. Australia christine.east@monash.edu Leanne Jones, MMSc, Associate Editor, Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth, Department of Women's and Children's Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Crown Street, Liverpool L8 7SS UK l.v.jones@liverpool.ac.uk Mary J Renfrew PhD, Professor of Mother and Infant Health, Mother and Infant Research Unit, School of Nursing and Health Sciences, University of Dundee, 11 Airlie Place, Dundee,
28	Scotland, DD1 4HJ. United Kingdom m.renfrew@dundee.ac.uk
 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 	Corresponding author: Dr Heather Whitford, Mother and Infant Research Unit School of Nursing & Health Sciences University of Dundee 11 Airlie Place, Dundee, DD1 4HJ Email: h.m.whitford@dundee.ac.uk Phone: +(44) 01382 388534

4. Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Dr Clare Barnett and Dr Susan Tawia (Australian
Breastfeeding Association) for feedback on drafts as part of the Breastfeeding Generic Protocol
team.

44 **5.** Source of funding

45 Catherine Chamberlain receives an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship (1088813). The Nursing Midwifery and Allied Health Professions Research Unit, 46 University of Stirling, receives core funding from the Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish 47 Government Health and Social Care Directorates. The Mother and Infant Research Unit, University of 48 Dundee, receives/has received funding from research funding agencies to support infant feeding 49 50 research including the UK National Institute for Health Research. 51 6. Conflict of Interest Statement 52 Catherine Chamberlain has used routinely collected perinatal data in research to improve maternal 53 health outcomes. 54 Heather Whitford, Pat Hoddinott, and Lisa Amir have used routinely collected infant feeding data for 55 infant feeding research. Mary Renfrew has used routinely collected infant feeding data for infant feeding research and to 56 57 inform policy development, and has been a collaborator on the UK Infant Feeding Survey. 58 Leanne Jones has completed a systematic review of breastfeeding outcomes reported in studies 59 evaluating interventions used to support breastfeeding. Based on this we are preparing a global

60 Delphi survey to reach consensus on the most appropriate and important core outcomes to women,

61 clinicians and policy makers.

62 All authors are currently developing a recommended core-outcomes set for a Cochrane Generic

63 Protocol for Cochrane Systematic Reviews of breastfeeding interventions.

7. Contributor statement

66 All authors conceived the article. HW drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to drafts.

68	Title
69	Routinely collected infant feeding data: time for global action in the era of big data
70	
71	Abstract
72	This commentary sets out how routinely collected data, sometimes referred to as Big Data could
73	strengthen the global evidence and policy base, as has been demonstrated for smoking cessation.
74	An international collaborative effort is called for to progress this.
75	
76	Keywords
77	Infant feeding; monitoring and evaluation; public health; breastfeeding
78	
79	Key Messages
80	• International consensus on the collection and use of routine data for infant feeding is
81	currently lacking.
82	• The use of routine data has been shown to be a powerful tool for influencing policy, practice
83	and research in other areas of public health.
84	• The development of internationally agreed indicators and core outcomes and improved use
85	of routinely collected infant feeding data has the potential to re-invigorate global action on
86	breastfeeding.
87	Main text
88	Breastfeeding is important globally for healthy populations, with compelling evidence to support its

role in preventing the deaths of up to 823 000 children and 20 000 mothers each year (Rollins et al.,

2016). The 2016 *Lancet* series on breastfeeding has argued that global action to support
breastfeeding has stalled and identified the lack of reliable standarised indicators as hindering
effective progress (Victora et al., 2016). We argue that routine data and data linkage are crucial to
inform global research and policy effectiveness (Jorm, 2015), but the collection and use of such data
– both breastfeeding and the use of breast milk substitutes – lags well behind other health related
behaviours like smoking. We outline the key issues affecting development of reliable infant feeding
indicators and use of routine data that need to be addressed.

97 The power of routine data to re-invigorate global action has been demonstrated in the evaluation of 98 tobacco policy on infant health in high income countries (Cox, Martens, Nemery, Vangronsveld, & 99 Nawrot, 2013) and in evaluating infectious disease programs in low-middle income countries 100 (Harries, Zachariah, & Maher, 2013). Large scale evaluations of natural experiments using routine 101 data are valuable and often the only practicable means of evaluating the impact of complex systems 102 interventions (Rutter et al., 2017) and changes in policies and services on population health 103 (Ajetunmobi et al., 2013; Jorm, 2015). The collection and use of standardised data can also facilitate 104 international research collaboration, enable effective data synthesis, and minimise research waste 105 (loannidis et al., 2014). Although non-experimental analysis of routine data cannot determine cause 106 and effect, it can contribute to knowledge and understanding that will advance infant feeding 107 science.

