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#### Abstract

When a confined bubble translates steadily in a cylindrical capillary tube, without the consideration of gravity effects, a uniform thin film of liquid separates the bubble surface and the tube wall. In this work, we investigate how this steady state is established by considering the transitional motion of the bubble as it adjusts its film thickness profile between two steady states, characterized by two different bubble speeds. During the transition, two uniform film regions coexist, separated by a step-like transitional region. The transitional motion also requires modification of the film solution near the rear of the bubble, which depends on the ratio of the two capillary numbers. These theoretical results are verified by experiments and numerical simulations.


## Key words:

## 1. Introduction

The motion of elongated bubbles confined in small geometries is of significant geological, industrial, and medical interests. Examples include enhanced oil recovery (Blunt 2001), coating processes (Quéré 1999; Stone 2010; Kotula \& Anna 2012), particle separation (Yu et al. 2018), and lung biomechanics (Gaver et al. 1996; Hazel \& Heil 2003). When a bubble of length $L>2 R$ translates steadily in a circular capillary of radius $R$, a thin film of liquid separates the bubble surface and the tube wall, as first observed by Fairbrother \& Stubbs (1935). The thickness of this lubricating film is of particular interest, since it is crucial to the mass and heat transfer in this multiphase hydrodynamic configuration. The relationship between the film thickness and the bubble velocity $U$ was investigated theoretically by Bretherton (1961) and experimentally by Taylor (1961). Bretherton (1961) found that, with negligible buoyancy and inertial effects, the lubricating film is uniform near the center of a long bubble, and the film thickness $h^{\infty}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\infty} / R=0.643(3 C a)^{2 / 3}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 1. Schematics of a bubble translating in a circular capillary between two steady states. (a) Problem statement. The transitional dynamics and film profile $h(x, t)$ are obtained as a bubble transits between two steady states from $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, where it develops the corresponding films of uniform thicknesses $h_{1}^{\infty}$ and $h_{2}^{\infty}$. An ( $x, y$ ) coordinate system can be used to describe the film profile for this axisymmetric problem. (b) Schematic of the transitional bubble film profile, where five regions are categorized. (c) Schematic of the step-like transitional region.
where the capillary number $C a \equiv \mu U / \gamma$ is the dimensionless speed of the bubble, and $\mu$ and $\gamma$ represent the fluid viscosity and surface tension, respectively.

The dynamics of a long, confined bubble in a circular capillary has been widely investigated thereafter, including effects from finite capillary numbers, inertia, liquid impurities, buoyancy, etc. For example, the uniform film thickness increases with an increase in the bubble velocity, in which case finite capillary numbers and inertia become significant (e.g. Aussillous \& Quéré 2000; Heil 2001; de Ryck 2002; Khodaparast et al. 2015; Magnini et al. 2017). Aussillous \& Quéré (2000), for instance, provided a scaling argument to extend (1.1) to a larger range of $C a$ and fit the experimental results from Taylor (1961). Several accounts have been carried out considering the effects of impurities in the continuous fluid phase, including surfactants and suspensions. While both impurities thicken the film, surfactants increase the film thickness by introducing additional fluid flux due to a Marangoni stress (Ratulowski \& Chang 1990; Park 1992; Stebe \& Barthes-Biesel 1995; Olgac \& Muradoglu 2013), and suspensions, which lead to particles being adsorbed on the interface, thicken the film by modifying the boundary condition on the bubble surface (Yu et al. 2017). Moreover, as the tube radius $R$ increases, buoyancy effects can become significant, which breaks the film thickness uniformity or leads to film rupture (Leung et al. 2012; Atasi et al. 2017; Lamstaes \& Eggers 2017).

Although a wide variety of investigations have been carried out analyzing the relationship between the film profile and the bubble velocity, most of the literature considers the case where the translational velocity is a constant, thus the bubble profile is steady. Instead, in this paper, we ask how such a steady state is established. In order to start from a well-defined initial condition, we investigate the time-dependent dynamics of a bubble as it transits from one steady state at $C a_{1}$ to another steady state at $C a_{2}$, as shown in figure 1a. We consider the bubble translation in pure liquid, neglecting inertial and buoyancy effects, such that the film thickness at each steady state is governed by the corresponding $C a$, and thus can be predicted by Bretherton's result (1961), or Aussilous and Quéré's correlation (2000) at higher Ca. Different film regions are categorized in §2, where the theoretical derivation of the time-dependent profile will be given. The experimental set-up and numerical methods are described in $\S 3$, followed by the results and the comparison among theoretical, experimental and numerical results in $\S 4$.

