



Bzdek, B., & Walker, J. (2019). Vibrational Spectroscopy of Individual Aerosol Droplets by Optical Tweezers. *Spectroscopy*, *34*(4), 22-31.

Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via MultiMedia Pharma at https://www.spectroscopyonline.com/vibrational-spectroscopy-individual-aerosol-droplets-optical-tweezers . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	Vibrational Spectroscopy of Individual Aerosol
7	Droplets by Optical Tweezers
8	Bryan R. Bzdek ^{1,*} and Jim S. Walker ^{1,2}
9 10	¹ School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, United Kingdom
11 12	² Bristol Industrial and Research Associates Ltd (Biral), Unit 8 Harbour Road Trading Estate, Portishead, Bristol BS20 7BL, United Kingdom
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Submitted to:
18	Spectroscopy
19	
20	*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: b.bzdek@bristol.ac.uk.
21	Phone: +44 (0)11/ 551 858/

22 ABSTRACT

Aerosols are key components in a wide range of environmental systems and industrial 23 contexts spanning air pollution and clouds to engineered nanomaterials to drug delivery to the 24 lungs. However, the basic properties of aerosols are often elusive due to their small sizes and 25 26 capacity to rapidly respond to changing ambient conditions. In this instalment of Molecular 27 Spectroscopy Workbench, we discuss Raman spectroscopy of individual picolitre volume droplets using optical tweezers. Single droplet spectroscopy enables highly accurate and 28 precise measurements of droplet size and refractive index, as well as measurement of droplet 29 chemical composition. Time-resolved measurements permit investigation of fundamental 30 droplet properties including hygroscopic response, vapour pressure, and surface and bulk 31 characteristics. Such information improves our molecular level understanding of chemical 32 33 processes within single droplets and facilitates broader understanding of complex systems.

34

Keywords: aerosol, droplets, Mie theory, optical tweezers, Raman spectroscopy, hygroscopic
 response

38 INTRODUCTION

Aerosols, which are solid particles or liquid droplets suspended in air, are ubiquitous. 39 Aerosols negatively affect human health through air pollution,¹ but also improve health by 40 serving as delivery agents for pharmaceutics.² With respect to the atmosphere, aerosols are 41 generally thought to cool climate by scattering solar radiation and by serving as the seeds for 42 cloud droplets.³ Aerosols also have a wide range of industrial applications, including spray 43 drying, inkjet printing, personal care products, combustion, and agricultural chemicals. To 44 45 resolve aerosol impacts on health and climate, or to engineer effective industrial products, knowledge of properties like particle size, composition, interaction with light, and surface and 46 bulk properties is required. Moreover, it is beneficial to resolve these properties on a single 47 particle basis, as even a nominally homogeneous and monodisperse particle ensemble has 48 49 inherent distributions in key properties like particle size.

Aerosols are intrinsically interesting because they exhibit unique properties relative to 50 bulk systems.⁴ Figure 1 highlights some of these interesting properties with a hygroscopic 51 growth curve for a sodium chloride (NaCl) particle, plotting a change in droplet mass (relative 52 to a dry particle) against ambient relative humidity (RH). At low RH, NaCl aerosol contains 53 no water and is therefore a solid particle. With increasing RH, water adsorbs to the solid particle 54 surface until reaching the deliquescence RH (~75% for NaCl), which is the RH at which the 55 solid particle absorbs enough water to undergo spontaneously a phase transition to a liquid 56 droplet and is equivalent to the water activity at the solubility limit of a bulk solution of NaCl. 57 Beyond this point, the liquid droplet size increases with increasing RH. Starting from a liquid 58 droplet at high RH, lowering RH decreases droplet size due to water evaporation. However, 59 rather than undergo a phase change at the deliquescence RH, the droplet will continue to shrink 60 until reaching the efflorescence RH (~43% RH for NaCl), which is the RH where the liquid 61 62 droplet spontaneously undergoes a phase change to form a solid particle and highlights a hysteresis in phase that depends on the direction of the RH change. This observation is 63 remarkable: liquid droplets between the deliquescence and efflorescence RH can exist in 64 metastable, supersaturated solute states. In the case of NaCl, the aqueous concentration at the 65 deliquescence RH (i.e. the bulk solubility limit) is 6.2 molal, whereas at the efflorescence RH 66 it is 13.2 molal. For a soluble organic the solute concentration can range from ~5 molal at the 67 deliquescence RH to >30 molal at dry conditions. Such compositions can exhibit highly non-68 ideal behaviour and may inhibit nucleation of a solid particle, instead forming an amorphous 69 glass. The high surface to volume ratio of aerosols also means that particles can respond rapidly 70