Data on infant feeding practices and country specific policies are already gathered in over 70
countries worldwide (WBTi, 2017) as called for in the World Health Organization (WHO) Framework
to increase breastfeeding (WHO/UNICEF, 2003). The World Breastfeeding Trends initiative (WBTi)
compiles country-level data on national policies and indicators of infant feeding, including
breastfeeding initiation and duration. National groups and/or core partners collect data within each
country. This potentially offers global and national benchmarks for policy makers and enables intercountry comparisons on key indicators of practice, protection, support and promotion of

115 breastfeeding (WBTi, 2017). However, there are wide disparities in the types and methods of routine 116 infant feeding data collected between countries (Rollins et al., 2016). There are also barriers for 117 countries to overcome to set up systems, achieve effective access and use data to its full potential. 118 Financial constraints and the relative priority given to WBTi data collection has affected the rigor or 119 completeness. Most data are collected at routine health service attendances, thus the timing will be 120 pragmatically chosen. Routine health care contact points differ between and within countries 121 according to purpose, such as hospital discharge, child development checks, immunisation 122 schedules, registration with a paediatrician, or collection of vitamins. Some countries only collect 123 infant feeding data from periodic surveys – such as the National Health and Demographic Surveys in 124 South East Asia (Dibley, Senarath, & Agho, 2010). Other countries have very limited systems in place for collecting routine infant feeding data, such as China and Russia (UNICEF, 2016). 125

126 Effective data use is affected by the level of detail collected. Understanding the differential impact 127 of various infant feeding behaviours on health outcomes requires detailed measures including 128 initiation and exclusivity of breastfeeding; whether the baby is breastfed or receives expressed 129 breast milk by bottle/cup or other method; and whether expressed breast milk is fresh or frozen, 130 mother's own or donor. However this detail is rarely recorded. Furthermore, data on the use of 131 breast milk substitutes, such as infant formula brand, other liquids, and type, timing, and amount of 132 solids used, are particularly poorly collected. The type of formula used is rarely if ever recorded, 133 despite the fact that products differ across brand and over time, with differential impact on 134 outcomes such as atopic disease (Renfrew et al., 2012). This seriously hinders the ability to answer 135 important questions, such as the impact of not breastfeeding on the microbiome in different care 136 contexts, the impact of breast milk substitute use on infectious diseases, the occurrence of cancer in 137 women, or the effect on especially vulnerable infants such as those born preterm (Renfrew et al., 138 2012).

139 While some countries have relatively robust systems for the collection and analysis of routine infant 140 feeding data, these can be adversely affected by policy changes or funding cuts. For example, 141 Scotland was routinely collecting breastfeeding outcome data at six to eight weeks and eight 142 months, at the start of the 'Breastfeeding in Groups (BIG)' trial (Hoddinott et al., 2009). However, 143 halfway through the trial, the collection of eight-month routine data ceased, and a potentially 144 important trial outcome - breastfeeding duration - could therefore not be reported. Systems and resources for storing, linking and analysing data are also variable. A recent Australian trial, 145 146 Supporting breastfeeding In Local Communities (SILC), found that using routine infant feeding data 147 as the primary outcome required time-consuming work to extract the data from individual council 148 databases (McLachlan et al., 2016). Relevant data may be stored in the mother's maternity database 149 (such as gestation at birth, or pregnancy complications) or the child's record, however the ability to 150 link these datasets may be lacking. Requirements to collect core data in a standardised format and 151 enable linkage would strengthen analyses of the relationships between infant feeding exposures and 152 outcomes. One example might be the question of whether prematurity or the method of feeding 153 affects infant health outcomes.

154 While the World Health Organization/UNICEF have suggested standardised methods of collecting 155 infant feeding information, not all countries gather data in the same way. Published analyses are 156 therefore "...based on a limited number countries, for a limited number of indicators, and a limited 157 number of background characteristics" (UNICEF, 2016, p. 101). Analyses are generally not available 158 for high-income countries, where breastfeeding rates are particularly low (Victora et al., 2016). 159 Some indicators may need to be tailored to reflect the different epidemiological patterns of 160 breastfeeding in different countries, for example measuring sales of formula in countries with very 161 low breastfeeding rates (Baker et al., 2016).

