**RESEARCH REVIEW** 



# Neurophysiology Versus Clinical Genetics in Rett Syndrome: A Multicenter Study

Nicky Halbach,<sup>1</sup> Eric E. Smeets,<sup>1</sup>\* Peter Julu,<sup>2,3</sup> Ingegerd Witt-Engerström,<sup>3</sup> Giorgio Pini,<sup>4</sup> Stefania Bigoni,<sup>5</sup> Stig Hansen,<sup>6</sup> Flora Apartopoulos,<sup>6</sup> Robert Delamont,<sup>7</sup> Kees van Roozendaal,<sup>8</sup> Maria F. Scusa,<sup>4</sup> Paolo Borelli,<sup>9</sup> Math Candel,<sup>10</sup> and Leopold Curfs<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Netherlands Rett Expertise Center–GKC, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Neurodegeneration and Neuroinflamation, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>The National Swedish Rett Center, Frösön, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Tuscany Rett Center, Versilia Hospital, Camaiore (Lucca), Italy

<sup>5</sup>Medical Genetic Unit, Ferrara University Hospital, Ferrara, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Neurological Sciences, Southern General Hospital, NHS Greater, Glasgow and Clyde, Ferrara, United Kingdom

<sup>7</sup>Regional Neuroscience Center, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>8</sup>Clinical Genomics, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands

<sup>9</sup>Division of Neurology, Versilia Hospital, Camaiore (Lucca), Italy

<sup>10</sup>Department of Methodology and Statistics, School for Public Health and Primary Care CAPHRI, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Manuscript Received: 24 April 2015; Manuscript Accepted: 30 May 2016

Many studies have attempted to establish the genotypephenotype correlation in Rett syndrome (RTT). Cardiorespiratory measurements provide robust objective data, to correlate with each of the different clinical phenotypes. It has important implications for the management and treatment of this syndrome. The aim of this study was to correlate the genotype with the quantitative cardiorespiratory data obtained by neurophysiological measurement combined with a clinical severity score. This international multicenter study was conducted in four European countries from 1999 to 2012. The study cohort consisted of a group of 132 welldefined RTT females aged between 2 and 43 years with extended clinical, molecular, and neurophysiological assessments. Diagnosis of RTT was based on the consensus criteria for RTT and molecular confirmation. Genotype-phenotype analyses of clinical features and cardiorespiratory data were performed after grouping mutations by the same type and localization or having the same putative biological effect on the MeCP2 protein, and subsequently on eight single recurrent mutations. A less severe phenotype was seen in females with CTS, p.R133C, and p.R294X mutations. Autonomic disturbances were present in all females, and not restricted to nor influenced by one specific group or any single recurrent mutation. The objective information from non-invasive neurophysiological evaluation of the disturbed central autonomic control is of great importance in helping to organize the lifelong care for females with RTT. Further research is needed to provide insights into the pathogenesis of autonomic dysfunction, and to develop evidence-based management in RTT. © 2016 The Authors. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: Rett syndrome; neurophysiology; MECP2

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Conflict of interest: Dr. Peter Julu is the inventor of the NeuroScope, the machine used to obtain objective and quantitative neurophysiological measurements of brainstem functions in this study, but the machine is patented by Glasgow University and the right to exploit the patent was sold to MediFit Instruments Ltd in London. Dr. Peter Julu from time to time gives scientific advice to MediFit Instruments Ltd and has no financial enumeration or administrative role in the private company. The only interest Dr. Peter Julu has in this company is the proper and correct scientific use of the NeuroScope. No financial support or funding applicable.

\*Correspondence to:

Eric E. Smeets, Netherlands Rett Expertise Center–GKC, Maastricht University Medical Center, P. Debeyelaan 25, P.O. Box 5800, 6202 AZ Maastricht, The Netherlands.

E-mail: eric.smeets@mumc.nl

Article first published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com): 29 June 2016 DOI 10.1002/ajmg.a.37812

## INTRODUCTION

Rett syndrome (RTT) is a neurological disorder affecting almost exclusively females. It is caused by mutations in the gene encoding the methyl CpG binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) [Hagberg et al., 1983; Amir et al., 1999]. A unique pattern of neurological and behavioral symptoms appears over time [Julu et al., 2008]. Most prominent are the abnormal breathing patterns, which is a consequence of developmental brainstem immaturity in this syndrome. Abnormal breathing is the most distressing and underestimated feature in many RTT females. It may be a major determinant of the quality of daily life of the female and her family [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005; Smeets et al., 2006; Halbach et al., 2008, 2013; Julu et al., 2008; Tarquinio et al., 2015]. Neurophysiological research has established three cardiorespiratory phenotypes in RTT (forceful, feeble, and apneustic breathers), and their clinical relevance [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005; Smeets et al., 2006; Julu et al., 2008; Halbach et al., 2011].

Many genotype–phenotype correlation studies have been published [Huppke et al., 2002; Leonard et al., 2003, 2005; Colvin et al., 2004; Schanen et al., 2004; Charman et al., 2005; Kerr and Prescott, 2005; Smeets et al., 2005, 2009; Bebbington et al., 2008, 2012; Neul et al., 2008; Halbach et al., 2012; Cuddapah et al., 2014]. These studies are based on clinical scoring systems and/or questionnaires in providing a composite clinical phenotype. To our knowledge, the published population-based studies did not have quantitative measures of cardiorespiratory dysfunctions in Rett syndrome. Cardiorespiratory variables can be measured objectively in different clinical phenotypes, providing robust quantitative data for research. It is stated that this has important implications for life long management and future treatment in RTT [Julu et al., 2008].

The aim of this collaborative multicenter study is to correlate for the first time the RTT genotype with the quantitative cardiorespiratory data obtained by neurophysiological measurement combined with a clinical severity score.

## METHODS

Ethical approval was obtained from the Medical Ethical Committee at the Maastricht University Medical Center.