(<1 ms) to changes in the gas phase, potentially resulting in different product distributions after
a reaction due to size-dependent competition among gas-diffusion, surface accommodation,
and particle bulk transport.

74 To measure individual droplet properties by spectroscopic approaches, the droplet location must first be controllable. Optical tweezers are one method to capture and manipulate 75 3-10 µm radius droplets over long time periods. The approach, recently recognised by the 2018 76 77 Nobel Prize awarded to Arthur Ashkin, employs a tightly focussed laser beam to create a gradient force optical trap that immobilises a particle by exploiting the refractive index (RI) 78 79 difference between the particle and surrounding medium. Optical tweezers are perhaps better known for applications to condensed systems, but in fact some of the early optical trapping 80 work captured liquid droplets in air.^{5,6} Figure 2 illustrates a typical aerosol optical tweezers 81 (AOT) setup, similar to that sold commercially by Biral, configured to allow production of 82 multiple, steerable optical traps for the study of droplet coalescence.^{7,8} A spatial light modulator 83 dynamically shapes the phase front of a continuous wave 532 nm laser to form multiple, 84 steerable optical traps. When the trap separation is sufficiently small, droplet coalescence is 85 86 induced. Brightfield imaging is accomplished by a blue LED. Backscattered Raman light is imaged onto the entrance slit of a spectrograph to perform single droplet spectroscopy. Elastic 87 88 backscattered light, the intensity of which varies during droplet coalescence, is directed to a photodiode and recorded with an oscilloscope. Droplets are typically nebulised from solution 89 90 and captured in an isolated RH-controlled trapping chamber. This approach enables measurement of a range of physical and chemical properties of an optically trapped droplet. 91

In this article, we describe the utility of the AOT approach to characterise spectroscopically individual picolitre volume droplets. We first discuss the principles of cavity enhanced Raman spectroscopy and then highlight the precise and time-resolved measurements of chemical and physical properties enabled by these measurements.

96

97 RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY OF INDIVIDUAL DROPLETS

98 Cavity enhanced Raman spectroscopy is the primary tool for analysing optically 99 tweezed droplets because it allows high precision measurements of size and RI, as well as 100 information about droplet chemical composition. The AOT setup permits efficient acquisition 101 of Raman scattered light because the trapping laser beam and microscope objective are also 102 used as a Raman excitation source and high efficiency collection optic, respectively, allowing 103 a time resolution <1 s.</p>

Figure 3a shows a Raman spectrum from an optically tweezed aqueous sucrose droplet. 104 The Raman signal consists of two components: the spontaneous signal and the stimulated 105 signal.⁹⁻¹⁴ The spontaneous signal is the broad, underlying Stokes band that provides 106 information about the droplet's chemical composition. The stimulated signal presents as the 107 superimposed structure and arises because the spherical droplet behaves as a low loss optical 108 cavity at wavelengths commensurate with whispering gallery modes (WGMs). When 109 spontaneous Raman emission overlaps with a WGM, a standing wave forms around the 110 circumference of the droplet and stimulates further emission at the same frequency, resulting 111 112 in sharp peaks at discrete wavelengths. Each stimulated peak is described by a mode order n(defined by the number of standing waves around the droplet circumference) and mode number 113 *l* (defined by the number of radial maxima in the distribution of the mode intensity). For each 114 mode number and mode order, there exists a transverse electric (TE) mode (having no radial 115 electric field component) and a transverse magnetic (TM) mode (having no radial magnetic 116 field). 117

