Original Manuscript

# Amenamevir: Studies of Potential CYP3A-Mediated Pharmacokinetic Interactions With Midazolam, Cyclosporine, and Ritonavir in Healthy Volunteers

Clinical Pharmacology in Drug Development 2018, 00(0) 1–17 © 2018 The Authors. *Clinical Pharmacology in Drug Development* Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of The American College of Clinical Pharmacology DOI: 10.1002/cpdd.586

brought to you

AUCH

CORE

# Temitope Adeloye<sup>1</sup>, Omair Sahgal<sup>1</sup>, Adeep Puri<sup>1</sup>, Steve Warrington<sup>1</sup>, Takamasa Endo<sup>2</sup>, Jeremy Dennison<sup>1</sup>, and Atholl Johnston<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

Amenamevir (formerly ASP2151) is a helicase-primase inhibitor being developed for the treatment of herpesvirus infection. Amenamevir is both a substrate and inducer of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4. Three studies were done in healthy volunteers to investigate potential CYP3A pharmacokinetic interactions with the following drugs: (1) Midazolam (probe substrate for CYP3A): After 10 days' pretreatment with amenamevir 400 mg daily, geometric mean maximum concentration of drug in blood plasma ( $C_{max}$ ) and area under the plasma drug concentration-time curve from time zero to infinity (AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub>) of midazolam 7.5 mg were about 68% and 51%, respectively, of those after midazolam alone. (2) Cyclosporine (substrate and inhibitor of CYP3A): After 5 days' pretreatment with cyclosporine 100 mg twice daily, geometric mean  $C_{max}$  of amenamevir after 400-mg and 1200-mg single doses was, respectively, about 66% and 69%, and AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> about 82% and 79%, of those after amenamevir alone. (3) Ritonavir (inhibitor of CYP3A): When given with single doses of ritonavir 600 mg, geometric mean  $C_{max}$  of amenamevir after 400-mg and 1200-mg and 1200-mg and 1200-mg single doses was, respectively, about 66% and 69%, and AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> about 1.4 and 1.6 times higher, and geometric mean AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> about 2.6 and 3.3 times higher, than after amenamevir alone. Amenamevir has the potential to be involved in CYP3A-mediated pharmacokinetic interactions in clinical practice.

## **Keywords**

amenamevir, cyclosporine, drug-drug interaction, midazolam, ritonavir

Amenamevir, an oxadiazolephenyl derivative (see Figure 1),<sup>1</sup> is a nonnucleoside helicase-primase inhibitor being developed for the treatment of herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2 (HSV-1 and HSV-2), and varicella zoster virus (VZV) infection. The viral helicase-primase complex is essential for viral DNA replication.

Nucleoside analogues such as acyclovir and valacyclovir are currently first-line treatment for HSV and VZV.<sup>2</sup> Drug-resistant HSV infection is unusual in immunocompretent patients but is more common in immunocompromised individuals, in whom it is more likely to be clinically important.<sup>3</sup> Amenamevir has good activity against acyclovir-resistant VZV strains in vitro<sup>1</sup> and therefore might be effective in the treatment of infection with virus resistant to first-line antiviral drugs.

The pharmacokinetics and metabolic profile of amenamevir have previously been studied (unpublished data held by Maruho). In healthy volunteers, amenamevir was rapidly absorbed after single, oral administration, with time of peak concentration of 1.33 to 2.5 hours. Plasma protein binding of <sup>14</sup>C-amenamevir in humans was about 75%. Elimination half-life was about 7 to 8 hours and was independent of dose over the range of 5 to 2400 mg. After a single oral dose of 200 mg <sup>14</sup>C-amenamevir, 74.6% of the <sup>14</sup>C radioactivity was recovered in feces; the remainder was recovered in urine.

Submitted for publication 21 August 2017; accepted 18 May 2018.

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Steve Warrington, MD, FRCP, Hammersmith Medicines Research, Cumberland Avenue, London, NW10 7EVV, England. (e-mail: swarrington@hmrlondon.com)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hammersmith Medicines Research, London, England

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Maruho Co Ltd, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Analytical Services International, St George's University of London, London, England

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of amenamevir.<sup>1</sup>

Studies of <sup>14</sup>C-amenamevir using cells expressing the human multidrug resistance transporter P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and the human multidrug resistance-associated protein-2 showed that amenamevir is a substrate of the human multidrug resistance P-gp transporter but not of the human multidrug resistance-associated protein-2 transporter. There was no uptake of <sup>14</sup>C-amenamevir into organic anion transporting polypeptide (OAT) P1B1-, OATP1B3-, OAT1- and OAT3-, and organic cation uptake transporter-2-expressing cells, which suggests that amenamevir is not a substrate of those transporters. In vitro studies using human liver microsomes show that cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4/5 are the main isozymes involved in the metabolism of amenamevir. A major metabolite of amenamevir in human plasma was the monohydroxy metabolite AS1955888-00. The metabolite/parent compound ratio was  $\sim 0.1$ . The antiherpetic potency of amenamevir, as judged by half maximal effective concentration values, was much greater than that of AS1955888-00: up to 12-fold greater for HSV-1, up to 8-fold for HSV-2, and up to 4-fold for VZV. Amenamevir 1 to  $100 \,\mu$ M showed the potential to induce CYP3A4 in human hepatocytes but had very weak or no inhibitory activity against CYP3A4/5 (half maximal inhibitory concentration  $>100 \ \mu M$ ).

Thus, amenamevir is both a substrate and inducer of CYP3A. CYP3A comprises over 30% of hepatic enzymes and is involved in the metabolism of more than 50% of medicines that undergo metabolic elimination.<sup>4</sup> CYP3A interactions involving amenamevir could therefore be clinically relevant.

In this paper, we describe 3 studies that investigated, in healthy volunteers, potential pharmacokinetic interactions mediated by CYP3A. The rationale for our choice of drugs was as follows:

- (1) Midazolam. In this study, we tested the ability of amenamevir to induce CYP3A, using midazolam (a sedative) as a "probe" substrate.<sup>5</sup> We used a single oral dose of midazolam 7.5 mg, which is within the recommended therapeutic range and is generally well tolerated, albeit somewhat sedative.<sup>6</sup>
- (2) Cyclosporine. In this study, we tested the susceptibility of amenamevir to inhibition of CYP3A by cyclosporine, an immunosuppressant that is both a substrate and an inhibitor of CYP3A.<sup>7</sup> Im-

munocompromised status increases the frequency of reactivation and the severity of symptoms of herpesvirus infection.<sup>3</sup> Thus, many patients taking immunomodulator therapy and patients infected with HIV (see ritonavir section below) are likely to be treated with amenamevir. We preferred cyclosporine to tacrolimus because cyclosporine is a stronger CYP3A inhibitor. In clinical practice, the usual oral dose of cyclosporine is 2.5 to 15 mg/kg/day,<sup>8</sup> equivalent to about 175 to 1050 mg daily in a 70-kg subject. We chose to give cyclosporine 100 mg twice daily, which we considered low enough to be safe but high enough to allow detection of drug interactions mediated by CYP3A.

