1	Landscape heterogeneity strengthens the relationship between β-diversity and ecosystem
2	function
3	Edd Hammill ¹ , Charles P. Hawkins ¹ , Hamish S. Greig ² , Pavel Kratina ³ , Jonathan B. Shurin ⁴ ,
4	Trisha B. Atwood ¹
5	¹ Department of Watershed Sciences and the Ecology Center, Utah State University, 5210 Old
6	Main Hill, Logan, UT, USA
7	² School of Biology and Ecology, University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA
8	³ School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1
9	4NS, UK
10	⁴ Division of Biological Sciences, 9500 Gilman Dr., University of California, La Jolla, CA,
11	92093, USA
12	Running title: β-diversity and ecosystem function
13	Correspondence e-mail to Edd Hammill - edd.hammill@usu.edu
14	Abstract - 332
15	Main body text – 5184
16	Tables - 0
17	Figures – 3
18	References – 68
19	Supplementary Materials - 1
20	Keywords beta-diversity, ecosystem function, landscape ecology, meta-communities.
21	

22 Abstract

Consensus has emerged in the literature that increased biodiversity enhances the capacity of 23 ecosystems to perform multiple functions. However, most biodiversity/ecosystem function 24 studies focus on a single ecosystem, or on landscapes of homogenous ecosystems. Here we 25 investigate how increased landscape-level environmental dissimilarity may affect the 26 relationship between different metrics of diversity (α , β , or γ) and ecosystem function. We 27 produced a suite of simulated landscapes, each of which contained four experimental outdoor 28 aquatic mesocosms. Differences in temperature and nutrient conditions of the mesocosms 29 30 allowed us to simulate landscapes containing a range of within-landscape environmental heterogeneities. We found that the variation in ecosystem functions was primarily controlled by 31 environmental conditions, with diversity metrics accounting for a smaller (but significant) 32 amount of variation in function. When landscapes were more homogeneous, α , β , and γ diversity 33 was not associated with differences in primary production, and only γ was associated with 34 changes in decomposition. In these homogeneous landscapes, differences in these two ecosystem 35 functions were most strongly related to nutrient and temperature conditions in the ecosystems. 36 However, as landscape-level environmental dissimilarity increased, the relationship between α , 37 β , or γ and ecosystem functions strengthened, with β being a greater predictor of variation in 38 decomposition at the highest levels of environmental dissimilarity than α or γ . We propose that 39 when all ecosystems in a landscape have similar environmental conditions, species sorting is 40 41 likely to generate a single community composition that is well suited to those environmental conditions, β is low, and the efficiency of diversity-ecosystem function couplings is similar 42 across communities. Under this low β , the effect of abiotic conditions on ecosystem function will 43 44 be most apparent. However, when environmental conditions vary among ecosystems, species

sorting pressures is different among ecosystems, producing different communities among
locations in a landscape. These conditions lead to stronger relationships between β and the
magnitude of ecosystem functions. Our results illustrate that abiotic conditions and the
homogeneity of communities influence ecosystem function expressed at the landscape-scale.

49

50 Introduction

Human activities have dramatic impacts on the abiotic conditions and biota of natural 51 52 ecosystems (Vitousek et al. 1997, Cardinale et al. 2012a). Atmospheric pollutants have affected global temperatures (Forster et al. 2007), while a shift towards industrial-scale agriculture has 53 accelerated levels of nutrient release (Tilman 1999, Bouwman et al. 2009), leading to increased 54 rates of eutrophication (Dupas et al. 2015). The realized impacts of changes in temperature and 55 nutrient levels are not uniformly distributed across time and space, increasing heterogeneity in 56 abiotic conditions among ecosystems within a landscape and producing novel environmental 57 conditions (Ashcroft et al. 2009, Hobbs et al. 2009). Moreover, the dispersal of nutrient 58 pollutants within a landscape varies considerably depending on soil type, hydrology, land use 59 and topography (Heathwaite et al. 2005, Okubo and Levin 2013). Human activities may 60 therefore increase heterogeneity in temperature and nutrient conditions (hereon termed 61 "environmental dissimilarity") above levels generated by natural processes. These changes to 62 environmental conditions may directly alter rates of ecosystem functions (Gruber and Galloway 63 2008, Shurin et al. 2012), but also present critical challenges for understanding 64 biodiversity/ecosystem function relationships at the landscape scale. 65 66 Biodiversity varies among and within ecosystems on every spatial and temporal scale

(Barbour et al. 1999, Bailey et al. 2004, Resh 2007, Lefcheck et al. 2015), and its measure is

both scale- and question-dependent. Local diversity, the number of species occurring within a local ecosystem is usually referred to as α-diversity (hereon "α"). Landscape-level or regional diversity (i.e., the number of species observed across individual ecosystems) is termed γ diversity (hereon γ). Turnover among communities within a region (differences in community composition or α among local ecosystems) has been broadly referred to as β-diversity (hereon "β", Whittaker 1972).

74 There is growing consensus among ecologists that higher biodiversity often begets increased levels of ecosystem functioning across many types of ecosystems (Cardinale et al. 2012a). In 75 76 addition, the specific species present, particularly the functionally dominant species, can have major impacts on ecosystem function (Grime 1998, Naeem et al. 2012, Atwood et al. 2014a). 77 However, with a few notable exceptions (Pasari et al. 2013, Barnes et al. 2016, Burley et al. 78 2016, Hautier et al. 2018, Winfree et al. 2018), the majority of empirical investigations of 79 biodiversity-ecosystem function relationships have focused on local species richness (α). This 80 focus on local species richness overlooks the scale dependence of the biodiversity-ecosystem 81 function relationship (Isbell et al. 2017), and assumes that the processes driving the relationship 82 are equal at the ecosystem and landscape level. 83

Within a single ecosystem, or a homogeneous landscape of abiotically similar ecosystems,
species sorting may lead to a single, "functionally optimal" community, composed of taxa with a
series of traits and population densities that are well suited to exploit available resources.
Furthermore, theory suggests that community composition should be similar across such
landscapes because the available niches ought to be filled by the same species in each ecosystem
(Kraft et al. 2008). In this case, we would therefore expect that α would be similar among
ecosystems, and both β and γ should be relatively low. In contrast, as environmental conditions