WGM wavelengths are highly sensitive to droplet size and composition. Figure 3b 118 119 illustrates the magnitude of the shifts in WGM wavelengths as an aqueous sucrose droplet changes radius in response to a change in ambient RH. WGM wavelengths can be calculated 120 using Mie theory¹⁵ if the size and RI are known. The wavelengths at which stimulated Raman 121 signals are observed experimentally are compared to a library of Mie theory simulations 122 calculated for various size and RI combinations, with the best match giving the correct size and 123 RI. The comparison can be performed in <1 s using custom built software, allowing the 124 physical properties of the droplet to be tracked in real time with accuracy of ± 2 nm in radius 125 and 0.0005 in RI.¹⁶ The next sections illustrate how such accurate and precise measurements 126 permit elucidation of a wide range of fundamental droplet properties. 127

128

129 HYGROSCOPICITY AND VAPOUR PRESSURE

Figure 4 shows a change in the properties of a glycerol droplet as RH is systematically 130 decreased. These data were collected using the commercially available instrument from Biral. 131 Small changes in WGM wavelengths can be related to precise changes in droplet radius (Fig. 132 4a) and RI (Fig. 4b). As RH is stepped from 80% to 30% in 10% intervals, the droplet responds 133 by losing water, resulting in a step change in droplet size. This loss of water also increases the 134 glycerol concentration, leading to a corresponding increase in droplet RI, as the RI of glycerol 135 is larger than that of water. Note the high precision in the measurement, indicating very little 136 second-to-second variation in droplet parameters. The observed changes in droplet size and RI 137

describe the hygroscopic response of the droplet. Hygroscopicity impacts the number and size
distribution of atmospheric cloud droplets, as well as the optical properties of atmospheric
aerosol. Because particle deposition in the respiratory tract is size-dependent, hygroscopicity
also influences deposition and ultimately health effects.

Figure 5 shows how hygroscopic response can be used to identify changes in particle 142 composition.¹⁷ Figure 5a-b shows droplet radius and RI (retrieved from the stimulated Raman 143 signal), whereas Fig. 5c shows the ratio of the intensities of the C-H to O-H stretching regions 144 in the spontaneous Raman signal (Iv_{C-H}/Iv_{O-H}). Initially, a NaCl droplet is captured and 145 146 equilibrated to a constant gas flow at 80% RH. During 1000-1400 s, a flow of aqueous NaCl aerosol is introduced into the trapping chamber without altering the ambient RH. The 147 introduced aqueous droplets coalesce with the trapped droplet, increasing the trapped droplet's 148 radius but maintaining the same RI, as the droplet chemical composition remains unchanged. 149 Then, during 3000-3400 s, a flow of aqueous sucrose aerosol is introduced into the trapping 150 chamber, again maintaining an RH around 80%. In this case, droplet size changes due to the 151 accretion of sucrose aerosol. The accretion of sucrose also increases the droplet RI, and the 152 resulting change in droplet composition is reflected in the observed increase in the I_{VC-H}/I_{VO-H} 153 ratio. 154

155 The changes in radius and RI can be visualised in a plot of radial growth factor (GF_d) against droplet RI or RH. Any droplet will have a well-defined relationship between real RI 156 157 and RH owing to the relationship between RI and solute concentration, provided the droplet chemical composition does not change beyond water uptake or loss in response to changes in 158 ambient RH. This relationship leads to a defined trajectory between droplet RI and droplet wet 159 radius (r_{wet}). However, dry particle radius, r_{dry} , will vary from measurement to measurement. 160 A plot of radial growth factor $[GF_d(RH)]$ accounts for variations in particle dry size by defining 161 the change in r_{wet} relative to r_{dry} : 162

163

$$GF_d(RH) = \frac{r_{wet}}{r_{dry}} \qquad (1)$$

Figure 5d shows a plot of GF_d against RI for three NaCl droplets (black, blue, and red symbols) studied across a wide range in RH (96% to 49% RH, corresponding to refractive indices of 1.345 to 1.410, respectively). All these data collapse onto each other (visually represented by the line), illustrating the reproducibility in hygroscopic growth afforded by the AOT approach across multiple measurements. The green symbols show the hygroscopic growth of the NaCl droplet doped with sucrose aerosol (i.e. t > 3400 s in Fig. 5a-c). The offset is due to the change in the relationship between droplet composition and equilibrium size as 171 RH is varied and highlights how compositional changes that result in only a few percent change
172 in droplet radius can be resolved due to the high accuracy of droplet size and RI obtained from
173 the Raman signal.

