

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Real-time tracking of complex ubiquitination cascades using a fluorescent confocal on-bead assay

Citation for published version:

Koszela, J, Pham, NT, Evans, D, Mann, S, Perez-Pi, I, Shave, S, Ceccarelli, DFJ, Sicheri, F, Tyers, M & Auer, M 2018, 'Real-time tracking of complex ubiquitination cascades using a fluorescent confocal on-bead assay' BMC Biology, vol. 16, no. 1, 88. DOI: 10.1186/s12915-018-0554-z

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1186/s12915-018-0554-z

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: BMC Biology

Publisher Rights Statement:

© Auer et al. 2018 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and

reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to

the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver

(http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



METHODOLOGY ARTICLE

Open Access



Real-time tracking of complex ubiquitination cascades using a fluorescent confocal on-bead assay

Joanna Koszela^{1*}, Nhan T. Pham¹, David Evans¹, Stefan Mann¹, Irene Perez-Pi¹, Steven Shave¹, Derek F. J. Ceccarelli⁴, Frank Sicheri⁴, Mike Tyers³ and Manfred Auer^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) controls the stability, localization and/or activity of the proteome. However, the identification and characterization of complex individual ubiquitination cascades and their modulators remains a challenge. Here, we report a broadly applicable, multiplexed, miniaturized on-bead technique for real-time monitoring of various ubiquitination-related enzymatic activities. The assay, termed UPS-confocal fluorescence nanoscanning (UPS-CONA), employs a substrate of interest immobilized on a micro-bead and a fluorescently labeled ubiquitin which, upon enzymatic conjugation to the substrate, is quantitatively detected on the bead periphery by confocal imaging.

Results: UPS-CONA is suitable for studying individual enzymatic activities, including various E1, E2, and HECT-type E3 enzymes, and for monitoring multi-step reactions within ubiquitination cascades in a single experimental compartment. We demonstrate the power of the UPS-CONA technique by simultaneously following ubiquitin transfer from Ube1 through Ube2L3 to E6AP. We applied this multi-step setup to investigate the selectivity of five ubiquitination inhibitors reportedly targeting different classes of ubiquitination enzymes. Using UPS-CONA, we have identified a new activity of a small molecule E2 inhibitor, BAY 11-7082, and of a HECT E3 inhibitor, heclin, towards the Ube1 enzyme.

Conclusions: As a sensitive, quantitative, flexible, and reagent-efficient method with a straightforward protocol, UPS-CONA constitutes a powerful tool for interrogation of ubiquitination-related enzymatic pathways and their chemical modulators, and is readily scalable for large experiments.

Keywords: Ubiquitin, Inhibitors, Confocal fluorescence, Bead-based assay, Ubiquitination assay

Background

Post-translational modification of protein substrates by the small modifier protein ubiquitin regulates the stability, localization, interactions, and activity of a substantial fraction of the proteome. Disruption of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) is associated with many diseases, including cancer, neurological disorders, and immune system dysfunction [1], and as a

* Correspondence: joanna.koszela@ed.ac.uk; manfred.auer@ed.ac.uk ¹Institute of Quantitative Biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, C H Waddington Building, 3.07, Max Born Crescent, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





© Auer et al. 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. proteasome for rapid degradation, while K63-linked chains can control the assembly of various protein complexes. Protein monoubiquitination also occurs frequently and can direct protein interactions, for example in intracellular vesicular trafficking. Various ubiquitin modifications can be read by dedicated reader domains and can be reversed through the action of specific deubiquitinating enzymes. The human genome encodes two E1 enzymes, 38 E2 enzymes, over 600 E3 enzymes, over 100 deubiquitinating enzymes, as well as various associated scaffolding subunits. The combinatorial promiscuity of ubiquitin system enzymes, including redundant substrate targeting by different E3s, has made the deconvolution of UPS-mediated control far more difficult than originally envisioned, with implications for UPS drug discovery. In addition, only a limited number of small molecule inhibitors of the UPS are available as mechanistic probes and most of these inhibitors are only poorly characterized for specificity [2]. The dearth of reliable methods to assess molecular mode of action of chemical inhibitors with the necessary level of quantification, time resolution and specificity has hindered UPS drug discovery [3–5].

The confocal scanning technology (CONA) was originally developed to enable screening of combinatorial chemical libraries directly on the solid bead-based support, which in effect serves as a nanoscale assay compartment [6-11]. The confocal sectioning of a monolayer of ~ 100-µm-sized beads imaged on a fluorescence microscope equipped with a scanning stage enables the high-throughput, highly sensitive detection of binding events between a bead-linked small molecule and a fluorescently labeled target protein in solution [6, 8]. Bead-based screening methods are miniaturized, versatile, highly sensitive, quantitative and fast, and have proven successful in identification of numerous small molecule and peptidomimetic binders and inhibitors against difficult protein targets, such as HuR, Importin beta, and LFA-1 [9–11].

To address the need for a robust technique attuned to the multitude of complex UPS reactions, we developed a fluorescence-based on-bead confocal imaging method, termed UPS-confocal fluorescence nanoscanning (UPS-CONA), for quantitative characterization of dynamic ubiquitination reactions in real time. We demonstrate suitability of UPS-CONA for studying E1, E2, and HECT E3 activities, both individually and in an integrated enzyme reaction cascade. The modularity and miniaturization of UPS-CONA, in combination with high sensitivity, provides a powerful tool for deciphering multi-step reactions by tracking the kinetics of ubiquitin transfer in real time. In proof-of-concept experiments, we apply UPS-CONA to investigate the specific activity of selected ubiquitination inhibitors and uncover novel inhibitory activities.

