

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The effect of selection history on extinction risk during severe environmental change

Citation for published version:

Lachapelle, J, Colegrave, N & Bell, G 2017, 'The effect of selection history on extinction risk during severe environmental change: Selection history and extinction risk' Journal of Evolutionary Biology. DOI: 10.1111/jeb.13147

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/jeb.13147

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Journal of Evolutionary Biology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	The effect of selection history on extinction risk during severe environmental change
6	
7	Josianne Lachapelle ^{12*} , Nick Colegrave ² and Graham Bell ³
8	
9 10 11	¹ Department of Biology, University of Toronto Mississauga, William G. Davis Building, 3359 Mississauga Road, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5L 1C6
11 12 13	² School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Ashworth Laboratories, Charlotte Auerbach Road, Edinburgh, UK EH9 3FL
14 15 16	³ Department of Biology, McGill University, Stewart Biology Building, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 1B1
17 18 19	*Corresponding author josianne.lachapelle@utoronto.ca
20 21	Tel +1 416 662 2077 Fax +1 905 828 3792
22	
23	Running head: Selection history and extinction risk
24	
25 26	
20	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32 33	
55	

34 Abstract

35 Environments rarely remain the same over time, and populations are therefore frequently 36 at risk of going extinct when changes are significant enough to reduce fitness. While 37 many studies have investigated what attributes of the new environments and of the 38 populations experiencing these changes will affect their probability of going extinct, 39 limited work has been directed toward determining the role of population history on the 40 probability of going extinct during severe environmental change. Here we compare the 41 extinction risk of populations with a history of selection in a benign environment, to 42 populations with a history of selection in one or two stressful environments. We exposed 43 spores and lines of the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii from these three different 44 histories to a range of severe environmental changes. We found that the extinction risk 45 was higher for populations with a history of selection in stressful environments compared 46 to populations with a history of selection in a benign environment. This effect was not 47 due to differences in initial population sizes. Finally, the rates of extinction were highly repeatable within histories, indicating strong historical contingency of extinction risk. 48 49 Hence, information on the selection history of a population can be used to predict their 50 probability of going extinct during environmental change.

51

52 Keywords: Evolutionary rescue, historical contingency, stressor, repeatability,

- 53 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii
- 54
- 55

56

57 Introduction

78

Determining what factors favour survival is critical for predicting the outcome of severe 58 59 environmental changes. We know from experiments that the probability of survival is 60 higher in larger populations (Willi & Hoffmann, 2009; Bell & Gonzalez, 2009), with higher amounts of genetic variation (Agashe et al., 2011; Lachapelle & Bell, 2012), 61 62 immigration (Bell & Gonzalez, 2011; Lagator et al., 2014b), and lower rates of 63 environmental change (Perron et al., 2008; Bell & Gonzalez, 2011; Lindsey et al., 2013). 64 However, lineages also differ in the number and type of environmental changes they have 65 survived in the past. We tested whether a history of selection in stressful environments, compared to selection in a benign environment, affects extinction risks during further 66 67 environmental change. 68 69 In the context of this report, a stressful environment is one that severely reduces fitness to 70 the point of population decline and possibly extinction. A benign environment is one 71 where population survival is not at risk. A stressful environment can become benign once 72 a population successfully adapts to it, and similarly a previously benign environment can 73 become a stressful environment after evolution in another environment. A history of 74 selection in stressful environments, compared to selection in a benign environment, might 75 affect extinction risks if it consistently affects evolvability or costs of adaptation 76 (Colegrave & Collins, 2008). For example, history can affect the ability of a population to 77 respond to natural selection by favouring genes that constitutively increase the genomic

Field *et al.*, 2006), and hence increase the supply of variation; by favouring mechanisms

mutation rate (Shaver et al., 2002) or modulate the mutation rate (Metzgar & Wills, 2000;