Although droplet size may change substantially due to changes in the RH around the 174 droplet, size may also change due to evaporation of semivolatile molecules from the droplet.¹⁸ 175 This evaporation is evident in Fig. 4, as in between the step changes in droplet size due to RH 176 steps, the glycerol droplet size decreases linearly and its RI increases linearly (dotted lines) 177 owing to its vapour pressure ($p_{\rm G}^0 \approx 10^{-2}$ Pa). A molecule's vapour pressure is fundamentally 178 important because it determines partitioning between the gas and condensed phases. The slower 179 change in droplet size and RI is due to the evaporation of glycerol (as well as commensurate 180 water to maintain the droplet water activity equivalent to the RH). In the experiment, a 181 humidified N₂ flow is used, resulting in a concentration gradient from the droplet surface to the 182 gas phase, leading to slow volatilisation of glycerol at a rate governed by its vapour pressure 183 at the solution composition, p_G , which in turn depends on the glycerol mole fraction, x_G , and 184 the activity coefficient, γ_G : 185

186

$$p_G = x_G \gamma_G p_G^0 \tag{2}$$

187 Measurement of the rate of change in droplet size (dr^2/dt) is related to p^0 for a given molecule 188 through the Maxwell equation:

189

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{2M_i D_{i,air}}{RT\rho F_i} \left(p_{i,\infty} - p_{i,r} \right) \quad (3)$$

where M_i is the molecular mass of semivolatile compound *i*, D_i is its diffusion constant in the surrounding gas, *R* is the ideal gas constant, *T* is temperature, ρ is droplet density, F_i is the mass fraction of compound *i*, $p_{i,r}$ is the vapour pressure in equilibrium at the droplet surface, and $p_{i,\infty}$ is partial pressure of the molecule at infinite distance from the droplet surface (assumed zero).

195 AEROSOL SURFACE AND BULK PROPERTIES

196 We next discuss droplet surface and bulk properties, which are key to a range of processes. For example, surface tension helps determine what fraction of atmospheric particles 197 grow into cloud droplets by governing the critical supersaturation in RH that must be 198 199 surpassed.¹⁹ Moreover, the surface composition of droplets can affect the transport of molecules like water into and out of the droplet²⁰ as well as promote very different reactions 200 from those occurring in the droplet bulk.²¹ Knowledge of bulk properties allows a better 201 understanding of transport processes within the droplet, which can help explain droplet 202 heterogeneity, reactivity, and composition.²² For example, a highly viscous droplet can inhibit 203

diffusion of reactant molecules, resulting in slower apparent reaction rates.²³ Understanding
 the interplay between surface and bulk properties enables control over droplet structure, which
 is important in many industrial contexts.²⁴

Surface and bulk droplet properties can be investigated by coalescence of two droplets. 207 Droplets are captured in individual traps, equilibrated to a desired ambient condition, and then 208 brought into coalescence at a user-defined time. For low viscosity droplets, coalescence 209 proceeds through damped oscillations in droplet shape. Figure 6a shows high frame rate images 210 of a dilute NaCl droplet coated with surfactant immediately after coalescence.⁸ Although high 211 frame rate imaging visualises the coalescence dynamics, it is more efficient to resolve the 212 coalescence by collection of elastic backscattered light (see Fig. 2). Figure 6b shows the elastic 213 backscattered light from the coalescence event in Fig. 6a, along with the aspect ratios from the 214 high frame rate images. Surface tension is determined by the equation:^{25,26} 215