## **Study Design and Participants**

In order to achieve a reasonable population size using the brainstem neurophysiological technique an international multicentre study was conducted in four European countries from 1999 to 2012. The six participating centers were: Tuscany Rett Centre, Versilia Hospital (Lucca, Italy), Medical Genetic Unit, Ferrara University Hospital (Ferrara, Italy), the National Swedish Rett Centre (Frösön, Sweden), the Rett Expertise Centre Netherlands, Maastricht University Medical Centre (Maastricht, the Netherlands), Neurodegeneration and Neuroinflamation at Imperial College (London, United Kingdom), and Institute of Neurological Sciences, Southern General Hospital (Glasgow, United Kingdom).

The study cohort consisted of a group of 132 well-defined RTT females with extended clinical, molecular, and neurophysiological assessment. These females were referred to one of the participating

#### How to Cite this Article:

Halbach N, Smeets EE, Julu P, Witt-Engerström I, Pini G, Bigoni S, Hansen S, Apartopoulos F, Delamont R, van Roozendaal K, Scusa MF, Borelli P, Candel M, Curfs L. 2016. Neurophysiology versus Clinical Genetics in Rett Syndrome: A Multicenter Study.

Am J Med Genet Part A 170A:2301-2309.

centers. Neurophysiological assessment was performed in Italy and Sweden, each examining 66 RTT females. Diagnosis of RTT was based on the consensus criteria for RTT [Hagberg et al., 2002; Neul et al., 2010]. Only females with molecular confirmation were included. Males with *MECP2* related disorders were excluded from this study.

## Molecular Analysis of MECP2

DNA analysis of *MECP2* was performed by sequencing the coding exons and immediately adjacent intronic regions. Additional Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification analysis of *MECP2* was done to identify large genomic rearrangements. Nomenclature was according to the *MECP2A* isoform reference sequence NM\_004992.3. Numbering started at the A of the ATG translation initiation codon. Mutations were classified by type and localization in the gene (Table I). As to mutation type, they were classified as missense (single amino acid substitutions) and truncating mutations (nonsense mutations, frame shift mutations, and large deletions/duplications). The following domains were included for mutation localization: the N-Terminal domain (NT domain), the methyl-CpG-binding domain (MBD), the transcription repression domain (TRD), and the C-terminal segment (CTS).

## **ISS Scoring List**

In order to evaluate the clinical severity of the common features in RTT, a modified version of the International Scoring System was used (ISS, Table II) [Kerr et al., 2001]. The clinical scoring system originally consisted of 20 items (ranging from A to T), which were scored from zero to two; the lower the score, the better the clinical condition. Based on the high prevalence of gastro-intestinal and bladder problems in females with RTT, an additional item concerning these problems was added in the adapted ISS (item U). These 21 items were grouped into five functional domains: Growth and Development (A–E), Musculoskeletal (F–H), Movement (I–L), Cortical (M–O), and Autonomic Domain (P–U). The oro-motor disturbances were included in the Autonomic domain [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005].

## **Neurophysiological Assessment**

Autonomic monitoring of brainstem function was carried out using the NeuroScope<sup>TM</sup> (Medifit Instruments Ltd, London, UK). This is a cortico-bulbar neurophysiological method for

Domain $ ightarrow$					
Type of mutation $\downarrow$	NT (n)	MBD (n)	TRD (n)	CTS (n)	Total
Truncating (Nonsense, frame shift, large deletion)	p.M5fsX (1)	p.D90fsX (1)	p.R168X (12)	p.T327fsX (1)	85
C I	p.R9fsX (9)	p.R111fsX (1)	p.G237fsX (1)	p.K347fsX (1)	
		p.N126fsX (1)	p.G238fsX (1)	p.A358fsX (1)	
		p.Q128X (1)	p.R255X (9)	p.P362fsX (1)	
		p.Y141X (2)	p.G269fsX (2)	p.A378fsX (1)	
		p.K144fsX (2)	p.R270X (11)	p.L386fsX (11)	
			p.R294X (10)	p.P388fsX (1)	
				p.P389fsX (2)	
				p.S401fsX (1)	
				p.R453X (1)	
Missense		p.R106 W (5)	p.P225A (1)		47
		p.R133C (14)	p.P225 R (1)		
		p.S134C (1)	p.P302 L (1)		
		p.K135G (1)	p.R306C (8)		
		p.P152 R (5)			
		p.D156E (2)			
		р.Т158 М (8)			
Total	10	44	57	21	132

#### TABLE I. Mutation Type and Localization in MECP2

NT, N-Terminal segment; MBD, Methyl-CpG-Binding Domain; TRD, Transcription Repression Domain; CTS, C-Terminal Segment; n, number of patients (nomenclature according to the MECP2A isoform reference sequence NM\_004992.3).

monitoring brainstem autonomic functions and cortical activity simultaneously in real-time and synchronizing the various autonomic signs. The NeuroScope, a neurophysiology piece of equipment is used in routine clinical examination in Rett syndrome [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005] and other neurodevelopmental disorders like the autistic spectrum disorders (ASD) [Ming et al., 2016] to monitor brainstem autonomic functions. The cardiac sensitivity to baroreflex (CSB) was measured as previously described [Julu et al., 2003], and is defined as the increase in pulse interval per unit increase in systolic blood pressure. This quantifies the negative feedback control of blood pressure (BP) beat by beat. The CSB is calculated according to the formula previously published [Julu et al., 2003]. The method detects rapid changes in CSB in real time within a continuous measurement, facilitating the evaluations of response latencies. A non-invasive continuous index of cardiac vagal tone (CVT), described as "pulse synchronized phase shifts in consecutive cardiac cycles" is a form of cardiac cycle jitter was quantified in real time using the Neuro-Scope as previously described [Little et al., 1999]. The CVT is quantified in clinically validated units of a linear vagal scale (LVS) with zero reference point equivalent to full atropinization in humans [Julu, 1992a,b]. The electrocardiogram (ECG) for deriving all the cardiovascular indices was recorded via three chest leads conforming to Einthoven's Lead II and the non-invasive BP waveform was quantified continuously using volume-clamp photoplethysmography through a finger cuff of the Nexfin<sup>TM</sup>-Monitoring\_System (BMEYE, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) or Portapres<sup>TM</sup> blood pressure monitor (Finapres Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). A TCM4 or