80 that promote gene exchange or recombination such as conjugation, viral infection (Poon 81 & Chao, 2004), and sex (Colegrave, 2002; Lachapelle & Bell, 2012; McDonald et al., 2016); or by changing the type of interactions between genes to promote a more modular 82 83 genome (Weinreich et al., 2006; Colegrave & Collins, 2008). History can also affect 84 evolvability through differences in the proportion of beneficial mutations that arise 85 because of changes in the distribution of fitness effects of mutations. For example, in 86 rugged landscapes, the probability of jumping from one fitness peak to another decreases 87 as the population climbs a peak because the probability of a mutation with effect size 88 large enough to make the jump decreases (Buckling *et al.*, 2003). Hence specialisation in 89 one environment can reduce the ability to diversify and consequently thrive in other 90 environments.

91

92 Evolutionary history may also affect extinction risks if it mediates costs of adaptation 93 through pleiotropy or mutation accumulation. For example, alleles favoured in one 94 environment can have negative impacts on fitness in other environments through 95 antagonistic pleiotropy (MacLean et al., 2004) and therefore lower the probability of 96 survival during environmental change. Similarly, mutations with neutral effects in the 97 current environment but deleterious effects in the new environment can accumulate over 98 time (Kawecki, 1994; Fry, 1996) and lower the probability of survival during 99 environmental change. On the other hand, alleles favoured in one environment can have 100 positive impacts on fitness in other environments through positive pleiotropy, such as 101 when the evolution of resistance to the current stressor indirectly increases resistance to a 102 range of other stressors (Walley et al., 1974; Trindade et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009;

103 Vogwill *et al.*, 2012; Lagator *et al.*, 2013; Rodriguez-Verdugo *et al.*, 2013; Lagator *et al.*,
104 2014a).

105

106 It remains unclear whether a history of environmental stress will increase or decrease the 107 probability of extinction during severe environmental change. We make use of a unique 108 set of experimental populations of C. reinhardtii that have survived and adapted to two 109 back-to-back stressful environments in the laboratory to study the effect of selection 110 history on extinction risks, and on variance among populations and individuals within 111 these populations in extinction risk. We sampled from different time points in the history 112 of these populations: before exposure to any stressful environments, after survival and 113 adaptation to the first stressful environment (i.e. the dark), and after survival and 114 adaptation to the second stressful environment (i.e. high salt). We exposed the 115 populations from each time point to each of the three selection environments, as well as 116 to a range of different novel environments. We compared population density and 117 extinction rates across and within time points to determine if selection history affects the 118 overall response to environmental change as well as the variability in responses. In our 119 experiment, previous selection shapes the amount of standing genetic variation and its 120 relevance to survival after any possible change in the environment. Hence, evolutionary 121 rescue (i.e. survival) occurs not as direct result of evolution in the novel environments, 122 but as a correlated response to selection in the previous environment. 123

124

125 Materials and Methods

126 <u>Selection history</u>

127 The selection history of the lineages used in this experiment is depicted in Figure 1. In 128 1997, experimental lines of the unicellular green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii were 129 set-up using spores from a cross among standard laboratory strains (CC-124 x [CC-1952 130 x (CC-1952 x CC-2343)]). Four types of lines were set-up as described in Bell (2005): 131 sexual mass-transfer (obligately sexual propagated by many zygotes); sexual single-132 zygote (obligately sexual propagated by single zygote); unselected (sexual lines where 133 unmated cells are not killed at transfer); and asexual (obligately asexual lines propagated 134 en masse). These lines were propagated on Bold's minimal medium solidified with agar, 135 phototrophically in the light. We refer to them as the light lines or L. They have been 136 evolving in a benign environment in one of our laboratories for about 20 years. 137 138 A decade later, three of the sexual mass-transfer L lines were used to initiate 2880 lines 139 which were propagated in the dark in Bold's minimal medium supplemented with 1.2 gL⁻ ¹ sodium acetate as described in Bell (2012). Only 241 lines (8.4%) survived. We refer to 140 141 these lines as the LD lines, for light then dark, and they have survived and adapted to one 142 stressful environment. 143

In 2011, forty of the LD lines were used to initiate 96 salt lines which were propagated in
steadily increasing concentrations of NaCl as described in Lachapelle and Bell (2012)
and Lachapelle *et al.* (2015). Ten lines are now surviving in 36 gL⁻¹ NaCl. We refer to
these lines as the LDS lines, for light then dark then salt, and they have survived and

adapted to two back-to-back stressful environments, first the dark, then a reversion tolight with no acetate and added salt (Figure 1).