216
$$\sigma = \frac{\omega_l^2 a^3 \rho}{l(l-1)(l+2)} \tag{4}$$

where σ is surface tension, ω_l is the oscillation frequency for mode l (corresponding to a 217 characteristic deformation in droplet shape), a is droplet radius, and ρ is droplet density. Note 218 that accurate measurement of surface tension depends on a precise measurement of droplet 219 radius (a^3 term), highlighting the benefits afforded by size characterisation using the stimulated 220 221 Raman spectrum. The Fast Fourier Transform of the elastic backscattered light signal allows 222 retrieval of ω_1 (Fig. 6c). It is then straightforward to calculate the droplet surface tension. Figure 223 6d shows surface tensions retrieved using a holographic AOT setup for droplets containing NaCl, glutaric acid, and a 1:1 mass mixture of both solutes. These measurements compare 224 favourably to a statistical thermodynamic model.²⁷ Moreover, a key benefit of performing the 225 surface tension measurement on droplets is the ability to access supersaturated solute states 226 227 that are inaccessible to bulk approaches. The measured values to the right of the vertical lines in Fig. 6d are in the supersaturated solute regime and demonstrate the benefit of aerosol 228 229 measurements to test models in previously untestable regimes. In addition, measurements have highlighted that trace contaminants in air rapidly lower the surface tension of droplets to values 230 consistent with those of surfactant solutions.⁸ 231

If the droplet viscosity is above a critical value, the surface oscillations are instantaneously damped and coalescence proceeds through a slow merging of two droplets.^{7,28} This concept is demonstrated in Fig. 7a with images of coalescing sucrose droplets that have been equilibrated to different RH values.²⁹ Figure 7b shows that aspect ratios of the slowly coalescing droplets follow an exponential decay, and that depending on the droplet viscosity 237 (governed by RH), the relaxation timescale (τ_l) can span from microseconds to days. The 238 relaxation timescale is related to droplet viscosity by the equation:

239 $\eta = \frac{l(l+2)(2l+1)}{2(2l^2+4l+3)} \frac{\sigma \tau_l}{a} \approx \frac{\sigma \tau_{l=2}}{a}$ (5)

240 where η is the droplet viscosity.

241 Figure 7c shows viscosity measurements of citric acid by both bulk and AOT approaches, comparing to 1,4-butanetriol and sucrose over the entire range of RH. This figure 242 243 highlights the utility of the AOT approach to measuring viscosity. First, measurements can be made over a wide range of viscosities, from values similar to that of pure water (1 mPa·s) to 244 values beyond the glass transition (10^{10} Pa·s), spanning >13 orders of magnitude. Moreover, 245 because it is straightforward to produce droplets containing highly viscous, supersaturated 246 247 solute states, this approach can measure material properties under conditions inaccessible in bulk systems. For example, bulk solutions of citric acid can only reach concentrations 248 249 corresponding to water activities ~0.8 (80% RH), the bulk solubility limit. However, in the 250 aerosol phase the solubility limit is easily exceeded, and measurements of viscous citric acid droplets are possible across the entire range of water activity, with viscosity values extending 251 more than six orders of magnitude larger than those accessible in bulk solution, permitting 252 comparison to model predictions and allowing estimation of the viscosity of atmospheric 253 particles.28 254