Results

A confocal on-bead ubiquitination assay-the concept

To exploit the advantages of the CONA technique in the ubiquitination field, we developed UPS-CONA as a method for detection and characterization of complex ubiquitin enzyme-mediated reactions. In its new, redefined form, the assay employs a substrate or enzyme of interest, which is immobilized on a polymer micro-bead, and a fluorescently labeled ubiquitin or ubiquitin-like protein modifier (ULM) in solution (Fig. 1a). Upon ubiquitin conjugation to the on-bead substrate or enzyme, the fluorescence emission intensity of conjugates is detected by confocal imaging through the equatorial cross-sectional plane of the beads and observed as a fluorescent ring. The high sensitivity of on-bead assays without the need for cumbersome washing steps is achieved by the reduction of the background fluorescence intensity through removal of out-of-focus light as a result of confocal imaging. Consequently, the time-lapsed detection of fluorescent ubiquitin allows monitoring of the reaction in real time. Using low concentrations of reactants, both on-bead and in solution, allows the fluorescence emission intensity detected at the cross section of the beads to be linearly proportional to the amount of enzymatic conjugate (Additional file 1: Figure S1). After acquisition of confocal images of beads in a multi-well test plate, the ring intensity of hundreds to thousands of micro-beads forming a monolayer on the bottom of the well is analyzed and quantified (Fig. 1b, c). Due to the size of the beads (typically less than 120 µm in diameter), a large number of technical measurement replicates are possible, increasing statistical power (see "Methods" for details).

The on-bead ubiquitination reaction allows for detection of ubiquitin and ULM conjugates on a variety of enzymes and substrates (Fig. 2). The charging of ubiquitin to an E1 enzyme can be assayed by immobilizing the E1 on beads and incubating with ubiquitin in solution, together with Mg²⁺-ATP in reaction buffer. Alternatively, an E2 enzyme can be placed on bead and incubated with both ubiquitin and an E1 enzyme in solution to detect thioester formation on the E2. Similarly, those E3 enzymes that form a direct thioester conjugate with ubiquitin, such as the HECT-type enzymes [12], can be tested by immobilizing the E3 and incubating with ubiquitin, E1, and an appropriate E2 in solution. Finally, the performance of an entire cascade can be quantified by immobilization of a substrate of interest on beads in the presence of ubiquitin and the appropriate E1, E2, and E3 enzymes in solution (Additional file 1: Figure S2). Importantly, the flexibility, modularity, and single-bead miniaturization of the UPS-CONA assay make it suitable for a plethora of various ubiquitin-related reactions of high complexity, which are difficult to investigate by



"Methods" to calculate average ring intensities in each well

existing methods. Using the same principle, the assay setup can also be applied to monitor the release of ubiquitin from an immobilized E1 into the E2 in solution, to track ubiquitination cascades in a step-by-step fashion (Fig. 2) or to simultaneously compare activities of enzymes from the same class.

Monitoring activities of E1, E2, and HECT E3 enzymes

To test the broad applicability of UPS-CONA, we applied the method to different classes of ubiquitination enzymes, namely E1, E2, and HECT E3 enzymes. First, a

His6-tagged version of the Ube1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1) was immobilized on commercial Ni²⁺NTA agarose beads, previously sieved to limit their diameters to between 100 μ m and 120 μ m. The Ube1 beads were transferred to a 384-well plate to form a monolayer and were incubated with Cy5-labeled ubiquitin (Cy5-Ub) in ubiquitination buffer as described in "Methods". Blank beads were used as control for unspecific Cy5-Ub binding, and reaction trials without ATP were used as a control for non-enzymatic Cy5-Ub binding to Ube1. Ubiquitin loading onto Ube1 was monitored by confocal



conjugation to E1 and E2 enzymes, HECT or RBR E3 ligases and substrate proteins can be followed by immobilizing the proteins or enzymes of interest on polymer microbeads and incubating them with appropriate reaction components and with a fluorescently labeled ubiquitin (red). Increase in bead fluorescence will indicate a successful reaction (**a**). Ubiquitin release from an on-bead substrate and transfer to a downstream cascade component can be detected as a decrease of bead fluorescence (**b**). Ubiquitin transfer between different components of a cascade can also be observed (**c**)

detection of the Cy5 fluorescence emission on bead and quantified as detailed in the "Methods" section. The fluorescent emission intensity denoting ubiquitin conjugation increased rapidly, within minutes of incubation (Fig. 3a). Similar experiments were performed with an immobilized E2 (Ube2L3, Fig. 3b), in the presence of Cy5-Ub and an untagged Ube1 enzyme in solution. Finally, an immobilized version of the E6AP ubiquitin ligase, which is a host cell factor for human papillomavirus E6-oncoprotein-induced degradation of p53 [13], was incubated with Cy5-Ub, untagged Ube1, and Ube2L3 in solution (E6AP, Fig. 3c). In all types of experiments, an increase of the Cy5 fluorescence intensity corresponding to ubiquitin thioester formation and/or conjugation was observed over time. A slight decrease of the signal observed after incubation for 90 min or longer was likely due to thioester hydrolysis. Addition of the reducing reagent dithiothreitol (DTT) at the end of each reaction revealed the proportion of conjugates attached through a thioester to the immobilized proteins to be 60% on Ube1, 95% on Ube2L3, and 80% on E6AP (Fig. 3a-c, respectively). The remaining signal on Ube1 is most likely to correspond to the ubiquitin adenylate bound to the adenylation site [14], while the remaining signal on E6AP likely corresponded to isopeptide bond-linked ubiquitin moieties due to auto-ubiquitination on E3 lysine residues



[15]. As expected, Ube2L3 was exclusively charged with thioester-bound ubiquitin under these conditions [16]. We successfully tested several other ubiquitination and neddylation reactions using UPS-CONA, including ubiquitination of the tumor-suppressor protein p53 (Additional file 1: Table S1). Based on these results, the bead-based assay appears suitable for monitoring various reactions in the primary ubiquitination cascade and in addition can be used to quantify the thioester-bound ubiquitin fraction relative to total bound ubiquitin.