(3) Ritonavir. In this study, we tested the susceptibility of amenamevir to CYP3A inhibition by ritonavir, an antiretroviral drug that is a powerful inhibitor of CYP3A.<sup>9</sup> Ritonavir is often used to "boost" the circulating concentrations of other protease inhibitors, most of which are substrates for CYP3A.<sup>10</sup> We chose a single dose of 600 mg because repeated doses of that size are poorly tolerated,<sup>11</sup> and Kempf et al<sup>12</sup> showed that a single concomitant dose of 600-mg ritonavir increased the plasma concentrations of saquinavir >50-fold.

# Subjects and Methods

## All Studies

All 3 studies were done at Hammersmith Medicines Research (HMR), London, after approval by the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) and London-Brent Research Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects gave written, informed consent before the start of the study.

#### Subjects

The subjects comprised healthy men aged 18 to 45 years who had a body mass index of 18.0 to 30.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and were capable of giving informed consent. Subjects were deemed healthy on the basis of clinical history, medical examination, electrocardiogram, vital signs, and clinical laboratory tests of routine hematology, biochemistry, and urinalysis. Subjects were excluded if, during the 28 days before dosing, they had used any prescription or nonprescription medicine or herbal remedy known to interfere with CYP3A activity.

# Midazolam Study

This was a phase 1, open-label, single-center, drug-drug interaction study in 18 healthy men aged 20 to 43 years (see Table 1).

Each subject received 4 single oral doses of 7.5-mg midazolam on days 1, 12, 19, and 26, and 10 daily

					Ritonavir Study (N = 48)	
			Midazolam Study (N = 18)	Cyclosporine Study (N = 26) <sup>b</sup>	Group I (N = 24)	Group 2 (N = 24)
Age (y)	Mean (SD)		30.7 (7.53)	32.7 (7.2)	28.2 (6.4)	27.0 (4.9)
	Range		20-43	20-45	19-42	18-36
Race						
Asian	n (%)		2 (11.1)	0 (0)	l (4.2)	3 (12.5)
Black			4 (22.2)	0 (0)	4 (16.7)	5 (20.8)
White			11 (61.1)	26 (100)	17 (70.8)	15 (62.5)
Other			I (5.6)	0 (0)	2 (8.3)	I (4.2)
Height (cm)	Mean (SD)		176.7 (4.8)	182.4 (6.5)	179.8	178.2 (7.2)
0 ( )					(6.8)	( )
	Range		166-184	173-202	165-196	167-190
Weight (kg)	Mean (SD)		75.4 (9.8)	81.4 (8.2)	79.1 (7.8)	75.5 (11.3)
0 (0,	Range		56.7-91.Ś	67.9-96.Í	62.0-92. Í	58.2-104.9
BMI	Mean (SD)		24.1 (2.7)	24.5 (2.2)	24.5 (2.5)	23.7 (2.9)
kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Range		18.9-28.5	20.6-29.0	19.9-30.Í	19.2-29.Í
Smoker	n (%)		0	2 (7.7)	6 (25.0)	3 (12.5)
	Cigarettes <sup>1</sup> (daily)	Mean (SD)		2 (1.4)	3.3 (1.5)	3.7 (2.3)
	0 ( )/	Range		Ì-3	2-5	2-5
Consumes	n (%)	0	(6 . )	2 (1.4)	20 (83.3)	12 (50)
alcohol	Units/week <sup>a</sup>	Mean (SD)	4.5 (3.1)	5.8 (4.8)	7.4 (4.0)	6.3 (5.4)
		Range	2-11	I-20	2-16	l-Ì6

## Table 1. Summary of Demographic Details of Subjects in All 3 Studies

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>Includes only those subjects who smoke/drink alcohol.

<sup>b</sup>Includes 2 replacement subjects.

doses of 400-mg amenamevir on days 3 through 12 (see Figure 2A). On day 12, the doses of midazolam and amenamevir were administered at the same time. We chose a dose level of 400-mg instead of 1200-mg amenamevir because 1200-mg amenamevir is being developed only as a single dose, and repeated doses are needed to assess enzyme induction. Blood samples for midazolam and 1-hydroxymidazolam assay were taken before and at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 16, and 24 hours after each dose of midazolam.

To assess the return of CYP3A activity to baseline, the effect of amenamevir on midazolam and 1-hydroxymidazolam was assessed on days 19 and 26 using the analysis of variance model described above. The 95% confidence interval (CI) for the difference in means (day 19 vs day 1 and day 26 vs day 1) were used to determine how long it took for the CYP3A levels to return to normal.

# Cyclosporine Study

This was a randomized, phase 1, open-label, single-center, balanced 2-way crossover, drug-drug interaction study in 24 healthy men aged 20 to 45 years (see Table 1).

Each subject underwent 2 treatment sessions in which they received single oral doses of amenamevir (400 mg in one session, 1200 mg in the other) on days 1 and 7, and cyclosporine 100 mg twice daily on days 3 through 9, and once in the morning of day 10 (see Figure 2B). On day 7, the doses of amenamevir and cyclosporine were administered at the same time. Twelve subjects were randomized to receive the 400-mg dose of amenamevir in treatment session 1 and the 1200-mg dose in treatment session 2, and 12 were randomized to receive the 1200-mg dose in treatment session 1 followed by the 400-mg dose in treatment session 2. There was a washout of at least 2 weeks between treatment sessions.

Blood samples for assay of amenamevir and its metabolite AS1955888-00 were taken before and at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36, 48 hours on days 1 and 7 after each dose of amenamevir, and at 72 hours after each dose of amenamevir on day 7. Blood samples for assay of cyclosporine were taken before, and at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 hours after, morning doses of cyclosporine on days 6 and 7 only.

# Ritonavir Study

A randomized, phase 1, open-label, balanced 2-way crossover, drug-drug interaction study in 48 healthy men aged 18 to 42 years (see Table 1).

The subjects were randomized to receive single doses of amenamevir 400 mg (n = 24) or 1200 mg (n = 24) in 2 treatment sessions. Each subject was further



Figure 2. (A) Midazolam study schematic; (B) cyclosporine study schematic showing I treatment session (each subject underwent 2 such sessions, separated by a minimum 2-week washout); (C) ritonavir study schematic.

randomized to receive amenamevir alone in the first session, followed by amenamevir with a single dose of ritonavir 600 mg (administered at the same time) in the second session, or vice versa (see Figure 2C). Blood samples for assay of amenamevir and its metabolite AS1955888-00 were taken before and at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours after each dose. There was a washout of at least 2 weeks between treatment sessions.