## 2. Theoretical derivation

The structure of the transitional film profile solution is shown in figure 1b. In the front of the bubble (region $I$ ), which moves at the new speed $U_{2}$, a film of thickness $h_{2}^{\infty}$ is selected according to (1.1), and a uniform film of this thickness is formed. Since there is no horizontal pressure gradient along the uniform film region, there is no motion in the film relative to the tube wall. In the rear part of the bubble (region $I V$ ), the film still has its thickness $h_{1}^{\infty}$ corresponding to the initial speed $U_{1}$. The two film regions are separated by a step (region III), which remains stationary in the frame of the tube, as we will show below. Thus the length of region $I I$ is determined by how much the front of the bubble has advanced since the change in speed at $t=0$, which is $L_{I I}=U_{2} t$. Finally, there is a thin film region in the back of the bubble that exhibits the characteristic oscillations found by Bretherton (1961). However, owing to the incompressibility, the rear of the bubble moves at the same speed as the front, producing a mismatch between the speed $U_{2}$ and the film thickness $h_{1}^{\infty}$. As a result, the shape of the film in the back differs from that found for the classical steady-state Bretherton problem.

### 2.1. Uniform film thickness at steady state

We begin by summarizing Bretherton's original steady solution, which describes the steady states shown in figure 1a, and forms the basis for our description during the transition. The bubble surface is separated from the inner tube wall by a uniform thin liquid film of thickness $h^{\infty}$. Since the film thickness is much smaller than $R$, we can describe the film motion in an $(x, y)$ coordinate system as shown in figure 1a. In the limit of small capillary numbers, it follows that $|\mathrm{d} h / \mathrm{d} x| \ll 1$ (as we confirm below), and the dynamics of the film are described by the lubrication equation (Eggers \& Fontelos 2015)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{t}+\frac{\gamma}{3 \mu}\left(h^{3} h_{x x x}\right)_{x}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes the viscous motion inside the film in response to the gradient of the capillary pressure $-\gamma h_{x x}$ (here subscripts denote derivatives). Moving with the bubble at the steady-state velocity $U$, the solution is of the form $h(x, t)=h(x-U t)$. Combining with (2.1) and integrating once over $x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{3} h_{x x x}+3 C a\left(h^{\infty}-h\right)=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rescaling according to $H(X)=h(x) / h^{\infty}$ and $X=x(3 C a)^{1 / 3} / h^{\infty}$, one obtains the similarity equation (or Landau-Levich-Deryaguin-Bretherton (LLDB) equation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{3} H_{X X X}+1-H=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes the transition region between the film and the caps at either end.
We begin with the front of the bubble. Starting from the film, which corresponds to the boundary condition $H(-\infty)=1$, we integrate (2.3) toward $X \rightarrow \infty$, where the solution matches to a spherical cap of radius $R$, set by the tube radius. Integrating numerically, one finds that $H_{X X}(\infty)=0.643$. The corresponding curvature $h_{x x}=$ $H_{X X}(\infty)(3 C a)^{-2 / 3} / h^{\infty}$ thus equals to the curvature of a spherical cap, $1 / R$, which yields Bretherton's result (1.1), with a typical slope scale $|\mathrm{d} h / \mathrm{d} x| \sim C a^{1 / 3} \ll 1$. The LLDB equation takes a single boundary condition $H(-\infty)=1$ (apart from translations), as a result of which a unit film thickness (1.1) is selected at the front of the bubble.

In contrast, we have to integrate in the opposite direction in the rear of the bubble: starting from the film at $X=\infty$, where $H=1$, we now integrate toward $X \rightarrow-\infty$, the rear spherical cap of radius $R$. Here we have used the fact that the film thickness
$h^{\infty}$ is constant all along the middle of the bubble. Combining with (1.1), the similarity solution at the back is now determined by two boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\infty)=1 \quad(2.4 a) \quad H_{X X}(-\infty)=h^{\infty}(3 C a)^{-2 / 3} / R=0.643 \tag{2.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analysis of (2.3) shows that it has a unique solution subject to (2.4). While the profile has a monotonic behavior in the front, it develops characteristic oscillations in the back.

### 2.2. Film thickness profile at the transitional state

Now we generalize the steady-state theory in the previous section to the timedependent motion of a bubble in transition between two steady states, by imposing an instantaneous velocity change from $U_{1}$ to $U_{2}$, as sketched in figure 1a.