TCM3 monitor (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark) is used to quantify the partial pressures of oxygen (pO2) and carbon dioxide (pCO2) transcutaneous through a special sensor placed on the abdominal skin in the sub-costal region in the midclavicular line close to the liver and the signal is transmitted live continuously to the NeuroScope and displayed in real-time synchronously with all other physiological parameters. Other raw data were fed into the NeuroScope for further processing and real-time derivation of the autonomic indices using VaguSoft<sup>TM</sup> software (Medifit Instruments Ltd) as follows. The peaks of blood pressure (BP) waveforms during cardiac cycles provided values for the systolic pressure (SBP, in mmHg). The lowest BP before the ejection period of the cardiac cycle is diastolic BP (DBP, in mmHg) and the arithmetic mean of arterial pressure during the whole cardiac cycle is mean arterial pressure (MAP, in mmHg). The instantaneous heart rate (HR, in bpm) was calculated continuously in real time from the intervals between consecutive electrocardiographic R-waves "(R-R intervals, in msec)." Cortical activity was monitored using electroencephalography (EEG) and synchronized with autonomic function. A continuous video record time-locked with the physiological data was kept for behavioral analysis. The breathing movements measured using a stretch sensitive plethysmograph placed around the chest at the level of the xiphisternum were analyzed using the LARS respiratory analysis software (MediFit Instruments Ltd). The individual breathing movement was scored for types of rhythm and amplitudes according to the criteria previously described for Rett syndrome [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005]. The total time of each specific type of breathing movement was compared with the

			TABL
TA	ABLE II. Modified Version of the ISS Scoring List	Internation	al scoring system
		internation	ar scoring system
	nal scoring system	Ν	Speech
	d development	2	Currently uses
A	Head circumference during the first year	1	Currently uses
2	Already below the 3rd percentile at birth	0	Normal speech
1	Normal at birth but decelerating	0	Epilepsy
0	Normal at birth with no deceleration	2	Uncontrolled or
В	Early developmental progress (birth to 12 months)	1	Previous epilep
2	No or virtually no progress		medication
1	Suboptimal progress	0	Never
0	Normal progress	Autonomic	features
С	Present head circumference-(percentile/standard	Р	Oro-motor diffic
2	deviations SD)	2	Severe (e.g., fe
2	Below 3rd percentile		button fed)
1	3rd–10th percentile	1	Slight (e.g., del
0	Above 10th percentile		supplements
D	Weight (kg)	0	None
2	Below 3rd percentile	Q	Disturbed awak
1	3rd–10th percentile		breath holdi
0	Above 10th percentile	2	Severe, with va
E	Height (cm)	1	Mild, without v
2	Below 3rd percentile	0	Normal breathi
1	3rd–10th percentile	R	Peripheral circu
0	Above 10th percentile	2	Cold or discolo
Musculosk		1	Cold or discolo
F	Muscle tone (also describe)	0	Normal color a
2	Severe hypotonia, dystonia, or hypertonia	S	Mood disturbar
1	Tone mildly abnormal	2	Prominent or d
0	Normal	1	Abnormally pro
G	Spine posture	0	Normal
2	Severe scoliosis	Т	Sleep disturbar
1	Mild scoliosis	2	Prominent/disr
0	No deviation	1	Present, not pr
Н	Joint contractures	0	Normal sleep p
2	Severe contractures	U	Gastro-intestina
1	Minor contractures	2	Reflux oesopha
0	None		bladder dysf
Movement		1	Gastrointestina
1	Gross motor function		complication
2	Cannot walk with support	0	No signs of ga
1	Walking impaired		empty bowe
0	Walks normally	Adapted fro	om Kerr et al. [200
J	Hand stereotypy (patting, squeezing, wringing, mouthing)		
2	Dominating or constant Mild or intermittent		
1	Mild or intermittent		
0	None Other involuntery movements (a.e. typener ductorie	duration of	of the whole r
K	Other involuntary movements (e.g., tremor, dystonia,		phenotype deter
2	chorea, athetosis)	-	e Forceful Breat
2	Dominating or constant	11	asthing Eachla I

Mild or intermittent

Reduced or poor

Hand use normal

Any except profound

No impairment

Voluntary hand use (e.g., self-feeding)

Apparent profound (infant level)

Intellectual disability (=learning disability, retardation)

None

None

1

0

1 0

2

1

0

L 2

Cortical M

TABLE II.	[Continued]
-----------	-------------

Ν		Speech
	2	Currently uses no real words with meaning
	1	Currently uses some real words with meaning
	0	Normal speech
0		Epilepsy
	2	Uncontrolled or poorly controlled
	1	Previous epileptic seizures or well-controlled with medication
	0	Never
Auto	nomic fea	tures
Р		Oro-motor difficulty
	2	Severe (e.g., feeding aversion; gagging, choking, tube/ button fed)
	1	Slight (e.g., delayed chewing, swallowing, on supplements)
	0	None
Q		Disturbed awake breathing rhythm (e.g., hyperventilation,
		breath holding, panting)
	2	Severe, with vacant spells and color changes
	1	Mild, without vacant spells and color changes
	0	Normal breathing pattern
R		Peripheral circulation of extremities
	2	Cold or discolored with atrophic changes
	1	Cold or discolored without atrophic changes
	0	Normal color and temperature of extremities
S		Mood disturbance
	2	Prominent or disruptive agitation/crying spells
	1	Abnormally prone to agitation
	0	Normal
Т		Sleep disturbance
	2	Prominent/disruptive day sleeping or night waking
	1	Present, not prominent
	0	Normal sleep pattern
U		Gastro-intestinal and bladder
	2	Reflux oesophagitis, severe constipation, or neurogenic bladder dysfunction
	1	Gastrointestinal dysmotility without secondary complications
	0	No signs of gastrointestinal dysmotility or difficulties to empty bowel or bladder
Adap	ted from	Kerr et al. [2001].