91 across landscapes differ, species sorting should result in local communities that differ in species composition (Barnes et al. 2016). Each of these different communities then consists of species 92 best adapted to each local habitat (Winder et al. 2009), and potentially meaning that species 93 turnover (β) is more important to maintain ecosystem function than α at larger spatial scales 94 (Winfree et al. 2018). We therefore expect that as we move from the ecosystem scale to the 95 landscape scale, environmental heterogeneity within a landscape may mean there is no single, 96 functionally optimal community that is able to best perform ecosystem functions (Isbell et al. 97 2017, Winfree et al. 2018). In landscapes with a high degree of environmental heterogeneity, we 98 might observe similar levels of α if each ecosystem provides a similar amount of niche space, but 99 higher β and γ than in homogeneous landscapes. Moreover, higher levels of β may be associated 100 within increased ecosystem functioning in heterogeneous landscapes, as different communities 101 102 may perform different functions, and perform some functions to a greater degree, under different environmental conditions (Thompson and Gonzalez 2016, Isbell et al. 2017). In addition, 103 changes to abiotic conditions may increase the importance of stochastic determinants of 104 community composition (Chase 2010), leading to changes in α , β , and γ and ecosystem functions 105 either directly (Burley et al. 2016) or indirectly (Barnes et al. 2016). 106

107 To understand how differences in landscape-level environmental dissimilarity can alter the 108 relationships among ecosystem functions and $\alpha \beta \gamma$, we used data from an outdoor freshwater 109 mesocosm experiment in which invertebrate community composition and core ecosystem 110 functions were measured. Temperature and nutrient conditions were manipulated, and the 111 subsequent variation in conditions among mesocosms was used to create simulated landscapes 112 with differing levels of environmental dissimilarity. This approach allowed us to examine how 113 the relationships between ecosystem functions and $\alpha \beta \gamma$ varied with environmental dissimilarity. 114 For each mesocosm we measured two ecosystem functions that represent basal trophic resources in aquatic food webs: primary production and leaf litter decomposition. We predicted that much 115 of the variation in these ecosystem functions would be controlled by nutrient and temperature 116 levels in the landscape due to the importance of bottom-up control, especially the role of 117 nutrients in controlling primary production (Harpole et al. 2011). With respect to the role of 118 diversity, we hypothesized that at low levels of environmental dissimilarity, α and γ would be 119 more strongly associated with landscape-level ecosystem functions than β . In this case, the 120 absolute diversity within both individual ecosystems and the landscape as whole would influence 121 landscape-level ecosystem function, and a single functionally optimal community would occupy 122 all habitats within the landscape. However, at higher levels of environmental dissimilarity, we 123 hypothesized that β would be more strongly associated with landscape-level ecosystem functions 124 than either α or γ . When environmental conditions among ecosystems in a landscape differ, each 125 ecosystem within the landscape has the potential to have a different community that is well 126 adapted to the particular abiotic conditions of that ecosystem. 127

128

129 Methods

130 Experimental set-up and data collection

Experimental ponds consisted of twenty, 1136 L Rubbermaid[®] cattle water tanks housed at the University of British Columbia's pond facility (Vancouver, Canada) and represented a subset of treatments from a broader experiment (Greig et al. 2012, Kratina et al. 2012). This broader experiment contained mesocosms where a top predator had been added, and we elected to exclude these treatments from the current analysis to focus on the effects of nutrients and 136 temperature. Nutrient and temperature conditions among the ponds were directly manipulated in a 2 x 2 fully factorial design. Nutrient levels within each pond were designated as either ambient 137 or elevated, with elevated conditions being achieved through monthly additions of 264 µg of 138 nitrogen L^{-1} (as NaNO₃) and 27 µg of phosphorus L^{-1} (as KH₂PO₄). Temperature treatments were 139 designated as either ambient or elevated. Heating tanks with 300W Hagen[®] aquarium heaters 140 produced temperatures 3°C above ambient tank temperatures. Nutrient and warming treatments 141 were randomly assigned to the 20 ponds, to generate four experimental treatment combinations 142 of five replicates each. 143

At the start of the experiment, mesocosms were inoculated with phytoplankton, 144 zooplankton, macroinvertebrates and sediments from local sources. The experimental conditions 145 were maintained for 16 months, during which time the tanks were open to allow natural 146 147 colonization of macroinvertebrates and plankton from local sources. We assume that due to the close spatial proximity of the mesocosms (1m between mesocosms) there were minimal 148 differences in dispersal rates. This lack of differential dispersal rates, together with the fact that 149 identical communities were initially inoculated, would mean that differences in diversity were 150 generated predominantly through species sorting under the different treatment conditions within 151 the mesocosm, and stochastic processes. After 12 months, abundance data on 25 benthic macro-152 invertebrates and 16 zooplankton taxa were used to calculate α , β , and γ diversity of consumers. 153 Benthic macroinvertebrates were sampled with two $0.02m^2$ cylinder pipe samples per tank along 154 155 with quantitative wall and water column sweeps, whereas zooplankton were collected in a 10L depth-integrated water column samples (details in Shurin et al. 2012; Kratina et al. 2012). We 156 also collected data on two ecosystem functions: leaf litter decomposition and net ecosystem 157 158 productivity (NEP). Decomposition rates were calculated by fitting negative exponential decay

models to the mass of leaf matter (bigleaf maple, *Acer macrophyllum*) present in 10 mm mesh
Nitex[®] bags at 0 and 8 weeks (Benfield 2007, Greig et al. 2012). Net ecosystem productivity was
calculated as the difference in O₂ concentrations between dawn and dusk for each mesocosm
(Shurin et al. 2012). This metric of NEP therefore measures the difference between
photosynthesis and respiration of the whole community over the course of the daylight hours.