Blood samples for ritonavir assay were taken before and at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 hours after each dose.

# Safety and Tolerability Assessments

Safety and tolerability assessments included adverse events, vital signs, 12-lead electrocardiogram, physical examination, and clinical laboratory tests.

# Assay of Amenamevir and Its Metabolite AS1955888-00

Blood samples for assay of amenamevir and AS1955888-00 (2 mL) were collected in sodium heparin tubes. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at  $\sim$ 1500 G for 10 min at 4°C, then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C or

below until analysis by Shin Nippon Biomedical Laboratories Ltd, using a validated liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method as follows.

Internal Standard. YM-385482 and AS2357699-00 (both 100  $\mu$ g/mL in acetonitrile) were diluted with 50% acetonitrile solution to yield a final concentration of 400 ng/mL of each analyte.

*Calibrators.* Deuterated amenamevir (2.5 mg/mL in acetonitrile) and deuterated AS1955888-00 (1.25 mg/mL in 50% acetonitrile) were diluted with 50% acetonitrile to yield final concentrations of 25 to 1 000 000 ng/mL amenamevir and 10 to 400 000 ng/mL AS1955888-00.

Analytical Method. Samples were extracted using the organic solvent *t*-butyl methyl ether (MTBE).

20  $\mu$ L of 50% acetonitrile solution and 50  $\mu$ L of internal standard were added to 100- $\mu$ L plasma samples and mixed; 100  $\mu$ L of 5% ammonia solution and 2.5 mL of *t*-MTBE were then added and the samples centrifuged. The samples were placed in a dry ice/acetone bath to freeze the aqueous layer. The organic layer was then removed and evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen at 40°C. The residue was reconstituted in 1 mL of acetonitrile/ultrapure water/formic acid (98%) 50:50:0.1 v/v/v for analysis by LC-MS/MS.

High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Conditions.

Column	Chromolith SpeedROD RP-18e (50 mm ×
	4.6 mm i.d., Phenomenex Inc.)
Mobile phase	Methanol/ultrapure water/formic acid, 60:40:0.1, v/v/v
Elution	Isocratic
Flow rate	0.5 mL/min
Injection volume	5 μL

Mass Spectrometry Settings.

MS type	Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM)		
lonisation type lonisation polarity	ESI (TurbolonSpray) Positive		

Q3 (III/2)
322
338
328
338

Variability.

Compound	Concentration (ng/mL)	Mean (ng/mL)	Precision (%CV)	Accuracy (Relative Error) (%)
Amenamevir	5	5.28	4.5	105.6
	10	10.12	3.9	101.2
	500	510.4	2.6	102.1
	4000	4017	2.1	100.4
AS1955888- 00	2	2.07	5.2	103.7
	4	4.04	6.2	101.1
	200	202.8	4.0	101.4
	1600	1613	3.8	100.8

CV, coefficient of variation.

The lower limit of reliable quantification was 5 ng/ mL for amenamevir and 2 ng/mL for AS1955888-00.

Assay of Other Drugs. Blood samples for assay of midazolam, its metabolite 1-hydroxymidazolam (3 mL), and ritonavir (2 mL) were collected in lithium heparin tubes. Blood samples for assay of cyclosporine (2 mL) were collected in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes. Plasma was separated using the same method as for amenamevir and AS1955888-00 and stored at – 20°C until analysis by Analytical Services International using validated LC-MS/MS as follows.

# Midazolam

Internal Standard. Midazolam D4 maleate and alphahydroxymidazolam-D4 (both 100  $\mu$ g/mL in methanol) were diluted with 0.1% formic acid to a final concentration of 50 ng/mL of each analyte.

Calibrators. The calibrators were 0.1 to 100.0 ng/mL midazolam and  $\alpha$ -hydroxymidazolam in 0.1% formic acid.

Analytical Method. Samples were extracted using the organic solvent MTBE.

The following were added to a polypropylene tube:

250  $\mu$ L plasma sample (or 250  $\mu$ L blank plasma, for calibration samples)

250  $\mu$ L 0.1% formic acid (or 250  $\mu$ L calibrator, for calibration samples)

 $100 \ \mu L$  internal standard

500 µL 2 M Tris (containing Orange G)

2 mL MTBE

The organic phase supernatant was separated by centrifugation, then evaporated to dryness in a vacuum. The residue was reconstituted with 250  $\mu$ L of 10% methanol and briefly vortexed before analysis by LC-MS/MS.

HPLC Conditions.

Chromolith RP-18e column (100 mm $\times$ 3 mm)
Methanol
Formic acid 0.1% solution in deionized water
Isocratic
0.30 mL/min
5 µL

#### MS Settings.

MS type	Sciex API4000
lonization polarity	Positive
Midazolam mass ratio	326 1/291 0 amu
Midazolam standard mass ratio	330.2/295.0 amu
Hydroxymidazolam mass ratio	342.1/323.9 amu
Hydroxymidazolam standard mass ratio	346.1/328.0 amu

# Variability.

Midazolam	Concentration (ng/mL)	Mean (ng/mL)	Precision (%CV)	Accuracy (Relative Error) (%)
QCI	0.300	0.29	9.50	97.77
QC2	30.00	29.78	4.86	99.26
QC3	80.00	75.78	5.06	94.72

α- Hydroxymidazolam	Concentration (ng/mL)	Mean (ng/mL)	Precision (%CV)	Accuracy (Relative Error) (%)
QCI	0.300	0.29	9.03	97.04
QC2	30.00	31.57	3.97	105.25
QC3	80.00	80.29	4.63	100.37

CV, coefficient of variation.

## Cyclosporine

Internal Standard. Cyclosporine-D12 (0.504 mg/mL cyclosporine-D12 in methanol) was diluted with 25% ethanol:25% ethanediol:50% deionized water to a final concentration of 250 ng/mL.

Calibrators. Cyclosporine (987.97  $\mu$ g/mL in methanol) was diluted with whole blood to yield final concentrations of 24.7 to 1976.0 ng/mL.

Analytical Method. Samples were prepared by protein precipitation and liquid-liquid extraction.

The following were added to a polypropylene tube:

50 μL plasma sample, calibrator or quality control
50 μL internal standard
500 μL 5% zinc sulphate
500 μL acetone

After centrifugation the supernatant was added to 0.1 mL of 0.1-M sodium hydroxide and 2 mL of MTBE, then mixed and centrifuged. The resulting solvent layer was evaporated to dryness in a vacuum. The residue was reconstituted in 250  $\mu$ L of 80% methanol, centrifuged, and the resulting supernatant analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

HPLC Conditions.