Multiplexing bead populations in a single well

Miniaturization of the assay, and subsequently a potential screening setup, to the single bead level, allows for modularity, multiplexing, and flexibility in assay format. Each of the 200-400 beads placed into one well of a 384-well plate represents a separate technical measurement replicate comparable with 200-400 replicate measurements on a plate-based biosensor, thereby providing enhanced statistical power. To establish a system for investigation of multiple reactions, typical for enzymatic ubiquitination cascades, it was necessary to separately detect, within a well, bead populations to which different proteins were attached. The detection of mixed bead populations can be achieved through labelling of molecules involved in the reaction with spectrally distinct fluorophores and by then mixing these bead populations in a test well for detection with different fluorescence filters (Fig. 4a, b and Additional file 1: Figure S3). However, as this approach involves pre-labelling of proteins of interest with dyes, which could affect their activity, a method based on differentiating the bead populations by size was also established. Two bead populations were used: small beads of 40-70 µm in diameter and large beads of 100-120 µm in diameter (Fig. 4c). To confirm that the His6-tag-Ni²⁺NTA attachment was stable enough to prevent transfer between different bead populations in one well over time, small beads pre-incubated with His6-eGFP were mixed with blank large beads and vice versa in the same well (Fig. 4d). The small and large bead populations were separately detected without significant overlap by precisely setting the bead size parameters (Additional file 1: Figure S4). No protein exchange between the beads was observed under reaction conditions, likely due to local rebinding effects, which leads to strong compartmentalization of the His6-tagged proteins on the bead surface, in conjunction with non-saturating protein amounts (Additional file 1: Figure S1). These results demonstrated that each bead can be considered as a separate reaction unit, thereby allowing monitoring of more than one reaction in a single well.

Tracking a multi-step ubiquitination cascade

A challenge inherent to multi-enzyme reactions such as for the ubiquitination cascade is the tracking of activities of various enzymes in a single experiment. To demonstrate the suitability of UPS-CONA for monitoring several serial steps in a reaction cascade, we chose to reconstitute the entire reaction for the E6AP, a clinically



Fig. 4 Detection of mixed bead populations by different color or by bead size. a, b Three bead-bound fluorescent proteins detected in a single well. a Three different His6-tagged fluorescent proteins (mTurquoise2 (mTurq2, blue), emGFP (green) and E2-Crimson (red)) were pre-immobilized on individual batches of Ni²⁺NTA agarose beads. The beads were then pooled together in one well of a 384-well plate. Images were acquired using the confocal scanning microscope Opera™ (Perkin Elmer) with 445, 488, and 561 nm excitation wavelengths, and detected in three emission channels (475/34, 520/35, 660/150, and 690/70 nm, detailed in Additional file 1: Figure S3). b Images of wells with single and mixed bead populations of mTurquoise2, emGFP, and E2-Crimson beads were analyzed and average ring intensities were calculated for each channel. c Differential detection of bead populations by size. Large beads were sieved through pore size mesh of 100 and 120 µm, imaged on the Opera™ (Perkin Elmer) at a confocal plane height of 30 µm, and identified in the 60-110 pixel radius range. Small beads were sieved through pore size filters of 40 and 70 μ m, imaged on the Opera at the confocal plane of 20 µm and detected in the 30–50 pixel radius range, d Each bead is a separate reaction unit. His6tagged emGFP was immobilized on large or small beads and mixed in one well with blank small or blank large beads. Beads were detected as specified in (c) in brightfield and emGFP fluorescence emission channels. No emGFP was detected on the blank beads present in the same well, indicating the absence of protein transfer between the beads

relevant E3 enzyme implicated in cervical cancer [17]. A His6-tagged Ube1 enzyme was attached to small beads and a His6-tagged E6AP to large beads (Fig. 5a). The two bead populations were then mixed together in one well and incubated with the ubiquitination reaction solution, containing Cy5-Ub and ATP (see "Methods"). The small Ube1 beads became fluorescent (Fig. 5b) and the ring intensity reached a plateau within minutes, indicating a successful Cy5-Ub conjugation to Ube1. At this point, we added a non-tagged Ube2L3, the cognate E2 enzyme for E6AP. As the ubiquitin was transferred from the on-bead Ube1 to Ube2L3 in solution, the Cy5-Ub signal on Ube1-bound beads decreased over time (Fig. 5b, c). Simultaneously, the Cy5-Ub signal increased on the E6AP-bound large bead population, indicating that ubiquitin was conjugated from Ube2L3 in solution to the E6AP on beads (Fig. 5b, c). This result demonstrated the ability of the UPS-CONA assay to monitor multiple reactions in parallel in a single reaction well.

Target deconvolution of ubiquitination inhibitors

Despite the therapeutic potential of the UPS in cancer and other disease areas, the activity and selectivity of many reported molecules that modulate the UPS are not fully characterized. In particular, assessment of the specificity of a potential inhibitor towards more than one reaction in a cascade requires development of multiple assays. We reasoned that an ideal assay system for addressing target specificity in the UPS should allow enzymatic reactions of the entire cascade to be monitored in parallel. Quantitative and time-resolved monitoring of ubiquitin transfer through the E1-E2-E3 cascade to the final substrate would provide mechanistic insight into the potential effects of an inhibitor at each step.