duration of the whole monitoring session. The prevailing breathing phenotype determines the cardiorespiratory phenotype where Forceful Breathers would have longer duration of forceful breathing, Feeble Breathers would have longer duration of weak ineffective breathings and Apneustic Breathers would have longer duration in apneusis during the whole monitoring session [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005].

## Data Analysis

Data were collected from all centers into a unified, anonymous database. Clinician experts in RTT made the ISS scoring list. Baseline brainstem functions were measured during normal breathing without agitation, with normal blood gases ( $pCO_2$ )

	of RTT Females	
Recurrent mutation	Number of RTT females	Percentage of RTT females (%)
p.R133C	14	11
p.T158M	8	6
p.R168X	12	9
p.R255X	9	7
p.R270X	11	8
p.R294X	10	8
p.R306C	8	6
C-terminal deletions	21	16
Total	93	70

and  $pO_2$ ) and in the absence of epileptiform activity on EEG. We considered a baseline state of the subjects when there was no visible contraction of neck muscles and breathing was quiet (regular breathing curve) with <10% fluctuations about the means in both blood pressure and heart rate from their peaks to troughs for at least 10 min. Details of the assessment of brainstem functions to determine cardiorespiratory phenotype are published elsewhere [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005; Ming et al., 2016].

The genotype-phenotype analyses were performed after grouping together all mutations of similar types, localizations or putative biological effect on the MeCP2 protein. Consequently, mutations were then subdivided into the following five groups: (i) truncating mutations in the NT and MBD domain, causing loss of function or disruption of the two functional domains MBD and TRD; (ii) missense mutations in the MBD domain, giving rise to a modified or non-functional MBD; (iii) truncating mutations in the Interdomain and TRD, causing a loss of functional TRD; (iv) missense mutations in TRD giving rise to a modified or non-functional TRD; and (v) small truncations in the CTS leading to protein with an altered C-terminus. A further separate genotype-phenotype analysis was performed on eight recurrent mutations, defined as mutations present in at least 5% of the RTT females in this cohort (Table III).

We used descriptive statistics to analyze mutation types, ISS scores, and cardiorespiratory data including Valsalva's manoeuvre type of breathing (Valsalva breathing). Linear regression analysis was used to analyze the relationships among ISS scores (total and functional domain scores), CVT, HR, and mutation groups or recurrent mutations. Checks for the normality assumption were done employing Q–Q plots. If the normality assumption was in doubt, the analyses were done by ordinal logistic regression. Relationships among cardiorespiratory phenotypes, Valsalva breathing (present or absent), and mutation groups or recurrent mutations, were examined using nominal logistic regression. The mutation groups and the recurrent mutations were used as predictor variables through dummy coding in the regression analyses. Since age may be a confounding factor for the ISS scores and HR, this variable was included as

an extra predictor for these outcomes. First a statistical test was done to check whether there was a relation between mutation groups or recurrent mutations and the outcome in question. If present, it was re-examined in a pairwise fashion to determine which groups differed from each other with respect to the outcome variable. The level of statistical significance for all tests was set to a probability value of  $\leq 0.05$ , and all analyses were carried out using SPSS18.

#### RESULTS

The age of the RTT females ranged between 2 and 43 years (mean age: 12.46 years, SD = 9.36). According to the clinical criteria, 74% (n = 98) of the females were typical RTT and 26% (n = 34) atypical RTT.

## **Mutation Analysis**

*MECP2* mutations were classified by mutation type, localization, and putative protein function, as shown in Table I. Truncating mutations were present in 64% (n = 85) and missense mutations in 36% (n = 47). Forty-three percent had a mutation affecting the TRD (n = 57), whereas 41% (n = 54) had a mutation affecting the MBD. The mutations localized in the MBD were predominantly missense mutations (82%), while those affecting in the TRD were mostly truncating (81%). Half of the truncating mutations, affecting both the MBD and TRD, were due to a large deletion of both exon three and most of the coding part of exon four. Sixteen percent (n = 21) displayed a truncating mutation in the CTS leading to an extensive replacement of the C-terminus, which is likely to have an unfavorable effect on the natural protein function due to its putative effect on protein structure.

Table III shows the recurrent mutations included in this study, together comprising 70% of the pathogenic mutations in this cohort.

#### **ISS Scoring List**

The mean severity score on the ISS scoring list was 20.7 points (range 2–36, SD = 7.59). Separating the scores into the functional domains, the mean scores were: Growth and Development, 3.79 points (range 0–8, SD = 2.42); Musculoskeletal, 2.54 points (range 0–6, SD = 1.84); Movement, 4.76 points (range 1–8, SD = 1.59); Cortical, 4.10 points (range 1–6, SD = 1.37); Autonomic, 5.53 points (range 0–12, SD = 2.59), respectively.

### Cardiorespiratory Status of the RTT Cohort

Forty-nine percent were diagnosed as feeble breathers (n = 65), 41% as forceful (n = 54), and 10% as apneustic (n = 13). Valsalva breathing was present in 62% (n = 82), and occurred in all three cardiorespiratory phenotypes. We assessed both sympathetic and parasympathetic functions of the autonomic nervous system by measuring HR and CVT of these females. HR varied between 66 and 172 beats/min (mean rate = 99.2, SD = 17.4). Mean CVT was 4.50 (range 0.9–13.9, SD = 2.53).

