

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

AMYPAD Diagnostic and Patient Management Study

Citation for published version:

Frisoni, GB, Barkhof, F, Altomare, D, Berkhof, J, Boccardi, M, Canzoneri, E, Collij, L, Drzezga, A, Farrar, G, Garibotto, V, Gismondi, R, Gispert, J-D, Jessen, F, Kivipelto, M, Alves, IL, Molinuevo, J-L, Nordberg, A, Payoux, P, Ritchie, C, Savicheva, I, Scheltens, P, Schmidt, ME, Schott, J, Stephens, A, van Berckel, B, Vellas, B, Walker, Z & Raffa, N 2019, 'AMYPAD Diagnostic and Patient Management Study: Rationale and design' Alzheimer's & Dementia, vol. 15, no. 3. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.003

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.003

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Version created as part of publication process; publisher's layout; not normally made publicly available

Published In: Alzheimer's & Dementia

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





7<mark>Q1</mark>

Alzheimer's وع Dementia

Alzheimer's & Dementia (2018) 1-12

Featured Article

AMYPAD Diagnostic and Patient Management Study: Rationale and design

Giovanni B. Frisoni^{a,b,c,*}, Frederik Barkhof^{d,e}, Daniele Altomare^{c,f}, Johannes Berkhof^g, Marina Boccardi^{a,c}, Elisa Canzoneri^a, Lyduine Collij^d, Alexander Drzezga^h, Gill Farrarⁱ, Valentina Garibotto^{j,k}, Rossella Gismondi¹, Juan-Domingo Gispert^{m,n}, Frank Jessen^{o,p}, Miia Kivipelto^{q,r,s,t}, Isadora Lopes Alves^d, José-Luis Molinuevo^m, Agneta Nordberg^{q,r}, Pierre Payoux^{u,v}, Craig Ritchie^w, Irina Savicheva^x, Philip Scheltens^y, Mark E. Schmidt^z, Jonathan Schott^{aa}, Andrew Stephens^{ab}, Bart van Berckel^d, Bruno Vellas^{ac,ad}, Zuzana Walker^{ae,af}, Nicola Raffa^{ag}

Nicola Raffa ^{ag}	74
^a Laboratory of Neuroimaging of Aging (LANVIE), University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland	75
^b Memory Clinic. University Hospital of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland	76
^c Laboratory of Alzheimer's Neuroimaging and Epidemiology (LANE). Saint John of God Clinical Research Centre, Brescia, Italy	77
^d Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine. Amsterdam Neuroscience, VU University Medical Center. Amsterdam, the Netherlands	78
^e Institutes of Neurology and Healthcare Engineering, UCL, London, United Kingdom	79
^f Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine. University of Brescia. Brescia. Italy	80
⁸ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics VII University Medical Center Amsterdam the Netherlands	81
^h Department of Nuclear Medicine University Hospital of Colone University of Colone and German Center for Neurodegenerative	82
Department of Function Functions, of Functions, of Congress and Comman Control for Hearon and Common Strangers	83
ⁱ Life Sciences, GE Healthcare, Amerikan Rickinghamshire, United Kingdom	84
Division of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging Department of Medical Imaging, University Hospitals of Geneva, Switzerland	85
^k NIMTlab Engulty of Medicine Geneva University Geneva Switzerland	86
¹ Piremal Imaging Medical Affairs Berlin Germany	87
^m Barcelonaßeta Brain Beegark Canter Dusanal Margaall Foundation Barcelona Spain	88
ⁿ Cantro da Invastigación Riomádica en Red da Riajana mariagua Fonnaciona, Barciona, Span	89
^o Dapartment of Psychiatry and Psychotheramy University of Colonne Colonne Colonne Colonne, Sermany	90
^P Gomman Contact for Neurodonaneary, o Diversity of Colorge, Colorge, Germany	91
^q Department of Neurobiology Care Sciences and Society, Carter for Al-heimer, Bessarch, Division of Clinical Cariatrics	92
Department of Neuropointogy, Care octences and porterly, Center John Alleman Research, Division of Cumical Ornanics, Karolinska Institutet Stockholm Swadan	93
^r Aging Theme Karolinska Instantiat, Stockholm, Sweden	94
Aging Income Conversity of Factorn Finland Finland	95
¹ School of Public Health Imperial College London United Kingdom	96
⁴ Nuclear Medicing Department Themes Hospital of Toulouss (CHII Toulouss) Toulouss France	97
¹⁰ TANIC Taulouse Neurolina, of center University of Fourouse (CHO-Fourouse), France	98
^W Control for China Device Neuronnaging Center, Onversite de Tolunousis, inserin, OT 5, Tolunous, France	99
Evente for Clinical Drain Sciences, Department of Fsychiatry, Oniversity of Eathourgh, Eathourgh, Onliea Kingaom	100
Nutlear mean mean mean from the second mean mean mean mean mean mean mean mean	101
Alcheimer Center and Depariment of Neurology, Amsteriaan Neuroschence, voo Oniversity Medicai Center, Amsteriaam, ine Neuroschence, voo Oniversity Medicai Center, Amsteriaam, ine Neuroschence, voo	102
Experimental Medicine, Janssen Franmacentica Ivo, Deerse, Deigium	103
^{ab} Diversity Context Context Context Devices and Context Realing Context Realing	104
Piramai imaging, Cinical Research and Development, Berlin, Germany	105
Geromopole of Toutouse, University Hospital of Toutouse (UNU-Toutouse, Toutouse, France	106
UMK INSEKM 1027, University of 10000se III, 10000se, France	107
Division of Psychiatry, University Courge Lonaon, Lonaon, United Kingdom	108
Essex Parmersnip University WHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom	109
[~] Piramal Imaging, Market Access and HEOR, Berlin, Germany	

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +41 22 372 58 01; Fax: E-mail address: giovanni.frisoni@unige.ch

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.003

Q2

1552-5260/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association.

57

110		
1110	Abstract	Introduction: Reimbursement of amyloid-PET is lagging due to the lack of definitive evidence on its
11205		clinical utility and cost-effectiveness. The Amyloid Imaging to Prevent Alzheimer's Disease-
113		Diagnostic and Patient Management Study (AMYPAD-DPMS) is designed to fill this gap.
114		Methods: AMYPAD-DPMS is a phase 4, multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled study
115		Nine hundred patients with subjective cognitive decline plus mild cognitive impairment and demen-
116		the possibly due to Alzheimer's disease will be randomized to APM1 anyloid PET performed early
117		in the diagnostic worklow (ADM) environment of participation of ADM3 any load ADM3
118		norte diagnostic workup, AKW2, anytoid-t ET performed after 8 months, and AKW3, anytoid-t ET
119		Endpoint in the privation in the difference between ADM1 and ADM2 in the properties of
120		Endpoints: The primary endpoint is the difference between AKMT and AKMZ in the proportion of
121		patients receiving a very-high-confidence etiologic diagnosis after 3 months. Secondary endpoints
122		address diagnosis and diagnostic confidence, diagnostic/therapeutic management, health economics
123		and patient-related outcomes, and methods for image quantitation.
124		Expected Impacts: AMYPAD-DPMS will supply physicians and health care payers with real-world
125		data to plan management decisions.
120		© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association.
128		
129	V	A medicid DET: Alabaiman's diseases Mild as active immediate the first function dealing. Other all collision
130	Keywords:	Amyloid-PET; Alzneimer's disease; Mild cognitive impairment; Subjective cognitive decline; Clinical validity;
131		Cost-enectiveness

1. Background

Amyloid-PET can reliably detect senile plaques made of amyloid- β [1–3], hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease (AD), and fluorinated ligands are approved in several countries [4–9]. Nevertheless, reimbursement is lagging due to the lack of definitive evidence supporting its clinical utility and cost-effectiveness in the diagnostic workup.