This information would allow triage of hit compounds that lacked the necessary specificity for lead development. To investigate whether UPS-CONA can be used to assess selectivity of ubiquitination inhibitors, we used our multi-step ubiquitination assay to test a selection of known ubiquitination inhibitors: an E1 inhibitor PYR-41 [18], the E2 inhibitors BAY 11-7082 [19] and CC0651 [20, 21], and the HECT E3 inhibitors clomipramine [22] and heclin [23] (Additional file 1: Figure S5).

BAY 11-7082 inhibits Ube1

The small molecule BAY 11-7082 was first identified as an inflammation inhibitor [24] and has been extensively used as such. More recently, Ube2L3 and other E2 enzymes and ubiquitination enzymes have been identified as direct targets of BAY 11-7082 [19]. In our multi-activity UPS-CONA assay with Ube1, Ube2L3, and E6AP, we found that BAY 11-7082 decreased the rate of ubiquitin transfer for all individual reaction steps of the cascade (Fig. 6a, Additional file 1: Figure S5). The combined,



overall inhibitory effect of BAY 11-7082 on E6AP was stronger than that of the E1 inhibitor PYR-41 (Fig. 6a). Interestingly, also heclin showed a mild inhibitory effect on Ube1 (Fig. 6a). To confirm the activity of BAY 11-7082 and heclin directly on Ube1, we tested the compound in an on-bead assay with Ube1 alone in the absence of E2 or E3 enzymes (Fig. 6b). The inhibitory effect of BAY 11-7082 on Ube1 was concentration-dependent, with an IC₅₀ below 1 μ M, while IC₅₀ of its known target Ube2L3

in our bead-based assay was 7.5 μ M (Additional file 1: Figure S6). To confirm that the observed inhibitory activity was not an artifact caused by effects on the fluorescent labels, we tested the compounds in a standard SDS-PAGE ubiquitination assay. After optimizing the reaction conditions (described in detail in the "Methods" section), we determined that BAY 11-7082 inhibited Ube1 with an IC₅₀ of ~ 4.6 μ M in the gel-based assay (Fig. 6c, d). The magnitude of this effect was similar to the inhibition observed



for other targets of BAY 11-7082 [19] and may in part explain the pan-inhibitory effect of BAY 11-7082 on E2 enzymes. In a similar experimental design, we confirmed

that the E3 inhibitor heclin also affected ubiquitin loading onto Ube1 (Fig. 6b, d). The IC_{50} of 16 μ M for this inhibitory effect of heclin was somewhat higher than the IC_{50}

values of 6–7 μ M reported for inhibition of other HECT E3s [23] but nonetheless suggested that E1 inhibition may also account for some activity of heclin. In contrast, we found that CC0651 and clomipramine had no detectable effect on Ube1 activity (Fig. 6a, b).

Mechanistic insights into enzyme inhibition

As the UPS-CONA readout combines quantitative and time-resolved detection of ubiquitination, we reasoned that it should be possible to resolve selected aspects of inhibition mechanisms. We therefore analyzed the dose-response effects of the aforementioned inhibitors on ubiquitin loading on Ube1 on beads, followed by reduction with DTT (Fig. 6b). In this format, the signal remaining after DTT treatment would correspond to ubiquitin that interacted with Ube1 through а thioester-independent mechanism. Analysis of the inhibition curves revealed that BAY 11-7082 inhibited up to $\sim 50\%$ of ubiquitin loading onto Ube1 (Fig. 6a, b), which suggested that this compound may act on only one ubiquitin binding site of Ube1. Since ubiquitin cannot occupy the second thioester site on Ube1 without binding first to the adenylation site, we inferred that the thioester site was likely affected. The DTT-resistant signal was dose-dependent, reaching ~ 60% at highest compound concentration used (Fig. 6b, right). This result indicated that the proportion of the thioester-bound ubiquitin was reduced by BAY 11-7082, consistent with an effect on the thioester site on Ube1. This mechanism implied a similar mode of action of BAY 11-7082 as described previously for E2 enzymes, by forming a covalent adduct with the reactive cysteine residues [19]. In contrast, the effect of heclin on Ube1 was less pronounced and similar to PYR-41 in the shape of the inhibition curve (Fig. 6a, b). However, the proportion of DTT-resistant signal remained unchanged upon increasing heclin concentrations (Fig. 6b, right), suggesting that heclin acts through a different mechanism than PYR-41 or BAY 11-7082 [23]. As revealed by dose-response curves and DTT treatment, CC0651 and clomipramine did not affect Ube1 activity, which was confirmed in SDS-PAGE ubiquitination assays.

Discussion and conclusions

We developed and validated an on-bead confocal detection method called UPS-CONA which is suitable for studying individual enzymatic activities, including various E1, E2, and HECT-type E3 enzymes. We also show that the various ubiquitin-E1-E2-E3 reaction intermediates of a ubiquitination cascade can be followed in a single reaction vessel using an exemplary enzymatic cascade from Ube1 through Ube2L3 to E6AP in a time-resolved manner. A dynamic, confocal detection of fluorescent ubiquitin conjugates ensures a timely, sensitive readout, and a straightforward protocol can be easily automatized for large-scale experiments. Furthermore, our integrated assay is suitable for studying effects of small molecule inhibitors upstream or downstream of the presumptive target against which an inhibitor was originally identified.

The multi-step monitoring capability of the UPS-CONA assay allowed for identification of a new inhibitory activity of BAY 11-7082 towards Ube1. This information is important for the ubiquitin community, as this activity will need to be taken into account in assays using this compound so far known as a broad E2 enzyme, but not E1 enzyme inhibitor [19]. To make decisions on further development of any hit compound from a binder screen or an enzymatic screen, the selectivity information is critically important. UPS-CONA resolves biochemical mode of action in one well of a 384-well plate with picomole amounts of reagents, and may be used for selectivity assays, either based on enzyme or ubiquitin mutations, or structure-activity relationships of hit series. Given the small amounts of enzymes with conventional tags needed for UPS-CONA, combined with the sensitivity and time-resolved capabilities demonstrated in this work, this method should facilitate the study of reaction mechanisms, mode of action of inhibitors, and high-throughput screening campaigns.