The lack of an internationally agreed core outcome set for infant feeding limits opportunities to
 compare, contrast and combine data (WBTi, 2017; Williamson, Altman, Blazeby, Clarke, & Gargon,

164 2011). This deficiency poses a considerable challenge in finding reliable and complete data for 165 international comparisons (Victora et al., 2016). Standardised routinely collected infant feeding data 166 by country would require internationally agreed definitions and consistent timing of data collection. 167 It could be used by researchers and policy-makers to guide selection of primary or secondary infant 168 feeding outcomes for trials of new and complex interventions to improve breastfeeding outcomes, 169 improve monitoring of usual care, or support the evaluation of policy and systems level changes (for 170 example Hoddinott et al., 2009; Nickel et al., 2017; Relton et al., 2018). This alignment would enable 171 the use of more practicable and sophisticated strategies to evaluate complex breastfeeding 172 interventions, for example comparing infant feeding rates and outcomes between populations over 173 time. Nesting trials within large cohorts with linked data offers opportunities for efficiency. Evolving 174 synthesis methods such as network meta-analysis offer increased opportunities to demonstrate 175 relative benefits in the future. However these opportunities can only be realised if the data are 176 available and accurate.

177 There are relevant lessons from the successes in other fields of public health such as smoking. For 178 example fluctuating daily smoking and e-cigarette behaviours present similar data collection 179 challenges to variations in mixed feeding with breast milk, formula and other liquids. In the 1990s, 180 Professor Lumley demonstrated the value of strong health outcome data for leveraging commitment 181 to reduce smoking during pregnancy (Lumley, Oliver, & Waters, 1999). Observational evidence of 182 infant health outcomes among women who smoke is limited due to very serious concerns about 183 confounding. Lumley et al (1999) conducted a meta-analysis of maternal and infant health 184 outcomes from trials enrolling women who smoked during pregnancy, who had been randomised to 185 a smoking cessation intervention or control. The findings clearly illustrated not only a reduction in 186 smoking in late pregnancy but importantly, a significant reduction in preterm births and low birth 187 weight among infants of women receiving smoking cessation interventions (Lumley et al., 1999). This 188 seminal Cochrane systematic review, and its subsequent updates, have been fundamental in 189 demonstrating the health benefits and direct health system cost savings from investments in

smoking cessation interventions, estimated to be in excess of 500 million pounds per annum in the
UK alone (Taylor, 2009). It did not answer every question about outcomes of smoking in pregnancy,
but established a platform for further refinement and exploration of the data.

193 We argue that international agreement to develop reliable indicators and improved use of routinely

194 collected infant feeding data are needed to re-invigorate and evaluate global action on

195 breastfeeding. There is an urgent need to reach consensus on recognised, standardised definitions in

196 every country. As a preliminary step, development of a core outcome set for a Cochrane Generic

197 Protocol for Cochrane Systematic Reviews of breastfeeding interventions is in progress. Our team

198 has completed a scoping review of breastfeeding outcomes reported in studies evaluating

199 interventions used to support breastfeeding (publication pending). This is informing a global Delphi

200 survey to reach consensus on the most appropriate and important core outcomes identified and

201 prioritised by parents, clinicians, experts and policy makers. In addition, strategic investment is

202 needed to develop robust and reliable data collection methods, governance policies to protect

203 individual privacy, and secure electronic linkage systems to improve overall efficiency.

204 We call on governments, global and national decision makers and researchers for genuine

205 commitment to engage in efforts to develop reliable and agreed core infant feeding indicators and

206 harness the power of large routinely-collected data. This has the potential to reinvigorate

207 coordinated global action on breastfeeding so that the important public health benefits can be

208 realised.

209 References

Ajetunmobi, O., Whyte, B., Chalmers, J., Fleming, M., Stockton, D., & Wood, R. (2013). Informing the
'early years' agenda in Scotland: understanding infant feeding patterns using linked datasets. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 68*(1), 83–92.
Baker, P., Smith, J., Salmon, L., Friel, S., Kent, G., Iellamo, A., . . . Renfrew, M. J. (2016). Global trends

and patterns of commercial milk-based formula sales: is an unprecedented infant and young
child feeding transition underway? *Public Health Nutr, 19*(14), 2540-2550.

Cox, B., Martens, E., Nemery, B., Vangronsveld, J., & Nawrot, T. S. (2013). Impact of a stepwise
 introduction of smoke-free legislation on the rate of preterm births: analysis of routinely
 collected birth data. *British Medical Journal, 346*, f441.