### 2.2.1. The uniform film regions (II and IV)

As shown in the steady problem (§2.1), a specific film thickness is selected near the front of the bubble based on (1.1). Thus, at the initial velocity $U_{1}$, the front of the bubble lays down a film of thickness $h_{1}^{\infty}=0.643\left(3 C a_{1}\right)^{2 / 3} R$, where $C a_{1}$ is the capillary number based on $U_{1}$. After the bubble velocity is switched to $U_{2}$, this film thickness becomes $h_{2}^{\infty}=0.643\left(3 C a_{2}\right)^{2 / 3} R$. We will show in the following subsection that, while the bubble front translates at speed $U_{2}$, the step connecting the two uniform film regions is effectively frozen in the laboratory frame. Taking the time of the velocity change to be $t=0$, the length of region $I I$ is $L_{I I} \approx U_{2} t$ (see figure 1 b ). In the reference frame of the bubble, the step is seen to move toward the rear. Eventually, when the step has moved past the entire bubble, a new steady state is established (figure 1a). This transitional process takes a period of time approximately $L / U_{2}$, where $L$ is the length of the bubble.

### 2.2.2. Dynamics of the step (III)

We aim to find an approximate description for the dynamics of the step, after it has been created by an instantaneous velocity change at $t=0$. We would like to know whether (i) the location of step changes in time, and whether (ii) there will be significant change in shape over the course of an experiment.

Following similar analyses in Boatto et al. (1993) and Bäumchen et al. (2013), we try an ansatz in the laboratory frame, in which equation (2.1) holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(s)=\frac{h(x, t)}{h_{2}^{\infty}}, \quad(2.5 a) \quad s=\frac{x+U_{w} t}{f(t)} \tag{2.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{w}$ is the traveling wave speed of the step, and $f(t)$ describes the relaxation of the step region toward a flat state. As a result, equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3 \mu}{\gamma\left(h_{2}^{\infty}\right)^{3}}\left(U_{w}-s f_{t}\right) f^{3} \hat{H}_{s}+\left(\hat{H}^{3} \hat{H}_{s s s}\right)_{s}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $U_{w}$ must vanish for (2.6) to be consistent, and so the step remains stationary in the frame of the tube. Thus, the step relaxation function $f(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{3} f_{t}=\gamma\left(h_{2}^{\infty}\right)^{3} /(3 \mu) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means the local film profile relaxes in time according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\left(\frac{4 \gamma\left(h_{2}^{\infty}\right)^{3}}{3 \mu} t+w^{4}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=f(0)^{1 / 4}$ represents the initial width of the step, in agreement with Bäumchen


Figure 2. Similarity solution of the step-like region III. Results are obtained by solving equation (2.10) numerically for different values of $r=h_{1}^{\infty} / h_{2}^{\infty}=[0.2,0.5,1,1.5,2]$.
et al. (2013). In addition, we expect the initial step width $w=\mathcal{O}(R)$. We assume that the velocity jump is triggered at $t=0$, with a transition time $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, and information propagates rapidly in the liquid. Therefore, the curvature of the front spherical cap can adjust to the pressure signal almost instantaneously, but bubble translation is negligible. Thus, we can relate the fluid volume in the step region to the fluid volume entering/exiting the film region due to the sudden curvature change in the front spherical cap:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi w\left(R-h_{1}^{\infty}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \pi w\left[\left(R-h_{1}^{\infty}\right)^{2}+\left(R-h_{2}^{\infty}\right)^{2}\right. & \left.+\left(R-h_{1}^{\infty}\right)\left(R-h_{2}^{\infty}\right)\right] \\
& \approx \frac{2}{3} \pi\left[\left(R-h_{1}^{\infty}\right)^{3}-\left(R-h_{2}^{\infty}\right)^{3}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where we approximate the step region of the bubble as a cone frustum on the left-hand side, and the front spherical cap as a hemisphere on the right and side, respectively. Assuming that $h_{1}^{\infty}, h_{2}^{\infty} \ll R$, the volume balance (2.9) simplifies to $w / R \approx 3 / 4=\mathcal{O}(1)$. This is further confirmed in the experiments and simulations below.

With $s$ defined by equation (2.5b), the similarity equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{H}^{3} \hat{H}_{s s s}\right)_{s}-s \hat{H}_{s}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions $\hat{H}(\infty)=1, \hat{H}(-\infty)=h_{1}^{\infty} / h_{2}^{\infty} \equiv r$, and $\hat{H}_{s}( \pm \infty)=$ 0 . This ODE is solved numerically using the subroutine bvp $4 c$ in Matlab. The results corresponding to various values of $r$ are displayed in figure 2, where the solutions display small overshoots owing to the fourth-order structure of the equation.