UPS-CONA may be parallelized further using different bead sizes to allow simultaneous monitoring of ubiquitination of different substrates in the same reaction or adapted to monitor deubiquitinating enzyme and ubiquitin-binding domain activities. Ubiquitin-like modifiers, for example SUMO or NEDD8, may also be monitored in similar assay formats or used for bead-based deconvolution of multiple modification types on a single substrate. Given the robustness of the on-bead fluorescent ring readout to interfering fluorescence from the reactions solutions [7, 8], a lysate-based assay may also be used to study reactions under more physiological conditions, without the need for prior purification of reaction components.

As a technique which requires protein immobilization, bead-based biosensors like UPS-CONA have limitations similar to other comparable methods, particularly plate-based biosensors such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Often equilibrium constants, and kinetic rate constants of binding and enzymatic reactions with one reaction partner immobilized to a bead matrix or plate surface, differ from the constants obtained from experiments performed in homogeneous solution [8]. While the assay may not reflect the exact kinetic parameters measured in solution, it is of great value for a larger-scale comparative evaluation of activities and in particular of inhibitor selectivity, which we showcase in this work. Related to the immobilization method, contrary to other techniques, UPS-CONA offers a great choice of attachment type which can be used according to the available protein tagging type and reagents. In our study, we used Ni²⁺NTA-His6-tag affinity for protein attachment to beads; however, other types of immobilization methods can be used such as antibodies and biotin-streptavidin. Ideally, to avoid any leakage of proteins from the beads, covalent attachment would be preferable, such as amine crosslinking with N-hydroxysuccinimide esters. As any other fluorescence-based method, UPS-CONA may be affected by fluorescence interference, quenching or bleaching. Although we did not observe such effects under conditions used in this work, this aspect has to be taken into consideration when designing experiments to make sure that suitable controls are included for normalization. Another limitation of the technique may come from the instrumentation used. Confocal scanning under high magnification will provide great resolution, but may be relatively slow and therefore not suitable for monitoring fast reactions, as we demonstrated with ubiquitin charging onto Ube1. However, slower reactions and end point effects are easily measured and, with the advancements in microscopy techniques, the assay detection speed is likely to improve.

The suitability of off-the-shelf confocal imaging instrumentation and commercially available reagents should enable UPS-CONA technology to become a broadly applicable method. UPS-CONA should therefore be of value for exploring the interactions and activities within combinatorially complex UPS cascades, for identification and characterization of new small molecule probes, and for facilitating UPS drug discovery.

Methods

Inhibitors

BAY 11-7082, clomipramine, and heclin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (cat. no B5556, C7291 and SML1396, respectively). PYR-41 was purchased from Calbiochem (cat. no 662105) and CC0651 was provided by the Tyers group. The masses and purity of compounds were confirmed by analytical HPLC and LC/MS.

Proteins

The following proteins were purchased from BostonBiochem: wild type ubiquitin (cat. no U-100H); untagged human recombinant ubiquitin-activating enzyme (UBE1) (cat. no E-304); N-terminally His6-tagged human recombinant ubiquitin-activating enzyme (UBE1) (cat. no E-305); human recombinant E6AP (UBE3A) with a C-terminal His6-tag (cat. no E3–230).

Protein expression and purification

His6-tagged Ube2L3 was expressed from pET28a-LIC (provided by the Sicheri group) in BL21(DE3) *E. coli*.

One-liter cultures were grown at 37 °C in LB and induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 20 °C overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 rpm, 4 °C, lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 8, 400 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) with lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich), and Benzonase Nuclease (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no E8263)), and sonicated on ice for 1 min in 10-s pulses at 50% of maximum power (Sonic VibraCell). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 4000 rpm, 4 °C, and incubated in rotation with pre-equilibrated 2 to 4 mL of 50% slurry Ni²⁺ NTA beads (Qiagen) for 2 h at 4 °C prior to standard histidine-tag protein purification according to manufacturer's instructions. Proteins were eluted in lysis buffer containing 300 mM imidazole. To obtain untagged Ube2L3, the His6-tag was removed with thrombin. Protein purity was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and by analytical HPLC. Protein concentration was measured by UV-Vis (NanoDrop, ThermoScientific) based on absorption at 280 nm and stray light correction at 330 nm.

Expression, purification, and labelling of Cys0-ubiquitin

Cys0-Ub (ubiquitin with an N-terminal cysteine insertion) was expressed as TEV-cleavable histidine6, glutathione-S-transferase (GST) fusion from pETM-30 plasmid (provided by the Sicheri lab) in BL21(DE3) E. coli. Harvested cells were lysed and purified on Ni² ⁺NTA resin as above, then the tag was cleaved overnight with TEV protease in 10 mL elution buffer with 2 mM DTT. Contaminants, TEV, and cleaved tag were removed by perchloric acid precipitation (pH 4.5) and centrifugation at 4 °C, 14000 rpm. Cys0-Ub was then dialyzed into 50 mM NaOAc pH 4.5 and purified on a 5-mL MonoS column (Pharmacia Biotech) using ÄKTA Explorer FPLC purification system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). Elution volumes of 0.5 mL were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and pure fractions were pooled and dialyzed into 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.5 mM TCEP pH 7.0. The concentration was measured by UV-Vis (NanoDrop, Thermo Scientific) and adjusted to 500 µM using Amicon-Ultra filter spin columns with 3 kDa cutoff (Merck Millipore).