165 *Simulated landscapes*

The 20 experimental ponds were arranged in all possible combinations to construct 4845 unique 166 167 simulated landscapes. These simulated landscapes were generated by randomly selecting a unique, random sample without replication of 4 of the 20 ponds (Pasari et al. 2013). As there was 168 no spatial component to the experiment, the ecosystems placed into each experimental landscape 169 170 were selected independently of their spatial location. Environmental heterogeneity within the landscape was indexed using a 2 x 2 matrix of environmental conditions. Each experimental 171 pond within the landscape was classified according to its environmental conditions, i.e. whether 172 its nutrient levels were ambient [1] or elevated [2], and whether temperature was ambient [1] or 173 elevated [2]. The environmental dissimilarity index was then calculated as the Manhattan 174 distance between the ecosystems. 175

The number of potential ecosystem combinations that can produce landscapes with different environmental dissimilarities is substantially different (e.g. there are 20 different mesocosm combinations that produce landscapes with a dissimilarity of 0, but 2000 combinations produce a dissimilarity of 7). We thus resampled simulated landscapes within each level of environmental dissimilarity 2000 times to balance the number of landscapes across dissimilarity levels. The experimental design includes two levels of temperature and two levels

of nutrients. Although changes in the two factors are unlikely to be exactly biologically
equivalent, both increased nutrients and increased temperature represent ecosystem-level
stressors that may generate pools of tolerant species that differ from communities under ambient
conditions. Note that environmental dissimilarity index values of 1, 2 and 5 are mathematically
impossible with this design.

For each simulated landscape, we calculated landscape-level values of α , β , and γ . The 187 total diversity (γ) was partitioned into α and β components by decomposing the Shannon entropy 188 (Jost 2007, 2010). This method of partitioning means that α and β components are independent 189 190 of each other and can then be converted into their numbers equivalents (or true diversity) by taking the exponential value of each metric (Jost 2007). As this method generates α and β 191 diversities that are independent of each other (Jost 2007), they can be included as uncorrelated 192 193 variables within the statistical analyses. In addition, the partitioning of Shannon entropy into α and β components means that the resulting values depend both on the number of species and the 194 number of individuals of each species (i.e. both species richness and species evenness), making 195 them less sensitive to the detection of rare species and under-sampling (Beck et al. 2013). All 196 these processes were performed using the "entropart" package in R programming language 197 (Marcon and Hérault 2015). 198

199

200 The relationships between environmental dissimilarity, diversity, and ecosystem functions

To quantify how environmental dissimilarity affected each of α , β , and γ , we regressed each diversity type against the environmental dissimilarity index. As previous experiments have demonstrated relationships between biodiversity and both temperature and nutrient levels (Worm et al. 2002, Wang et al. 2009), the number of mesocosms that had elevated nutrients or elevated temperature were included as covariates. The environmental dissimilarity index is independent of the number of elevated nutrient or temperature mesocosms as there are multiple ways to produce different values of the index. However, landscapes with an environmental dissimilarity of 8 always contained two mesocosms with elevated nutrients and two with elevated temperature crossed in a 2x2 manner, hence nutrient and temperature levels were completely redundant in landscapes with environmental dissimilarities of 8. We therefore excluded temperature and nutrient covariates from these regressions.

We further determined how two ecosystem functions (NEP and leaf litter decomposition) 212 213 were associated with α , β , and γ . As NEP was estimated as the difference between photosynthesis (of both phytoplankton and periphyton) and respiration (of both the planktonic 214 and benthic communities), we used measures of α , β , and γ based on the benthic 215 216 macroinvertebrates and zooplankton taxa within each mesocosm. However, in the case of leaf litter decomposition, we used measures of α , β , and γ based only on non-predatory benthic 217 macroinvertebrates because zooplankton do not consume benthic leaf litter (Shurin et al. 2012). 218 First, we analyzed a single "global" model for each ecosystem function, and tested for an 219 interaction between each metric of diversity and environmental dissimilarity. A significant 220 interaction would indicate the relationship between landscape level and ecosystem function 221 differs with the level of environmental dissimilarity. After we found a significant 222 Benvironmental dissimilarity interaction, we separately analyzed the relationship between each 223 224 ecosystem function and α , β , and γ with data from each of the six landscapes with environmental dissimilarities of 0, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8. These six separate analyses each produced a slope of the 225 relationship between each ecosystem function and α , β , or γ . As we were running six different 226 regressions, we applied a Bonferroni correction (Weisstein 2004) to avoid inflating the chances 227

228	of type 1 errors. This Bonferroni correction meant that we used an alpha level of 0.008 to
229	determine significant differences. In order to understand the relative importance of α , β , γ and
230	elevate temperature and nutrients, the R ² value for the linear models was decomposed among
231	factors using "lmg" metric from the "calc.relimp" function within the relaimpo package
232	(Grömping 2006) in R. This metric decomposes the total R^2 value into non-negative portions for
233	each variable, that sum up to the total R^2 (Lindeman et al. 1980). As the order in which variables
234	are added to a model can influence their relative importance, this metric takes the relative
235	importance of each variable averaged over every order in which the variables can be added into
236	the linear model (Lindeman et al. 1980, Johnson and LeBreton 2004, Grömping 2006).
237	Many previous analyses of the relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem
238	functions have utilized biodiversity metrics based on species richness, rather than abundance-
239	weight metrics (Loreau et al. 2001, Cardinale et al. 2006, Lefcheck et al. 2015). In order to make
239 240	weight metrics (Loreau et al. 2001, Cardinale et al. 2006, Lefcheck et al. 2015). In order to make our results more comparable to previous studies, we repeated the whole analysis using species
239 240 241	weight metrics (Loreau et al. 2001, Cardinale et al. 2006, Lefcheck et al. 2015). In order to make our results more comparable to previous studies, we repeated the whole analysis using species richness instead of abundance weighed metrics and present the results as a supplementary
239 240 241 242	weight metrics (Loreau et al. 2001, Cardinale et al. 2006, Lefcheck et al. 2015). In order to make our results more comparable to previous studies, we repeated the whole analysis using species richness instead of abundance weighed metrics and present the results as a supplementary sections (<i>Supplementary materials</i>). However, as the use of abundance weighted metrics has the
239 240 241 242 243	weight metrics (Loreau et al. 2001, Cardinale et al. 2006, Lefcheck et al. 2015). In order to make our results more comparable to previous studies, we repeated the whole analysis using species richness instead of abundance weighed metrics and present the results as a supplementary sections (<i>Supplementary materials</i>). However, as the use of abundance weighted metrics has the potential to increase the amount of information contained in diversity measures (Barwell et al.
239 240 241 242 243 244	 weight metrics (Loreau et al. 2001, Cardinale et al. 2006, Lefcheck et al. 2015). In order to make our results more comparable to previous studies, we repeated the whole analysis using species richness instead of abundance weighed metrics and present the results as a supplementary sections (<i>Supplementary materials</i>). However, as the use of abundance weighted metrics has the potential to increase the amount of information contained in diversity measures (Barwell et al. 2015), and may have a greater impact on ecosystem functions than richness (Winfree et al.