An observational study in the USA, the Imaging Dementia-Evidence for Amyloid Scanning (IDEAS) study [10], aims to assess the clinical utility of amyloid-PET on more than 18,000 patients aged 65+ years meeting the appropriate use criteria for amyloid-PET prescription pub-lished by the Amyloid Imaging Taskforce (AIT) [11,12]. This study is currently assessing the impact of amyloid-PET on patient management (change of at least one of the following endpoints: AD drug therapy, other drug therapy, and counseling about safety and future planning) and on the use of health care resources (hospital admissions and emergency room visits) in amyloid-PET-known compared to matched patients not undergoing amyloid-PET over 12 months. Preliminary results on the first 3979 patients showed a considerable change in patient management in 68% of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and in 66% of de-mentia patients following amyloid-PET [13]. These results are in good agreement with a recent review on the clinical utility of amyloid imaging, reporting a change in patient management in 64% of patients, as well as a change in diag-nosis in 29%, and in medications in 38% of patients [14].

Amyloid Imaging to Prevent Alzheimer's Disease (AMY-PAD) is a collaborative research initiative aimed to improve diagnosis and management and to accelerate the development of disease-modifying treatments through the utilization of amyloid-PET [15]. This 5-year program is part of the Innovative Medicines Initiative, a joint undertaking between the European Commission and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations. A total of 6000 scans will be performed in the whole AMYPAD project split 50:50 between the PET imaging agents [¹⁸F]florbetaben (trade name NeuraCeq, Piramal Imaging) and [¹⁸F]flutemetamol (trade name Vizamyl, GE Healthcare). AMYPAD will have two main clinical studies. In the prognostic and natural history study (AMYPAD-PNHS), amyloid-PET will be carried out in the context of its sister project EPAD (European Prevention of Alzheimer's Dementia) aiming to set up a cohort of nondemented persons at high risk of AD who will be enrolled in preventive pharmacologic trials [16]. The second component is the Diagnostic and Patient Management Study (AMYPAD-DPMS), which aims to investigate the clinical utility of amyloid-PET in a controlled but realistic clinical setting of patients with subjective cognitive decline (SCD) plus (SCD+) [17], MCI, and dementia possibly due to AD. This article aims to describe the rationale, design, methods, and expected results and impact of AMYPAD-DPMS.

2. Rationale

The clinical-scientific space of AMYPAD-DPMS is that of the introduction of amyloid-PET in the routine clinical practice in the diagnostic workup of patients with suspect AD. Despite overwhelming evidence on the analytical [1–3] and clinical validity of amyloid-PET [18], evidence on the clinical utility is still limited (Table S1 in Supplementary Material). Indeed, most of the studies published so far [19–28] are only observational and lack proper study designs (e.g., parallel control groups) for a systematic and definitive assessment of the amyloid-PET clinical utility. Moreover, and most importantly, evidence on real-life effectiveness and cost-effectiveness in the absence of disease-modifying therapies is lacking [29]. The IDEAS study will provide

strong evidence, but its results are not directly transferable to
the European health care setting, which is quite different from
that of the USA.

As a consequence of the limited available evidence, payers (e.g., public health care systems or private health care insurances) are either not reimbursing the amyloid-PET examination or restricting reimbursement to extremely narrow indications (e.g., very specific categories of patients) or at the rate of the much cheaper FDG-PET (covering only part of the costs, e.g., the PET scan itself, and leaving the re-maining costs, e.g., the amyloid tracer purchase, to be paid by the patient) [30]. AMYPAD-DPMS aims to fill this evidence gap by testing the hypothesis that patients undergoing amyloid-PET early on in their diagnostic workup receive a very-high-confidence etiologic diagnosis earlier than pa-tients undergoing amyloid-PET later or never, and by providing relevant information about health economics vari-ables (savings on other diagnostic examinations, unneces-sary drug treatment, hospitalizations and other medical consultations, etc.). We also hypothesize that earlier and more confident diagnosis is followed by more frequent inclu-sion in AD clinical trials, earlier and more frequent adoption of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic symptomatic treat-ments, lower use of medical resources, and better patient quality of life (lower anxiety, better coping). The diagnostic questions we wish to address are those related to the tradi-tional differential diagnosis of AD in patients with dementia, early and differential diagnosis of AD in patients with MCI, and to the more contentious issue of dementia risk profiling in SCD. In AMYPAD-DPMS, patients with SCD satisfy the Subjective Cognitive Decline Initiative (SCD-I) Working Group criteria for SCD+ (self-reported cognitive complaint, plus features increasing the likelihood of preclinical AD) [17]. Patients with SCD+ have a higher rate of conversion to MCI than patients with SCD not meeting the criteria for SCD+ (18.9% vs. 5.6% [31]). The study design rests on some assumptions.

1. *Generalizability of results*. Although the design of any clinical trial inevitably diverges from clinical practice, we assume that the results of a study designed by leveraging on the typical memory clinic practice would be applicable to the practices of general memory clinics.

2. Diagnostic workup. We assume that memory clinics worldwide share a typical workup featuring the following components: a first consultation by the med-ical specialist collecting history, carrying out screening cognitive tests, neurological physical and psychiatric assessment, and prescription of complementary exami-nations; based on information collected during the first consultation, a syndromic diagnosis (SCD, MCI, de-mentia) can be made; a structural scan (CT or MRI) done in virtually 100% of cases and preliminary to further biomarker assessment; the prescription or collection of biomarkers (e.g., cerebrospinal fluid

and/or FDG-PET) takes place after the structural scan in a variable number of cases; the diagnostic workup strives to achieve an etiologic diagnosis on top of the syndromic diagnosis; once the specialist is confident enough, the etiologic diagnosis is communicated to the patient, and prescription of pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic treatments takes place at the end of the diagnostic workup, in general within 3 months and at the latest within 6 months from the first visit.

- 3. Diagnostic reasoning framework. We assume that specialists share the abstract notion of what constitutes a high/low likelihood that symptoms are due to AD; and that the higher the likelihood, the higher the confidence in an etiologic diagnosis of AD, the lower the likelihood, the higher the confidence in an etiologic diagnosis of non-AD (Fig. 1). We also assume that confidence in an etiologic diagnosis of AD/non-AD can be loosely operationalized into a percentage of confidence; and specialists aim to achieve the highest possible confidence, ideally ≥90%.
- 4. *Diagnostic criteria*. We assume that most memory **Q6** clinics refer to the 2011 NIA-AA criteria [32–34] for the AD diagnosis, either implicitly or explicitly. The recent revision of the NIA-AA criteria [35], with its agnostic descriptive approach, will likely change research and clinical approaches to AD. When this happens, the AMYPAD-DPMS results may need to be reinterpreted.
- 5. *Consequences of an etiologic diagnosis*. We assume that Q7 an accurate etiologic diagnosis can potentially impact management in patients with dementia (especially regarding acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, AChEI,



Fig. 1. Diagnostic reasoning framework in AMYPAD-DPMS. Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; AMYPAD-DPMS, Amyloid Imaging to Prevent Alzheimer's Disease–Diagnostic and Patient Management Study.