Alltima C18 (150 mm $ imes$ 2.1 mm, 5 $\mu$ m)
Ammonium acetate 0.01-M solution in 85%
methanol
Isocratic
0.40 mL/min
5–30 µL

# MS Settings.

MS type	MRM
lonisation type	TurbolonSpray
lonisation polarity	Positive
Cyclosporine mass ratio	1220.0/1202.8 amu
Cyclosporine standard mass ratio	1232.1/1215.0 amu

Variability.

Cyclosporine	Concentration (µg/L)	Mean (µg/L)	Precision (%CV)	Accuracy (Relative Error) (%)
QCI	1482	1344.57	3.66	90.73
QC2	741	698.27	3.63	94.23
QC3	74.1	73.28	3.50	98.90

CV, coefficient of variation.

#### Ritonavir

Internal Standard. Ritonavir-d6 (0.05 mg/mL in methanol) was diluted with methanol/deionized water (50/50 v/v) to a final concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL.

*Calibrators.* Ritonavir (100.2  $\mu$ g/mL in methanol) was diluted with plasma to yield final concentrations of 0.5 to 25.0  $\mu$ g/mL.

Analytical Method. Samples were extracted by protein precipitation.

The following were added to a polypropylene tube:

50  $\mu$ L plasma sample, calibrator or quality control 50  $\mu$ L internal standard 1 mL acetonitrile

After centrifugation, the supernatant was mixed with 5 mL of deionized water and analysed by LC-MS/MS.

HPLC Conditions.

Column	Alltima C18 (150 mm $\times$ 2.1 mm; 5 $\mu$ m)
Mobile phase	Deionized water supplemented with 2 mM of ammonium acetate and 0.1% formic acid, about 15%
	Methanol, deionized water, 2 mM of ammonium acetate and 0.1% formic acid, about 85%
Elution	Isocratic
Flow rate	0.40 mL/min
Injection volume	5 μL

MS Settings.

MS type	MRM
lonisation type	TurbolonSpray
lonisation polarity	Positive
Ritonavir mass ratio	721.2/296.1 amu
Ritonavir standard mass ratio	727.1/302.0 amu

Variability.

Ritonavir	Concentration (µg/L)	Mean (µg/L)	Precision (%CV)	Accuracy (Relative Error)(%)
QCI	1.5	1.53	8.26	101.96
QC2	7.5	7.32	5.02	97.55
QC3	20.0	21.07	4.09	105.34

CV, coefficient of variation.

The lower limit of reliable quantification was 0.1 ng/mL for midazolam and 1-hydroxymidazolam, 25 ng/mL for cyclosporine, and 500 ng/mL for ritonavir.

## Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Analysis

Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using WinNonlin version 6.3. Lower limit of reliable quantification values were taken as zero, unless they fell between 2 quantifiable concentrations, in which case they were treated as missing. Interactions were tested using an equivalence analysis as follows: area under the plasma drug concentration-time curve from time zero to infinity  $(AUC_{0-\infty})$  and maximum concentration of drug in blood plasma ( $C_{max}$ ) of the substrate drug were logarithmically transformed and subjected to analysis of variance with treatment dose, group, sequence, session, and dose by treatment interaction as fixed effects, and subject as a random effect. The least square mean of the treatment difference and its 90%CI were transformed to the original scale, to obtain mean  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ and Cmax ratios. Absence of a clinically significant drugdrug interaction was concluded if the 90%CI for both  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  and  $C_{max}$  ratios fell within the prespecified interval of 80% to 125%.

# Results

# Midazolam Study

Plasma concentrations and AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> of midazolam were significantly reduced when midazolam was administered with the last of 10 daily doses of 400-mg amenamevir, compared with midazolam given before treatment with amenamevir (see Figure 3 and Table 2). Elimination half-life of midazolam was also shortened by amenamevir from 4.2 to 3.3 hours.

Exposure to midazolam 7 and 14 days after the last dose of amenamevir was similar to that before amenamevir dosing (see Table 2).

After midazolam with amenamevir, geometric mean  $C_{max}$  and AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> of midazolam were about 68% and 51%, respectively, of that after midazolam alone (see Table 2). Results failed to exclude a significant drug-drug effect (the 90%CI of the log ratio midazolam with amenamevir:midazolam did not fall within the range 80%-125% for  $C_{max}$  or AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub>).

Plasma concentrations of 1-hydroxymidazolam were not influenced by coadministration of amenamevir (see Figure 3 and Table S1): They remained about half those of unchanged midazolam.

Somnolence was the most common adverse event and was reported by every subject after 1 or more of their 4 doses of midazolam. No subject reported somnolence while receiving amenamevir alone.

#### Cyclosporine Study

Plasma concentrations (1-12 hours after dose) and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  of amenamevir were reduced after coadministration with cyclosporine compared with amenamevir alone (see Figure 4 and Table 3).

After 400 mg of amenamevir with cyclosporine, geometric mean (90%CI)  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  of amenamevir were about 66(59, 74)% and 82(73, 91)%, respectively, of those after amenamevir alone. After 1200 mg of amenamevir with cyclosporine, geometric mean  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0\mathchar`-\infty}$  were about 69(63, 74)% and 79(73, 85)%, respectively, of those after amenamevir alone. To assess the effect of cyclosporine on amenamevir, an equivalence analysis was done. Because the dose by treatment interaction was not significant at the 20% level, it was not included in the model. The geometric mean ratio amenamevir with cyclosporine: amenamevir for  $C_{max}$ of amenamevir was 67%, ie, below the prespecified interval 80% to 125%, and the corresponding figure for AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> was 80%, ie, just within the prespecified interval 80% to 125%. For both C<sub>max</sub> and AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> the 90%CIs excluded unity. Thus, the difference between the doses of amenamevir with and without cyclosporine with respect to pharmacokinetic parameters was modest in the case of C<sub>max</sub> and minor in the case of  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , even though the differences achieved a conventional level of statistical significance.

To assess the effect of cyclosporine on the pharmacokinetics of the metabolite AS1955888-00, a further equivalence analysis was done. Because the dose by treatment interaction was not significant at the 20% level, it was not included in the model; the resulting estimates presented in Table 4 are for both 400-mg and 1200-mg amenamevir. After amenamevir with cyclosporine,  $C_{max}$  and AUC<sub>0-∞</sub> of AS1955888-00 were



Figure 3. Mean midazolam and 1-hydroxymidazolam plasma concentration-time plots (linear and semilog) with and without amenamevir (n = 18).

about 78% and 92%, respectively, of those after amenamevir alone. The 90%CI of the log ratio amenamevir alone vs amenamevir with cyclosporine, did not fall within the prespecified interval 80% to 125% for  $C_{max}$ , but did for AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub>. Therefore, a significant drugdrug effect on plasma concentrations of AS1955888-00 could not be ruled out, as both ratios fell outside the prespecified interval. However, given that the AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> ratio was close to 100%, any interaction is likely to be small and of no consequence.