246

247 **Results**

248 When α , β , and γ were measured in terms of their numbers equivalents (true diversity),

249 landscape-level mean α did not have a significant relationship with either the environmental

250 dissimilarity index, but was reduced by 1.06 ± 1.04 (mean \pm S.E., n=4845) for every elevated temperature mesocosm. Mean α also decreased by 1.01 ± 1.002 for every elevated nutrient 251 mesocosm within the landscape ($F_{(1,4841)} = 71.43$, P < 0.001). Landscape level β increased with 252 increasing environmental heterogeneity, being 1.04 ± 1.006 (mean \pm s.e.) higher when the 253 environmental dissimilarity index was 8 compared with 0 (linear regression, $F_{(1,4841)} = 161.22$, P 254 < 0.001, Fig. 2). However, we observed a wide range of β across all levels of environmental 255 dissimilarity, and there was substantial overlap of the ranges (Fig. 2). This high level of overlap 256 in β is critical to the following analyses, as it allows us to compare the relationship between β 257 258 and ecosystem functions across the different levels of environmental dissimilarity. In addition, β increased by 1.03 ± 1.0035 for each mesocosm containing elevated nutrients (F_(1.4841) = 1108.43, 259 P < 0.001), and increased by 1.03 ± 1.003 for each mesocosm with elevated temperature (F_(1.4841)) 260 = 8.42, P < 0.001). Landscape-level γ increased with environmental dissimilarity (F_(1.4841) = 261 99.21, P < 0.001), increased by 1.015 ± 1.0025 for every elevated nutrient mesocosm (F_(1.4841) = 262 248.38, P< 0.001) and decreased by 1.011 ± 1.0023 for each elevated temperature mesocosm 263 $(F_{(1.4841)} = 144.51, P < 0.001).$ 264

To understand the relationships between ecosystem processes, diversity metrics and 265 environmental conditions, we regressed both NEP and decomposition against α , β , γ , and the 266 number of mesocosms containing elevated temperature and nutrient treatments. Our global 267 analysis of landscape-level NEP revealed a significant interaction between environmental 268 dissimilarity and β (multiple linear regression, F_(1.4835) = 8.69, P = 0.003). Specifically, the 269 positive effect of β on NEP and the amount of variation explained by β increased as 270 environmental dissimilarity increased (Fig. 2). NEP was associated with significant changes in α 271 and γ (P < 0.001), although neither diversity metric significantly interacted with environmental 272

273	dissimilarity. NEP increased by $13.65\% \pm 1.88\%$ for each mesocosm containing elevated
274	nutrients ($F_{(1,4835)} = 74.91$, P < 0.001), and increased by 2.75% ± 0.63% for each elevated
275	temperature mesocosm ($F_{(1,4835)}$ = 17.67, P < 0.001). NEP significantly increased as mean α
276	increased at all levels of environmental dissimilarity \geq 3 (Fig. 2a). Across all levels of
277	environmental dissimilarity, the proportional change in NEP associated with α varied from 0.030
278	when environmental dissimilarity was 3, to 0.044 when environmental dissimilarity was 8 (Fig.
279	2b). NEP was involved in a significant positive relationship with β at environmental dissimilarity
280	levels \geq 3 (Fig. 2a), and accounted for between 0.034 and 0.080 of the variation in NEP (Fig.
281	2b). The relationships between NEP and γ were positive when environmental dissimilarity was \geq
282	3 (Fig. 2a). The proportion of variation in NEP associated with γ ranged between 0.037 and
283	0.060 (Fig. 2b). The relationship between NEP and nutrients was positive across all
284	environmental dissimilarities where it could be assessed (level 8 could not be assessed) (Fig. 2a),
285	and changes in the number of elevated nutrient mesocosms were associated with most of the
286	proportional variation (0.41-0.53, Fig. 2b). The relationship between NEP and temperature was
287	positive across all levels of environmental dissimilarity where it could be assessed (Fig. 2a), and
288	temperature accounted for between 0.010 and 0.017 of the proportional variation (Fig. 2b).
289	The global analysis revealed that landscape-level leaf litter decomposition was
290	significantly associated with an interaction between β and environmental dissimilarity (F _(1,4835) =
291	32.12, $P < 0.001$). The direction of this interaction indicated that the slope of the relationships
292	between β and decomposition increased with increasing environmental dissimilarity.
293	Decomposition rates varied with both α and γ (both P < 0.001), although neither one significantly
294	interacted with environmental dissimilarity ($P = 0.79$ and $P = 0.23$ respectively). Decomposition
295	rates also increased by 9.46% \pm 0.43% for each elevated nutrient mesocosm (F _(1,4835) = 21.95, P

296 < 0.001), and increased by 13.02% \pm 0.50% for each elevated temperature mesocosm in the
297 landscape (F_(1.4835) = 26.20, P < 0.001).</p>

