×

Biotic predictors complement models of bat and bird responses to climate and tree diversity in European forests

Journal:	Proceedings B
Manuscript ID	RSPB-2018-2193.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Barbaro, Luc; Dynafor, INRA-INPT, Univ. Toulouse, Auzeville, France, Allan, Eric; University of Jena, Institute of Ecology Ampoorter, Evy; Ghent University Castagneyrol, Bastien; INRA, umr BIOGECO Charbonnier, Yohan; INRA, umr BIOGECO De Wandeler, Hans; KU Leuven Kerbiriou, Christian; Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle Milligan, Harriet; Royal Holloway University of London VIALATTE, Aude; Université de Rennes 1, UMR ECOBIO; Carnol, Monique; Université de Rennes 1, UMR ECOBIO; Carnol, Monique; Universite de Liege Faculte des Sciences Deconchat, Marc; Dynafor, INRA-INPT, Univ. Toulouse, Auzeville, France De Smedt, Pallieter; Ghent University Jactel, Herve; INRA, umr BIOGECO Koricheva, Julia; Royal Holloway, University of London, School of Biological Sciences Le Viol, Isabelle; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR 7204 MNHN-CNRS-UPMC Muys, Bart; KU Leuven Scherer-Lorenzen, Michael; Freiburg University Verheyen, Kris; Ghent University, Forest and Water Management van der Plas, F.; University of Groningen, Department of Behavioural Biology
Subject:	Ecology < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	defoliating insects, earthworms, functional diversity, spiders, ungulate browsing, trophic interactions
Proceedings B category:	Ecology

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1	Research
2	Biotic predictors complement models of bat and bird responses to climate and tree
3	diversity in European forests
4	
5	Luc Barbaro ^{1,2} , Eric Allan ³ , Evy Ampoorter ⁴ , Bastien Castagneyrol ⁵ , Yohan Charbonnier ⁵ ,
6	Hans De Wandeler ⁶ , Christian Kerbiriou ² , Harriet T. Milligan ⁷ , Aude Vialatte ¹ , Monique
7	Carnol ⁸ , Marc Deconchat ¹ , Pallieter De Smedt ⁴ , Hervé Jactel ⁵ , Julia Koricheva ⁷ , Isabelle Le
8	Viol ² , Bart Muys ⁶ , Michael Scherer-Lorenzen ⁹ , Kris Verheyen ⁴ and Fons van der Plas ^{10,11}
9	
10	¹ Dynafor, INRA-INPT, Univ. Toulouse, Auzeville, France
11	² CESCO, Museum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne-Univ., Paris, France
12	³ Plant Ecology Group, Institute of Plant Sciences, Univ. Bern, Bern, Switzerland
13	⁴ Forest & Nature Lab, Department of Forest and Water Management, Ghent Univ., Ghent, Belgium
14	⁵ Biogeco, INRA, Univ. Bordeaux, Cestas, France
15	⁶ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
16	⁷ School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway Univ. London, Egham, Surrey, UK
17	⁸ Laboratory of Plant and Microbial Ecology, Univ. Liege, Liege, Belgium
18	9Faculty of Biology, Geobotany, Univ. Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
19	¹⁰ Department of Systematic Botany and Functional Biodiversity, Univ. Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany
20	¹¹ Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Biodiversity, and Climate Research Centre, Frankfurt, Germany
21	
22	Author for correspondence: L. Barbaro (http://orcid.org/ 0000-0001-7454-5765) (<u>luc.barbaro@inra.fr</u>)
23	Running head: Biotic drivers of bat and bird diversity
24	Keywords: defoliating insects, earthworms, functional diversity, spiders, trophic interactions, ungulate browsing
25	
26	

27 (Abstract)

Bats and birds are key providers of ecosystem services in forests. How climate and habitat 28 jointly shape their communities is well studied, but whether biotic predictors from other 29 trophic levels may improve bird and bat diversity models is less known, especially across 30 large bioclimatic gradients. Here, we achieved multi-taxa surveys in 209 mature forests 31 replicated in six European countries from Spain to Finland, to investigate the importance of 32 biotic predictors (i.e., the abundance or activity of defoliating insects, spiders, earthworms 33 and wild ungulates) for bat and bird taxonomic and functional diversity. We found that 9 out 34 of 12 bird and bat diversity metrics were best explained when biotic factors were added to 35 models including climate and habitat variables, with a mean gain in explained variance of 36 38% for birds and 15% for bats. Tree functional diversity was the most important habitat 37 predictor for birds, while bats responded more to understorey structure. The best biotic 38 predictors for birds were spider abundance and defoliating insect activity, while only bat 39 functional evenness responded positively to insect herbivory. Accounting for potential biotic 40 interactions between bats, birds and other taxa of lower trophic levels will help to understand 41 how environmental changes along large biogeographical gradients affect higher-level predator 42 diversity in forest ecosystems. 43

44 **1. Introduction**

Biodiversity is a key driver of many ecosystem functions and services [1,2], particularly 45 through the maintenance of functional trait diversity [3]. Despite the long history of studies 46 examining the local, regional and global drivers of biodiversity, it remains challenging to 47 disentangle the relative importance of climate, habitat and biotic factors [4-6]. An increasing 48 number of studies is questioning the role of multiple biotic interactions across various trophic 49 levels in shaping ecological communities. However, they usually focus only on local scales, 50 while the influence of these interactions on biodiversity across larger geographical extents has 51 rarely been explored [7-9]. Thus, incorporating multispecies interactions in biodiversity 52 response models is still challenging, although it would improve our understanding of large-53 scale biodiversity patterns as compared to classical studies focusing on single taxa [7-11]. The 54 benefits of considering multi-taxa interactions may be particularly useful for species at higher 55 trophic levels such as birds and bats, which are affected by both direct effects of climate and 56 habitat changes, and their cascading effects across trophic levels [9,12,13]. 57 Importantly, the consequences of changes in bird and bat communities for ecosystem 58 functioning cannot be fully understood by focusing only on changes in taxonomic diversity 59 [14-16]. The use of functional traits not only allows monitoring changes in biodiversity 60 61 response to land use changes [14], but also to clarify the respective importance of multiple assembly processes in shaping species communities along large environmental gradients, e.g., 62 abiotic filtering, competition or facilitation [15,17,18]. For example, functional diversity can 63 increase at opposite ends of resource availability gradients, depending on whether the traits 64 involved are more related to abiotic filtering or to competitive interactions [17]. Similarly, 65 higher functional dispersion at low productivity levels suggests increased competitive 66 exclusion with the loss of functionally redundant species [15]. 67