For labelling, maleimide-Cy5 (GE Healthcare) was dissolved under argon in 100% dry DMSO to obtain 50 mM stock solution, aliquoted, and kept at – 20 °C. Labelling reactions were performed in 100- μ L volumes. For 3 h at 25 °C, 300 μ M of Cys0-Ub was incubated with 2.5 mM maleimide-Cy5 on a shaking platform, then purified by size exclusion chromatography over a PD10 column (GE Healthcare). Elution fractions were analyzed by HPLC, and the fractions labeled Cy5-Ub with < 1% free Cy5-maleimide were pooled together. The purity of the sample was assessed by SDS-PAGE and the Cy5-ubiquitin concentration was measured by UV-Vis (NanoDrop, Thermo Scientific) based on Cy5 absorption at 649 nm, prior to being aliquoted and stored at - 80 °C.

Western blots

The proteins (E1, E2s, ubiquitin) were run on 12% Bolt Bis-Tris Plus SDS-PAGE gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific), transferred to a 0.2-µm nitrocellulose membrane (BioRad), and incubated with mouse anti-6His-tag antibody (1:500, Roche, cat. no 11 922 416 001), mouse anti-Ub antibody (1:1000, Enzo, cat. no ADI-SPA-203) or rabbit anti-Ube1 antibody (1:1000, Enzo, cat. no BML-PW8395), and infrared dye-coupled goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:20,000, LI-COR, cat. no 925-32210 and 925-32211). Blots were scanned using an infrared imager (Odyssey, LI-COR Biosciences) at 800 nm.

Gel-based Ube1 activity assay

In 10-µL volumes, 200 nM Ube1 was pre-incubated with compounds in indicated concentrations or DMSO as control for 15 min in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, before adding 5 µM WT Ub and 1 µM ATP. The reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 5 min and stopped by adding SDS loading buffer. The samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining (SimplyBlue[™], Invitrogen), or by Western blotting with anti-Ube1 and anti-Ub antibodies.

Bead preparation

Nickel nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni²⁺NTA) agarose micro-beads were purchased from Qiagen (cat. no. 30250). Before use, beads were filtered through various mesh sizes (40, 70, 80, 100, and 120 μ m) using cell strainers (Corning cat. 352340, 352350, and 352360) or nylon-woven net filters (Millipore cat. no. NY8004700 and NY2H04700) to obtain beads in specific size ranges. Beads were then washed thoroughly with binding buffer (0.3 M NaCl, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.01% Triton X-100) and brought back to 50% slurry. One microliter of 100–120 μ m filtered beads per well of a 384-well plate was used to form a monolayer with around 50% coverage of the well bottom surface. The volumes and amounts were scaled up according to the required number of wells.

Immobilizing protein on beads

The estimated bead loading capacity as provided by the manufacturer is around 50 mg per 1 mL of the 50% slurry solution for a protein of around 30 kDa. One microliter of beads would have a protein loading amount equal to 50 μ g of protein. Generally, we used a maximum of 0.5 μ M in 20 μ L of on-bead protein per well corresponding to 300 ng, which is over 160-fold below saturating amounts. The optimal amount of protein on bead for effective signal detection was determined by

using a His6-tagged Emerald Green Fluorescent Protein (emGFP) standard. A linear correlation between the amount of on-bead emGFP and ring intensity held for values equal to and below 10 pmoles/well (Additional file 1: Figure S1). It was also verified that His6-tagged proteins were stably attached to the beads for several hours under typical experimental conditions, which is probably due to fast on and off rates corresponding to micromolar dissociation constants given by the tagging system (Additional file 1: Figure S7). The beads were incubated with the desired quantity of His6-tagged protein (typically 2.5 pmoles per well, or 125 nM) in ice-cold binding buffer on a shaker at 1000 rpm for at least 30 min at 8 °C. After incubation, the beads were extensively washed with binding buffer and the volume of bead solution was adjusted to 10 μ L per well.

On-bead ubiquitination reaction

Beads with attached proteins were distributed in 10-µL volumes into microplate wells (black, flat glass bottom 384-well plate, MMI PS384B-G175) using a wide bore pipette tip (Rainin RC-250W). Ubiquitination mixes were prepared to obtain final concentrations in 20 µL as follows: 500 nM Cy5-labeled ubiquitin (Cy5-Ub), 50 nM Ube1, 0.5 µM Ube2L3, 5 mM ATP where appropriate, in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂. Ubiquitin-activating reactions with on-bead Ube1 were performed with Cy5-Ub and ATP. Ubiquitin-charging reactions with on-bead Ube2L3 were performed with Cy5-Ub, Ube1, and ATP. Ubiquitin-charging/conjugation to on-bead E6AP were performed with Cy5-Ub, Ube1, Ube2L3, and ATP. The plate was incubated at 37 °C and the reactions were monitored over time. For determination of proportion of thioester-bound ubiquitin, 50 mM DTT was added at the end of the reaction and imaged again after 10 min incubation.

Multi-step ubiquitination reactions

For one well of a 384-well plate, 20 µL reactions were prepared containing 0.2 µL of 50% slurry small (70-80 µm diameter) Ni²⁺NTA agarose beads with 50 nM immobilized Ube1; mixed with 1 µL of large (100–120 µm) beads with immobilized 200 nM E6AP, and 500 nM Cy5-Ub in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂. The beads were preincubated with compounds for 15 min in concentrations as indicated. Reactions were imaged on the Opera[™] High Content Screening System (Perkin Elmer) before and after adding 5 mM ATP. At this point, 500 nM Ube2L3 similarly preincubated with compounds was added where appropriate and the reaction was imaged over time for at least 2 h. For inhibitor activity evaluation, the well averages were normalized against the DMSO control and the data was fitted using non-linear regression to a four-parameter logistic IC50 function using GraFit 7 [25].