G.B. Frisoni et al. / Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2018) 1-12

treatment); while there is lesser agreement on the phar-macologic management of patients with MCI, a number of specialists prescribe AChEIs off-label; and an etio-logic diagnosis is not possible in SCD+; however, in this population, amyloid-PET could be used for risk profiling [36]. Moreover, while a positive scan can confirm a previous AD diagnosis or increase the likeli-hood of AD, a negative scan excludes AD from the dif-ferential diagnosis potentially leading to discharge in patients with SCD, and to other diagnostic examinations or a milder prognosis in those with MCI or dementia [37].

6. *Frequency of amyloid pathology*. The prevalence of amyloid pathology increases from age 50 to 90 years from 12% to 43% in SCD (may be higher in SCD+), and from 27% to 71% in MCI [38], whereas decreases from age 50 to 90 years from 93% to 79% in patients with AD dementia [39].

3. Methods

3.1. Participants

Nine hundred persons 50 to 85 years of age will be enrolled: 300 SCD+, 300 MCI, and 300 with dementia where AD is in the differential diagnosis. All consecutive pa-tients coming to observation to a participating memory clinic with a request for diagnosis and fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria will be eligible for enrollment. Inclu-sion and exclusion criteria (Tables 1 and 2) were drafted to include all patients with cognitive complaints eligible to un-dergo a diagnostic workup, with the possibility that com-plaints are due to AD, and where an accurate etiologic diagnosis may have some impact on patient management and quality of life.

3.2. Setting

The 8 EPAD memory clinics will enroll patients to AMYPAD-DPMS (Fig. 2). We expect each center contrib-uting 112 participants. We acknowledge that some features of participating academic memory clinics might limit the generalizability of the results of the study to the nonaca-demic memory clinics consulting the large majority of pa-tients from the general population. Indeed, some academic memory clinics work solely or mainly as tertiary referral ser-vices, where the most complex patients are referred from secondary referral nonacademic services. Moreover, the greater availability of technology and facilities allows EPAD memory clinics a much more biomarker-oriented diagnostic workup not always representative of the workup of nonacademic clinics. To improve the generalizability of the results, such academic memory clinics will engage affil-iated nonacademic clinics for patients' recruitment and man-agement.

3.3. Study design

AMYPAD-DPMS is a phase 4, multicenter, prospective, interventional, randomized controlled study. The study design is tightly linked to clinical procedures. After the first clinical consultation, where MRI or CT is either already available or prescribed, study screening takes place with inclusion/exclusion criteria check and informed consent explanation and signature. The baseline visit will take place within 14 days of screening. Here, patients are stratified into the three syndromic groups of SCD+, MCI, and dementia possibly due to AD and randomized soon thereafter into the three study arms (Fig. 3) using permuted blocks to assure that each arm is balanced to the syndromic groups such that each arm will include 100 SCD+, 100 MCI, and 100 dementia patients.

- ARM1 patients will undergo amyloid-PET early in the diagnostic workup (within 4 weeks from baseline), and the examination results are provided to the managing physician who will either order additional diagnostic tests or disclose diagnosis and set up a management plan.
- ARM2 patients will undergo amyloid-PET late in the diagnostic workup (8 months after baseline), and the managing physician can start the diagnostic workup either ordering other diagnostic tests or disclosing diagnosis and initiate a management plan according to usual local practices.
- In ARM3, the managing physician will be free to order amyloid-PET whenever he/she will feel fit, if at all. Here, amyloid-PET will be just yet another diagnostic examination available to the managing physician in addition to the usual armamentarium.

At the end of month 3 from baseline, the steps of the clinical workup carried out so far will be recorded into the study eCRF (electronic case report form), including syndromic and etiologic diagnoses, diagnostic confidence, likelihood that the symptoms are due to AD, and management plan all made by the managing physicians. At this point in time, the managing physician will have 3 more months to complete the diagnostic workup, disclose diagnosis, and set up a management plan (but we have considered the possibility that this may exceptionally not be the case). At the end of months 6 and 13 from baseline, the steps of the clinical workup will again be recorded into the study eCRF, together with health economics and patient-centered outcomes (Table 3). ARM1 patients will be invited to a second amyloid-PET scan that will take place 18 months after the first one and will contribute to the exploratory outcome of disease modeling central to the AMYPAD-PNHS study.

Although the meaning of ARM1 and ARM2 is relatively straightforward, ARM3 deserves specific discussion. A survey in 37 academic memory clinics of the European Alzheimer's Disease Consortium (EADC) found that 60% of dementia specialists feel very or extremely comfortable to

G.B. Frisoni et al. / Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2018) 1-12