Page 4 of 29

Taxonomic and functional diversity also tend to show distinct responses to global change 68 [19]. Functional trait diversity and composition, rather than species richness, are often the 69 most important biodiversity-related drivers of ecosystem functioning [12,16]. The number and 70 diversity of species with any particular functional traits in a given community has direct 71 effects on ecosystem-level processes. Functional diversity is therefore an indicator of resource 72 use complementarity and community responses to disturbance [20,21]. A more efficient 73 resource use by species in a given ecosystem can be inferred from higher functional evenness, 74 while strong niche differentiation and low resource competition within species assemblages 75 lead to higher functional dispersion [21-24]. Functional traits related to habitat and resource 76 77 use are particularly efficient at accounting for changes in ecosystem-level processes such as productivity or trophic interactions. While body mass is a relevant surrogate for bird 78 responses to environmental changes [25], the trophic niche of bird and bat species allows 79 predicting their responses to local habitat changes as well as energy input and food 80 availability along large biogeographical gradients [4,5,26,27]. 81 Here, we hypothesize that biotic drivers (i.e., abundance and activity of taxa from lower 82 trophic levels) can complement response models of bird and bat diversity, along a continental-83 84 scale gradient (figure 1 and table 1). We first tested if (i) including a set of biotic predictors 85 contribute to explain patterns of bird and bat taxonomic and functional diversity, once accounted for climate (temperature, heat load index and precipitations) and habitat variables 86 (forest composition and structure). We further hypothesized (see table 1) that (ii) insect and 87 88 spider abundance would positively affect bird and bat abundance and diversity, as key food resources; (iii) earthworm abundance would increase bird and bat diversity either directly or 89 by improving forest soil structure and favouring high soil arthropod abundance; (iv) ungulate 90 browsing would negatively affect bird and bat diversity, by reducing food resources and 91 foraging niches provided by understorey cover; and (v) bird and bat abundance would be 92

positively correlated in mature forest habitats across Europe, as they partly respond to the
same biotic drivers (i.e., food resources) at such a large scale.

For each biotic predictor, we tested specific hypotheses for the positive, negative or null 95 response of each bird and bat diversity metrics, according to the literature (table 1). We 96 expected an increase in all bird and bat community metrics, including mean body mass, with 97 higher insect herbivore activity due to increased food availability [24-33]; an increase in bird 98 taxonomic and functional diversity with spider abundance, but not in body mass [34-36]; an 99 increase in bat abundance and functional diversity with spider abundance (increased 100 specialized food resources), but neither in species diversity nor body mass [37]; an increase in 101 102 bird abundance, functional richness and body mass with earthworm abundance as increased resources for large bird specialists [38,39]; no effect of earthworm abundance on bats except 103 on overall bat activity [29]; a decrease on both bat and bird functional diversity and body 104 mass with ungulate browsing due to reduction in understorey cover and changes in shrub 105 composition, but no effect on abundance nor species diversity [40-42]. 106

107

108 2. Material and methods

109 (a) Study sites

110 A network of 209 mature forest plots was established in 2011 across a latitudinal gradient in

Europe ranging from 40°N to 63°N [28], in the framework of the FP7-FunDiv EUROPE

112 project (www.fundiveurope.eu). The network covered six regions within Mediterranean,

113 temperate and boreal forest biomes (Spain, Italy, Germany, Romania, Poland and Finland; see

- figure 2). The aim of this exploratory platform was to quantify the effects of tree species
- richness on multiple forest ecosystem functions. Within each region, plots were selected along
- a gradient of tree species richness ranging from one to five tree species per plot. Each region
- 117 had a pool of three to five target tree species (for a total of 16 target species across Europe)

that are regionally common and economically important. Each sampled forest plot covered an
area of 900 m² and was surrounded by a 20 m buffer to avoid edge effects. To maximize their
comparability, all plots within a country had similar ages, management and abiotic conditions
[43].

122

123 (b) Bird and bat sampling

We surveyed breeding bird communities using standardized point counts performed by 124 trained observers within a limited distance of 80 m around the observer in April-June 2012 125 (Italy, Germany and Finland) and April-June 2013 (Spain, Romania and Poland). We 126 recorded all birds, except flyovers, which were heard or seen in 15 minutes, during the first 127 four hours after sunrise on days without strong wind, snow or rain. We carefully mapped the 128 location of every recorded individual bird on circular plot fieldsheets to avoid double 129 counting the same individuals. The total number of bird individuals recorded per species in 130 each plot was used as an estimate of bird species abundance. Species detectability was 131 considered to be comparable across the six regions because of similar age and structure in all 132 sampled forest habitat types [43]. 133 Bat communities were sampled by passive acoustic monitoring in April-June 2012 (Italy, 134

135 Germany and Finland) and May-July 2013 (Spain, Romania and Poland) with an automatic

136 ultrasound recorder (Sound Meter SM2BAT, Wildlife Acoustics) located at the center of each

137 plot. Recorders were calibrated to record all bat calls from one hour before sunset to one hour

138 after sunrise, during one night per plot. Recordings were performed only when the ambient

- temperature was $> 10^{\circ}$ C, when there was no rain and wind speed was < 30 km.h⁻¹. Bat
- 140 echolocation calls were identified to species level by a trained operator using dedicated
- softwares [44]. Several groups of closely-related species difficult to separate based on their
- 142 calls were merged for data analyses when co-occurring in some countries: *Myotis mystacinus*

143 / alcathoe / brandtii; Myotis myotis / blythii; Plecotus auritus / austriacus; and Pipistrellus

kuhlii / nathusii. Bat activity was calculated as the total number of five second sequences with

145 two or more calls per species, as a proxy for species abundance [45].

146

147 (c) Bird and bat taxonomic and functional diversity

We recorded a total of 76 bird species in the six regions after excluding raptors and flyovers. 148 and a total of 27 bat species, i.e., 72% and 79% of the total pool of forest-dwelling bird and 149 bat species in Europe, respectively [44]. We first calculated the Shannon index of taxonomic 150 species diversity per forest plot. Then, we performed rarefaction and extrapolation curves for 151 Shannon species diversity using the Hill number of order 1, to quantify species diversity 152 153 patterns that were independent from total community abundance across the 6 studied regions (electronic supplementary material S1). Furthermore, we compiled 10 species life traits 154 related to habitat and resource use to compute functional diversity metrics for both birds and 155 bats (electronic supplementary material S2) [24,44]. For birds, these 10 traits were foraging 156 guild, adult diet, nest site location, migration strategy, mean laying date, home range size, 157 clutch size, body mass, species habitat specialization (SSI) and species thermal index (STI, in 158 °C) [19,46]. For bats, the 10 traits were selected to be as similar as possible to those used for 159 160 birds, i.e., foraging guild, diet specialization, nursery site, migration strategy, mean birth date, home range size, female fecundity, body mass, STI and SSI. The latter four traits were 161 continuous for both taxa, while all others were categorical (electronic supplementary material 162 S2). Bird and bat STIs were calculated from European distribution maps as the average 163 temperature experienced by a species across its geographical range during the breeding season 164 (see electronic supplementary material S3). Bird and bat SSIs were calculated as the 165 coefficient of variation of species abundance across all habitats in the European breeding bird 166

survey [47], and in an independent data set provided by the French national bat monitoring
scheme [45], respectively (see electronic supplementary material S4).