Cyclosporine plasma concentrations were not affected by coadministration with amenamevir (see Table 5).

The most frequently reported treatment-emergent adverse events were headache (12.5% in treatment sessions 1 and 2) and oropharyngeal pain (8.3% in treatment session 1, 16.7% in treatment session 2).

#### Ritonavir Study

Plasma concentrations and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  of amenamevir were significantly increased after coadministration with 600 mg of ritonavir compared with administration of amenamevir alone (see Figure 5 and Table 6).

After amenamevir 400 mg with ritonavir, geometric mean  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  of amenamevir were, respectively, about 1.4 and 2.6 times higher than after amenamevir 400 mg alone. After amenamevir 1200 mg with ritonavir, geometric mean  $C_{max}$  and  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  of amenamevir were, respectively, about 1.6 and 3.3 times higher than after amenamevir 1200 mg alone (see Table 7). After 400 mg and 1200 mg of amenamevir, the 90%CI of the log ratio amenamevir with ritonavir:amenamevir did not fall within the range 80% to 125% for either  $C_{max}$  or  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , and therefore failed to exclude a significant drug-drug effect of ritonavir on those parameters.

Ritonavir increased the geometric mean elimination half-life of amenamevir from about 7 hours to about 12 to 13 hours, irrespective of the dose of amenamevir.

After dosing of amenamevir with ritonavir, plasma concentrations of AS1955888-00 were much lower than after amenamevir monotherapy. Geometric mean  $C_{max}$  and AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> after amenamevir and ritonavir coadministration were about 11% and 28%, respectively, of those after 400-mg amenamevir monotherapy,

		Day I Midazolam 7.5 mg Alone (Control)	Day 12 Midazolam 7.5 mg With Amenamevir 400 mg	Day 19 Midazolam 7.5 mg Alone	Day 26 Midazolam 7.5 mg Alone
Cmax (ng/mL)	Arithmetic mean	35.2	24.5	39.7	39.5
	SD	8.1	7.7	8.3	15.5
	Geometric mean	34.5	23.4	38.8	36.8
	%CVb	21.2	32.5	23.1	41.4
	LS mean	34	23	39	37
	LS mean ratio vs control (90%Cl) (%)	N/A	68 (59, 78)	3 (96,  32)ª	107 (91, 125) <sup>a</sup>
$AUC_{0-tn}$ (ng $\cdot$ h/mL)	Arithmetic mean	115.3	59	127.3	126.2
, (C)	SD	35.4	17.9	39.5	45.8
	Geometric mean	111	56.7	121.9	118.9
	%СУЬ	28	28.8	30.7	36.2
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/mL)	Arithmetic mean	117.5	60.3	130.3	130
	SD Geometric	36.2	18.6	42.6	49.2
	mean	113.1	58	124.4	122.1
	%СУЬ	28.1	29.2	31.7	37.3
	LS mean	113	58	124	122
	LS mean ratio vs control (90%CI) (%)	N/A	51 (47, 56)	110	108
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	Median	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	Range	0.50-1.52	0.25-1.50	0.50-1.50	0.28-2.00
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	Arithmetic mean	4.5	3.7	4.6	4.6
	SD Geometric	1.5	1.8	1.8	2
	mean	4.2	3.3	4.3	4.1
	%CVb	37	52.7	43.7	52.3
CL/F (L/h)	Arithmetic mean	68.5	134.3	63	65.2
× /	SD	16.9	36.4	18.9	22.3

#### **Table 2.** Summary of Midazolam Pharmacokinetic Parameters (n = 18)

AUC  $_{0-\infty}$ , area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time; AUC  $_{0-tn}$ , area under concentration-time curve up to last nonzero value; CI, confidence interval; CL/F, apparent total body clearance from plasma; C<sub>max</sub>, peak concentration; %CVb, between-subject coefficient of variance; LS, least squares; SD, standard deviation;  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life; T<sub>max</sub>, time of peak concentration. <sup>a</sup> 95%CI.

and about 13% and 26%, respectively, of those after 1200-mg amenamevir monotherapy (see Table 8).

Plasma concentrations and  $AUC_{0-tn}$  of ritonavir were similar when ritonavir was given with either 400 mg or 1200 mg of amenamevir (see Figure 6 and Table 9).

The most frequently reported treatment-emergent adverse event was headache (16.7% with 400-mg amenamevir, 29.2% with 1200-mg amenamevir).

# Discussion

#### Midazolam

Treatment with amenamevir 400 mg once daily for 10 days reduced  $C_{max}$  of midazolam by about 32%,

and average concentrations (AUC) by about 51%. Mean elimination half-life was also reduced from 4.2 to 3.3 hours. The reduction in  $C_{max}$  suggests that amenamevir increased first-pass intestinal and hepatic extraction of midazolam to a modest degree. The effect on AUC was greater, probably because amenamevir treatment increased the clearance and reduced the half-life of midazolam, thereby reducing plasma concentrations of midazolam beyond the 32% reduction that would be predicted from the effect on  $C_{max}$  alone. The effect of amenamevir was minor compared with that of a powerful enzyme inducer such as rifampicin: A study of similar design to ours, but using rifampicin as an inducer instead of amenamevir, showed that rifampicin reduced midazolam  $C_{max}$  27-fold and AUC 64-fold,



Figure 4. Mean amenamevir plasma concentration-time plots (linear and semilog) with and without cyclosporine (n = 24).

and shortened mean elimination half-life from 3.2 to 1.8 hours.<sup>13</sup>

Our results show that 10 days' treatment with amenamevir 400 mg once daily induced the activity of CYP3A in the intestine and liver, but the effect was only moderate and was no longer evident at 7 and 14 days after the end of amenamevir treatment. The reversal of amenamevir's enzyme-inducing effect was much more rapid than has been reported after rifampicin, whose effect on the pharmacokinetics of midazolam may take 3 weeks to reverse,<sup>13</sup> presumably because the inducing effect of rifampicin is so much greater than that of amenamevir.