Inclusion criteria: To be enrolled in the study, patients must meet all the follow	ving criteria.
 The patient can be of any sex, gender, race, or ethnicity. The patient must have a complaint (reported by the patient or by a correct) 	var) of accritive problems that are considered by the managing physician to b
• The patient must have a comptaint (reported by the patient of by a careging possibly due to AD	ver) of cognitive problems that are considered by the managing physician to b
• The patient must be entering a diagnostic assessment for the cognitiv	e complaint.
• The managing physician must feel that knowledge of the patient's br	ain amyloid status may increase diagnostic confidence and alter diagnosis an
management.	
 In some centers, the patient may receive diagnostic workup before beir they are assigned to the early amyloid-PET arm, the results of that wor physician reviews the results of the amyloid-PET scan 	ng screened for this study. These patients can be enrolled in the study; however, i kup must not be made available to the managing physician before the managin
• The patient must satisfy the diagnostic criteria for one of the following diagnosis.	(see Table 3): SCD-Plus, MCI, and dementia where AD is in the differential
• The patient has undergone a dementia blood workup or will have one be	efore amyloid-PET.
• The patient has an MRI and/or CT scan (not older than 12 months) or w	vill undergo one before amyloid-PET.
• The patient can complete all clinical visits according to the protocol.	
• The patient can tolerate a 20-minute amyloid-PET scan.	
 The patient (or a legal representative) provides informed consent for stud the early amyloid-PET arm, a new informed consent should be signed b 	y participation and data source verification. In case the patient is randomized t efore the second imaging session.
• If the patient has dementia, a study partner is available for the duration	of the protocol.
• The patient wants to know the antiyiold-FET result. Exclusion criteria: Patients must be excluded from participating in this study i	f they meet any of the following criteria
The patient has another confirmed condition that can fully account for the	cognitive impairment (neuroinflammatory, neuroinfective, or neurodegenerativ
disease; multiple sclerosis; genetic disorders; HIV; brain injuries; neuro	surgery after-effects; major depressive episode; schizoaffective disorder;
delusional disorder; delirium).	
• The patient comes to observation for reasons other than diagnosis (disab	bility assessment for social aids, cognitive assessment for driving license, etc.
• The patient had a previous amyloid- β imaging scan and/or has had other	r AD biomarker workup (fluorodeoxyglucose [FDG]-PET and/or cerebrospin
fluid analysis) before screening. In some centers, the patient may receiv	e a diagnostic workup before screening. These patients can be enrolled if the
investigator is blind to the results until after randomization or (for patients	s in the early amyloid-PE1 arm) until after reviewing the results of amyloid-PE
 The patient has a life-threatening unstable medical disease or psychiatri 	c condition that could lead to difficulty in complying with the protocol
 The patient has a me uncatening answere medical disease of psychiatric The patient is currently receiving an investigational pharmaceutical prod 	uct or has participated in a clinical trial with an investigational pharmaceutic
nroduct within 30 days before screening and/or was administered a radior	
product within 50 days before screening and/or was administered a radiop	harmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administration
in this study.	harmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administration
The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant,	oharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administration or lactating.
The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant,	oharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administration or lactating.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; 	pharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratio or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; 	oharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratio or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; 	oharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratio or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; 	oharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratio or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on 	or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, 	or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial tempo- 	 bharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratic or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an irr
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG- 	 bharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratic or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an ir termediate level between that of the pathological diag
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarket
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary 	 sharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administratic or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarket assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnostic
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the explora- 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarket assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompase
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an in termediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarket assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompas patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We aspect to the pathological diagnosi outcomes we aspect to the pathological diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompasing the pathological diagnositient's quality of life or health-related outcomes.
 The patient is days before screening and/of was administered a fadiop in this study. The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well, as to assess the dynamic charge that might 	 sharmaceutical within 10 radioactive half-lives before study drug administration or lactating. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. SCD, subjective cognitive decline. a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarket assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompass patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic gong dama head a sume that physician dama head a sume that phys
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarker assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompas patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We assume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based o which high diagnostic confidence based o
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might come with increasing familiarity with the examination 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarket assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompass patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based o valid biomarkers is a proxy of accurate diagnosis, and that the termediane between the termediane between the termediate outcomes.
 The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might come with increasing familiarity with the examination over the 3-year lifetime of the trial. 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarker assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompass patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based o valid biomarkers is a proxy of accurate diagnosis, and that this, in turn, is associated with better quality of life an analysis.
 The patient is o days before screening and/or was administered a radio in this study. The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might come with increasing familiarity with the examination over the 3-year lifetime of the trial. 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician using a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an in termediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarker assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompas patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based or valid biomarkers is a proxy of accurate diagnosis, and that this, in turn, is associated with better quality of life and health-related outcomes. Although often undemonstrated
 The patient is o days before screening and/or was administered a radio in this study. The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might come with increasing familiarity with the examination over the 3-year lifetime of the trial. <i>3.4. Endpoints</i> 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician using a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarker assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompas patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based or valid biomarkers is a proxy of accurate diagnosis, and that this, in turn, is associated with better quality of life an health-related outcomes. Although often undemonstrated similar assumptions are frequent in diagnostic studies
 The patient is o days before screening and/or was administered a radio in this study. The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might come with increasing familiarity with the examination over the 3-year lifetime of the trial. <i>3.4. Endpoints</i> 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician using a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarker assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompas patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based or valid biomarkers is a proxy of accurate diagnosis, and that this, in turn, is associated with better quality of life an health-related outcomes. Although often undemonstrated similar assumptions are frequent in diagnostic studies due to the exceedingly complex and expensive implement.
 The patient is o days before screening and/or was administered a radio in this study. The patient is a woman who is pregnant, planning to become pregnant, Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; deliver a diagnosis of prodromal AD (or MCI due to AD) on the basis of standard assessment and amyloid-PET alone, while this proportion increases to 75% if also medial temporal atrophy on MRI or cortical hypometabolism on FDG-PET is present [42]. However, data are not available on the use of amyloid-PET in realistic settings with no budgetary or reimbursement constraints. ARM3 will allow the exploration of spontaneous and unrestricted ordering of amyloid-PET as well as to assess the dynamic change that might come with increasing familiarity with the examination over the 3-year lifetime of the trial. <i>3.4. Endpoints</i> The primary endpoint is to test the hypothesis that the 	 confidence will be rated by the managing physician usin a visual analogue scale ranging from 0% to 100%. A diagnostic confidence of 90% is operationalized as an intermediate level between that of the pathological diagnostic gold standard (100%) and the nonbiomarker assisted NINCDS-ADRDA probable AD diagnosi (80%). The primary endpoint does not directly encompass patient's quality of life or health-related outcomes. We as sume that physician's high diagnostic confidence based o valid biomarkers is a proxy of accurate diagnosis, and that this, in turn, is associated with better quality of life an health-related outcomes. Although often undemonstrated similar assumptions are frequent in diagnostic studies due to the exceedingly complex and expensive implementation of clinical utility trials. Secondary and explorator

gone

amyloid-PET

reaches an etiologic diagnosis with very high confidence

 $(\geq 90\%)$ at 3 months after baseline is higher for patients

who underwent amyloid-PET imaging shortly after base-

line (ARM1) than for patients who have not yet under-

(ARM2).

Diagnostic

imaging

3.5. Amyloid-PET result disclosure to patients with SCD+

The disclosure of amyloid-PET results to patients with MCI and dementia will follow the disclosure protocols in

FLA 5.5.0 DTD ■ JALZ2756_proof ■ 16 October 2018 ■ 7:20 pm ■ ce

ARTICLE IN PRESS

G.B.	Frisoni	et al. /	Alzheimer's	å	Dementia		(2018)	1-12
O.D.	1 1150111	<i>ci ui. i</i>	mighterner 5	u	Demenne	_	(2010)	1 1 2