298 When landscapes with different environmental dissimilarity values were assessed separately, there was a positive relationship between decomposition and landscape α when 299 environmental dissimilarity was 3, a positive relationship when environmental dissimilarity was 300 301 8, but no significant relationship when environmental dissimilarity was 0, or 4 - 7 (Fig. 3a). The 302 proportion of variation in decomposition explained by α was generally low (0.007 – 0.01 of the total variation, Fig. 3b). Our data showed a positive relationship between decomposition and β at 303 304 all levels of environmental dissimilarity other than 0, and the slope coefficient of the relationship between decomposition and β increased as the environmental dissimilarity index increased (Fig. 305 3a). The proportion of variation in decomposition explained by β ranged between 0.01 and 0.14 306 (Fig. 3b). Decomposition was positively associated with γ at all levels of environmental 307 dissimilarity (Fig. 3a), although the amount of variation accounted for by γ decreased with 308 increasing environmental dissimilarity from 0.27 to 0.06 (Fig. 3b). At levels of environmental 309 310 dissimilarity where they could be assessed (< 8), decomposition rates were higher in landscapes with elevated nutrient and elevated temperature mesocosms (Fig. 3a). These environmental 311 conditions accounted for the majority of the variation in decomposition (up to 0.35 for 312 temperature at environmental dissimilarity =0), although the proportion they accounted for 313 decreased (Fig. 3b). 314

Quantitatively similar relationships between environmental dissimilarity, diversity, and ecosystem functions were found for the analysis based on species richness rather than abundance-weighted metrics (*Supplementary materials*). However, when diversity measures were calculated using abundance-weighted metrics, they tended to account for slightly more of the variation in ecosystem function than when based on species richness. This increase in
variation is likely linked to the extra information included in abundance weighted metrics, and
may also be related to a pattern of decreasing species evenness with increasing environmental
dissimilarity (*Supplementary materials, Fig. S4*)

323

324 Discussion

We provide evidence that as landscape-level environmental heterogeneity increases, β increases, 325 326 which in turn is significantly associated with differences in landscape-level expressions of two ecosystem functions. In addition, while primary production and decomposition were primarily 327 328 controlled by environmental conditions, at the highest levels of environmental dissimilarity, β 329 appeared to explain a greater proportion of the variation in decomposition than either α or γ . This relatively large impact of β compared to α or γ suggests that in landscapes with a high level of 330 spatial heterogeneity, the turnover of species among ecosystems is a more important driver of 331 this basal ecosystem process than the actual composition of species. Relationships between 332 biodiversity and ecosystem function have been investigated across a wide variety of systems 333 (Tilman and Downing 1994, Bellwood et al. 2003, Girvan et al. 2005, Hattenschwiler et al. 2005, 334 Atwood et al. 2015), but the majority of these previous studies focus on how biodiversity and 335 community composition affects the processes within a specific ecosystem (Tilman and Downing 336 1994, Reich et al. 2005), or rates of flux to neighboring ecosystems (Atwood et al. 2014b). 337 Recent experiments show that α , β , and γ can alter landscape-level patterns of ecosystem 338 function (Pasari et al. 2013, Hautier et al. 2018, Winfree et al. 2018). Our results build on those 339 studies by showing that the importance of β as a driver of ecosystem functions may depend on 340 the level of environmental dissimilarity among ecosystems in a landscape. 341

342 Our results revealed that as landscape-level environmental dissimilarity increased. landscape-level β also increased, but we observed no concurrent changes in α . This relationship 343 between environmental dissimilarity and β is consistent with ecological niche theory (species 344 sorting), which proposes that different species are better adapted to different conditions 345 (Vandermeer 1972, Chase and Leibold 2003), and aligns with recent findings from temperate 346 forest (Barnes et al. 2016) and pollination systems (Winfree et al. 2018). Recent theory has 347 shown that as environmental dissimilarity increases, increased β becomes increasingly important 348 for stabilizing ecological communities (Wang and Loreau 2014, 2016). The positive relationship 349 we observed between environmental dissimilarity and β may therefore mean than community 350 stability is being maintained by increased species turnover as conditions across the landscape 351 become increasingly heterogeneous. In addition β and γ increased as the number of elevated 352 353 nutrient mesocosms in the landscape increased, while α decreased. Many studies have reported a unimodal, hump-shaped relationship between nutrient concentrations and biodiversity (Barnett 354 and Beisner 2007, Cardinale et al. 2012b). Our finding of decreased alpha diversity with 355 increased numbers of elevated nutrient landscapes suggest that nutrient levels in the experiment 356 pass the threshold at which nutrients increase taxon dominance and reduce species richness 357 (Nygaard and Ejrnæs 2009). The decrease in α associated with increased temperature suggest 358 that this abiotic factor may represent a stressor on the ecosystem, reducing the diversity present. 359 The increase in β associated with elevated nutrients and temperature suggests that as nutrients 360 361 and temperature increase, stochastic determinants of community composition such as priority effects become stronger (Chase 2010), enhancing dissimilarity among ecosystems. 362

The positive relationships between NEP and α and β at environmental dissimilarity
 greater than 0 would suggest that high primary production is providing a resource that can lead to

365 high consumer diversity (Tilman et al. 1982, Chase and Leibold 2002). In addition, the greatest driver of primary production within the experiment was the number of elevated nutrient 366 mesocosms within a landscape. Previous continental scale studies have also demonstrated that 367 the majority of variation in primary productivity is driven by abiotic conditions, with diversity 368 contributing far less (Burley et al. 2016). The combination of the positive relationship between α 369 370 and β and primary production, together with the high proportion of variation associated with elevated nutrients suggests that, in our system, primary production and the invertebrate consumer 371 community it supports is strongly influenced by bottom-up processes. 372

Rates of leaf litter decomposition were not associated with either α or β when 373 environmental dissimilarity was 0, influenced by γ , but primarily controlled by elevated 374 temperature and nutrients. The positive effect of elevated temperature on decomposition rates 375 376 likely stems from higher temperatures increasing rates of microbial metabolism (Geraldes et al. 2012, Follstad Shah et al. 2017). The positive effects of elevated nutrients on decomposition may 377 have occurred through a priming effect, as increased primary producer biomass leads to the 378 379 production of algal-based C exudates that can stimulate bacterial growth (Danger et al. 2016). Unlike primary production, which can be influenced by both consumption and algal growth, 380 381 litter standing stocks can only be influenced by consumption as there was no leaf litter addition. This lack of an addition mechanism could potentially explain why diversity had a stronger 382 general impact on decomposition than primary productivity, where elevated nutrients are likely 383 to promote algal growth and counteract the effects of consumption. 384

Our study provides compelling evidence that β-diversity may increase ecosystem
 functions across heterogeneous landscapes. Previous investigations using mesocosms observed
 that increased β generally did not affect mean levels of ecosystem function in landscapes with