Based on the functional traits, we computed abundance-weighted functional diversity metrics, 169 using log-transformed bat species activity as a measure of bat abundance per plot, and the 170 number of bird individuals recorded as a measure of bird abundance per plot. We calculated 171 functional richness (FRic), functional evenness (FEve) and functional entropy (Rao's Q) as 172 three complementary measures of the multivariate functional trait space using the 'FD' R-173 package [48]. FRic measures the convex hull volume of the functional trait space, while FEve 174 measures the regularity of trait abundance distribution within this functional space, and Rao's 175 O the dispersion of species in functional trait space [21,48]. We further performed null 176 models to quantify functional diversity metrics corrected for species richness levels in order 177 to disentangle the drivers of trait diversity per se, independent from those of taxonomic 178 diversity. To this end, we reshuffled trait sets among species (i.e., by random permutations of 179 the rows of the species-trait table) without replacement. We then recalculated FD metrics for 180 artificial communities that were equally species-rich as the observed communities and with 181 the same species compositions, but with random sets of traits. We repeated this procedure 182 1000 times, and calculated the standardized deviation of FD (FD_{dev}) values as: 183

184
$$FD_{dev} = \frac{FD_{obs} - FD_{exp}}{sd(FD_{exp})}$$

185 where FD_{obs} is the observed FD value, $\overline{FD_{exp}}$ is the average of the 1000 randomized (i.e. 186 expected) FD values and $sd(FD_{exp})$ is the standard deviation of the 1000 randomized (i.e. 187 expected) FD values. Thus, FD_{dev} is independent from species richness, and if values are 188 greater than 0, observed FD value was higher than expected based on the taxonomical species 189 richness, whereas values below 0 indicate the opposite (see electronic supplementary material 190 S5).

191

Page 9 of 29

192 (d) Climate, habitat and biotic predictors

193 Using the WorldClim database (http://www.worldclim.org/) and the geographical coordinates of plots, we derived climatic variables for each forest plot (i.e., mean annual temperature and 194 precipitations at a 30 s resolution) and calculated a unitless heat load index based on 195 equations correcting for aspect [49]. According to preliminary analyses [44], the variation of 196 forest habitat structure and composition across all sampled plots could be summarized by a 197 limited number of vegetation attributes, including deciduous tree proportion, tree functional 198 diversity (Rao's *Q*), understorey species richness and vertical stratification index. In addition, 199 we selected other key taxa sampled in the same plots to build the set of biotic predictors (see 200 201 detailed hypotheses in table 1 and sampling methods in electronic supplementary material S6). We sampled spider abundance and monitored insect herbivory as a proxy for defoliating 202 insect abundance, to assess overall availability of bird and bat preferred prey in tree canopies, 203 i.e., caterpillars, moths and spiders [28-32]. We further sampled earthworm abundance as 204 food resources for some specialist ground-probing birds, as well as ecosystem engineers 205 having potential bottom-up effects on both bat and bird communities [38,39]. Finally, 206 ungulate browsing was also quantified, as it can negatively affect both forest birds and bats, 207 whose foraging habitat and behaviour might be affected by any browsing-induced changes in 208 209 understorey density [40,41].

210

211 (e) Data analysis

The importance of biotic drivers for bird and bat diversity metrics was evaluated using a hierarchical model fitting framework, comparing a set of competing models with an information-theoretic approach (AIC-based model selection). For each of the 12 metrics tested (bat and bird abundance, species diversity, three indices of functional diversity and mean body mass; see table 2), we increased model complexity in three steps (figure 1) by

Page 10 of 29

successively including (i) three climate predictors (mean annual temperature, mean annual 217 precipitation and heat load index); (ii) four habitat predictors (deciduous tree proportion, 218 forest stratification index, understorey species richness, tree functional diversity) and (iii) four 219 biotic predictors from multiple trophic levels (defoliating insects, spiders, earthworms and 220 ungulate browsing). At each step, the best set of predictors (those in the model with the lowest 221 AIC value) was selected before the predictors of the next step were added to the model. We 222 checked for the absence of multicollinearity among climate, habitat and biotic variables using 223 VIF correlation diagnostic tests to exclude potentially collinear predictors. We selected 224 significant predictors at each modelling step using the function 'drop1' in 'lme4' R-package, 225 which allows for a comparison of models based on AIC weights [50]. We performed a χ^2 test 226 on \triangle AICc to test for significant decrease in AICc when a given set of predictors was included 227 (see table 2). We did not include interaction terms to avoid model inflation and fitted Linear 228 Mixed Models (LMMs) for all 12 bird and bat diversity metrics, except for total bird 229 abundance, which was fitted with a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) with a 230 Poisson error distribution and a log-link function. We used a logit transformation for FEve 231 because it is constrained between 0 and 1 [48]. 232 To account for pseudoreplication due to spatial autocorrelation and clustering of forest plots 233 234 per region, region identity was added as a random factor to the models. We further controlled for differences in common target tree species identity across regions with an additional 235 random effect for target species composition, since not all tree combinations occur in all 236 regions [43]. Bat activity was log-transformed before modelling, and all model predictors 237 were scaled and centred to allow a comparison of their relative effects on bird and bat 238 community metrics. We assessed model performance by reporting marginal (for fixed effects) 239 and conditional (for both fixed and random effects) R² at each modelling step [51]. We also 240 tested for the direction of individual effects by modelling univariate relationships between 241

Page 11 of 29

biotic factors and bird and bat community metrics using a set of LMMs with the same random
effect structure as above. We systematically checked all model residuals for normality and
homoscedasticity in LMMs and overdispersion in GLMMs.