SCD+ (modified from SCD-I Working Group criteria [17])	
Inclusion criteria for SCD+	
• Age between 60 and 85 years.	
• The patient has perceived a decline in memory over time.	
• The onset of the SCD is within the previous 5 years and the durat	tion is >6 months.
• The Mini–Mental State Examination score is 27 to 30 out of 30 (1	MMSE score \geq 27 is the optimal cutoff in terms of accuracy in detecting cognitive
dysfunction; sensitivity: 0.89; specificity: 0.91; overall classificati	ion rate: 90% [40]).
 The clinical examination and neuropsychological assessment excl 	lude MCI.
• Cognitive decline has been confirmed by an informant.	
• The patient (or caregiver) has expressed concerns (worries) about	the cognitive symptoms.
• Consultation has been actively requested by the patient or an info	ormant.
Exclusion criteria for SCD+	la main demonstra and de diseder al des diseder al de diseder a bisadare
• Current or past psychiatric disorders according to ICD 10 (include bingles disorder, edult A DUD, posttroumatic stress disorder). Here	ing major depression, anxiety disorder, substance-related disorders, schizophrenia,
bipolar disorder, adult ADHD, posttraumatic stress disorder). How economic stress disorder) how 25 wars carlier and in no temporal association with the	wever, a depressive episode, an anxiety disorder, or a substance-related disorder that
• Current or past history of a neurologic disease with known potent	tial impact on cognition
MRI lesions that would not be consistent with a diagnosis of AD	nai impact on cognition.
 Current use of medication with known effect on cognition includin. 	In sedatives and drugs with anticholinergic effect, if the clinician believes that the use of
those drugs is the cause of cognitive impairment	- secare res and drags with anticipinergic creet, it the chinician beneves that the use of
MCI (NIA-AA [33])	
nclusion criteria for MCI	
• Age between 50 and 85 years.	
• Concern regarding a change in cognition, as expressed by the pati	ient, a proxy, or a physician.
• Impairment in one or more cognitive domains, as defined by neur	ropsychological test scores ≥ 1.5 SD below the age- and education-specific mean.
 Preservation of independence in functional abilities. 	
• No dementia.	
Exclusion criteria for MCI	
• Same as those of Table 1.	
Dementia where AD is in the differential diagnosis (NIA-AA [34])	
nclusion criteria for probable AD dementia	
• Age between 50 and 85 years.	
 Instatious onset. Clear out history of warsoning of accrition by report or chearnet. 	
• The initial and most prominent cognitive deficits are evident on h	1011.
 Presentation can be amnestic or nonamnestic (language visuospa) 	tial executive function etc)
 The diagnosis of probable AD dementia should not apply when the 	re is evidence of substantial concomitant cerebrovascular disease. dementia with Lewy
bodies, behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia, semantic var	riant primary progressive aphasia or nonfluent/agrammatic variant primary progressive
aphasia, evidence for another concurrent, active neurological dise	ase, or non-neurological medical comorbidity, or use of medication that could have a
substantial effect on cognition.	
Inclusion criteria for possible AD dementia	
• Age between 50 and 85 years.	
 Atypical course (either a sudden onset of cognitive impairment or decline). 	r insufficient historical details or objective cognitive documentation of progressive
Exclusion criteria for probable and possible AD dementia	
• Same as those of Table 1.	Q
Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease: MCI, mild cognitive impair	ment: SCD, subjective cognitive decline.
NOTE. We applied different entry ages for SCD+, and MCI and demen	ntia patients. As to SCD+, the entry age was set at 60 years, consistently with the SCD-I
Working Group criteria for SCD+, to limit the prevalence of psychiatr	ic cases (SCD at younger ages is enriched with persons with psychiatric conditions,
whereas at older ages is enriched with neurodegenerative conditions) [17]]. As to MCI and dementia, the entry age was set at 50 years to assess the clinical utility
of amyloid-PET also in early-onset patients with objective cognitive imp	pairment (and thus probable underlying neurodegenerative conditions).
place in the participating memory clinics. Importantly, the	the 10% (50 years) to 44% (90 years) [38], and many will die
specialists' perception of the utility of amyloid-PFT	is without overt symptoms of AD The recent NIA-AA criteria
remarkably homogenous across the memory clinics of the	he labels cognitively unimpaired persons with isolated
EADC of which the AMVDAD DDMC work in the	ince include a second the second seco
SADC, OI WHICH THE AM Y PAD-DPMS participating clini	amyloluosis as Alzneimer's pathologic change [35], a
are part [42]. Much more uncertain is the disclosure	of somewhat intermediate stance. Whatever the pathophysi-
amyloid-PET results to patients with SCD+. Indeed, the	he ology and lexicon, brain amyloidosis is undeniably a power-

709 role of amyloid in the pathophysiological cascade ultimately 710 leading to dementia is still unclear: prevalence of amyloid 711 pathology in cognitively unimpaired people varies from 712

ology and lexicon, brain amyloidosis is undeniably a powerful risk factor for adverse cognitive outcomes.

The AMYPAD-DPMS consortium acknowledges that the desirability and usefulness of the communication of risk to 777

778

G.B. Frisoni et al. / Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2018) 1-12



Fig. 2. Participating memory clinics.

patients with SCD+ are far from clear and are subject to several personal, clinical, social, and cultural modulating factors. According to the inclusion criteria, only patients who want to know their amyloid-PET result will be enrolled. We assume that this criterion allows to generalize our results to the clinical practice of memory clinics, where patients proactively seek medical help. Indeed, although there may be exceptions, we assume that these patients expect to un-dergo medical examinations and wish to know their results. The consortium agreed that in patients with SCD+, although formulating an etiological diagnosis is not appropriate in the routine clinical practice, the managing physicians will be asked to express their opinion on SCD being due to AD; amyloid-PET can be used for risk profiling; the disclosure of the result of amyloid-PET should be in terms of increased or decreased risk of AD dementia; disclosure is recommen-ded and should follow guidelines adapted from those used in the A4 trial [43] (Tables S2 and S3 in Supplementary Material). Recent evidence suggests that the disclosure of the amyloid status in cognitively unimpaired patients is asso-ciated with a low risk of psychological harm [44], and that the prognostic uncertainty of amyloid-PET is correctly un-derstood by two-thirds of patients [45]. The information delivered by the managing physician to each SCD+ partic-ipant will be recorded, based on the items listed in our guide-lines. In exceptional cases, it is possible not to disclose (e.g., a patient changes her/his mind during the study, or the man-

806 Q

/FPO

web

> aging physician does not consider it appropriate anymore). These cases will be documented and justified but will not be removed from the study (consistently with the intention-to-treat approach: we include in our analyses every subject randomized according to randomized treatment assignment). Assuming that the physicians' beliefs on the pathophysiological role of amyloid in neurodegenerative diseases [46] can affect the disclosure of amyloidassociated risk, the managing physicians' beliefs will be recorded as well by asking them to fill in a questionnaire.

3.6. Statistics

For each of the three syndromic groups, the difference between ARM1 and ARM2 in the proportion of patients with a diagnostic confidence >90% at 3 months will be evaluated with a χ^2 test with overall significance level of 5%. A Bonferroni correction will be applied to the stratum-specific evaluation to control for family-wise type I error rate at 5%. The statistical evaluation will achieve a power of 80% when the assumed difference is 25% and not more than 10% of the patients withdraw before the endpoint is reached. Heterogeneity with respect to the estimated difference between arms will be examined with Breslow-Day test of homogeneity. If heterogeneity is significant, it will be accounted for in the statistical procedures.

G.B. Frisoni et al. / Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2018) 1-12



Fig. 3. Design. Abbreviations: AMYPAD-DPMS, Amyloid Imaging to Prevent Alzheimer's Disease-Diagnostic and Patient Management Study; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; Dx, diagnosis; T, time point; V, visit; eCRF, electronic case report form; QoL, quality of life; SCD+, subjective cognitive decline plus. We acknowledge that in some participating clinics, an extensive biomarker workup (e.g., CSF) is done on the first visit, before the patient can be screened for AMYPAD-DPMS. We stipulate that these patients can be enrolled in the study, but, if assigned to ARM1, the results of that workup will not be made available to the managing physician before they will be informed of the amyloid-PET result.

The secondary diagnosis and diagnostic confidence out-comes are time-to-event measures, and differences between arms will be tested with the log-rank test. Dropout is ac-counted for by censoring at the time of the last study visit. Differences between arms in the diagnostic/therapeutic management, health economics, and patient-centered out-comes will be evaluated with a χ^2 test, *t*-test, or Mann-Whitney test whichever is appropriate. Longitudinal trends of the diagnostic/therapeutic management, health eco-nomics, and patient-centered outcomes will be studied by mixed effects analyses that allow for patient-specific and center-specific effects and that can be applied to interval, dichotomous, and count data. Point estimates will be pre-sented together with 95% Wald confidence intervals. Bon-ferroni corrections for multiple testing will be applied when appropriate. Data will be censored after a major proto-col deviation.