TABLE III.	Recurrent	<b>Mutations</b>	Including	Number	and	Percentage
		of RTT	Females			

#### Genotype-Phenotype Analysis

**Clinical severity.** Total ISS score. The total ISS score did differ significantly between the different mutation groups (F(dfl = 4, df2 = 126) = 3.02, P = 0.02). Females with a CTS mutation scored significantly lower than females with a mutation in the NT domain or nonsense mutation in the MBD (t = 2.53, P = 0.01), and with a nonsense or missense mutation in the TRD (t = 3.22, P < 0.01 and t = 2.31, P = 0.02, respectively).

The total ISS score also differed significantly between the different recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 7, df2 = 83) = 4.47, P < 0.001). Females with a p.R133C, p.R294X, or CTS mutation scored significantly lower than females with a p.T158M (t=2.32–2.57, P=0.01-0.02), p.R168X (t=2.70–2.92, P < 0.01), p.R255X (t=3.54–3.70, P < 0.001-0.01), p.R270X (t=2.55–2.79, P=0.01), or p.R306 C mutation (t=2.05–2.35, P=0.02-0.04).

*Growth and development domain.* The ISS score in the Growth and Development domain did differ significantly between the different mutation groups (F(df1 = 4, df2 = 126) = 3.22, P = 0.02). Females with a CTS mutation scored significantly lower than females with a mutation in the NT domain or nonsense mutation in the MBD (t = 3.00, P = < 0.01), missense mutation in the MBD (t = 2.53, P = 0.01), and nonsense or missense mutation in the TRD (t = 2.92, P < 0.01 and t = 2.76, P < 0.01, respectively).

The ISS score also differed significantly between the different recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 7, df2 = 83) = 4.57, P < 0.001). Females with a p.R294X or CTS mutation scored significantly lower than females with a p.T158M (t = 3.08, P < 0.01 and t = 2.89, P < 0.01, respectively); p.R168X (t = 2.31, P = 0.02 and t = 2.03, P = 0.05, respectively), p.R255X (t = 3.88, P < 0.001 and t = 3.84, P < 0.001, respectively), p.R270X (t = 3.10, P < 0.01 and t = 2.93, P < 0.01, respectively) or p.R306C mutation (t = 3.00, P < 0.01 and t = 2.78, P < 0.01, respectively). Also females with a p.R133C mutation scored significantly lower than females with a p.T158M mutation (t = 2.00, P = 0.05) or p.R255X mutation (t = 2.84, P = 0.01).

Musculoskeletal domain. The ISS score in the Musculoskeletal domain did not differ significantly among the different mutation groups (F(df = 4) = 9.42, P = 0.051), but did differ significantly among the different recurrent mutations (F(df = 7) = 15.00, P = 0.04). Females with a p.R133C or p.R294X mutation scored significantly lower than females with a p.T158M (F(df = 1) = 12.23, P < 0.001 and F(df = 1) = 9.39, P < 0.01, respectively), p.R168X (F(df = 1) = 13.44, P < 0.001F(df = 1) = 10.00, P < 0.01, respectively), p.R255X and (F(df = 1) = 14.83, P < 0.001 and F(df = 1) = 11.47, P = 0.001,respectively), p.R270X (F(df=1)=9.19,P < 0.01and F(df=1) = 6.64, P = 0.01, respectively) or p.R306C mutation (F(df = 1) = 5.86, P = 0.02 and F(df = 1) = 4.11, P = 0.04, respectively). Also females with a CTS mutation scored significantly lower than females with a p.T158M (F(df=1) = 5.84, P = 0.02), p.R168X (F(df=1) = 6.38, P=0.01) or p.R255X mutation (F(df = 1) = 7.89, P = 0.01).

*Movement domain.* The ISS score in the Movement domain did not differ significantly among the different mutation groups (F(df1 = 4, df2 = 126) = 1.27, P = 0.29) or recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 7, df2 = 83) = 1.55, P = 0.16).

*Cortical domain.* The ISS score in the Cortical domain did not differ significantly among the different mutation groups (F(df1 = 1, df2 = 5) = 12.78, P = 0.21) or recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 1, df2 = 8) = 14.83, P = 0.34).

*Autonomic domain.* The ISS score in the Autonomic domain did not differ significantly among the different mutation groups (F(df1 = 4, df2 = 126) = 2.11, P = 0.08) or recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 7, df2 = 83) = 1.93, P = 0.08).

Autonomic assessment. Cardiorespiratory phenotype. There was no significant correlation between cardiorespiratory phenotype and groups of mutations ( $\chi^2(df=8)=4.77$ , P=0.78). Excluding the apneustic breathers for the analysis did not change these results ( $\chi^2(df=4)=3.37$ , P=0.50).

Also no significant correlation was seen among the cardiorespiratory phenotypes and recurrent mutations ( $\chi^2(df = 14) = 13.42$ , P = 0.49). Excluding the apneustic breathers for this analysis also did not change these results ( $\chi^2(df = 7) = 8.91$ , P = 0.26).

Valsalva manoeuvre type of breathing. There was no significant correlation among the presence and absence of Valsalva breathing and the groups of mutations ( $\chi^2(df=4)=2.50$ , P=0.64) or recurrent mutations ( $\chi^2(df=7)=10.81$ , P=0.15).

*Cardiac vagal tone (CVT).* There was no significant correlation between CVT and the groups of mutations (F(df1 = 4, df2 = 126) = 2.40, P = 0.06) or recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 7, df2 = 83) = 1.17, P = 0.33).

*Heart rate (HR).* There was no significant correlation between HR and the groups of mutations (F(df1 = 4, df2 = 126) = 0.29, P = 0.88) or recurrent mutations (F(df1 = 7, df2 = 83) = 0.31, P = 0.95).