150

151 Extinction assay

152 We isolated four spores from each of five lines from each of the three histories. Since 153 there are only three ancestral lines for the LD lines, we used the three ancestral lines (i.e. 154 sexual mass-transfer lines) as well as two of the asexual L lines, which have been 155 propagated in parallel. We chose to use the asexual L lines as opposed to the single 156 zygote or unselected lines because the asexuals have been propagated en masse like the 157 sexual mass-transfer lines, and to avoid the ambiguity of the unselected lines, which by 158 being facultative sexuals, have an unclear history in terms of how much of the progeny is 159 recombinant and how much clonal. Each spore was assayed three times, in each of six 160 environments for a total of 1080 cultures. To determine if there has been a direct response 161 to selection, that is if spores from a given selection history have a lower probability of 162 going extinct and a higher yield in their selection environment than spores from other 163 selection histories, we assayed the spores in the three selection environments, i.e. Bold's 164 minimal liquid media (referred to as 'Bolds'; (Harris, 2009); Bold's supplemented with 1.2 gL⁻¹ sodium acetate and maintained in the dark (referred to as 'Dark'); Bold's 165 supplemented with 20 gL⁻¹ NaCl (referred to as 'NaCl'). The growth of the L and LD 166 167 lines in NaCl does not itself represent a direct response to selection, as they have not been 168 selected in NaCl. The direct response is usually determined by comparing the fitness of 169 evolved lines to the fitness of their ancestors. Here the L and LD lines therefore serve as 170 the ancestors to which to compare the fitness of the LDS lines. To determine the indirect

172	probability of going extinct and the yield in other environments, we assayed the spores in
173	three novel environments, i.e. Bold's media supplemented with 0.4M Atrazine, a
174	herbicide (referred to as 'Atrazine'); Bold's supplemented with 0.1 μ M CuSO ₄ (referred
175	to as CuSO ₄); and Bold's buffered to pH4 with a phosphate solution (0.43 gL^{-1} Na ₂ HPO ₄
176	+ $3.36 \text{ gL}^{-1} \text{ KH}_2\text{PO}_4$; referred to as pH4). All cultures were grown phototrophically in the
177	light, except in the Dark environment where all growth had to be heterotrophic.
178	
179	The concentrations used for the three novel environments Atrazine, CuSO ₄ , and pH4
180	were determined by running preliminary growth assays with six wild-type strains (CC-
181	1690, CC-1952, CC-2342, CC-2344, CC-2931, CC-2937). The use of wild-type strains in
182	these preliminary assays ensured that the choice of concentration was independent of the
183	biological material used in the extinction assay. The wild-type strains were grown in a
184	range of different concentrations of Atrazine, CuSO ₄ and pH, and the concentration that

response to selection, that is consequence of selection in one environment on the

reduced cell densities to just above the detection limit of the spectrophotometer after two

186 growth cycles was chosen. This ensured that the concentration was severe enough to

187 reduce growth, but would not lead to immediate extinctions (which would limit our

ability to detect variance in extinction risk).