- Dibley, M. J., Senarath, U., & Agho, K. E. (2010). Infant and young child feeding indicators across nine
 East and Southeast Asian countries: an analysis of National Survey Data 2000-2005. *Public Health Nutrition*, 13(9), 1296-1303.
- Harries, A. D., Zachariah, R., & Maher, D. (2013). The power of data: using routinely collected data to
 improve public health programmes and patient outcomes in low- and middle-income
 countries. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 18(9), 1154-1156.
- Hoddinott, P., Britten, J., Prescott, G. J., Tappin, D., Ludbrook, A., & Godden, D. J. (2009).
 Effectiveness of policy to provide breastfeeding groups (BIG) for pregnant and breastfeeding
 mothers in primary care: cluster randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal, 338*,
 e005524.
- Ioannidis, J. P. A., Greenland, S., Hlatky, M. A., Khoury, M. J., Macleod, M. R., Moher, D., . . .
 Tibshirani, R. (2014). Increasing value and reducing waste in research design, conduct, and
 analysis. *The Lancet, 383*(9912), 166-175.
- Jorm, L. (2015). Routinely collected data as a strategic resource for research: priorities for methods
 and workforce. *Public Health Research & Practice, 25* (4), e2541540.
- Lumley, J., Oliver, S., & Waters, E. (1999). Interventions for promoting smoking cessation during
 pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (4), CD001055.
- McLachlan, H. L., Forster, D. A., Amir, L. H., Cullinane, M., Shafiei, T., Watson, L. F., . . . Small, R.
 (2016). Supporting breastfeeding In Local Communities (SILC) in Victoria, Australia: a cluster
 randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*, 6(2), e008292.
- Nickel, N. C., Warda, L., Kummer, L., Chateau, J., Heaman, M., Green, C., . . . Manitoba Infant Feeding
 Database Development Team. (2017). Protocol for establishing an infant feeding database
 linkable with population-based administrative data: a prospective cohort study in Manitoba,
 Canada. *BMJ Open*, 7(10), e017981.
- Relton, C., Strong, M., Thomas, K. J., Whelan, B., Walters, S. J., Burrows, J., . . . Renfrew, M. J. (2018).
 Effect of financial incentives on breastfeeding: a cluster randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr, 172*(2), e174523.
- Renfrew, M., Pokhrel, S., Quigley, M. A., McCormick, F., Fox-Rushby, J., Dodds, R., . . . Williams, A.
 (2012). *Preventing disease and saving resources: the potential contribution of increasing breastfeeding rates in the UK*. Retrieved from Geneva:
- https://www.unicef.org.uk/babyfriendly/baby-friendly-resources/advocacy/preventing disease-and-saving-resources/
- Rollins, N. C., Bhandari, N., Hajeebhoy, N., Horton, S., Lutter, C. K., Martines, J. C., . . . Victora, C. G.
 (2016). Why invest, and what it will take to improve breastfeeding practices? *The Lancet*, *387*(10017), 491-504.
- Rutter, H., Savona, N., Glonti, K., Bibby, J., Cummins, S., Finegood, D. T., . . . White, M. (2017). The
 need for a complex systems model of evidence for public health. *The Lancet*.
- Taylor, M. (2009). Economic analysis of interventions for smoking cessation aimed at pregnant
 women: supplementary report. Retrieved from York, United Kingdom:
- 258 http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/13023/49421/49421.pdf Accessed 4/9/2017
- UNICEF. (2016). From the first hour of life: Making the case for improved infant and young child
 feeding everywhere. Retrieved from Geneva: Available at: https://data.unicef.org/wp content/uploads/2016/10/From-the-first-hour-of-life-1.pdf Accessed 8/6/2017
- Victora, C. G., Bahl, R., Barros, A. J. D., França, G. V. A., Horton, S., Krasevec, J., . . . Rollins, N. C.
 (2016). Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *The Lancet, 387*(10017), 475-490.
- 265 WBTi. (2017). World Breastfeeding Trends Initiative. Retrieved from
- 266 http://worldbreastfeedingtrends.org/
- WHO/UNICEF. (2003). *Global strategy for infant and young child feeding*. Retrieved from Geneva:
 http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2003/9241562218.pdf Accessed 8/6/2017

- 269 Williamson, P. R., Altman, D. G., Blazeby, J. M., Clarke, M., & Gargon, E. (2011). The COMET (Core
- 270 Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials) Initiative. *Trials, 12*(Suppl 1), A70.