It should be noticed that, based on the results above, the step relaxation in the transition region $I I I$ is extremely slow. While the characteristic time for the transitional dynamics is $\tau_{\text {trans }} \sim L / U_{2}$, the step relaxation time $\tau_{\text {relax }}$ is estimated using (2.8), $\tau_{\text {relax }} \sim$ $(\mu R / \gamma)\left(R / h_{2}^{\infty}\right)^{3}$, where the initial step width $w$ is approximated by the tube radius $R$. Therefore, we expect to observe relaxation of the step-like profile when $\tau_{\text {trans }} \gg \tau_{\text {relax }}$, which in the lubrication limit requires extremely long bubbles, $L / R \gg C a_{2}^{-1}$. Relaxation of the step is thus difficult to observe during a physical experiment. As a result, once the step with initial width $w$ is formed, the film profile $h(x, t)$ in region III translates at velocity $-U_{2}$ relative to the bubble surface similar to a propagating shock.

### 2.2.3. The front and rear similarity solutions (I and V)

Given the existence of a uniform film in region $I V$, we now describe how Bretherton's theory is modified near the back of the bubble. On one hand, the entire bubble moves at the new speed $U_{2}$. On the other hand, as discussed before, the film thickness $h_{1}^{\infty}$ is still associated with the initial speed $U_{1}$. Thus in the reference frame of the bubble, the thin


Figure 3. Front and rear similarity solutions. (a) The dimensionless curvatures of the similarity solution. Unlike region I, whose solution agrees with Bretherton (1961) with a dimensionless curvature $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{X} \tilde{X}}(\infty)=0.643$, a family of solutions and dimensionless curvatures $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{X} \tilde{X}}(-\infty)$ are obtained at the rear cap during the transition, depending on the ratio $C a_{1} / C a_{2}$. (b) Different film undulations $\tilde{H}(\tilde{X})$ at the rear cap can be obtained as a result of the family of solutions.
film equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{3} h_{x x x}+C a_{2}\left(h_{1}^{\infty}-h\right)=0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we rescale to the LLDB equation (2.3) with the transformation $\tilde{H}=h / h_{1}^{\infty}$ and $\tilde{X}=x\left(3 C a_{2}\right)^{1 / 3} / h_{1}^{\infty}$. As in the Bretherton problem, requiring the curvature of the film solution to match the curvature $1 / R$ of the rear cap, we obtain the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}(\infty)=1 \quad(2.12 a) \quad \tilde{H}_{\tilde{X} \tilde{X}}(-\infty)=h_{1}^{\infty}\left(3 C a_{2}\right)^{-2 / 3} / R \tag{2.12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with the expression (1.1) for $h_{1}^{\infty}$, the dimensionless curvature for the rear spherical cap (2.12b) is simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{\tilde{X} \tilde{X}}(-\infty)=0.643\left(C a_{1} / C a_{2}\right)^{2 / 3}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reduces to the standard boundary condition (2.4b) when $C a_{1}=C a_{2}$.
Our numerical results for the similarity solutions in the front and the rear of the bubble are summarized in figure 3. By construction, the rescaled curvature of the front solution always approaches the universal value of 0.643 (middle panel). As a result, the front similarity solution is the universal solution of Bretherton. By contrast, according to $(2.12 b)$ the rescaled curvature at the rear depends on the ratio of capillary numbers, producing a family of different solutions. As the ratio $C a_{1} / C a_{2}$ is increased, more and more pronounced oscillations appear on the rear air-liquid interface.

## 3. Experimental set-up and numerical methods

Experiments are performed in a refractive index matching set-up (Yu et al. 2017, 2018), where a $5 \times$ objective (Mitutoyo) is used in the imaging apparatus. Pure glycerol is used as the continuous phase, with viscosity $\mu=1.00 \mathrm{~Pa}$ s (Anton Paar, Physica MCG 301) and surface tension $\gamma=65.4 \mathrm{mN} / \mathrm{m}$ (pendant drop method), respectively. A flexible tube connects the inlet of the glass capillary $(R=566 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ to a glycerol reservoir, whose pressure is adjusted and controlled by an Elveflow $®$ OB1 MK3 pressure and vacuum controller, with a settling time as small as 40 ms (Elveflow 2009). The pressure and flow rate are linearly correlated based on calibration. The flexible tube is partially unfilled before being inserted to the glass capillary. A single bubble is formed in the glass capillary from the air column when a positive pressure $p_{1}$ is turned on. The bubble then translates at velocity $U_{1}$. The pressure $p_{2}$ is switched on with a step signal
when the entire bubble enters the region of interest, which triggers the transition to a new steady state at $U_{2}$. Meanwhile, the transitional dynamics of the bubble are recorded by the imaging apparatus at a film rate of 30 fps .