#### DISCUSSION

This multicenter study was the result of an international collaborative network set up to create a database with sufficient numbers of robust clinical, molecular, and neurophysiological data for further analyses. The grouping of the mutations in MECP2 was based on the putative biological effects of these mutations on the MeCP2 protein as explained in our Methods. Despite the minor methodological differences, the clinical severity and general genotype-phenotype results were similar to those in previous studies. We used a modified version of the internationally accepted clinical scoring system (ISS) to quantify the effects of RTT on growth, development, and other bodily dysfunctions in our genotype-phenotype analyses. The ISS provided us with a form of quantifiable clinical severity of the various bodily dysfunctions in this RTT cohort, which indeed is comparable with previous research [Bebbington et al., 2008, 2010; Halbach et al., 2012]. We have elucidated a less severe clinical phenotype in females with CTS, p.R133C, or p.R294X mutations. However, clinical severity varies even within one specific type or group of mutations. It means genotypes have very limited use for clinical management in RTT.

The main reason for conducting this study was to evaluate the influence of *MECP2* mutation on brainstem instability, which includes breathing dysrhythmia, so characteristic of RTT. Breathing dysrhythmia is a major reason for seeking medical attention and for secondary referral of persons with RTT. Cardiorespiratory data must be obtained using objective and quantitative neurophysiological measurements of brainstem functions, because clinical management of brainstem autonomic dysfunction in RTT is a profound challenge. Each cardiorespiratory phenotype requires a unique and specific clinical approach [Julu et al., 2008]. In this cohort of RTT females, up to 49% were feeble breathers, 41% were forceful breathers, and 10% were apneustic breathers. There is some but little difference in the distribution of the three cardiorespiratory phenotypes in this cohort compared with that previously reported [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005]. This cohort of RTT confirms that Valsalva breathing is a common complication of breathing dysrhythmia in RTT, affecting all three cardiorespiratory phenotypes as previously reported [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005]. The wildcat excitatory effects of Valsalva's manoeuvre on the autonomic nervous system in general and other brainstem functions can cause clinical deterioration in RTT [Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005; Smeets et al., 2006]. Detailed correlation analyses of the cardiorespiratory data showed that the cardiorespiratory phenotypes in RTT are not influenced by genetic mutations. This provides further proof that the cardiorespiratory phenotypes needs as much attention in clinical management as the genetic mutations.

The baseline brainstem functions were severely affected in all RTT females similar to the results in previous studies [Julu et al., 1997, 2001; Julu and Witt Engerström, 2005]. The mean CVT in this cohort was lower than the normal mean value in young adults [Julu, 1992b]. Since CVT is the only central inhibitory output to the heart, it is very important in brainstem cardiorespiratory integration. Its role in rapid cardiovascular responses is very important and it is a major contributor to integrative inhibition within the cardiovascular system [Guyenet et al., 1996]. The HR values in this cohort were within the normal limits for the age group. It implies that the resting sympathetic tone is within normal limits [Julu et al., 1997], and not exaggerated above normal as previously thought [Naidu et al., 1987]. This normal but unrestrained sympathetic tone due to little or no parasympathetic negative feedback is the cause of a type of sympatho-vagal imbalance unique to RTT. This may contribute to the increased sudden deaths of up to 26% of females with RTT, compared with only 2.3% in the general population of the same age range [Kerr et al., 1997; Hagberg et al., 2001]. Although this figure may be overestimated, in more recent studies sudden unexplained deaths are not specifically addressed [Laurvick et al., 2006] or the cause of death incompletely reported [Kirby et al., 2010]. The discussion above and the high ISS score in the Autonomic Domain both reflect the great impact of brainstem dysfunction on the clinical severity in RTT. We provide here sufficient reasons for carers of persons with RTT to seek medical attention starting early in childhood. This is because "bedside" clinical evaluation including ISS scoring cannot determine the contributions of autonomic dysfunction to the clinical severity in individual cases [Halbach et al., 2012]. In persons with RTT, objective quantitative clinical and neurophysiological assessment must be done early after diagnosis or promptly following the onset of brainstem autonomic symptoms. For the moment there is lack of appropriate neurophysiological facilities for brainstem assessment in most hospitals and therefore this study is a pledge to make them more available to a larger number of girls and women with RTT.

## CONCLUSION

This is the first study to use objective and robust data of cardiorespiratory variables in the investigation of genotype-phenotype correlation in RTT. All females with RTT had dysautonomia, and this was not restricted to nor influenced by one specific group or single recurrent mutation. The clinical variability within a specific genetic mutation or within a similar group of mutations makes genotype-phenotype correlations a difficult task. The robust and objective information obtained from non-invasive neurophysiological evaluation of the brainstem autonomic functions will contribute to the understanding of the ongoing pathology in RTT and its life-long management. Although considerable progress is being made in understanding the mechanisms of autonomic dysfunction in RTT [Weese-Mayer et al., 2006; Rohdin et al., 2007; Katz et al., 2009; Lioy et al., 2011; Abdala et al., 2014], further research is needed for a better understanding of the pathogenesis of autonomic dysfunctions in this syndrome. This will facilitate future development of evidence-based management strategies in RTT.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the RTT females and families for their cooperation in this study. We thank the ESRRA members (European Scientific Rett Research Association; http://www.esrra.eu) for their cooperation and collaboration, Lars Engerström for allowing us to use his software for the analyses of cardiorespiratory phenotypes, Bengt Engerström for his assistance with data collection and preliminary analyses, Pietro Di Marco for his technical assistance, Dick van Waardenburg, Alison Kerr, Michele Zappella, and Alessandra Ferlini for their expertise, the Italian genetic laboratories: Molecular Genetic Unit, Ferrara University Hospital (Anna Ravani), the Molecular Genetic Laboratory of the Meyer Hospital in Florence (Maria Luisa Giovannucci Uzielli, Renzo Guerrini), the Medical Genetic Laboratory of the Siena University Hospital (Alessandra Renieri) and the Molecular Biology Laboratory of the Italian Auxological Institute in Milan (Silvia Russo). We also thank all staff and consultants in the different Rett expertise centers for their multidisciplinary contribution and support.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdala AP, Bissonnette JM, Newman-Tancredi A. 2014. Pinpointing brainstem mechanisms responsible for autonomic dysfunction in Rett syndrome: Therapeutic perspectives for 5-HT1A agonists. Front Physiol 30:205.
- Amir R, Van den Veyer I, Wan M, Tran C, Francke U, Zoghbi HY. 1999. Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked MECP2, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. Nat Genet 23:185–188.
- Bebbington A, Anderson A, Ravine D, Fyfe S, Pineda M, de Klerk N, Ben-Zeev B, Yatawara N, Percy A, Kaufmann WE, Leonard H. 2008. Investigating genotype-phenotype relationships in Rett syndrome using an international data set. Neurology 70:868–875.
- Bebbington A, Percy A, Christodoulou J, Ravine D, Ho G, Jacoby P, Anderson A, Pineda M, Ben Zeev B, Bahi-Buisson N, Smeets E, Leonard H. 2010. Updating the profile of C-terminal MECP2 deletions in Rett syndrome. J Med Genet 47:242–248.