4. Expected results and impact

The major impact of AMYPAD-DPMS will consist in providing empirical evidence on the effect of amyloid-PET on diagnostic thinking, management outcomes, pa-tient outcomes, and use of health care resources. The ev-idence will be used by physicians for more informed management decisions and by health care payers for de-cisions about reimbursement of amyloid-PET. This will be a unique contribution in that the only other large study on this topic, IDEAS in the USA, differs under several key aspects. IDEAS is a naturalistic study where 18,448 Medicare patients satisfying the AIT appropriate use criteria [11,12] recruited from specialty practices undergo amyloid-PET. Outcomes are change in a management composite endpoint and reduction in hospitalization and emergency department visits. Controls are selected based on "propensity matching" of patients with similar sociodemographic and clinical features who did not undergo amyloid-PET.

The randomized and controlled design of AMYPAD-DPMS will provide stronger evidence on the difference of primary and secondary outcomes between patients undergoing early or late amyloid-PET. AMYPAD-DPMS will not limit amyloid-PET to patients considered appropriate by the AIT. Indeed, preliminary evidence indicates an impact of amyloid-PET on change of diagnosis and management also in AIT-inappropriate patients [47]. The inclusion of patients with SCD+, clearly an AITinappropriate group, will allow to investigate the use and interpretation of amyloid-PET in these patients increasingly requiring medical opinion in memory clinics and of increasing interest for intervention trials, who will pose a major challenge for the near future. Indeed, patients with SCD have different needs than those with cognitive/functional impairment, and recent efforts are moving toward the creation of the so-called "brain health clinics/services" [48], clinical facilities with specific aims and missions

web

G.B. Frisoni et al. / Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2018) 1-12

Secondary [A] and exploratory [B] enupoints						
[A] Secondary endpoints						
Diagnosis and diagnostic confidence						
To assess the impact of amyloid-PET imaging on other diagnosis-related metri	ics:					
• Time to communicate to the patient an etiologic diagnosis with very hig	th confidence (\geq 90%);					
 Changes in the managing physician's etiologic diagnosis over time; 						
 Changes in the managing physician's diagnostic confidence over time; 						
• The managing physician's estimate of the likelihood that the patient's sy	ymptoms are due to AD; and					
• How the placement of amyloid-PET imaging in the clinical workup, wh	en the managing physician is given free choice, changes over time.					
Diagnostic/therapeutic management						
To assess the impact of amyloid-PET imaging on patient management, including the disease modifying drug or enviol	Ing					
 The number of patients randomized to disease-modifying drug or any other AD clinical trial at 6 months from baseline; Change or early adoption of programs and/or pharmacologic treatments aimed to delay the onset or progression of cognitive impairment; and 						
Use of medical resources (including but not limited to diagnostic proced)	lures tests programs visits and hospitalizations)					
Health economics and nationt-centered outcomes	ares, esis, programs, visits, and nospitalizations).					
To assess the impact of amyloid-PET imaging on						
• Patient-related outcomes (cognition, anxiety, depression, coping skills, a	and quality of life);					
• Cost of diagnostic workup to the etiologic diagnosis with very high con	fidence (\geq 90%); and					
• The number of patients who are discharged from the memory center and	d the reason for discharge.					
Methods for image quantitation						
• To test the hypothesis that amyloid load is stable over 18 months.						
• To develop standardized methods of image quantitation across the PET	tracers (e.g., using the Centiloid scale [41]) to allow pooled analysis of [¹⁸ F]					
florbetaben and [¹⁸ F]flutemetamol scans across the AMYPAD program.						
B] Exploratory endpoints						
• Impact of amyloid-PET according to different cognitive profiles (amness	ic vs. nonamnesic).					
• Impact of disclosing the amyloid status to patients with SCD+ on quality	ty of file and patient-centered outcomes over time.					
 Assessment of the unity of anyloid-PET staging and modering approac Collection of evidence on the clinical utility of amyloid-PET over other 	biomarkers to contribute to outline a cost-effective diagnostic algorithm (for					
 after CSF if the latter is prescribed (ARM2). Therefore, we will be able to vice versa, and to assess whether and how the inclusion of CSF in the diag PET in ARM3). Assessment of whether and how the clinical utility and cost-effectiveness a posteriori stratified analyses (we can expect some differences among dif on their level of experience). 	o compare the relative incremental value of amyloid-PET over CSF markers and gnostic workup affects the frequency of the subsequent prescription of amyloid- of amyloid-PET differ between academic and non-academic memory clinics by ferent centers in the use and interpretation of the amyloid-PET result depending					
Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; MCI, mi The likelihood of AD (0–100%) corresponds to the physician's judgment tha reatment plan may include cognition-specific medications (AChEIs and/or me antidepressants, antipsychotics, and anticonvulsants). See e3.4 in Supplementary Material for further information about the tools	ld cognitive impairment; SCD, subjective cognitive decline. at the patient's cognitive impairment (or concern, in SCD+) is due to AD. The emantine) and noncognition-specific medications (e.g., anxiolytics, hypnotics, used to assess "use of medical resources" and "patient-related outcomes."					
dealing with healthy people and SCD Data collected	However such differences will not impact on the primary					
within AMVPAD DPMS will provide unique evidence	endpoint (diagnostic confidence)					
	enupoint (utagnosue connucilee).					
hat brain health clinics/services will use to implement	To conclude in the validation process of diagnostic his					
hat brain health clinics/services will use to implement	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio-					
hat brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally,	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes					
hat brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, he design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para-					
hat brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, he design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid-	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide key information on the placement of a PET scan in the	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will critically contribute to payers' reim-					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide key information on the placement of a PET scan in the diagnostic algorithm, a key topic of interest to the Euro-	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will critically contribute to payers' reim- bursement decisions.					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide key information on the placement of a PET scan in the diagnostic algorithm, a key topic of interest to the Euro- pean Medicines Agency and health technology assessment	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will critically contribute to payers' reim- bursement decisions.					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide key information on the placement of a PET scan in the diagnostic algorithm, a key topic of interest to the Euro- pean Medicines Agency and health technology assessment bodies	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will critically contribute to payers' reim- bursement decisions.					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide key information on the placement of a PET scan in the diagnostic algorithm, a key topic of interest to the Euro- pean Medicines Agency and health technology assessment bodies.	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will critically contribute to payers' reim- bursement decisions. Acknowledgments					
that brain health clinics/services will use to implement risk assessment and communication protocols. Finally, the design of ARM3 will allow to investigate the dynamics over time of specialists' diagnostic thinking when amyloid- PET is made available with no restriction. This will provide key information on the placement of a PET scan in the diagnostic algorithm, a key topic of interest to the Euro- pean Medicines Agency and health technology assessment bodies. One limitation of this study is that only some European gountries are involved, and these differ for health systems.	To conclude, in the validation process of diagnostic bio- markers, the impact of their use on patient's health outcomes and quality of life and cost-effectiveness outcomes is para- mount to payers [49]. The focus of AMYPAD-DPMS on such outcomes will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will critically contribute to payers' reim- bursement decisions. Acknowledgments					

countries are involved, and these differ for health systems,
 policies, and laws. These aspects may impact on some of
 our secondary endpoints (diagnostic/therapeutic manage ment, and health economics and patient-centered outcomes)
 and prevent us from generalizing our results to all Europe.