189

171

190 To start the extinction assay each spore was grown from a single colony into a population 191 in its home environment (i.e. L lines in Bold's, LD lines in Dark, LDS lines in NaCl). We 192 chose to grow the spores into different environments because we could find no single 193 common environment that would not severely disfavour the growth of one history over

194	that of the others. The populations were therefore isogenic at the start of the assays except
195	for any mutation that would have arisen during the growth of the single colony into a
196	population (about four generations). After one cycle of growth, the spores were
197	transferred to all six assay environments. Cultures were then serially transferred once
198	every 7 days by diluting 10 μL of culture into 190 μL fresh media in 96-well plates. To
199	maintain a constant size a population therefore needs to undergo about 4.3 divisions over
200	a week. The cultures were incubated at 26 degrees Celsius, 60% air humidity, and 7150
201	Lux constant light intensity.
202	
203	At the end of each growth cycle, every culture was inspected using an inverted
204	microscope to record the presence or absence of living cells. A culture was deemed
205	extinct if the absence of living cells was recorded for two cycles in a row. The cell
206	density was also estimated at the end of each growth cycle by measuring the optical
207	density at 750 nm with a spectrophotometer. The assay was terminated after 11 cycles
208	(about 55 generations) or later in the case of some environments, whenever the number of
209	extinctions had stabilised for two cycles and none of the cultures were on the brink of
210	extinction.

211

212 Statistical analyses

All analyses were done in R version 3.2.1. We examined the effect of selection history on 213

extinction in two different ways. First, the extinction dynamics, i.e. the proportion of 214

- lines alive over time, were analysed by performing survival analyses using Cox 215
- proportional hazards with mixed effects, which assume Gaussian random effects, with the 216

217 'coxme' R package (Therneau, 2015). In all models we included a 'Censor' variable for 218 spores that had not gone extinct by the end of the assay. Second, the extinction risk, i.e. 219 the proportion of lines extinct by the end of the experiment, was analysed by computing 220 two-tailed Fisher's exact tests for independence of number of extinction events and 221 selection history in a contingency table. We report both survival analyses and Fisher's 222 exact test results except in assay environments where the survival analysis could not be 223 fitted, i.e. in cases where extinctions did not occur in all selection histories. This is 224 because proper model fitting requires at least one event to have occurred in each level of 225 the fixed factor. In those cases, we report only the extinction risk. 226 227 Yield of surviving spores at the end of the assay was analysed by fitting mixed effect 228 models using the lmer function in the R package 'lme4' (Bates et al., 2015). Our estimate 229 of yield is the optical density at the end of the extinction assay (cycle 11) when 230 populations had stabilised. While the assay lasted more than 11 cycles in some 231 environments, we decided to use the yield at the end of cycle 11 to be consistent across 232 all environments. P values were obtained using the R package 'lmerTest' (Kuznetsova et 233 al., 2014) with type III sum of squares in an analysis of variance and Sattertwhaite 234 approximation for degrees of freedom by using the normal approximation. 235 236 More precisely, we divided our analyses into two sections: the direct response to 237 selection and the indirect response to selection. First, to determine if in a given 238 environment, there are fewer extinctions in the selection history most recently selected in 239 that environment than in the other selection histories, we compared the extinction risk

and extinction dynamics of the three selection histories in each selection environment
(i.e. Bold's, Dark, NaCl). That is we fitted a coxme survival model with selection history
as a fixed factor, and line and spore within line as random factors. The model was applied
to each environment individually. To determine if in a given environment, yield is higher
for the selection history most recently selected in that environment than for the other
selection histories, we fitted a mixed effects model with selection history as a fixed
factor, and line and spore within line as random factors.

247

248 Second, the determine if past selection in a stressful environment affects the extinction 249 risk and the dynamics of extinction in novel environments compared to selection in a 250 benign environment, we computed Fisher's tests and fitted a coxme survival model with 251 selection history as a fixed factor, and assay environment, line, and spore within line as 252 random factors. Only the three novel environments (Atrazine, CuSO₄, pH4) are included 253 in this model. All the novel environments we used had constant lighting and no acetate. 254 Therefore, unlike the L lines and LDS lines, the extinction risk of the LD lines will not 255 only include the general extinction risk due to selection in a stressful environment, but 256 also a special risk associated with the presence of light and lack of acetate. To estimate 257 the general extinction risk of the LD lines we assumed that the effects of novel stressful 258 compounds is additive to the effects of constant light and no acetate (i.e. measured risk = 259 general risk + special risk), which has been shown to be a reasonable assumption in the case of NaCl (Lachapelle et al., 2015). More precisely, we calculated [1-(proportion of 260 261 LD lines alive in Bold's at time t – proportion of LD lines alive in novel environment x at 262 time t)]. From this corrected proportion of lines alive, back calculated the corrected time