245

246 **3. Results**

Including biotic predictors significantly improved 9 out of 12 final models of bird and bat community metrics compared to those only including climate and/or habitat (table 2). The mean gain in variance explained by fixed effects (R²m) when biotic factors were added to fixed climate and habitat predictors was 38.0% for birds and 15.4% for bats (range 14.4-71.8% for birds and 7.2-32.7% for bats). Tree functional diversity was more influential for bird abundance and species diversity while bat diversity responded more to understorey structure (table 2).

All bird community metrics except functional evenness were positively correlated to either 254 spider abundance or insect herbivory (see results from univariate LMMs in table 3 and figure 255 3a). Bird abundance also significantly increased with earthworm abundance (table 3). In 256 contrast, only bat functional evenness increased with insect herbivory (figure 3b). However, 257 bat mean body mass significantly increased with ungulate browsing, while earthworm 258 259 abundance had a positive effect on bat species diversity and a negative effect on bat body mass (table 3). Moreover, bird functional richness and mean body mass, but not bird 260 abundance, were positively correlated with bat activity (LMMs with t = 1.96, P < 0.05; t = 261 2.30, P < 0.02 respectively). 262

The results of the null model analysis for functional metrics (FRic, FEve, Rao's Q and CWM body mass) are presented in electronic supplementary material S5. We found consistencies in model predictor selection for bird Rao's Q, bat FEve, and bird and bat mean body mass (see

Page 12 of 29

table S5). In contrast, bird and bat simulated FRic differed in model selection from the
observed values, as well as bird FEve and bat Rao's Q (table S5).

268

269 **4. Discussion**

In the present study, we confirm the importance of different trophic groups, especially 270 arthropod prey such as spiders and defoliating insects, as important determinants for forest 271 bird and bat communities along crossed bioclimatic and habitat gradients. Our results are thus 272 in accordance with several recent studies pointing out that local abundance of bird and bat 273 foraging guilds were best predicted when accounting for interactions between vegetation 274 structure and actual prey abundance [9,26,27,52]. We also found a positive relationship 275 between bat activity and bird functional richness, rather than taxonomic diversity, across 276 European forests. This suggests that a large range of bird functional types are able to coexist 277 in forests with high levels of bat foraging activity, likely linked to higher food availability 278 [42,53]. 279

While several metrics of bird and, to a lesser extent, of bat functional diversity were related to 280 high abundances of lower trophic levels, some of these relationships were driven by 281 taxonomic diversity patterns, rather than by trait composition or diversity per se. This was 282 283 supported by the use of null models, in which we calculated the deviation of observed functional diversity patterns from simulated communities that differed in their trait 284 composition, but not in their taxonomic composition, from observed communities. In 285 particular, the significant predictors in the response models based on simulated values for bird 286 and bat functional richness differed from the ones based on observed values, since functional 287 richness is generally correlated to taxonomic richness [21]. On the other hand, we found 288 consistencies in the selection of biotic predictors in observed and simulated models for 4 289

functional diversity metrics, namely bird functional entropy, bat functional evenness and birdand bat mean body mass.

In the present work, spider, defoliating insect and earthworm abundances were positively 292 correlated with either bird abundance or functional diversity, as expected from our initial 293 hypotheses. This is consistent with the recognized importance of defoliating caterpillars, 294 spiders and earthworms as preferred food items for forest birds [28-31,34-38]. Defoliating 295 Lepidoptera larvae are key prey items for forest birds during the breeding season, which 296 usually matches the peak in caterpillar abundance [25,31]. Caterpillars and moths are also 297 major food resources for forest bats in temperate forests [26,29,30,32]. However, contrary to 298 299 expectations, we found that insect herbivory was not affecting all bird and bat community metrics equally. For bats, only functional evenness responded positively to herbivory, as 300 expected [44], while it was not the case for birds, although we expected the strongest response 301 to insect abundance for this particular metric generally indicating an efficient resource use by 302 the predator community [21,24]. Spider abundance had a widespread positive effect on bird 303 taxonomic and functional diversity, as well as mean body mass, while it had little effects on 304 bats, since only specialist gleaning bats feed on spiders [29,34-37]. 305

In contrast with our initial hypotheses, earthworm abundance had no effect on bird functional 306 307 richness or body mass, but as expected, earthworm abundance correlated positively with bird abundance [38]. Earthworms had also additional effects on bat species diversity and body 308 mass, possibly through cascading effects across trophic levels from forest soils to these 309 higher-level predators. The relationships of bird and bat diversity with taxa from other trophic 310 levels can thus partly be explained by foraging niches and diet specialization of particular 311 species or genera. Large ground foraging birds such as thrushes (*Turdus* spp) and waders 312 (woodcock Scolopax rusticola, common snipe Gallinago gallinago and sandpipers Tringa 313 spp) specialize on earthworms during the breeding season [38], while spiders are preferred 314

prey items for bark-foraging specialists such as treecreepers Certhia spp [34]. In contrast, 315 only a few European forest bats, including some Myotis spp, can specialize on arachnids but 316 bats do not feed directly on earthworms [29,37]. However, earthworms increase soil 317 biogeochemical heterogeneity and organic matter turnover, so that their activity might lead to 318 higher insect prey abundance ultimately available for both birds and bats [39]. 319 Contrarily to our initial hypotheses, we did not detect any negative effects of wild ungulate 320 browsing on birds, but browsing intensity was associated with a decrease in the dominance of 321 small-bodied bat species, as expected. Although these effects were not detectable on birds 322 along the sampled bioclimatic gradient, this suggests that the negative effect of large 323 324 herbivores previously observed on many taxa also extend to smaller-sized forest insectivorous bats [41]. Such a potentially negative effect of browsing on bats is likely due to indirect 325 changes in resource quality and availability provided by understorey vegetation rather than a 326 direct effect of wild ungulate disturbance [40]. However, how precisely bat species respond to 327 increased ungulate densities in European forests remains to be investigated and should be 328 highly guild-dependent [42]. Smaller foliage-gleaning specialist bats might be particularly 329 sensitive to changes in understorey density and associated food resources following increase 330 331 in browsing intensity from wild large ungulates, while larger aerial foragers would be 332 favoured by clearer forest understorey created by increased browsing [26]. Most bats actually forage in the forest gaps and only few specialists can use multi-layered forests (e.g., Myotis 333 nattereri or M. bechsteinii) [37], but species such as M. mvotis also need a low grass layer to 334 335 forage on carabid beetles. The observed increase in mean bat body mass with ungulate browsing might also be an indication for more free space that can be used by larger bat 336 species in heavily-browsed shrub understoreys. 337 Beyond the direct effects of food resources, these significant biotic factors may thus not 338

always imply a mechanistic interaction, but can also serve as surrogates for mechanisms