255

256 PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

The previous sections highlight fundamental measurements possible due to single 257 droplet Raman spectroscopy. We now present an example where spectroscopy was used to 258 evaluate how changes in viscosity impact the droplet's reactivity with ozone, a common 259 atmospheric oxidant.³⁰ In the experiment, a droplet containing the semivolatile compound 260 maleic acid (vapour pressure $\sim 10^{-3}$ Pa), non-volatile sucrose, and water was equilibrated to 261 different RH values. As discussed earlier, when a droplet containing a semivolatile compound 262 is held at constant RH, its size will slowly decrease due to evaporation of the semivolatile 263 264 compound and an appropriate amount of solvating water. From the size change (inferred from the changes to stimulated Raman band positions), the compound's pure component vapour 265 pressure is inferred from Eq. 3. Figure 8a illustrates the time-dependent fractional change in 266 size for a droplet containing 5:1 maleic acid:sucrose held at different RH values. At higher RH 267 268 (e.g. 70%) a steeper gradient in the radius change is observed as opposed to when the droplet 269 is held at a much lower RH (e.g. 10%). However, based purely on vapour pressure considerations, the mass flux of maleic acid is expected to increase with decreasing RH, as the maleic acid mole fraction is increased at low RH. In fact, when the effective vapour pressure is calculated using Eq. 3, the retrieved values span from $<10^{-5}$ Pa at 10% to 10^{-3} Pa (the true value) at 70% RH. The reason for this observation is kinetic suppression of the evaporation rate. The non-volatile sucrose component increases droplet viscosity, consequently inhibiting diffusion of maleic acid within the particle. The decreased diffusion constant makes it harder for maleic acid to reach the droplet surface and evaporate.

277 Figure 8b shows relative changes in the intensity of the vinylic C-H stretch in the 278 spontaneous Raman spectrum when maleic acid-sucrose droplets held at different RH values are exposed to the oxidant ozone. Ozone reacts with maleic acid (which contains a carbon-279 carbon double bond) but not with sucrose (which lacks a C=C bond). Ozonolysis fragments 280 maleic acid at the double bond, forming lower molecular weight molecules with a range of 281 vapour pressures. Monitoring the vinylic C-H stretch provides a direct measure of the reaction 282 rate of maleic acid through cleavage of the carbon-carbon double bond. There is a clear RH 283 dependence for reactivity (Fig. 8b), with droplets at higher RH exhibiting faster reaction 284 kinetics. For comparison, the purple triangles show the change in Iv_{C-H} for a maleic acid droplet 285 held at 73% RH in the absence of ozone (i.e. change in signal intensity entirely due to 286 287 evaporation of semivolatile maleic acid). The golden triangles show reaction of a 10:1 sucrose:maleic acid mixture at 40% RH, demonstrating that ozonolysis is effectively shut 288 down. From the spontaneous Raman data, reaction probabilities for ozone uptake can be 289 estimated. For the 5:1 sucrose:maleic acid droplet at 75% RH, the reaction probability is similar 290 291 to that retrieved from an experiment in the bulk ($\sim 8 \times 10^{-6}$). However, for the 10:1 sucrose:maleic acid droplet at 40% RH, the reaction probability decreases by more than two 292 orders of magnitude to $<3\times10^{-8}$. The explanation for the lowering of the uptake coefficient is 293 the change in diffusivity for water and ozone that results from the matrix formed by interactions 294 295 of sucrose and maleic acid.

This example demonstrates how precise measurement of changes to droplet size can provide information about the phase state of a droplet, permitting insight on diffusion within the droplet. Coupling those observations with spontaneous Raman spectra allows rationalisation of the reactivity of droplets as a function of their viscosity.

300

301 CONCLUSIONS

Aerosols are complex, highly non-ideal systems that exhibit properties that often cannot 302 easily be represented by bulk studies. Optical tweezers are a versatile approach to study 303 problems in aerosol science on a single droplet level, with a wide range of potential applications 304 spanning atmospheric science to materials science and pharmaceuticals. We showed that single 305 droplet Raman spectroscopy provides a spontaneous Raman signal that gives information on 306 chemical composition and a stimulated Raman signal when the spherical droplet behaves as a 307 low loss optical cavity at wavelengths commensurate with WGMs. Because WGM 308 wavelengths are highly sensitive to droplet size and composition, comparison of experimental 309 310 resonances to those predicted by Mie theory permits accurate and precise retrieval of the droplet's size and RI (to ± 2 nm and 0.0005, respectively). Consequently, dynamic changes to 311 droplet physical, chemical, and optical properties can be monitored with high time resolution. 312 These changes to droplet properties enable determination of fundamental droplet properties 313 including hygroscopic response, vapour pressure, surface tension, and bulk viscosity. Such 314 information allows insight into molecular processes occurring within picolitre volumes, 315 including how parameters like viscosity can affect the volatilisation and reactivity of 316 317 semivolatile compounds in the droplet. Because aerosol science is fundamental to many research areas, the AOT approach has broad utility. 318

319

320 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

B.R.B. acknowledges support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC)
through grant NE/P018459/1. No new data were created in this study.