This work has received support from the EU-EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiatives 2 Joint Undertaking (grant no. 115952).

1178

1179

1180

1181

9

G.B.F. is a principal investigator of industry-sponsored trials funded by AbbVie, Acadia, Altoida, Amoneta, Araclon,

Biogen, Janssen, Novartis, and Piramal; has received fund-ing for investigator-initiated trials from GE, Piramal, and Avid-Lilly; and has received speaker fees from a number of pharma and imaging companies. F.B. reports grants from AMYPAD (IMI), EuroPOND (H2020), UK MS Soci-ety, Dutch MS Society, PICTURE (MDI-NWO), NIHR UCLH Biomedical Research Center (BRC), and EC-TRIMS-MAGNIMS; reports personal fees from Bayer-Schering Pharma, Biogen-Idec, TEVA, Merck-Serono, No-vartis, Roche, Jansen Research, Genzyme-Sanofi, IXICO Ltd, GeNeuro, Apitope Ltd, and Lundbeck; and is supported by the NIHR UCLH BRC. M.B. has received research grants from Piramal and served as a paid member of advisory boards for Eli Lilly. A.Z. reports research funding from Siemens Healthcare, GE Healthcare, Lilly/AVID, and Pira-mal and grant funding from the German Research Founda-tion (DFG) and the European Union. G.F. is a full-time employee of GE Healthcare. R.G. is a full-time employee of Piramal Imaging. F.J. reports grants from Eli Lilly, Bio-gen, Axovant, and Boehringer and personal fees for consul-tancy from Eli Lilly, Roche, Merck, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Biogen, and AC Immune. J.-L.M. is a principal investigator of trials funded by Lundbeck, Merck, Novartis, Janssen, and Boehringer and reports personal fees from Eli Lilly, Merck, Janssen, Biogen, Novartis, Roche, Roche Diagnostics, Eisai, Lundbeck, Axovant, and Oryzon. C.R. reports grants from Biogen, Janssen, and Takeda; grants and personal fees from Merck; and personal fees from Pfizer, Eisai, Actinogen, Kyowa Pharmaceutical, and Roche. P.S. reports fees (paid to his institution) for serving as a principle investigator for EIP Pharma, Probiodrug AG; fees (paid to his institution) for consultancy from Axovant Sciences, Lundbeck, Roche, and Merck and is chair of the executive committee of a clin-ical trial program funded by Novartis. M.E.S. is a full-time employee of Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V. J.S. reports grants and PET tracers from Avid Radiopharmaceuticals; reports personal fees for consultancy from Roche and Biogen; per-sonal fees for consultancy and speaker fees from Eli Lilly; and serves on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for AXON Neuroscience SE. A.S. is a full-time employee of Piramal Imaging. B.v.B. reports grants and PET tracers from Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Piramal imaging, and GE. He also receives research support from ZON-MW in the Netherlands. Z.W. reports grants and tracers from GE Healthcare, personal fees for consultancy and speakers fee from GE Healthcare, and grant support from Lundbeck. N.R. is a full-time employee of Piramal Imaging. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.003.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

- 1. Systematic review: Evidence on the amyloid-PET clinical utility is still limited: most of the studies published so far are only observational and lack proper study designs for a systematic and definitive assessment. Moreover, and most importantly, evidence on real-life effectiveness and cost-effectiveness in the absence of disease-modifying therapies is lacking. The Amyloid Imaging to Prevent Alzheimer's Disease–Diagnostic and Patient Management Study (AMYPAD-DPMS) is designed to fill this gap.
- 2. Interpretation: AMYPAD-DPMS will provide empirical evidence on the effect of amyloid-PET on diagnostic thinking, management outcomes, patient outcomes, and use of health care resources. Moreover, the randomized and controlled design of AMYPAD-DPMS will provide strong evidence on the difference of the outcomes between patients undergoing early and late amyloid-PET.
- 3. Future directions: AMYPAD-DPMS will allow to collect structured and reliable information that will be used by physicians for more informed management decision and will critically contribute to payers' amyloid-PET reimbursement decisions.

References

- Clark CM, Pontecorvo MJ, Beach TG, et al. Cerebral PET with florbetapir compared with neuropathology at autopsy for detection of neuritic amyloid-β plaques: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2012;11:669–78.
- [2] Sabri O, Sabbagh MN, Seibyl J, et al. Florbetaben PET imaging to detect amyloid beta plaques in Alzheimer's disease: phase 3 study. Alzheimers Dement 2015;11:964–74.
- [3] Salloway S, Gamez JE, Singh U, et al. Performance of [18 F]flutemetamol amyloid imaging against the neuritic plaque component of CE-RAD and the current (2012) NIA-AA recommendations for the neuropathologic diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's Dement Diagnosis, Assess Dis Monit 2017;9:25–34.
- [4] Florbetapir F18 (Amyvid) European Medicines Agency (EMA). Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/ medicines/human/medicines/002422/human_med_001611.jsp&mid=WC 0b01ac058001d124. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- [5] Florbetapir F18 (Amyvid) Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/ 2012/202008s000lbl.pdf. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- [6] Flutemetamol F18 (Vizamyl) European Medicines Agency (EMA). Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/