underlying diversity patterns in bat and bird communities [6,9,13]. In line with our initial 340 hypotheses, the abundance and activity of several lower trophic levels were, across 341 geographical scales, correlated with higher abundances and diversity of birds and bats. On the 342 other hand, some expected relationships were not supported, or were relatively weak 343 compared to similar relationships documented at more local scales. We therefore suggest that, 344 while the effects of abundance and activity of lower trophic levels are often strong enough to 345 improve models explaining bird and bat diversity at continental scale, in some cases 346 relationships were weaker or non-significant, meaning that climate and habitat variables were 347 informative enough to model bat and bird responses at the large spatial extents studied here. 348 Moreover, the use of multi-trait functional diversity metrics can somewhat obscure the 349 350 relationships between individual traits and environmental gradients, which need further investigation to better infer the exact mechanisms linking the abundance of taxa from distinct 351 trophic levels in diverse forest ecosystems [17,54]. 352 The effects of forest structure on bat communities were mediated by understorey richness and 353

stratification and appeared largely negative. Bats use more specialized foraging techniques 354 (i.e., echolocation) than forest birds, which makes them particularly sensitive to understorey 355 vertical structure [26,52]. Overall, we found that forest composition, especially tree functional 356 357 diversity and the proportion of deciduous trees, was more influential for both bird and bat communities than forest structure. However, the effects of forest composition and structure 358 were not independent, e.g., an increase in the proportion of deciduous trees will also have an 359 effect on structure, e.g., canopy architecture. This is consistent with the hypothesis that 360 increasing forest habitat heterogeneity through higher functional diversity of tree species 361 should increase the abundance of taxa from higher trophic levels such as insectivorous birds 362 and bats [4,27,42]. The mechanism behind this positive effect of tree species diversity on bats 363 and birds is generally related to increased food and roost/nest availability, but defoliating 364

Page 16 of 29

insect activity could be a key factor underlying the effect of tree diversity, at least partly
reflecting overall prey availability for insectivorous vertebrates in mixed forests [30,31,42]. In
addition, the buffering effect of deciduous forests on climate-sensitive, cold-dwelling birds is
more and more acknowledged at both local and macro-scales [46]. Together with a direct
microclimatic buffering during the breeding season, such an effect could also be linked to
more abundant and predictable food resources in deciduous forests compared to conifer trees
for forest-dwelling bats and birds [32,55].

372

373 Conclusions

Biodiversity loss is known to cascade across trophic levels in complex ecosystems, with 374 declines in some species affecting the abundance and diversity of other, dependent trophic 375 groups [12,13]. Modelling bat and bird diversity across large biogeographical scales thus 376 requires taking into account not only climate and habitat variables but also direct and indirect 377 multitrophic interactions [7-10]. Our findings confirm that we need to consider biodiversity 378 changes at multiple trophic levels and large spatial scales to predict the future dynamics of 379 biodiversity conservation and ecosystem functioning under global change [56]. In such a 380 context, upper trophic levels are at a higher risk of decline, thus questioning the resilience of 381 382 ecosystems to global change [13,57]. There is therefore a critical need to better understand and monitor biotic drivers, especially those involving trophic interactions between bats, birds 383 and their prey to predict how climate and land use changes might affect the diversity of these 384 key predators in forest ecosystems. 385

386

387 Data accessibility. Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository at: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.t48p8c0

- 388 [58]. Data tables for site variables, bird species per sites, bat species per sites and bird and bat species traits.
- 389

390	Authors contributions. L.B., Er.A., Ev.A., B.C., Y.C., H.D.W., H.J., J.K., B.M., K.V. and F.v.d.P. conceived and
391	designed the study and M.S.L. coordinated the project. L.B., Ev.A, B.C., Y.C., H.D.W., H.T.M. and M.C.
392	carried out the field work and Er.A., Ev.A., H.d.W., C.K., H.T.M., A.V., M.C., M.D., P.D.S., H.J., J.K., I.L.V.,
393	B.M., K.V. and F.v.d.P. contributed to building the dataset. L.B., Er.A., Ev.A., B.C., H.D.W., H.J. and F.v.d.P.
394	designed the analyses and L.B., F.v.d.P. and B.C. performed the analyses and designed the figures. L.B. led the
395	writing and all authors contributed to writing and editing the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for
396	publication.
397	
398	Competing interests. We have no competing interests.
399	
400	Funding. The present research was funded by the European Commission's Seventh Framework Program
401	(FP7/2007-2013) under the Grant Agreement No. 265171.
402	
403	Acknowledgements. We thank L. Baeten, J.Y. Barnagaud, J. Bauhus, A. Coppi, V. Devictor, P. Gaüzère, C.
404	Grossiord, S. Hättenschwiler, F.X. Joly, S. Mueller, J. Nezan, F. Selvi, J. Van Keer, F. Vetillard and the site
405	managers O. Bouriaud, H. Bruelheide, F. Bussotti, L. Finér, B. Jaroszewicz, F. Valladares and their field teams
406	for their help. We thank K.R. Burgio and S. Fritz for their constructive comments that helped improve the former
407	draft versions.
408	
409	References
410	1. Soliveres S et al. 2016 Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for ecosystem multifunctionality.
411	Nature 536, 456-459. (doi:10.1038/nature19092)
412	2. Brockerhoff EG et al. 2017 Forest biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and the provision of ecosystem
413	services. Biodiversity and Conservation 26, 3005–3035. (doi:10.1007/s10531-017-1453-2)
414	3. Allan E et al. 2015 Land use intensification alters ecosystem multifunctionality via loss of biodiversity and
415	changes to functional composition. Ecology Letters 18, 834-843. (doi:10.1111/ele.12469)
416	4. Kissling WD, Sekercioglu CH, Jetz W. 2012 Bird dietary guild richness across latitudes, environments and
417	biogeographic regions. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21, 328-340. (doi:10.1111/j.1466-
418	8238.2011.00679.x)

- 419 5. Cisneros LM, Fagan ME, Willig MR. 2015 Season-specific and guild-specific effects of anthropogenic
- 420 landscape modification on metacommunity structure of tropical bats. Journal of Animal Ecology 84, 373–

421 385. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12299)