323 **REFERENCES**

- Lelieveld, J.; Evans, J. S.; Fnais, M.; Giannadaki, D.; Pozzer, A. *Nature* 525, 367–371,
 (2015).
- 2. Forbes, B.; Asgharian, B.; Dailey, L. A.; Ferguson, D.; Gerde, P.; Gumbleton, M.;
- Gustavsson, L.; Hardy, C.; Hassall, D.; Jones, R.; Lock, R.; Maas, J.; McGovern, T.;
 Pitcairn, G. R.; Somers, G.; Wolff, R. K. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 63, 69–87, (2011).
- 329 3. IPCC. Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J.,
 330 Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P. M., Eds.; Cambridge University Press:
 331 Cambridge.
- 332 4. Bzdek, B. R.; Reid, J. P. J. Chem. Phys. 147, 220901, (2017).
- 333 5. Ashkin, A. Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 156–159, (1970).
- 334 6. Ashkin, A.; Dziedzic, J. M. Science 187, 1073–1075, (1975).
- 335 7. Power, R. M.; Simpson, S. H.; Reid, J. P.; Hudson, A. J. *Chem. Sci.* 4, 2597–2604, (2013).
- Bzdek, B. R.; Power, R. M.; Simpson, S. H.; Reid, J. P.; Royall, C. P. *Chem. Sci.* 7, 274–285, (2016).
- 339 9. Symes, R.; Sayer, R. M.; Reid, J. P. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 6, 474–487, (2004).
- 10. Ashkin, A.; Dziedzic, J. M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1351–1354, (1977).
- 341 11. Qian, S.-X.; Chang, R. K. Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 926–929, (1986).
- 342 12. Thurn, R.; Kiefer, W. Appl. Opt. 24, 1515, (1985).
- 343 13. Vahala, K. J. *Nature* **424**, 839–846, (2003).
- 14. Trevitt, A. J.; Wearne, P. J.; Bieske, E. J.; Schuder, M. D. Opt. Lett. **31**, 2211, (2006).
- 345 15. Mie, G. Ann. Phys. 25, 377–445, (1908).
- 16. Preston, T. C.; Reid, J. P. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 30, 2113–2122, (2013).
- Haddrell, A. E.; Miles, R. E. H.; Bzdek, B. R.; Reid, J. P.; Hopkins, R. J.; Walker, J. S. *Anal. Chem.* 89, 2345–2352, (2017).
- 18. Pope, F. D.; Tong, H. J.; Dennis-Smither, B. J.; Griffiths, P. T.; Clegg, S. L.; Reid, J.
 P.; Cox, R. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 114, 10156–10165, (2010).
- 19. Ovadnevaite, J.; Zuend, A.; Laaksonen, A.; Sanchez, K. J.; Roberts, G.; Ceburnis, D.;
 Decesari, S.; Rinaldi, M.; Hodas, N.; Facchini, M. C.; Seinfeld, J. H.; O'Dowd, C. *Nature* 546, 637–641, (2017).
- 20. Davies, J. F.; Miles, R. E. H.; Haddrell, A. E.; Reid, J. P. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 8807–8812, (2013).
- 356 21. Knipping, E. M.; Lakin, M. J.; Foster, K. L.; Jungwirth, P.; Tobias, D. J.; Gerber, R.
 357 B.; Dabdub, D.; Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. *Science* 288, 301–306, (2000).
- Reid, J. P.; Bertram, A. K.; Topping, D. O.; Laskin, A.; Martin, S. T.; Petters, M. D.;
 Pope, F. D.; Rovelli, G. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 956, (2018).
- 360 23. Kuwata, M.; Martin, S. T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 17354–17359, (2012).
- 361 24. Baldelli, A.; Vehring, R. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 50, 1130–1142, (2016).
- 362 25. Rayleigh, L. Proc. R. Soc. London 29, 71–97, (1879).
- 363 26. Chandrasekhar, S. Proc. London Math. Soc. s3-9, 141–149, (1959).
- 364 27. Boyer, H. C.; Bzdek, B. R.; Reid, J. P.; Dutcher, C. S. J. Phys. Chem. A 121, 198–205,
 365 (2017).
- 366 28. Song, Y. C.; Haddrell, A. E.; Bzdek, B. R.; Reid, J. P.; Bannan, T.; Topping, D. O.;
- 367 Percival, C.; Cai, C. J. Phys. Chem. A **120**, 8123–8137, (2016).
- 368 29. Bzdek, B. R.; Collard, L.; Sprittles, J. E.; Hudson, A. J.; Reid, J. P. J. Chem. Phys.
 369 145, 054502, (2016).
- 370 30. Marshall, F. H.; Miles, R. E. H.; Song, Y. C.; Ohm, P. B.; Power, R. M.; Reid, J. P.; Dutcher, C. S. Cham. Sci. **7**, 1298, 1308 (2016)