388 similar abiotic conditions, although it did substantially increase the variance in rates of functional processes (Pasari et al. 2013). This previous observation is consistent with our results in that we 389 found no significant relationship between β and either decomposition or primary production 390 when all ecosystems within a landscape had the same environmental conditions. However, 391 increased environmental dissimilarity led to both increased β , and an increase in the strength of 392 the relationship between β and ecosystem functions, to the extent that β was more strongly 393 associated with ecosystem functions than either α or γ . The relatively high importance of β as 394 environmental dissimilarity increases agrees with previous field experiments investigating the 395 relationships between diversity and ecosystem functions (Isbell et al. 2017, Winfree et al. 2018). 396 These previous investigations specifically note that as the spatial scale over which ecosystem 397 functions are measured increases, environmental conditions are likely to become increasingly 398 heterogeneous, meaning different species are better suited to performing different functions 399 (Winfree et al. 2018). As anthropogenic stressors affect some ecosystems more than others 400 (Ashcroft et al. 2009), and can homogenize communities (Mondy and Usseglio-Polatera 2014), 401 understanding the relationships between diversity, environmental heterogeneity and ecosystem 402 functions is crucial to estimating the wider impact of human alteration of landscapes. 403

404

405 Acknowledgements

We thank Annalise Barber, Andrew O'Farrell, Dylan Rawlyk, Matt Robinson, and Anne
Rutherford for assistance in the laboratory and field. This work would not have been possible
without water heaters provided by Wendy Palen.

410 Literature cited

- 411 Ashcroft, M. B., L. a. Chisholm, and K. O. French. 2009. Climate change at the landscape scale:
- 412 predicting fine-grained spatial heterogeneity in warming and potential refugia for
- 413 vegetation. Global Change Biology 15:656–667.
- 414 Atwood, T. B., R. M. Connolly, E. G. Ritchie, C. E. Lovelock, M. R. Heithaus, G. C. Hays, J. W.
- Fourqurean, and P. I. Macreadie. 2015. Predators help protect carbon stocks in blue carbon
 ecosystems. Nature Climate Change 5:1038–1045.
- 417 Atwood, T. B., E. Hammill, and J. S. Richardson. 2014a. Trophic-level dependent effects on
- 418 CO2 emissions from experimental stream ecosystems. Global Change Biology:1–11.
- Atwood, T. B., E. Hammill, D. S. Srivastava, and J. S. Richardson. 2014b. Competitive
- displacement alters top-down effects on carbon dioxide concentrations in a freshwater
 ecosystem. Oecologia 175:353–61.
- Bailey, R. C., R. H. Norris, and T. B. Reynoldson. 2004. Bioassessment of freshwater
 ecosystems. Springer.
- Barbour, M. T., J. Gerritsen, B. D. Snyder, and J. B. Stribling. 1999. Rapid bioassessment
 protocols for use in streams and wadeable rivers. USEPA, Washington.
- Barnes, A. D., P. Weigelt, M. Jochum, D. Ott, D. Hodapp, N. F. Haneda, and U. Brose. 2016.
- 427 Species richness and biomass explain spatial turnover in ecosystem functioning across
- 428 tropical and temperate ecosystems. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:
- 429 Biological Sciences 371:20150279.
- 430 Barnett, A., and B. E. Beisner. 2007. Zooplankton biodiversity and lake trophic state:
- 431 Explanations invoking resource abundance and distribution. Ecology 88:1675–1686.

- Barwell, L. J., N. J. B. Isaac, and W. E. Kunin. 2015. Measuring β diversity with species
 abundance data. Journal of Animal Ecology 84:1112–1122.
- Beck, J., J. D. Holloway, and W. Schwanghart. 2013. Undersampling and the measurement of
 beta diversity. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4:370–382.
- 436 Bellwood, D. R., A. S. Hoey, and J. H. Choat. 2003. Limited functional redundancy in high
- diversity systems: resilience and ecosystem function on coral reefs. Ecology Letters 6:281–
 285.
- Benfield, E. F. 2007. Decomposition of leaf material. Pages 711–720 in R. Hauer and G.
- 440 Lamberti, editors. Methods in Stream Ecology. Burlington.
- Bouwman, A. F., A. H. W. Beusen, and G. Billen. 2009. Human alteration of the global nitrogen
 and phosphorus soil balances for the period 1970-2050. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 23.
- Burley, H. M., K. Mokany, S. Ferrier, S. W. Laffan, K. J. Williams, and T. D. Harwood. 2016.
- 444 Primary productivity is weakly related to floristic alpha and beta diversity across Australia.
- Global Ecology and Biogeography 25:1294–1307.
- 446 Cardinale, B. J., J. E. Duffy, A. Gonzalez, D. U. Hooper, C. Perrings, P. Venail, A. Narwani, G.
- 447 M. Mace, D. Tilman, D. A. Wardle, A. P. Kinzig, G. C. Daily, M. Loreau, J. B. Grace, A.
- Larigauderie, D. S. Srivastava, and S. Naeem. 2012a. Biodiversity loss and its impact on
- 449 humanity. Nature 489:326–326.
- 450 Cardinale, B. J., D. S. Srivastava, J. E. Duffy, J. P. Wright, A. L. Downing, M. Sankaran, and C.
- Jouseau. 2006. Effects of biodiversity on the functioning of trophic groups and ecosystems.
 Nature 443:989–992.
- 453 Cardinale, J., D. M. Bennett, E. Nelson, and K. Gross. 2012b. Does productivity drive diversity