Image processing is performed for each experimental image sequence, where a Matlab program is written to identify the boundaries of the bubble and the inner tube wall, with the error controlled within $\pm 1$ pixel, or $\pm 2.44 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The film profile $h(x, t)$ is obtained by the difference between the position of the bubble surface and the tube wall, and the experimental bubble volume is calculated from a volume integral based on the film profile.

Numerical simulations are carried out using a commercial finite-element solver COMSOL Multiphysics. The three-dimensional axisymmetric Stokes equations are solved numerically, and the deforming interface of the bubble is represented using the arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) technique, which facilitates an accurate and sharp capture of the interface evolution. We impose a Poiseuille flow and zero pressure boundary condition at the inlet and outlet, respectively, and apply the Young-Laplace law at the bubble interface. The liquid phase is discretised and solved, assuming a zero gas-liquid viscosity ratio. The pressure on the external side of the interface is imposed by incorporating the constraint of constant bubble volume. This framework was developed by Balestra et al. (2018) and has been well validated against the classical theory (Bretherton 1961). For more details, refer to Balestra et al. (2018) and Hadikhani et al. (2018).

A slight bubble volume variation is observed in experiments when the pressure jump is applied. Therefore, two simulations using different volumes are performed: we use the volume of the initial state at $U_{1}$ to obtain a steady-state bubble profile at $C a_{1}$ in the first run, and that of the transitional process in the second, where we trigger the transition to $C a_{2}$ by a sudden change of the underlying flux.

## 4. Comparison of experiments, numerics, and analysis

The experimental and numerical results of a bubble undergoing the transitional dynamics is shown in figure 4. A typical experimental image series is shown in figure 4a, with the zoomed-in film profiles shown in figure 4 b . The transitional motion is triggered by a pressure jump from $p_{1}=150 \mathrm{mbar}$ to $p_{2}=950 \mathrm{mbar}$, corresponding to $C a_{1}=1.96 \times 10^{-3}$ and $C a_{2}=1.65 \times 10^{-2}$, respectively. The capillary numbers are calculated from the bubble speeds, which are measured experimentally by tracking the locations of bubble nose, determined by the intersection between the tube centerline and the front cap of the bubble surface. Time $t=0$ is taken as the frame right before the pressure jump is applied, and the dynamics are shown in the consecutive frames with $\Delta t=0.467 \mathrm{~s}$ between each panel. The boundary detection results are also displayed, with the red and blue curves representing the detected bubble surface and the tube wall, respectively. As is shown in figure 4 c , the experimental (red) and numerical (blue) bubble film profile $h(x, t)$ are plotted in the laboratory frame within a distance $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the tube wall. The direct comparison between experiments and simulations shows excellent agreement. The simulation results in the first run are plotted for $t=0 \mathrm{~s}$, and those in the second run are used for the corresponding later times. The interior of the profile has the expected structure of two uniform film regions of different thicknesses, connected by a step, which remains stationary in the laboratory frame of the tube. The film thicknesses at regions $I I$ and $I V$ measured experimentally, $41.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $13.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, agree well with the theoretical prediction of $h_{2}^{\infty}$ and $h_{1}^{\infty}$ within the experimental resolution of $2.44 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In particular, note the difference between the front and rear of the bubble: while in the front the profile increases monotonically from a constant thickness toward the front cap, the behavior in the back displays oscillations, as seen in the similarity solutions (figure 3).


Figure 4. Experimental and numerical bubble profiles. (a) Experimental images and edge detection of the bubble profile. A pressure jump is applied at $t=0$, which triggers the bubble to transit between steady states from $C a_{1}=1.96 \times 10^{-3}$ to $C a_{2}=1.65 \times 10^{-2}$. The detected bubble surface and the tube wall are shown as red and blue curves, respectively. (b) Zoomed-in edge detection results in the thin film region. (c) Comparison of results in the laboratory frame among experiments (red), numerical simulations (blue) and theory (green). (d) Numerical results of the rescaled initial step width $w / R$ at different capillary number ratios $C a_{1} / C a_{2}$.