Bead imaging

Images were acquired on the Opera[™] High Content Screening System (Perkin Elmer) at 30.0 µm above well bottom, at × 20 magnification with air lenses (20× Air LUCPLFLN, NA = 0.45). Brightfield and two fluorescent channels for detection of emGFP and Cy5 were used in the following settings: excitation wavelength 640 nm (Cy5) and 488 nm (emGFP); emission filters: 690/70 nm (Cy5) and 520/35 nm (emGFP). Typically, 77 images from the center of the well were taken to ensure near-full well coverage and to visualize > 100 beads per well, and a well sublayout with 20% image field overlap was applied to allow consequent image stitching. Image data were analyzed using ImageJ and a custom MatLab script.

Data analysis

Full well images are stitched together from multiple individual microscope images using the Grid/Collection Stitching Plugin for ImageJ [26]. The stitched images from each channel, either brightfield or fluorescent, are analyzed for each well in a well plate. The detection in the brightfield channel allows detection of all the beads in a given well, including those with only weak or no fluorescence signal. An edge detection algorithm and Gaussian filtering is applied to the image, followed by a circular Hough transfer to identify circles in the image within a required diameter range (typically between 100 and 120 μ m) representing the beads. A canonical list of bead positions and radii in each well is compiled by correlating the beads detected from all the imaging channels. Beads which are incomplete and overlapping or coincide with the image edges are removed from the list. A pre-determined number of profiles (typically 10) is taken across each bead. The peaks representing the "ring" and the mean of the 20-80th percentiles of the profile intensity between the peaks representing the background bead intensity are identified for each profile. A profile "intensity" is computed by subtracting the background from the peak intensity. The mean of these background-corrected ring intensity measurements for each bead is computed, giving the bead intensity. If more than one fluorophore is used in the experiment, the ratio of fluorescence emission intensities of two fluorophores detected on bead is used for an enhanced quantification as it allows for correction against a reference fluorophore, such as a second label on the assayed protein immobilized on beads. Ratiometric analysis is performed by calculating the ratio of the bead intensity of the same bead in multiple fluorescent channels. The mean bead ring intensity of each well in each channel is calculated as the average bead intensity of all the beads in the well. For each well, the mean bead ring intensity and standard deviation are calculated.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Contains Supplementary Figures S1-S7. Figure S1. Ring fluorescence intensity is linearly proportional to the amount of bead-bound fluorescent substrate and is consistent across a test plate. Figure S2. On-bead ubiquitination of a protein substrate, p53. Figure S3. Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra for simultaneous detection of three different fluorescent-fusion proteins. Figure S4. Bead detection and analysis allows for differential detection of mixed small and large bead populations. Figure S5. Inhibitory effects observed in time-resolved ubiquitination cascade reactions. Figure S6. Concentration-dependent inhibitory activity of BAY 11-7082 observed on eGFP-Ube2L3 using UPS-CONA. Figure S7. Ring fluorescence intensity is stable over time and remains linearly proportional to the amount of bead-bound fluorescent substrate. Table S1. UPS-CONA is a broadly applicable assay. (DOCX 6279 kb)

Funding

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust PhD Programme grant no. 089397/Z/09/Z. M.A. also acknowledges financial support from the Scottish Universities Life Sciences Alliance (SULSA- http://www.sulsa.ac.uk), the Medical Research Council ((MRC- www.mrc.ac.uk, J54359) Strategic Grant, and from the European Community's 7th Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no 278568 "PRIMES". F.S. and M.T. acknowledge support from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (MOP-126129). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during this study are included in this published article, in Additional file 1, and in the Edinburgh Data Share repository of the University of Edinburgh https://datashare.is.ed.ac.uk/handle/10283/3125 [27].

Authors' contributions

JK co-invented and developed the assay, designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments, and wrote the manuscript; NP contributed to confocal microscopy experiments, produced labeled ubiquitin, and participated in data analysis; DE created the software for data analysis and supported analysis of the results; SM cloned the fluorescent proteins into expression vectors; IP helped with data analysis; MT co-invented the assay; DC and FS provided plasmids and protein reagents and supported with ubiquitin purification and labelling; SS contributed to data analysis and to figure generation; MA developed the concept of expanding on-bead screening to enzymatic reactions exemplified in this work with UPS-CONA, co-invented the assay, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The University Court of the University of Edinburgh filed an international patent application no. PCT/GB2015/052565 which covers parts of the method.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Institute of Quantitative Biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, C H Waddington Building, 3.07, Max Born Crescent, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK. ²Biomedical Sciences, Medical School, University of Edinburgh, C H Waddington Building, 3.07, Max Born Crescent, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK. ³Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada. ⁴The Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, 600 University Avenue, Room 1090, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X5, Canada.