- 454 or vice versa ? A test of the multivariate productivity-diversity hypothesis in streams.
 455 Ecology 90:1227–1241.
- 456 Chase, J. M. 2010. Stochastic community assembly causes higher biodiversity in more
 457 productive environments. Science 328:1388–1391.
- Chase, J. M., and M. A. Leibold. 2002. Spatial scale dictates the productivity-biodiversity
 relationship. Nature 416:427–430.
- Chase, J. M., and M. A. Leibold. 2003. Ecological niches: linking classical and contemporary
 approaches. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 462 Danger, M., J. Cornut, E. Chauvet, P. Chavez, and A. Elger. 2016. Benthic algae stimulate leaf
 463 litter decomposition in detritus-based headwater streams : a case of aquatic priming effect?
 464 Ecology 94:1604–1613.
- 465 Dupas, R., M. Delmas, J.-M. Dorioz, J. Garnier, F. Moatar, and C. Gascuel-Odoux. 2015.
- Assessing the impact of agricultural pressures on N and P loads and eutrophication risk.
- Ecological Indicators 48:396–407.
- 468 Follstad Shah, J. J., J. S. Kominoski, M. Ardón, W. K. Dodds, M. O. Gessner, N. A. Griffiths, C.
- 469 P. Hawkins, S. L. Johnson, A. Lecerf, C. J. LeRoy, D. W. P. Manning, A. D. Rosemond, R.
- 470 L. Sinsabaugh, C. M. Swan, J. R. Webster, and L. H. Zeglin. 2017. Global synthesis of the
- 471 temperature sensitivity of leaf litter breakdown in streams and rivers. Global Change
 472 Biology 23:3064–3075.
- 473 Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Berntsen, R. Betts, D. W. Fahey, J. Haywood, J. Lean,
- D. C. Lowe, G. Myhre, and others. 2007. Changes in atmospheric constituents and in
- 475 radiative forcing. Chapter 2. Page Climate Change 2007. The Physical Science Basis.

476	Geraldes, P., C. Pascoal, and F. Cássio. 2012. Effects of increased temperature and aquatic
477	fungal diversity on litter decomposition. Fungal Ecology 5:734–740.
478	Girvan, M. S., C. D. Campbell, K. Killham, J. I. Prosser, and L. a. Glover. 2005. Bacterial
479	diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation.
480	Environmental Microbiology 7:301–313.
481	Greig, H. S., P. Kratina, P. L. Thompson, W. J. Palen, J. S. Richardson, and J. B. Shurin. 2012.
482	Warming, eutrophication, and predator loss amplify subsidies between aquatic and
483	terrestrial ecosystems. Global Change Biology 18:504–514.
484	Grime, J. P. 1998. Benefits of plant diversity to ecosystems: Immediate, filter and founder
485	effects. Journal of Ecology 86:902–910.
486	Grömping, U. 2006. R package relaimpo: relative importance for linear regression. Journal Of
487	Statistical Software 17:139–147.
488	Gruber, N., and J. N. Galloway. 2008. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle.
489	Nature 451:293–296.
490	Harpole, W. S., J. T. Ngai, E. E. Cleland, E. W. Seabloom, E. T. Borer, M. E. S. Bracken, J. J.
491	Elser, D. S. Gruner, H. Hillebrand, J. B. Shurin, and J. E. Smith. 2011. Nutrient co-
492	limitation of primary producer communities. Ecology Letters 14:852-862.
493	Hattenschwiler, S., A. V Tiunov, and S. Scheu. 2005. Biodiversity and litter decomposition
494	interrestrial ecosystems. Annual review of ecology, evolution and systematics 36:191–218.
495	Hautier, Y., F. Isbell, E. T. Borer, E. W. Seabloom, W. S. Harpole, E. M. Lind, A. S.
496	MacDougall, C. J. Stevens, P. B. Adler, J. Alberti, J. D. Bakker, L. A. Brudvig, Y. M.
497	Buckley, M. Cadotte, M. C. Caldeira, E. J. Chaneton, C. Chu, P. Daleo, C. R. Dickman, J.

498	M. Dwyer, A. Eskelinen, P. A. Fay, J. Firn, N. Hagenah, H. Hillebrand, O. Iribarne, K. P.
499	Kirkman, J. M. H. Knops, K. J. La Pierre, R. L. McCulley, J. W. Morgan, M. Pärtel, J.
500	Pascual, J. N. Price, S. M. Prober, A. C. Risch, M. Sankaran, M. Schuetz, R. J. Standish, R.
501	Virtanen, G. M. Wardle, L. Yahdjian, and A. Hector. 2018. Local loss and spatial
502	homogenization of plant diversity reduce ecosystem multifunctionality. Nature Ecology &
503	Evolution 2:50–56.
504	Heathwaite, a. L., P. F. Quinn, and C. J. M. Hewett. 2005. Modelling and managing critical
505	source areas of diffuse pollution from agricultural land using flow connectivity simulation.
506	Journal of Hydrology 304:446–461.
507	Hobbs, R. J., E. Higgs, and J. a. Harris. 2009. Novel ecosystems: implications for conservation
508	and restoration. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 24:599–605.
509	Isbell, F., A. Gonzalez, M. Loreau, J. Cowles, S. Díaz, A. Hector, G. M. MacE, D. A. Wardle,
510	M. I. O'Connor, J. E. Duffy, L. A. Turnbull, P. L. Thompson, and A. Larigauderie. 2017.
511	Linking the influence and dependence of people on biodiversity across scales. Nature
512	546:65-72.
513	Johnson, J. W., and J. M. LeBreton. 2004. History and use of relative importance indices in
514	organizational research. Organizational Research Methods 7:238-257.
515	Jost, L. 2007. Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components. Ecology
516	88:2427–2439.
517	Jost, L. 2010. Independence of alpha and beta diversity. Ecology 91:1969–1974.
518	Kraft, N. J. B., R. Valencia, and D. D. Ackerly. 2008. Functional Traits and Niche-Based Tree
519	Community Assembly in an Amazonian Forest. Science 322:580–582.

520	Kratina, P., H. S. Greig, P. L. Thompson, T. S. a Carvalho-Pereira, and J. B. Shurin. 2012.
521	Warming modifies trophic cascades and eutrophication in experimental freshwater
522	communities. Ecology 93:1421–1430.
523	Lefcheck, J. S., J. E. K. Byrnes, F. Isbell, L. Gamfeldt, J. N. Griffin, N. Eisenhauer, M. J. S.