- 422 6. Vollstädt MGR, Ferger SW, Hemp A, Howell KM, Töpfer T, Böhning-Gaese K, Schleuning M. 2017 Direct
- 423 and indirect effects of climate, human disturbance and plant traits on avian functional diversity. *Global*
- 424 *Ecology and Biogeography* **26**, 963–972. (doi:10.1111/geb.12606)
- 425 7. Kissling WD, Schleuning M. 2015 Multispecies interactions across trophic levels at macroscales:
- 426 retrospective and future directions. *Ecography* **38**, 346–357. (doi:10.1111/ecog.00819)
- 427 8. Mönkkönen M, Devictor V, Forsman JT, Lehikoinen A, Elo M. 2017 Linking species interactions with
- 428 phylogenetic and functional distance in European bird assemblages at broad spatial scales. *Global Ecology*

429 *and Biogeography* **26**, 952–962. (doi:10.1111/geb.12605)

- 430 9. Zhang J, Qian H, Girardello M, Pellissier V, Nielsen SE, Svenning J-C. 2018 Trophic interactions among
- vertebrate guilds and plants shape global patterns in species diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 285, 20180949. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0949)
- 433 10. Heikkinen RK, Luoto M, Virkkala R, Pearson RG, Körber JH. 2007 Biotic interactions improve prediction of
- 434 boreal bird distributions at macro-scales. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **16**, 754–763.
- 435 (doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00345.x)
- 436 11. Dorazio RM, Connor EF, Askins RA. 2015 Estimating the Effects of Habitat and Biological Interactions in

437 an Avian Community. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0135987. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135987)

- 438 12. Bregman TP, Lees AC, MacGregor HEA, Darski B, de Moura NG, Aleixo A, Barlow J, Tobias JA. 2016
- 439 Using avian functional traits to assess the impact of land-cover change on ecosystem processes linked to
- 440 resilience in tropical forests. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **283**, 20161289.
- 441 (doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1289)
- 442 13. Barnes AD et al. 2017 Direct and cascading impacts of tropical land-use change on multi-trophic
- 443 biodiversity. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **1**, 1511–1519. (doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0275-7)
- 444 14. Vandewalle M *et al.* 2010 Functional traits as indicators of biodiversity response to land use changes across
 445 ecosystems and organisms. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19, 2921–2947. (doi: 10.1007/s1053101097989)
- 446 15. Cisneros LM, Burgio KR, Dreiss LM, Klingbeil BT, Patterson BD, Presley SJ, Willig MR 2014 Multiple
- 447 dimensions of bat biodiversity along an extensive tropical elevational gradient. *Journal of Animal Ecology*
- 448 **83**, 1124–1136. (doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12201)

- 449 16. Gagic V et al. 2015 Functional identity and diversity of animals predict ecosystem functioning better than
- 450 species-based indices. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**, 20142620–20142620.
- 451 (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.2620)
- 452 17. Spasojevic MK, Suding KN 2012 Inferring community assembly mechanisms from functional diversity
- 453 patterns: the importance of multiple assembly processes. *Journal of Ecology* **100**, 652–661. (doi:
- 454 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01945.x)
- Lamanna C. *et al.* 2014 Functional trait space and the latitudinal diversity gradient. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 111, 13745–13750. (doi/10.1073/pnas.1317722111)
- 457 19. Gaüzère P, Jiguet F, Devictor V. 2015 Rapid adjustment of bird community compositions to local climatic
- 458 variations and its functional consequences. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 3367–3378.
- 459 (doi:10.1111/gcb.12917)
- 460 20. Petchey OL, Gaston KJ. 2006 Functional diversity: back to basics and looking forward. *Ecology Letters* 9,
- 461 741–758. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00924.x)
- 462 21. Mouillot D, Graham NAJ, Villéger S, Mason NWH, Bellwood DR. 2013 A functional approach reveals
 463 community responses to disturbances. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28, 167–177.
- 464 (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.004)
- 22. Thompson PL, Davies TJ, Gonzalez A. 2015 Ecosystem functions across trophic levels are linked to
 functional and phylogenetic diversity. *PLoS One* 10, e0117595.
- 467 23. Gravel D, Albouy C, Thuiller W. 2016 The meaning of functional trait composition of food webs for
- 468 ecosystem functioning. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **371**,
- 469 20150268. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0268)
- 470 24. Barbaro L, Rusch A, Muiruri EW, Gravellier B, Thiery D, Castagneyrol B. 2017 Avian pest control in
- 471 vineyards is driven by interactions between bird functional diversity and landscape heterogeneity. *Journal*
- 472 *of Applied Ecology* **54**, 500–508. (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12740)
- 473 25. Rioux Paquette S, Pelletier F, Garant D, Belisle M. 2014 Severe recent decrease of adult body mass in a
- declining insectivorous bird population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281,
- 475 20140649–20140649. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0649)
- 476 26. Müller J, Mehr M, Bässler C, Fenton MB, Hothorn T, Pretzsch H, Klemmt H-J, Brandl R. 2012 Aggregative
- 477 response in bats: prey abundance versus habitat. *Oecologia* **169**, 673–684. (doi:10.1007/s00442-011-2247-
- 478

y)

- 479 27. Ferger SW, Schleuning M, Hemp A, Howell KM, Böhning-Gaese K. 2014 Food resources and vegetation
- 480 structure mediate climatic effects on species richness of birds: climate and bird species richness. *Global*
- 481 *Ecology and Biogeography* **23**, 541–549. (doi:10.1111/geb.12151)
- 482 28. Kristin A, Patocka J. 1997 Birds as predators of Lepidoptera: selected examples. *Biologia* **52**, 319–326.
- 483 29. Vaughan N. 1997 The diets of British bats (Chiroptera). Mammal Review 27, 77-94.
- 30. Wilson JM, Barclay RM. 2006 Consumption of caterpillars by bats during an outbreak of western spruce
 budworm. *The American Midland Naturalist* 155, 244–249
- 486 31. Smith KW et al. 2011 Large-scale variation in the temporal patterns of the frass fall of defoliating
- caterpillars in oak woodlands in Britain: implications for nesting woodland birds. *Bird Study* 58, 506–511.
 (doi:10.1080/00063657.2011.616186)
- 489 32. Charbonnier Y, Barbaro L, Theillout A, Jactel H. 2014 Numerical and Functional Responses of Forest Bats
 490 to a Major Insect Pest in Pine Plantations. *PLoS ONE* 9, e109488. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109488)
- 33. Penone C. *et al.* 2018 Body size information in large-scale acoustic bat databases. *PeerJ* 6:e5370
 (DOI:10.7717/peerj.5370)
- 493 34. Jäntti A, Aho T, Hakkarainen H, Kuitunen M, Suhonen J. 2001 Prey depletion by the foraging of the
- 494 Eurasian treecreeper, *Certhia familiaris*, on tree-trunk arthropods. *Oecologia* **128**, 488–491.
- 495 (doi:10.1007/s004420100677)
- 496 35. Gunnarsson B. 2007 Bird Predation On Spiders: Ecological Mechanisms And Evolutionary Consequences.
- 497 *Journal of Arachnology* **35**, 509-529. (doi.org/10.1636/RT07-64.1)
- 498 36. Kozlov MV, Stańska M, Hajdamowicz I, Zverev V, Zvereva EL. 2015 Factors shaping latitudinal patterns in
 499 communities of arboreal spiders in northern Europe. Ecography 38, 1026–1035. (doi:10.1111/ecog.01401)
- 500 37. Siemers BM, Schnitzler HU. 2000 Natterer's bat (Myotis nattereri Kuhl, 1818) hawks for prey close to
- vegetation using echolocation signals of very broad bandwidth. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 47,
 400–412.
- 503 38. Granval P, Muys B. 1995 Predation on earthworms by terrestrial vertebrates. In Proceedings of the
- 504 *International Union of Game Biologists XXII Congress* (eds S Golovatch, L Penev), pp. 480–491. Sofia,
 505 Bulgaria: Pensoft.
- 506 39. Ausden M, Sutherland WJ, James R. 2001 The effects of flooding lowland wetland grassland on soil
- 507 macroinvertebrate prey of breeding waders. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **38**, 320–338.