Plasma concentrations of 1-hydroxymidazolam, the main metabolite of midazolam, were not affected by coadministration of amenamevir with midazolam. Concentrations of 1-hydroxymidazolam might be expected to increase because of induction of the metabolism of midazolam by amenamevir, but that expectation is incorrect: 1-hydroxymidazolam is cleared by glucuronidation, and hepatic enzyme induction increases the activity of glucuronyltransferases. It has been shown convincingly that hepatic enzyme induction by rifampicin leads to a reduction in plasma concentrations of 1-hydroxymidazolam that is greater than the corresponding reduction in parent midazolam.<sup>13</sup>

# Cyclosporine

After single doses of amenamevir 400 mg or 1200 mg given on day 5 of 7 days' treatment with cyclosporine 100 mg twice daily, plasma concentrations of amenamevir were lower than when the same doses of amenamevir had been given before the start of cyclosporine treatment. The interval between doses of amenamevir was 6 days. Results were similar after both the 400-mg and 1200-mg dose levels of amenamevir: Taking the average result for the 2 dose levels, C<sub>max</sub> and AUC of amenamevir given with cyclosporine were about 67% and 80%, respectively, of values obtained after the dose of amenamevir given 6 days previously. We were surprised by that result: cyclosporine is an inhibitor of CYP3A<sup>7</sup> and therefore might have been expected to reduce first-pass metabolism and clearance of amenamevir, leading to increased plasma concentrations. However, amenamevir is not only a substrate of

		Amename	vir 400 mg	Amenamevir 1200 mg	
		Amenamevir Alone (Control)	Amenamevir With Cy- closporine	Amenamevir Alone (Control)	Amenamevir With Cyclosporine
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	Arithmetic mean	1875.3	1260.6	3681.8	2563.0
	SD	475.6	418.3	886.5	782.0
	Geometric mean	1818.0	1202.3	3573.1	2452.1
	%СУЬ	26.1	31.5	26.1	31.4
	LS mean	2568	1730	2568	1730
	LS mean ratio vs control (90%Cl) (%)	N/A	67 (63, 72)	N/A	67 (63,72)
$AUC_{0,tn}$ (ng $\cdot$ h/mL)	Arithmetic mean	23 670.0	19 755.6	48 655.9	38 867.0
	SD	6221.1	7094.7	12 353.3	9143.8
	Geometric mean	22 903.1	18 784.4	47 282.0	37 734.2
	%CVb	26.7	31.8	24.6	26.1
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/mL)	Arithmetic mean	24       .7	19 973.7	49 496.4	39 066.6
	SD	6430.I	7116.8	12876.8	9176.1
	Geometric mean	23 307.4	19 013.5	48 051.2	37 940.8
	%СУЬ	27.1	31.4	25.0	25.9
	LS mean	33 764	27 098	33 764	27 098
	LS mean ratio vs control (90%Cl) (%)	N/A	80 (75, 86)	N/A	80 (75, 86)
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	Median	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00
	Range	0.50-4.02	1.00-8.00	2.00-4.00	2.00-8.00
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	Arithmetic mean	7.8	8.7	7.5	8.4
	SD	0.9	1.4	1.0	1.1
	Geometric mean	7.8	8.6	7.5	8.3
	%CVb	12.1	16.4	13.2	14.1
CL/F (L/h)	Arithmetic mean	17.7	21.9	25.7	32.7
	SD	4.6	5.9	6.3	9.3

Table 3. Summary of Amenamevir Pharmacokinetic Parameters From Cyclosporine Study (n = 24)

AUC  $_{0-\infty}$ , area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time; AUC  $_{0-tn}$ , area under concentration-time curve up to last nonzero value; Cl, confidence interval; CL/F, apparent total body clearance from plasma; C<sub>max</sub>, peak concentration; %CVb, between-subject coefficient of variance; LS, least squares; SD, standard deviation;  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life; T<sub>max</sub>, time of peak concentration.

**Table 4.** Least Squares Means and Ratios of  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  and  $C_{max}$  for AS1955888-00 Before Cyclosporine Treatment and on the Fifth Day of Dosing With Cyclosporine 100 mg Twice Daily (n = 24)

	LS M	leans	Amenamevir With Cyclosporine vs Amenamevir Alone	
	Amenamevir Alone	Amenamevir With Cyclosporine	Ratio (%)	90%CI
$C_{max}$ (ng/mL) AUC <sub>0-<math>\infty</math></sub> (ng $\cdot$ h/mL)	256 3987	200 3672	78 92	72, 84 86, 99

AUC<sub>0-00</sub>, area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time; CI, confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub>, peak concentration; LS, least squares.

CYP3A but also an inducer; see the results of our study of the effect of amenamevir on the pharmacokinetics of midazolam above. Although it is theoretically possible that our paradoxical result could have been due to amenamevir inducing its own metabolism, that seems very unlikely because the results of our midazolam study show that any induction of CYP3A by repeated doses of amenamevir was fully reversed by 7 days after the last dose (see Table 2).

Cyclosporine is an inhibitor of P-gp<sup>5</sup>: Because amenamevir is a P-gp substrate, we would again expect inhibition of P-gp by cyclosporine to increase

	LS I	Means		
	Cyclosporine (Day 6)	Amenamevir With Cyclosporine (Day 7)	Ratio (%) Day 7/Day 6	90%CI
$C_{max}$ ( $\mu$ g/mL) AUC <sub>0-<math>\tau</math></sub> (ng · h/mL)	480 1931	462 1960	96 102	92, 101 99, 105

**Table 5.** Least Squares Means and Ratios of  $AUC_{0-t}$  and  $C_{max}$  for Cyclosporine Before (Day 6) and After a Single Dose of Amenamevir (n = 24)

AUC<sub>0-r</sub>, area under concentration-time curve over the dosing interval; CI, confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub>, peak concentration; LS, least squares.



Figure 5. Mean amenamevir plasma concentration-time plots (linear and semilog) with and without ritonavir (n = 24).

amenamevir plasma concentrations rather than decrease them, as occurred in this study. Our findings with respect to unchanged amenamevir are consistent with the report of Hesselink et al<sup>14</sup> on the effects of cyclosporine on the pharmacokinetics of mycophenolate mofetil: Coadministration of mycophenolate mofetil with cyclosporine to solid-organ transplant recipients reduced mycophenolic acid concentrations and increased exposure to its metabolite, mycophenolic acid–glucuronide (MPAG), possibly by interfering with the biliary excretion of MPAG.<sup>14</sup> The authors of that report suggested a role for multidrug resistance-associated protein-2 in that interaction, as it was not observed in multidrug resistance-associated protein-2–deficient rats.