Bebbington A, Downs J, Percy A, Pineda M, Zeev BB, Bahi-Buisson N, Leonard H. 2012. The phenotype associated with a large deletion on MECP2. Eur J Hum Genet 20:921–927.

Charman T, Neilson TC, Mash V, Archer H, Gardiner MT, Knudsen GP, McDonnell A, Perry J, Whatley SD, Bunyan DJ, Ravn K, Mount RH, Hastings RP, Hulten M, Orstavik KH, Reilly S, Cass H, Clarke A, Kerr AM, Bailey ME. 2005. Dimensional phenotypic analysis and functional categorisation of mutations reveal novel genotype-phenotype associations in Rett syndrome. Eur J Hum Genet 13:1121–1130.

Colvin L, Leonard H, de Klerk N, Davis M, Weaving L, Williamson S, Christodoulou J. 2004. Refining the phenotype of common mutations in Rett syndrome. J Med Genet 41:25–30.

Cuddapah VA, Pillai RB, Shekar KV, Lane JB, Motil KJ, Skinner SA, Tarquinio DC, Glaze DG, McGwin G, Kaufmann WE, Percy AK, Neul JL, Olsen ML. 2014. Methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (MECP2) mutation type is associated with disease severity in Rett syndrome. J Med Genet 51:152–158.

Guyenet PG, Koshiya N, Huangfu D, Baraban SC, Stornetta RL, Li YW. 1996. Role of medulla oblongata in generation of sympathetic and vagal outflows. Prog Brain Res 107:127–144.

Hagberg B, Aicardi J, Dias K, Ramos O. 1983. A progressive syndrome of autism, dementia, ataxia and loss of purposeful handuse in girls: Rett's syndrome: Report of 35 cases. Ann Neurol 14:471–479.

Hagberg B, Berg M, Steffenburg U. 2001. Three decades of sociomedical experiences from West Swedish Rett females 4–60 years of age. Brain Dev 23:S28–S31.

Hagberg B, Hanefeld F, Percy A, Skjeldal O. 2002. An update on clinically applicable diagnostic criteria in Rett syndrome. Eur J Peadiatr Neurol 6:293–297.

Halbach NS, Smeets EE, Schrander-Stumpel CT, van Schrojenstein Lantman de Valk HH, Maaskant MA, Curfs LM. 2008. Aging in people with specific genetic syndromes: Rett syndrome. Am J Med Genet Part A 146A:1925–1932.

Halbach NSJ, Smeets EEJ, Bierau J, Keularts IMLW, Plasqui G, Julu POO, Witt Engerström, I, Bakker JA, Curfs LMG. 2011. Altered carbon dioxide metabolism and creatine abnormalities in Rett syndrome. JIMD Rep 3:117–124.

Halbach NS, Smeets EE, van den Braak N, van Roozendaal KE, Blok RM, Schrander-Stumpel CT, Frijns JP, Maaskant MA, Curfs LM. 2012. Genotype-phenotype relationships as prognosticators in Rett syndrome should be handled with care in clinical practice. Am J Med Genet Part A 158A:340–350.

Halbach NS, Smeets EE, Steinbusch C, Maaskant MA, van Waardenburg D, Curfs LMG. 2013. Aging in Rett syndrome: A longitudinal study. Clin Genet 84:223–229.

Huppke P, Held M, Hanefeld F, Engel W, Laccone F. 2002. Influence of mutation type and location on phenotype in 123 patients with Rett syndrome. Neuropediatrics 33:63–68.

Julu PO. 1992a. Central action of atropine on cardiovascular reflexes in humans. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 20:332–336.

Julu PO. 1992b. A linear scale for measuring vagal tone in man. J Auton Pharmacol 12:109–115.

Julu PO, Kerr AM, Hansen S, Apartopoulos F, Jamal GA. 1997. Functional evidence of brain stem immaturity in Rett syndrome. Arch Dis Child 77:464–465.

Julu PO, Kerr AM, Apartopoulos F, Al-Rawas S, Engerström IW, Engerström L, Jamal GA, Hansen S. 2001. Characterisation of breathing and associated central autonomic dysfunction in the Rett disorder. Arch Dis Child 85:29–37. Julu PO, Cooper VL, Hansen S, Hainsworth R. 2003. Cardiovascular regulation in the period preceding vasovagal syncope in conscious humans. J Physiol 549:299–311.