- medicines/human/medicines/002557/human_med_001794.jsp&mid=WC
 0b01ac058001d124. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- [7] Flutemetamol F18 (Vizamyl) Food and Drug Administration (FDA).
 Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/
 2014/203137s002lbl.pdf. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- [8] Florbetaben F18 (Neuraceq) European Medicines Agency (EMA).
 Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/
 medicines/human/medicines/002553/human_med_001716.jsp&mid=WC
 0b01ac058001d124. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- 1325[9] Florbetaben F18 (Neuraceq) Food and Drug Administration (FDA).1326Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/13272014/204677s000lbl.pdf. Accessed February 23, 2018.
- 1328 [10] iDEAS. Available at: http://www.ideas-study.org/.
- [11] Johnson KA, Minoshima S, Bohnen NI, et al. Appropriate use criteria
 for amyloid PET: A report of the Amyloid Imaging Task Force, the So ciety of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, and the Alz heimer's Association. Alzheimer's Dement 2013;9:E1–16.
- I333 [12] Johnson KA, Minoshima S, Bohnen NI, et al. Update on appropriate
 use criteria for amyloid PET imaging: Dementia experts, mild cogni tive impairment, and education. Alzheimer's Dement 2013;9:e106–9.
- [13] Clinical Impact of Brain Amyloid PET Scans Interim Results
 from the IDEAS Study. Available at: https://www.alz.org/aaic/
 releases_2017/AAIC17-Wed-briefing-Developing-Topics.asp. Accessed
 February 23, 2018.
- 1340 [14] Barthel H, Sabri O. Clinical Use and Utility of Amyloid Imaging. J
 1341 Nucl Med 2017;58:1711–7.
- 1342 [15] AMYPAD. Available at: http://www.amypad.eu/.
- 1343 [16] Ritchie CW, Molinuevo JL, Truyen L, et al. Development of interven1344 tions for the secondary prevention of Alzheimer's dementia: the Euro1345 pean Prevention of Alzheimer's Dementia (EPAD) project. Lancet
 1346 Psychiatry 2016;3:179–86.
- 1347 [17] Jessen F, Amariglio RE, Van Boxtel M, et al. A conceptual framework
 1348 for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's
 1349 disease and Subjective Cognitive Decline Initiative (SCD-I) Working
 1350 Group. Alzheimers Dement 2014;10:844–52.
- 1351 [18] Barthel H, Gertz H-J, Dresel S, et al. Cerebral amyloid-β PET with
 1352 florbetaben (18F) in patients with Alzheimer's disease and healthy
 1353 controls: a multicentre phase 2 diagnostic study. Lancet Neurol
 1354 2011;10:424–35.
- 1355 [19] Grundman M, Pontecorvo MJ, Salloway SP, et al. Potential impact of amyloid imaging on diagnosis and intended management in patients with progressive cognitive decline. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2013;27:4–15.
- 1359 [20] Boccardi M, Altomare D, Ferrari C, et al. Assessment of the incremen1360 tal diagnostic value of Florbetapir F 18 imaging in patients with cogni1361 tive impairment. JAMA Neurol 2016;73:1417.
- 1362 [21] Bensaïdane MR, Beauregard J-M, Poulin S, et al. Clinical utility of amyloid PET imaging in the differential diagnosis of atypical dementias and its impact on caregivers. J Alzheimer's Dis 2016;52:1251–62.
- 1365 [22] de Wilde A, van der Flier WM, Pelkmans W, et al. Association of am1366 yloid positron emission tomography with changes in diagnosis and pa1367 tient treatment in an unselected memory clinic cohort: The ABIDE
 1368 Project. JAMA Neurol 2018;75:1062–70.
- [23] Zwan MD, Bouwman FH, Konijnenberg E, et al. Diagnostic impact of
 [1370 [18F]flutemetamol PET in early-onset dementia. Alzheimers Res Ther
 2017;9:2.
- 1372 [24] Ceccaldi M, Jonveaux T, Verger A, et al. Added value of 18 F-florbe1373 taben amyloid PET in the diagnostic workup of most complex patients
 1374 with dementia in France: A naturalistic study. Alzheimer's Dement
 1375 2018;14:293–305.
- 1376 [25] Brendel M, Schnabel J, Schönecker S, et al. Additive value of amyloid 1377 PET in routine cases of clinical dementia work-up after FDG-PET. Eur
 1378 J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2017;44:2239–48.
- 1379 [26] Carswell CJ, Win Z, Muckle K, et al. Clinical utility of amyloid PET
 1380 imaging with (18)F-florbetapir: a retrospective study of 100 patients. J
 1381 Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2018;89:294–9.
 1382

- [27] Jiménez-Bonilla JF, Banzo I, De Arcocha-Torres M, et al. Amyloid Imaging With 11C-PIB in Patients With Cognitive Impairment in a Clinical Setting. Clin Nucl Med 2016;41:e18–23.
- [28] Frederiksen KS, Hasselbalch SG, Hejl A-M, Law I, Højgaard L, Waldemar G. Added Diagnostic Value of (11)C-PiB-PET in Memory Clinic Patients with Uncertain Diagnosis. Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra 2012;2:610–21.
- [29] Chiotis K, Saint-Aubert L, Boccardi M, et al. Clinical validity of increased cortical uptake of amyloid ligands on PET as a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease in the context of a structured 5-phase development framework. Neurobiol Aging 2017;52:214–27.
- [30] Garibotto V, Herholz K, Boccardi M, et al. Clinical validity of brain fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography as a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease in the context of a structured 5-phase development framework. Neurobiol Aging 2017;52:183–95.
- [31] Fernández-Blázquez MA, Ávila-Villanueva M, Maestú F, Medina M. Specific features of subjective cognitive decline predict faster conversion to mild cognitive impairment. J Alzheimer's Dis 2016;52:271–81.
- [32] Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's Dement 2011;7:280–92.
- [33] Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's Dement 2011;7:270–9.
- [34] McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's Dement 2011;7:263–9.
- [35] Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2018;14:535–62.
- [36] Mielke MM, Hagen CE, Wennberg AMV, et al. Association of plasma total tau level with cognitive decline and risk of mild cognitive impairment or dementia in the mayo clinic study on aging. JAMA Neurol 2017;74:1073–80.
- [37] Wisse LEM, Butala N, Das SR, et al. Suspected non-AD pathology in mild cognitive impairment. Neurobiol Aging 2015;36:3152–62.
- [38] Jansen WJ, Ossenkoppele R, Knol DL, et al. Prevalence of Cerebral Amyloid Pathology in Persons Without Dementia. JAMA 2015; 313:1924.
- [39] Ossenkoppele R, Jansen WJ, Rabinovici GD, et al. Prevalence of Amyloid PET Positivity in Dementia Syndromes. JAMA 2015;313:1939.
- [40] O'Bryant SE, Humphreys JD, Smith GE, et al. Detecting dementia with the mini-mental state examination in highly educated individuals. Arch Neurol 2008;65:963–7.
- [41] Klunk WE, Koeppe RA, Price JC, et al. The Centiloid Project: Standardizing quantitative amyloid plaque estimation by PET. Alzheimer's Dement 2015;11:1–15.e4.
- [42] Bocchetta M, Galluzzi S, Kehoe PG, et al. The use of biomarkers for the etiologic diagnosis of MCI in Europe: An EADC survey. Alzheimer's Dement 2015;11:195–206.e1.
- [43] Harkins K, Sankar P, Sperling R, et al. Development of a process to disclose amyloid imaging results to cognitively normal older adult research participants. Alzheimers Res Ther 2015;7:26.
- [44] Burns JM, Johnson DK, Liebmann EP, Bothwell RJ, Morris JK, Vidoni ED. Safety of disclosing amyloid status in cognitively normal older adults. Alzheimers Dement 2017;13:1024–30.
- [45] Mozersky J, Sankar P, Harkins K, Hachey S, Karlawish J. Comprehension of an elevated amyloid positron emission tomography biomarker result by cognitively normal older adults. JAMA Neurol 2018; 75:44–50.

1383

1384

1385

1386 1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423 1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430 1431

1432 1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438 1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444 1445

1446

1447

1448

ARTICLE IN PRESS

G.B. Frisoni et al. / Alzheimer's & Dementia 🔳 (2018) 1-12

- 1450 [46] Boccardi M, Altomare D, Ferrari C, et al. Do Beliefs about the Pathogenetic Role of Amyloid Affect the Interpretation of Amyloid PET in 1452 the Clinic? Neurodegener Dis 2016;16:111–7.
- [47] Altomare D, Ferrari C, Festari C, et al Quantitative appraisal of the
 Amyloid Imaging Taskforce appropriate use criteria for amyloidPET. Alzheimers Dement.;14:1088-1098.
- [48] Ritchie CW, Russ TC, Banerjee S, et al. The Edinburgh Consensus: preparing for the advent of disease-modifying therapies for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther 2017;9:85.
- [49] Frisoni GB, Boccardi M, Barkhof F, et al. Strategic roadmap for an early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease based on biomarkers. Lancet Neurol 2017;16:661–76.