In contrast with the results of Hesselink et al<sup>14</sup> with respect to the MPAG metabolite of mycophenolate mofetil, we found that plasma concentrations of

		Amenan	nevir 400 mg	Amenai	mevir 1200 mg
		Amenamevir Alone (N = 24)	Amenamevir With Ritonavir 600 mg (N = 24)	Amenamevir Alone (N = 24)	Amenamevir With Ritonavir 600 mg (N = 24)
C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	Arithmetic mean	1896.7	2568.3	3948.2	6457.4
	SD	418.5	459.8	1096.5	1855.0
	Geometric mean	1845.7	2518.7	3804.0	6211.6
	%CVb	25.4	21.9	28.8	29.2
$AUC_{0-tn}$ (ng $\cdot$ h/mL)	Arithmetic mean	24     3.8	59 926.3	50 141.0	161 853.7
	SD	7029.9	12 482.1	13 944.6	38 163.6
	Geometric mean	22 987.3	58 6 1 6. 1	48 245.8	157 352.7
	% CVb	34.2	22.2	29.3	25.1
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/mL)	Arithmetic mean	24 296.0	61 678.1	50 440.3	166 855.3
	SD	7072.3	13 384.7	14 021.9	39 814.1
	Geometric mean	23 162.4	60 225.3	48 532.3	162 131.6
	%CVb	34.2	23.0	29.3	25.3
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	Median	3	4	3	4
	Range	1.00-4.00	1.00-10.00	1.00-4	2.00-12.00
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	Arithmetic mean	7.5	12.7	7.3	12.7
	SD	1.2	2.2	1.4	2.5
	Geometric mean	7.4	12.6	7.2	12.5
	%CVb	14.9	15.9	17.5	19.6
CL/F (L/h)	Arithmetic mean	18.3	6.8	25.7	7.6
	SD	7.3	1.7	7.6	2.0

Tab	le 6.	Summary	of .	Amenamevir	Pharmaco	kinetic	Parameters	From	Ritonavir	Study	′ (n = 1	24)
-----	-------	---------	------	------------	----------	---------	------------	------	-----------	-------	----------	-----

 $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time;  $AUC_{0-tn}$ , area under concentration-time curve up to last nonzero value; CL/F, apparent total body clearance from plasma;  $C_{max}$ , peak concentration; CVb: between-subject coefficient of variance; SD, standard deviation;  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life;  $t_{max}$ , time of peak concentration.

Table 7. Least Squares Means and	d Ratios of AUC <sub>0-∞</sub> ar	nd C <sub>max</sub> for 400-	<ul> <li>and 1200-mg Amenar</li> </ul>	nevir With and Without	Coadministra-
tion of 600-mg Ritonavir ( $n = 24$ )					

		LS M	leans	Amenamevir With Ritonavir vs Amenamevir Alone		
Amenamevir Dose		Amenamevir Alone	Amenamevir With Ritonavir	Ratio (%)	90%CI	
400 mg	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	1846	2519	136	124, 151	
1200 mg	$C_{max}$ (ng/mL) AUC <sub>0-\infty</sub> (ng \cdot h/mL)	3804 48 532	60 223 6212 162 132	163 334	146, 182 305, 366	

AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>, area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time; CI: confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub>, peak concentration; LS, least squares.

amenamevir's main metabolite, AS1955888-00, were lower after the second dose of amenamevir (coadministered with cyclosporine) than after the first dose of amenamevir, which was given alone. Although plasma concentrations of AS1955888-00 might have been expected to be higher, because of increased hepatic metabolism of amenamevir after the second dose, experience with the metabolites of midazolam<sup>13</sup> (see above) shows that the reverse may be the case in practice. An important limitation of the design of our study is that it did not include a control arm in which single doses of amenamevir were given on days 1 and 7 in the absence of any treatment with cyclosporine. As a result of that limitation, we cannot determine whether cyclosporine treatment had any effect on the plasma concentrations of amenamevir. A further study would be needed to elucidate the matter fully. For the present, however, we can safely conclude that cyclosporine

Amenamevir Dose		LSN	1ean	Amenamevir With Ritonavir vs Amenamevir Alone		
	AS1955888-00 Parameter	Amenamevir Alone	Amenamevir With Ritonavir	Ratio (%)	90%CI	
400 mg	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	190	22		9, 14	
	$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/mL)	2636	/39	28	24, 33	
1200 mg	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/mL)	421	56	13	10, 17	
-	$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng · h/mL)	6023	1587	26	22, 32	

**Table 8.** Least Squares Means and Ratios of AUC<sub>0- $\infty$ </sub> and C<sub>max</sub> for AS1955888-00 With and Without Coadministration of 600-mg Ritonavir (n = 24)

AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>, area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time; CI: confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub>, peak concentration; LS, least squares.



**Figure 6.** Mean (SD) ritonavir plasma concentration versus time (linear and semilog);  $(n = 24^*)$  \*at the 24-hour time point, n = 4 for 400 mg; and n = 2 for 1200-mg amenamevir.

treatment does not increase plasma concentrations of amenamevir.

Two single doses of amenamevir 400 mg and 1200 mg, given 7 days apart, had no effect on the pharmacokinetics of cyclosporine. Because amenamevir is both a substrate and an inducer of CYP3A, it has the potential to produce opposing effects on cyclosporine concentrations that might cancel each other out.

#### Ritonavir

A single 600-mg dose of ritonavir substantially increased plasma concentrations of amenamevir after coadministration with either 400-mg or 1200-mg doses. The increase in  $C_{max}$  was about 1.5-fold, which is consistent with a modest decrease in first-pass extraction of amenamevir. In contrast, AUC increased >3-fold, reflecting the dual contributions of decreased

		Amenamevir 400 mg and Ritonavir 600 mg ( $N = 24$ )	Amenamevir 1200 mg and Ritonavir 600 mg ( $N=24$ )
C <sub>max</sub> (μg/mL)	Arithmetic mean	13.6	12.9
	SD	3.7	3.7
	Geometric mean	13.2	12.4
	%CVb	26.6	29.8
$AUC_{0-tn} (\mu g \cdot h/mL)$	n	24	24
	Arithmetic mean	87.3	78.1
	SD	31.4	29.9
	Geometric mean	82.5	72.6
	%CVb	34.9	41.3
$AUC_{0.\infty}$ ( $\mu g \cdot h$ /mL)	n	14	13
	Arithmetic mean	98.1	77.7
	SD	37.5	32.2
	Geometric mean	92.1	71.8
	%CVb	37.7	44.0
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	n	24	24
	Median	4.0	4.0
	Range	3.00-6.00	2.00-4.22
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	n	24	24
	Arithmetic mean	5.0	4.5
	SD	2.9	2.1
	Geometric mean	4.5	4.1
	%CVb	47.7	47.9

Table 9. Summary of Ritonavir Pharmacokinetic Parameters (n = 24)