Figure 1: Illustration of a hygroscopic growth curve for a NaCl particle. Note the hysteresis in
particle phase between 43% and 75% RH, where depending on the RH pathway NaCl is either
a solid particle or a liquid droplet.



Figure 2: Schematic of a holographic AOT setup capable of collecting Raman spectra on
individual droplets as well as elastic backscattered light to study droplet coalescence.^{7,8}



382

Figure 3: a) A cavity enhanced Raman spectrum of an optically tweezed aqueous sucrose droplet. The spontaneous Raman signal centred around 650 nm is the O-H stretching vibration of water, whereas the signal around 630 nm is the C-H stretching vibration. The stimulated Raman signal is the superimposed structure on the spontaneous band. b) WGM position is closely related to droplet size.



Figure 4: Response of a glycerol droplet to changes in ambient RH. Changes in WGM position
are used to quantify changes in a) droplet radius and b) RI. The red dotted lines indicate the
loss of semivolatile glycerol during periods of constant RH.



Figure 5: Droplet a) radius, b) RI, and c) chemical composition for a coalescence sampling measurement. In the first coalescence window (first purple box), a flow of aqueous NaCl droplets was introduced. In the second coalescence window, a flow of aqueous sucrose droplets was introduced. d) Comparison of the RI-growth factor (GF_d) relationship for NaCl droplets (black, red, and blue) and a NaCl droplet after a period of coalescence sampling of sucrose aerosol (green).¹⁷



Figure 6: a) High frame rate images after coalescence of two aqueous, surfactant-doped NaCl
droplets. b) Elastic backscattered light intensity collected after coalescence (left axis) and
droplet aspect ratios from the images in part a) (right axis). c) A Fast Fourier Transform of the
signal in part b) gives the frequency of the shape oscillations.⁸ d) Measurements of picolitre
droplet surface tension for NaCl, glutaric acid, and a 1:1 mass mixture of the two compounds
(symbols) compared to a statistical thermodynamic model of surface tension (lines).²⁷



Figure 7: a) High frame rate images of coalescing sucrose droplets equilibrated to different RH values.²⁹ b) Droplet aspect ratios during coalescence for several droplets, each with different viscosities.²⁸ c) Plot of viscosity against RH for 1,4-butanetriol, citric acid, and sucrose.



416

417 Figure 8: a) Fractional change in droplet size over 1400 s for a maleic acid-sucrose droplet at four different RH values, showing the gradual retardation in maleic acid evaporation as RH 418 decreases. The green envelope shows the range of the data for the mixture at 10% RH (data not 419 shown for clarity). b) Time-dependence of the normalised spontaneous Raman signal intensity 420 421 of the maleic acid vinylic C-H stretch during oxidation experiments at different RH values for a 5:1 mass ratio sucrose-maleic acid. Purple triangles show change in signal intensity for an 422 aqueous droplet evaporating at 73% RH without reaction. Gold triangles show the change in 423 Raman intensity during reaction of a 10:1 mass ratio droplet at 40% RH.³⁰ 424