- Hensel, A. Hector, B. J. Cardinale, and J. E. Duffy. 2015. Biodiversity enhances ecosystem
- 525 multifunctionality across trophic levels and habitats. Nature Communications 6:1–7.
- 526 Lindeman, R. H., P. F. Merenda, and R. Z. Gold. 1980. Introduction to Bivariate and

527 Multivariate Analysis (London, Foresman and Co.).

- 528 Loreau, M., S. Naeem, P. Inchausti, J. Bengtsson, J. P. Grime, A. Hector, D. U. Hooper, M. A.
- Huston, D. Raffaelli, and B. Schmid. 2001. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current
 knowledge and future challenges. Science 294:804–808.
- Marcon, E., and B. Hérault. 2015. entropart : An *R* Package to Measure and Partition Diversity.
 Journal of Statistical Software 67.
- 533 Mondy, C. P., and P. Usseglio-Polatera. 2014. Using fuzzy-coded traits to elucidate the non-
- random role of anthropogenic stress in the functional homogenisation of invertebrate
- assemblages. Freshwater Biology 59:584–600.
- Naeem, S., J. E. Duffy, and E. Zavaleta. 2012. The Functions of Biological Diversity in an Age
 of Extinction. Science 336:1401–1406.
- 538 Nygaard, B., and R. Ejrnæs. 2009. The impact of hydrology and nutrients on species composition
- and richness: Evidence from a microcosm experiment. Wetlands 29:187–195.
- 540 Okubo, A., and S. A. Levin. 2013. Diffusion and ecological problems: modern perspectives.
- 541 Springer Science & Business Media.

542	Pasari, J. R., T. Levi, E. S. Zavaleta, and D. Tilman. 2013. Several scales of biodiversity affect
543	ecosystem multifunctionality. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
544	110:10219–10222.

545 Reich, P. B., J. Oleksyn, J. Modrzynski, P. Mrozinski, S. E. Hobbie, D. M. Eissenstat, J.

Chorover, O. A. Chadwick, C. M. Hale, and M. G. Tjoelker. 2005. Linking litter calcium,
earthworms and soil properties: a common garden test with 14 tree species. ECOLOGY

548 LETTERS 8:811–818.

549 Resh, V. H. 2007. Multinational, Freshwater Biomonitoring Programs in the Developing World:

Lessons Learned from African and Southeast Asian River Surveys. Environmental
Management 39:737–748.

- Shurin, J. B., J. L. Clasen, H. S. Greig, P. Kratina, and P. L. Thompson. 2012. Warming shifts
 top-down and bottom-up control of pond food web structure and function. Philosophical
 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 367:3008–3017.
- Thompson, P. L., and A. Gonzalez. 2016. Ecosystem multifunctionality in metacommunities.
 Ecology 97:2867–2879.

557 Tilman, D. 1999. Global environmental impacts of agricultural expansion: the need for

sustainable and efficient practices. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America 96:5995–6000.

Tilman, D., and J. A. Downing. 1994. Biodivesity and stability in grasslands. NATURE
367:363–365.

Tilman, D., S. S. Kilham, and P. Kilham. 1982. Phytoplankton community ecology: The role of
limiting nutrients. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 13:349–372.

- Vandermeer, J. 1972. Niche theory. Annual review of ecology and systematics 3:107–132.
- Vitousek, P. M., H. A. Mooney, J. Lubchenco, and J. M. Melillo. 1997. Human Domination of
 Earth Ecosystems (Vol 277, Pg 494, 1997). Science 278:21.
- 567 Wang, S., and M. Loreau. 2014. Ecosystem stability in space: α , β and γ variability. Ecology
- 568 Letters 17:891–901.
- Wang, S., and M. Loreau. 2016. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability across scales in
 metacommunities. Ecology Letters 19:510–518.
- 571 Wang, Z., J. H. Brown, Z. Tang, and J. Fang. 2009. Temperature dependence, spatial scale, and
- tree species diversity in eastern Asia and North America. Proceedings of the National
- Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:13388–92.
- 574 Weisstein, E. W. 2004. Bonferroni correction. Wolfram Research, Inc.
- 575 http://mathworld.wolfram.com/BonferroniCorrection.html.
- 576 Whittaker, R. H. 1972. Evolution and measurement of species diversity. Taxon:213–251.
- 577 Winder, M., J. E. Reuter, and S. G. Schladow. 2009. Lake warming favours small-sized
- planktonic diatom species. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society 276:427–
 435.
- 580 Winfree, R., J. W. Fox, N. M. Williams, J. R. Reilly, and D. P. Cariveau. 2015. Abundance of
- common species, not species richness, drives delivery of a real-world ecosystem service.
 Ecology Letters 18:626–635.
- 583 Winfree, R., J. R. Reilly, I. Bartomeus, D. P. Cariveau, N. M. Williams, and J. Gibbs. 2018.
- 584 Species turnover promotes the importance of bee diversity for crop pollination at regional
- scales. Science 359:791–793.

- 586 Worm, B., H. K. Lotze, H. Hillebrand, and U. Sommer. 2002. Consumer versus resource control
- 587 of species diversity and ecosystem functioning. Nature 417:848–851.

588

590 Figure legends

FIG. 1. β-diversity (measured as effective numbers) increases with increased environmental
 heterogeneity. Open circles O represent raw data, solid line indicates fit of a linear regression
 model, dashed lines indicate standard errors estimates.

594

FIG. 2. Relationships between different metrics of biodiversity and environmental heterogeneity on rates of net ecosystem production. A, slope coefficients for each factor taken from a linear model incorporating all factors. B, proportion of the total variation in decomposition explained by each factor. A star symbol (*) indicates a relationship was not significant (P > 0.008following a Bonferroni correction), an obelisk symbol (†) indicates there was no variation in a factor, making a coefficient estimate impossible.

601

FIG. 3. Relationships between different metrics of biodiversity and environmental heterogeneity on rates of leaf litter decomposition. A, slope coefficients for each factor taken from a linear model incorporating all factors. B, proportion of the total variation in decomposition associated with each factor. A star ("*") indicates a relationship was not significant (P > 0.008 following a Bonferroni correction), an obelisk ("†") indicates there was no variation in a factor making obtaining a coefficient impossib

608







Environmental dissimilarity index

612

613 FIG. 2.



Environmental dissimilarity index

614

615 FIG. 3.