Julu PO, Witt Engerström I. 2005. Assessment of the maturity-related brainstem functions reveals the heterogeneous phenotypes and facilitates clinical management of Rett syndrome. Brain Dev 27:S43–S53.

Julu PO, Witt Engerström, I, Hansen S, Apartopoulos F, Engerström B, Pini G, Delamont RS, Smeets EE. 2008. Clinical update addressing the cardiorespiratory challenges in medicine posed by Rett syndrome: The Frösö Declaration. Lancet 371:1981–1983.

Julu PO, Witt Engerström, I, Hansen S, Apartopoulos F, Engerström B, ESSRA group. 2013. Treating hypoxia in a feeble breather with Rett syndrome. Brain Dev 35:1981–1983.

Katz DM, Dutschmann M, Ramirez JM, Hilaire G. 2009. Breathing disorders in Rett syndrome: Progressive neurochemical dysfunction in the respiratory network after birth. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 168:101–108.

Kerr AM, Armstrong DD, Prescott RJ, Doyle D, Kearney DL. 1997. Rett syndrome: Analysis of deaths in the British survey. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 6:71–74.

Kerr AM, Nomura Y, Armstrong D, Anvret M, Belichenko PV, Budden S, Cass H, Christodoulou J, Clarke A, Ellaway C, d'Esposito M, Francke U, Hulten M, Julu P, Leonard H, Naidu S, Schanen C, Webb T, Engerstrom IW, Yamashita Y, Segawa M. 2001. Guidelines for reporting clinical features in cases with MECP2 mutations. Brain Dev 23:208–211.

Kerr AM, Prescott RJ. 2005. Predictive value of the early clinical signs in Rett disorder. Brain Dev 27:S20–S24.

Kirby R, Lane J, Childers J, Skinner S, Annese F, Barrish J, Glaze D, Macleod P, Percy A. 2010. Longevity in rett syndrome: Analysis of the north american database. J Pediatr 156:e1310.

Laurvick C, de Klerk N, Bower C, Christodoulou J, Ravine D, Ellaway C, Williamson S, Leonard H. 2006. Rett syndrome in Australia: A review of the epidemiology. J Pediatr 148:347–352.

Leonard H, Colvin L, Christodoulou J, Schiavello T, Weaving L, Williamson S, Davis MD, Ravine D, Fyfe S, de Klerk N, Matsuishi T, Kondo I, Clarke A, Hackwell S, Yamashita Y. 2003. Patients with the R133C mutation: Is their phenotype different from patients with Rett syndrome with other mutations? J Med Genet 40:e52.

Leonard H, Moore H, Carey M, Fyfe S, Hall S, Robertson L, Wu XR, Bao X, Pan H, Christodoulou J, Williamson S, Klerk N. 2005. Genotype and early development in Rett syndrome: The value of international data. Brain Dev 27:S59–S68.

Lioy DT, Wu WW, Bissonnette JM. 2011. Autonomic dysfunction with mutations in the gene that encodes methyl-CpG-binding protein 2: Insights into Rett syndrome. Auton Neurosci 161:55–62.

Little CJ, Julu PO, Hansen S, Reid SW. 1999. Real-time measurement of cardiac vagal tone in conscious dogs. Am J Physiol 276: H758–H765.

Ming X, Patel R, Kang V, Chokroverty S, Julu PO. 2016. Respiratory and autonomic dysfunction in children with autism spectrum disorders. Brain Dev 38:225–232.

Naidu S, Chatterjee S, Murphy M. 1987. Rett syndrome: New observations. Brain Dev 9:525–528.

Neul JL, Fang P, Barrish J, et al. 2008. Specific mutations in methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 confer different severity in Rett syndrome. Neurology 70:1313–1321.

Neul JL, Kaufmann WE, Glaze DG, Christodoulou J, Clarke AJ, Bahi-Buisson N, Leonard H, Bailey ME, Schanen NC, Zappella M, Renieri A, Huppke P, Percy AK, RettSearch Consortium. 2010. Rett syndrome: Revised diagnostic criteria and nomenclature. Ann Neurol 68:944–950.

- Rohdin M, Fernell E, Eriksson M, Albåge M, Lagercrantz H, Katz-Salamon M. 2007. Disturbances in cardiorespiratory function during day and night in Rett syndrome. Pediatr Neurol 37:338–344.
- Schanen C, Houwink EJ, Dorrani N, Lane J, Everett R, Feng A, Cantor RM, Percy A. 2004. Phenotypic manifestations of MECP2 mutations in classical and atypical Rett syndrome. Am J Med Genet Part A 126A:129–140.
- Smeets E, Terhal P, Casaer P, Peters A, Midro A, Schollen E, van Roozendaal K, Moog U, Matthijs G, Herbergs J, Smeets H, Curfs L, Schrander-Stumpel C. 2005. Rett syndrome in females with CTS hot spot deletions: A disorder profile. Am J Med Genet Part A 132A:117–120.
- Smeets E, Julu P, van Waardenburg D, Engerström IW, Hansen S, Apartopoulos F, Curfs LM, Schrander-Stumpel CT. 2006. Management

of a severe forceful breather with Rett syndrome using carbogen. Brain Dev 28:625–632.

- Smeets EE, Chenault M, Curfs LM, Schrander-Stumpel CT, Frijns JP. 2009. Rett syndrome and long-term disorder profile. Am J Med Genet Part A 149A:199–205.
- Tarquinio DC, Hou W, Neul JL, Kaufmann WE, Glaze DG, Motil KJ, Skinner SA, Lee HS, Percy AK. 2015. The changing face of survival in Rett syndrome and MECP2-related disorders. Pediatr Neurol 53:402–411.
- Weese-Mayer DE, Lieske SP, Boothby CM, et al. 2006. Autonomic nervous system dysregulation: Breathing and heart rate perturbation during wakefulness in young girls with Rett syndrome. Pediatr Res 2006:443–449.