- 40. Nuttle T, Yerger EH, Stoleson SH, Ristau TE. 2011 Legacy of top-down herbivore pressure ricochets back
- up multiple trophic levels in forest canopies over 30 years. *Ecosphere* **2**, art4. (doi:10.1890/ES10-00108.1)
- 41. Foster CN, Barton PS, Lindenmayer DB. 2014 Effects of large native herbivores on other animals. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 51, 929–938. (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12268)
- 512 42. Renner SC, Suarez-Rubio M, Kaiser S, Nieschulze J, Kalko EKV, Tschapka M, Jung K. 2018 Divergent
- response to forest structure of two mobile vertebrate groups. *Forest Ecology and Management* **415-416**,
- 514 129–138. (doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.028)
- 43. Baeten L *et al.* 2013. A novel comparative research platform designed to determine the functional
- significance of tree species diversity in European forests. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 15, 281-291.
- 518 44. Charbonnier YM, Barbaro L, Barnagaud JY, Ampoorter E, Nezan J, Verheyen K, Jactel H. 2016 Bat and
- 519 bird diversity along independent gradients of latitude and tree composition in European forests. *Oecologia*
- 520 **182**, 529–537. (doi:10.1007/s00442-016-3671-9)
- 45. Azam C, Le Viol I, Julien J-F, Bas Y, Kerbiriou C. 2016 Disentangling the relative effect of light pollution,
 impervious surfaces and intensive agriculture on bat activity with a national-scale monitoring program.
- 523 *Landscape Ecology* **31**, 2471–2483. (doi:10.1007/s10980-016-0417-3)
- 46. Barnagaud JY, Barbaro L, Hampe A, Jiguet F, Archaux F. 2013 Species' thermal preferences affect forest
- bird communities along landscape and local scale habitat gradients. *Ecography* **36**, 1218–1226.
- 526 (doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.00227.x)
- 527 47. Le Viol I, Jiguet F, Brotons L, Herrando S, Lindstrom A, Pearce-Higgins JW, Reif J, Van Turnhout C,
- 528 Devictor V. 2012 More and more generalists: two decades of changes in the European avifauna. *Biology*
- 529 *Letters* **8**, 780–782. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2012.0496)
- 48. Laliberté E, Legendre P, Shipley B, Laliberté ME. 2015 Package 'FD'. *Measuring functional diversity from*
- 531 *multiple traits, and other tools for functional ecology.* R-package version 1.0-12. See http://cran.r-
- 532 project.org/web/packages/FD/FD.pdf
- 49. McCune B, Keon D. 2002 Equations for potential annual direct incident radiation and heat load. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13, 603–606.
- 535 50. Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S, Christensen RHB, Singmann H, Dai B, Grothendieck G. 2016
- 536 Package 'lme4'. R package version 1.1-10. See http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lme4/lme4.pdf

- 537 51. Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. 2013 A general and simple method for obtaining R 2 from generalized linear
 538 mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4, 133–142. (doi:10.1111/j.2041-
- 539 210x.2012.00261.x)
- 540 52. Blakey RV, Law BS, Kingsford RT, Stoklosa J, Tap P, Williamson K. 2016 Bat communities respond
- 541 positively to large-scale thinning of forest regrowth. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **53**, 1694–1703.
- 542 (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12691)
- 543 53. Speakman JR, Rydell J, Webb PI, Hayes JP, Hays GC, Hulbert IAR, McDevitt RM. 2000 Activity patterns
- of insectivorous bats and birds in northern Scandinavia (69 N), during continuous midsummer daylight.
- 545 *Oikos* **88**, 75–86.
- 546 54. Lopez B, Burgio K, Carlucci M, Palmquist K, Parada A, Weinberger V, Hurlbert A. 2016 A new framework
- 547 for inferring community assembly processes using phylogenetic information, relevant traits and
- 648 environmental gradients. *One Ecosystem* **1**, e9501. (doi: 10.3897/oneeco.1.e9501)
- 549 55. Dubos N *et al.* 2018 Disentangling the effects of spring anomalies in climate and net primary production on
 body size of temperate songbirds. *Ecography* 41, 1319–1330 (doi: 10.1111/ecog.03413)
- 551 56. van der Plas F et al. 2016 Biotic homogenization can decrease landscape-scale forest multifunctionality.
- 552 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 113, 3557-3562.
- 553 (doi/10.1073/pnas.1517903113)
- 554 57. Classen A *et al.* 2014 Complementary ecosystem services provided by pest predators and pollinators increase
- quantity and quality of coffee yields. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281,
- 556 20133148. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.3148)
- 557 58. Barbaro L et al. 2019 Biotic predictors complement models of bat and bird responses to climate and tree
- diversity in European forests. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10.5061/dryad.t48p8c0)

Table 1. Main hypotheses tested regarding the role of biotic predictors for bat and bird diversity metrics. Based on available data and previous works compiled from literature, we focused on the following four biotic predictors: (i) defoliating insect activity measured through canopy leaf herbivory rates; (ii) spider abundance sampled by foliage-beating of selected trees and shrubs; (iii) earthworm abundance sampled by standard litter and soil extraction; and (iv) wild ungulate browsing estimated through biomass removal on understorey vegetation (see electronic supplementary material S6 for sampling methods).