Received: 12 March 2018 Accepted: 24 July 2018 Published online: 10 August 2018

References

- Petroski MD. The ubiquitin system, disease, and drug discovery. BMC Biochem. 2008;9 Suppl 1:S7. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2091-9-S1-S7.
- Huang X, Dixit VM. Drugging the undruggables: exploring the ubiquitin system for drug development. Cell Res. 2016;26:484–98. https://doi.org/10. 1038/cr.2016.31.
- Goldenberg SJ, Marblestone JG, Mattern MR, Nicholson B. Strategies for the identification of ubiquitin ligase inhibitors. Biochem Soc Trans. 2010;38(Pt 1): 132–6. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0380132.
- Berkers CR, Ovaa H. Drug discovery and assay development in the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Biochem Soc Trans. 2010;38(Pt 1):14–20. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0380014.
- Eldridge AG, O'Brien T. Therapeutic strategies within the ubiquitin proteasome system. Cell Death Differ. 2010;17:4–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/ cdd.2009.82.
- Hintersteiner M, Buehler C, Uhl V, Schmied M, Müller J, Kottig K, et al. Confocal nanoscanning, bead picking (CONA): PickoScreen microscopes for automated and quantitative screening of one-bead one-compound libraries. J Comb Chem. 2009;11:886–94. https://doi.org/10.1021/cc900059q.
- Hintersteiner M, Kimmerlin T, Kalthoff F, Stoeckli M, Garavel G, Seifert J-M, et al. Single bead labeling method for combining confocal fluorescence on-bead screening and solution validation of tagged one-bead one-compound libraries. Chem Biol. 2009;16:724–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2009.06.011.
- Hintersteiner M, Buehler C, Auer M. On-bead screens sample narrower affinity ranges of protein-ligand interactions compared to equivalent solution assays. ChemPhysChem. 2012;13:3472–80. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cphc.201200117.
- Meisner N-C, Hintersteiner M, Seifert J-M, Bauer R, Benoit RM, Widmer A, et al. Terminal adenosyl transferase activity of posttranscriptional regulator HuR revealed by confocal on-bead screening. J Mol Biol. 2009;386:435–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2008.12.020.
- Hintersteiner M, Ambrus G, Bednenko J, Schmied M, Knox AJS, Meisner N-C, et al. Identification of a small molecule inhibitor of importin β mediated nuclear import by confocal on-bead screening of tagged one-bead onecompound libraries. ACS Chem Biol. 2010;5:967–79. https://doi.org/10.1021/ cb100094k.
- Hintersteiner M, Kallen J, Schmied M, Graf C, Jung T, Mudd G, et al. Identification and X-ray co-crystal structure of a small-molecule activator of LFA-1-ICAM-1 binding. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2014;53:4322–6. https:// doi.org/10.1002/anie.201310240.
- Huibregtse JM, Scheffner M, Beaudenon S, Howley PM. A family of proteins structurally and functionally related to the E6-AP ubiquitin-protein ligase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:2563–7.
- Scheffner M, Huibregtse JM, Vierstra RD, Howley PM. The HPV-16 E6 and E6-AP complex functions as a ubiquitin-protein ligase in the ubiquitination of p53. Cell. 1993;75:495–505. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90384-3.
- Haas AL, Warms JV, Hershko A, Rose IA. Ubiquitin-activating enzyme. Mechanism and role in protein-ubiquitin conjugation. J Biol Chem. 1982; 257:2543–8.
- Nuber U, Schwarz SE, Scheffner M. The ubiquitin-protein ligase E6associated protein (E6-AP) serves as its own substrate. Eur J Biochem. 1998; 254:643–9.
- Nuber U, Schwarz S, Kaiser P, Schneider R, Scheffner M. Cloning of human ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes UbcH6 and UbcH7 (E2-F1) and characterization of their interaction with E6-AP and RSP5. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:2795–800.
- Beaudenon S, Huibregtse JM. HPV E6, E6AP and cervical cancer. BMC Biochem. 2008;9 Suppl 1 Suppl 1:S4. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2091-9-S1-S4.
- Yang Y, Kitagaki J, Dai R-M, Tsai YC, Lorick KL, Ludwig RL, et al. Inhibitors of ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), a new class of potential cancer therapeutics. Cancer Res. 2007;67:9472–81. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0568.
- Strickson S, Campbell DG, Emmerich CH, Knebel A, Plater L, Ritorto MS, et al. The anti-inflammatory drug BAY 11-7082 suppresses the MyD88-dependent signalling network by targeting the ubiquitin system. Biochem J. 2013;451: 427–37. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20121651.

- 20. Ceccarelli DF, Tang X, Pelletier B, Orlicky S, Xie W, Plantevin V, et al. An allosteric inhibitor of the human Cdc34 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme. Cell.
- 2011;145:1075–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.05.039.
 Huang H, Ceccarelli DF, Orlicky S, St-Cyr DJ, Ziemba A, Garg P, et al. E2 enzyme inhibition by stabilization of a low-affinity interface with ubiquitin. Nat Chem Biol. 2014;10:156–63. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1412.
- Rossi M, Rotblat B, Ansell K, Amelio I, Caraglia M, Misso G, et al. High throughput screening for inhibitors of the HECT ubiquitin E3 ligase ITCH identifies antidepressant drugs as regulators of autophagy. Cell Death Dis. 2014;5:e1203. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.113.
- Mund T, Lewis MJ, Maslen S, Pelham HR. Peptide and small molecule inhibitors of HECT-type ubiquitin ligases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111: 16736–41. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1412152111.
- Pierce JW, Schoenleber R, Jesmok G, Best J, Moore SA, Collins T, et al. Novel inhibitors of cytokine-induced I B phosphorylation and endothelial cell adhesion molecule expression show anti-inflammatory effects in vivo. J Biol Chem. 1997;272:21096–103. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.34.21096.
- 25. Leatherbarrow RJ. GraFit Version 7. Horley, U.K: Erithacus Software Ltd.; 2009.
- Preibisch S, Saalfeld S, Tomancak P. Globally optimal stitching of tiled 3D microscopic image acquisitions. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1463–5. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp184.
- Koszela J, Pham NT, Evans D, Mann S, Perez-Pi I, Shave S, Ceccarelli DFJ, Sicheri F, Tyers M, Auer M. Real-time tracking of complex ubiquitination cascades using a fluorescent confocal on-bead assay, [dataset]. School of Biological Sciences: University of Edinburgh; 2018. https://doi.org/10.7488/ds/2384

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