 $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , area under concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinite time;  $AUC_{0-tn}$ , area under concentration-time curve up to last nonzero value;  $C_{max}$ , peak concentration; %CVb, between-subject coefficient of variance;  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life;  $t_{max}$ , time of peak concentration.

first-pass extraction and reduced clearance. These findings are similar to those of Kusawake et al,<sup>15</sup> who coadministered amenamevir with ketoconazole, another CYP3A inhibitor. In that study, the geometric mean ratio of amenamevir plus ketoconazole vs amenamevir alone for  $C_{max}$  and AUC<sub>inf</sub> was 1.30 (90%CI, 1.17-1.45) and 2.58 (90%CI, 2.32-2.87), respectively.<sup>15</sup> Elimination half-life of amenamevir after both 400-mg and 1200-mg doses was increased from about 7 hours to about 12 to 13 hours by a single dose of ritonavir 600 mg. Plasma concentrations of the metabolite AS1955888-00 were much lower when amenamevir was given with ritonavir compared with amenamevir alone, reflecting decreased hepatic metabolism of amenamevir.

Amenamevir is a substrate of P-gp in vitro, and ritonavir inhibits P-gp as well as CYP3A.<sup>16</sup> Inhibition of P-gp by ritonavir in the intestinal epithelium could have contributed to the observed increases in  $C_{max}$  and AUC of amenamevir, and could also have contributed to the prolongation of elimination half-life by reducing transport into bile.

Single doses of amenamevir 400 mg and 1200 mg did not affect the pharmacokinetics of ritonavir.

# Conclusions

As predicted from in vitro metabolic studies of amenamevir, our 3 clinical studies confirm the existence of interactions between amenamevir and midazolam (a probe substrate for CYP3A), cyclosporine (a substrate and inhibitor of CYP3A), and ritonavir (an inhibitor of CYP3A). In our healthy volunteers, amenamevir induced the metabolism and enhanced the clearance of midazolam. Cyclosporine decreased  $C_{max}$  and AUC of amenamevir; further studies would be needed to identify the mechanism of that interaction. Ritonavir, even when given as a single dose, substantially inhibited the clearance of amenamevir.

The CYP3A-inducing effect of amenamevir caused a 52% reduction in plasma concentrations of the probe drug midazolam, and might be expected to have a similar effect on the plasma concentrations of other drugs that are substrates of CYP3A. Halving plasma concentrations might have important consequences in the case of drugs with a narrow therapeutic window but would probably be of no consequence for others. The prescriber would have to make an informed decision in each case. However, if a prescriber were to double the dose of a drug to maintain plasma concentrations during amenamevir treatment, it would be important to reduce the dose of that drug within a few days of the end of amenamevir treatment, as the enzyme-inducing effect clearly wanes rapidly.

Although our study has not fully defined the potential interactions between cyclosporine and amenamevir, the results are reassuring in that they show that cyclosporine does not increase plasma concentrations of amenamevir, and any reduction by cyclosporine is unlikely to be greater than that attributable to autoinduction of metabolism of amenamevir. Single doses of amenamevir up to 1200 mg have no effect on the pharmacokinetics of cyclosporine.

As demonstrated by the ritonavir study, concentrations of amenamevir are likely to be substantially increased by coadministration of inhibitors of CYP3A, such as erythromycin, itraconazole, or ritonavir.

Amenamevir clearly has the potential to be involved in CYP3A-mediated pharmacokinetic interactions in clinical practice. Prescribers should therefore consider the need for dose adjustment when amenamevir is coadministered with other CYP3A substrates, inhibitors, or inducers.

# **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors are employees of either Maruho or the clinical unit. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

# Funding

The studies were sponsored by Maruho.

# References

- Chono K, Katsumata K, Kontani T, et al. ASP2151, a novel helicase–primase inhibitor, possesses antiviral activity against varicella–zoster virus and herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65:1733–1741.
- Perry CM, Faulds D. Valaciclovir. A review of its antiviral activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy in herpesvirus infections. *Drugs*. 1996;52: 754-772.
- Levin MJ, Bacon TH, Leary JJ. Resistance of herpes simplex virus infections to nucleoside analogues in HIVinfected patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;39(suppl 5):S248-S257.
- 4. Topletz AR, Dennison JB, Barbuch RJ, et al. The relative contributions of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 to the metabolism of vinorelbine. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2013;41:1651-1661.

- Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). Guidance for Industry: Drug Interaction Studies—Study Design, Data Analysis, Implications for Dosing, and Labeling Recommendations. 2012:46-47.
- Whai T, Thomas Easaw, Choo S. Premedication with midazolam is more effective by the sublingual than oral route. *Can J Anaesth*. 1997;44:723-726.
- Amundsen R, Asberg A, Ohm IK, Christensen H. Cyclosporine A- and tacrolimus-mediated inhibition of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 in vitro. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2012;40:655-661.
- Tilney NL, Chang A, Milford EL, et al. Ten-year experience with cyclosporine as primary immunosuppression in recipients of renal allografts. *Ann Surg.* 1991;214:42-49.
- Greenblatt DJ, Harmatz JS. Ritonavir is the best alternative to ketoconazole as an index inhibitor of cytochrome P450-3A in drug-drug interaction studies. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2015;80:342-350.
- Hill A, van der Lugt J, Sawyer W, et al. How much ritonavir is needed to boost protease inhibitors? Systematic review of 17 dose-ranging pharmacokinetic trials. *AIDS*. 2009;23:2237-2245.
- Park J, Vousden M, Brittain C, et al. Dose-related reduction in bupropion plasma concentration by ritonavir. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2010;50:1180-1187.
- Kempf DJ, Marsh KC, Kumar G, et al. Pharmacokinetic enhancement of inhibitors of the human immunodeficiency virus protease by coadministration with ritonavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1997;41:654-660.
- Link B, Haschke M, Grignaschi N, et al. Pharmacokinetics of intravenous and oral midazolam in plasma and saliva in humans: usefulness of saliva as matrix for CYP3A phenotyping. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;66:473-484.
- Hesselink DA, van Hest RM, Mathot RAA, et al. Cyclosporine interacts with mycophenolic acid by inhibiting the multidrug resistance-associated protein 2. *Am J Transplant*. 2005;5:987-994.
- Kusawake T, den Adel M, Groenendaal-van de Meent D, et al. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of the interactions of amenamevir (ASP2151) with ketoconazole, rifampicin, midazolam, and warfarin in healthy adults. *Adv Ther*. 2017;34:2466-2480.
- Profit L, Eagling VA, Back DJ. Modulation of Pglycoprotein function in human lymphocytes and Caco-2 cell monolayers by HIV-1 protease inhibitors. *AIDS*. 1999;13:1623-1627.

# Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.