-	Defoliating insect activity	Spider abundance	Earthworm abundance	Ungulate browsing		
Birds	Ľ					
Abundance	Increase	Increase	Increase	No effect		
Species div.	Increase	Increase	No effect	No effect		
Funct. rich.	Increase	Increase	Increase	Decrease		
Funct. div.	Increase	Increase	No effect	Decrease		
Body mass	Increase	No effect	Increase	Decrease		
Main references	[24,25,28,31]	[34-36]	[38,39]	[40-42]		
Bats						
Abundance	Increase	Increase	Increase	No effect		
Species div.	Increase	No effect	No effect	No effect		
Funct. rich.	nct. rich. Increase		No effect	Decrease		
Funct. div.	Increase	Increase	No effect	Decrease		
Body mass	Increase	No effect	No effect	Decrease		
Main references	[29,30,32,33]	[29,37]	[29,33]	[40-42]		

568

569

572

571

- 574
- 575
- 576

577	Table 2. Respective performance of climate-only, climate-habitat and climate-habitat-biotic
578	mixed models to predict bird and bat taxonomic and functional community metrics. Best final
579	models are indicated in bold. R^2m = marginal Nakagawa's R^2 for fixed effects; R^2c =
580	conditional Nakagawa's R ² for both random and fixed effects; FEve and Rao are logit-
581	transformed and log-transformed bat activity was used as a proxy for abundance; ns = not
582	significant. χ^2 tests indicates significance level of final model according to $\Delta AICc$.
583	Significance levels for tests and individual predictors are as follows: $*P < 0.05$; $**P < 0.01$;
584	*** $P < 0.001$. Codes for response variables and predictors as follows: SDI = Shannon
585	Diversity Index; FRic = Functional richness; FEve = Functional evenness; Rao = Functional
586	entropy; CWM mass = Community Weighted Mean body mass; Temp = Mean annual
587	temperature; Precip = Mean annual precipitation; HLI = Heat Load Index; Decid = deciduous
588	tree proportion; Undric = understorey plant species richness; Stratif = understorey
589	stratification index; Treerao = tree functional entropy; Brows = ungulate browsing; Earth =
590	earthworm abundance; Insect = defoliating insect abundance; Spider = spider abundance.
591	

CLI-	HAB	CLI-	HAB	BIO
CLI-	НАВ	CLI-	нав-	·ы

	R ² m	R ² c	R²m	R ² c	R²m	R ² c	χ^2	Significant predictors				
Birds								Climate + Habitat		+ Biotic		
Abundance	ns	ns	0.024	0.294	0.085	0.344	0.004**	-	+ Treerao**	+ Earth*+ Insect**		
SDI	0.024	0.389	0.066	0.397	0.098	0.453	0.04*	+ HLI**	+ Treerao**	+ Insect*+ Spider*		
FRic	0.090	0.293	ns	ns	0.121	0.308	0.009**	+ Temp*	-	+ Insect*+ Spider*		
FEve	0.083	0.093	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	- Prec**	-	-		
Rao	0.136	0.270	ns	ns	0.205	0.329	0.01*	- Prec**	-	+ Spider*+ Brows*		
CWM mass	0.154	0.264	ns	ns	0.180	0.302	0.04*	+ Temp*- Prec*	-	+ Spider*		
Bats												
Abundance	ns	ns	0.094	0.283	0.106	0.309	0.04*	-	+ Decid**- Stratif**	+ Spider*		
SDI	ns	ns	0.079	0.242	0.088	0.219	0.04*	-	- Stratif***+ Undric*	+ Earth*		
FRic	ns	ns	0.036	0.248	ns	ns	ns	-	- Stratif*	-		
FEve	ns	ns	0.103	0.366	0.111	0.286	0.02*	-	+ Undric*	+ Insect*		
Rao	ns	ns	0.054	0.262	ns	ns	ns	-	- Stratif**	-		
CWM mass	ns	ns	0.035	0.153	0.052	0.153	0.05*	-	- Decid*	+ Brows*		

592

594 Table 3. Effects of biotic variables on bird and bat community metrics (univariate Linear 595 Mixed Models). Significant models are indicated in bold; *logit-transformation was used for 596 response variable; **log-transformed bat activity was used as a proxy for abundance. All 597 predictors were scaled and centered before modelling. See table 2 for codes of bird and bat 598 diversity metrics.

Defoliating insects				Spiders	Spiders Earthworms			Ungulates				
Birds	estimates	R ² m	Р	estimates	R ² m	Р	estimates	R ² m	Р	estimates	R ² m	Р
Abundance	0.045	0.025	0.01	0.030	-	ns	0.046	0.025	0.05	-0.0004	-	ns
SDI	0.027	-	ns	0.047	0.022	0.02	0.037	-	ns	0.0004	-	ns
FRic	0.006	0.014	0.05	0.007	0.018	0.05	0.006	-	ns	0.001	-	ns
FEve*	-0.010	-	ns	-0.005	-	ns	-0.030	-	ns	0.019	-	ns
Rao*	-0.009	-	ns	0.021	0.016	0.05	0.009	-	ns	0.017	-	ns
CWM mass	-1.799	-	ns	5.135	0.020	0.05	3.185	-	ns	-0.618	-	ns
Bats												
Abundance*	* 0.189	-	ns	-	ns	-	0.247	-	ns	-0.168	-	ns
SDI	-0.0005	-	ns	-	ns	-	0.076	0.024	0.05	0.029	-	ns
FRic	-0.001	-	ns	-	ns	-	-0.003	-	ns	0.001	-	ns
FEve*	0.230	0.066	0.005	-	ns	-	0.142	-	ns	-0.005	-	ns
Rao*	0.002	-	ns	-	ns	-	0.0001	-	ns	0.002	-	ns
CWM mass	-0.162	-	ns	-	ns	-	-0.540	0.034	0.04	0.465	0.026	0.03

600 Figure 1. Conceptual figure of hypothetical direct and indirect effects of climate (red panel),

habitat (green panel) and biotic (yellow panel) predictors on bird and bat diversity metrics.

Black and white arrows indicate positive and negative effects, respectively; full and dotted

arrows indicate direct and indirect effects, respectively.

604

- Figure 2. Location map of sampled forest plots of the FunDivEurope exploratory platform in
 Europe, spread across Spain, Italy, Romania, Germany, Poland and Finland (N = 209). The
 regional pool of tree species sampled and the number of plots per country are indicated.
- 609 **Figure 3.** Univariate Linear Mixed Models in response to insect herbivory for a) bird
- abundance and b) bat functional evenness. See table 3 for model coefficients, R²m values and *P*-values.





a)