The step-like region $I I I$ is considered in both figure 4 c and figure 4 d . In the laboratory frame (figure 4c), while the front and back of the bubble move forward at speed $U_{2}$, the step is seen to be stationary and not to change shape over the timescale of the experiment, which is consistent with the estimates of $\S 2.2 .2$. Based on the film thickness ratio $r=h_{1}^{\infty} / h_{2}^{\infty}=0.32$, we computed the similarity solution for the step relaxation, which describes the relaxation of the step at long times, much longer than the duration of our experiment. Using the initial width $w$ in (2.8) as an adjustable parameter, we observe that the shape of the long-time similarity solution (green) fortuitously agrees very well with the initial step (red and blue) created by the sudden change in bubble speed. We find the initial step width to be $w=c_{1} R$, with a fitting parameter $c_{1}=2$. Furthermore, we repeated the numerical simulation with $C a_{2}$ ranging from $8.6 \times 10^{-4}$ to $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$, and measured the step width $w$ as the length over which the film thickness deviates from both $h_{1}^{\infty}$ and $h_{2}^{\infty}$ by at least $3 \%$. As is shown in figure 4d, the rescaled step width $w / R=\mathcal{O}(1)$ over different capillary number ratios $C a_{1} / C a_{2}$, which validates the order of magnitude estimate for the step width $w$.

To perform a quantitative test of the theory developed in $\S 2.2$ about the front and rear caps $I$ and $V$, we performed numerical simulations of a bubble at much smaller capillary numbers, for the transition from $C a_{1}=2.95 \times 10^{-4}$ to $C a_{2}=8.57 \times 10^{-4}$, as shown in figure 5 . The profile of the entire bubble, obtained from the numerical simulation, is plotted in figure 5b. In order to compare with the theory, the zoomed-in views of the rear and front similarity regions are displayed in panels (a) and (c), respectively. Starting in the front (figure 5 c ), excellent agreement is shown between the numerical simulation (blue) and the theoretical similarity solution at $C a_{2}$ (red), rescaled according to §2.1. As explained before, the bubble front profile is identical to that of Bretherton's steady state theory. The rear region is shown in figure 5a, comparing the numerical simulation (blue) to the unsteady theory (green) with $C a_{1} / C a_{2}=0.35$, where the results agree so well as being nearly indistinguishable. The unsteady similarity solution is rescaled based on $\S 2.2 .3$, and is one of the solutions shown in figure 3. For comparison, as the red curve, we also show the shape one would have obtained from the steady theory. This demonstrates that the unsteadiness introduces a significant change in the shape of the rear film.


Figure 5. Direct comparison for the front and rear spherical caps between the numerical simulation results (blue), and theoretical results both from $\S 2.2$ (green) and Bretherton (1961) (red). The bubble is undergoing transition from one speed to another, and the profile at simulation time $t=0.88$ is shown. (b) The results are rescaled by the tube diameter $2 R$ and plotted from the tube wall to the tube centerline. (a) Zoomed-in view near the rear spherical cap, where the results from the simulation and time-dependent theory agree, but both deviate from the classic steady-state theory at $C a_{1}$. (c) Enlarged region near the front spherical cap, where the simulation results agree with the classic steady-state theory at $C a_{2}$.

## 5. Concluding remarks

Theoretical predictions are given for the time-dependent film profile of a confined bubble transitioning between two steady states, triggered by an instantaneous velocity change. The theory is validated with experiments and numerical simulations. Several film regions can be categorized during the transition, as shown in figure 1 b . The film profile near the front cap $(I)$ and the thickness of the front uniform film region ( $I I$ ) are identical to those at the second steady state, with the length of region II extending in time. The rear uniform film region $(I V)$ is of constant thickness $h_{1}^{\infty}$, identical to that at the initial steady state. The two uniform film regions $I I$ and $I V$ are connected by a step-like film region (III), which is stationary in the laboratory frame. A similarity solution is obtained for the film profile at region III, however, for the range of capillary numbers studied, the step relaxation is too slow to be observed in the experiments and simulations. During the transition, the rear film solution $(V)$ is modified according to the ratio $C a_{1} / C a_{2}$. This modification not only provides a family of solutions near the rear spherical cap (figure 3 ), but it also modifies the undulations at the rear transitional region near the spherical cap (figure 5). It has not escaped our notice that the present unsteady theory can be generalized to continuously varying bubble speeds, as well as continuously varying tube cross-sections. A fuller account of these cases are currently under preparation.
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