263	of extinction. That is, we multiplied the corrected proportion of lines alive by 20 (total
264	number of cultures) to get n , the corrected absolute number of lines alive at each time
265	point. We created a new data set with <i>n</i> rows for lines alive followed by $(20 - n)$ rows for
266	lines extinct. We assigned a number from 1 to 20 to each row. For each line number, we
267	counted the number of time points where the line was alive, and used that number as the
268	corrected time of extinction. Finally, given that the order in which lines go extinct after
269	correction is the same as before correction since the correction is simply a subtraction, we
270	matched the initial and corrected datasets after ordering them by time of extinction to
271	obtain the actual line and replicate number. We report the corrected extinction risk as the
272	general extinction risk in the analyses of the extinction risk in the novel environments.
273	
274	To determine if yield of surviving spores in novel environments differs between selection
275	histories, we fitted a mixed effects model for each novel environment with selection
276	history as a fixed factor, and line and spore within line as random factors.
277	
278	Finally, to estimate variance in the dynamics of extinction in novel environments, we
279	fitted a coxme survival model for each selection history with line, spore within line,
280	environment (including only the novel environments Atrazine, CuSO ₄ , and pH4), the
281	combination of line and environment, the combination of spore and environment, as
282	random factors. Note that the coxme function does not accept interaction terms for the
283	random factors, and therefore we created two new variables by pasting line and
284	environment or spore and environment together. Similarly, variance in yield of surviving
285	lines in novel environments was compared among selection histories using a lmer model

293	Results
292	
291	
290	degrees of freedom were calculated based on an analysis of variance model.
289	differences in variance between selection histories was determined using F ratios. The
288	interaction between spore and environment as random factors. The significance of the
287	line, spore within line, the interaction between line and assay environment, and the
286	with assay environment (including only the novel environments Atrazine, CuSO ₄ , pH4),

294 <u>Selection reduces extinction risk in most recent environment</u>

295 To measure the direct response to selection we did a reciprocal transplant, growing the

three selection histories in all three selection environments (Figure 2; Figure 4; Table 1).

A direct response is detected if spores from a given selection history have a lower

298 extinction risk and higher yield in their selection environment than spores from other

selection histories.

300

301 In the Dark environment, none of the LD lines go extinct, while on average 67% and 70%

302 of L lines and LDS lines, respectively, go extinct. As such, selection in the Dark has

303 significantly lowered extinction risk (LD line to L line comparison using Fisher's exact

304 test: $P = 7.3 \times 10^{-17}$; LD line to LDS line comparison using Fisher's exact test: $P = 4.4 \times 10^{-17}$

 10^{-18}). The extinction risk of the LDS lines is no different from that of the L lines (P =

- 306 0.84). Also, the LD lines reach higher yield than the surviving L lines ($t_{12} = -2.9$, P =
- 307 0.012) and the surviving LDS lines ($t_{12} = -3.2$, P = 0.0079) by cycle 11. Hence, long-term

selection in the Dark increased the capacity for heterotrophic growth that arisesspontaneously in unselected populations.

310

311 In the NaCl environment, all L lines and all LD lines go extinct, while only 20% of LDS 312 lines on average go extinct. As such, selection in NaCl has significantly lowered the 313 extinction risk (LDS line to LD line and LDS line to L line comparison using Fisher's exact test: $P = 3.2 \times 10^{-22}$). The extinction risk of the LD lines is no different from that of 314 315 the L lines (P = 1.00), although the LD lines go extinct more rapidly than the L lines 316 (coxme survival model: z = -2.71, P = 0.0067). None of the LD lines or L lines survive to 317 cycle 11, such that we cannot compare their yield to that of the LDS lines. 318 319 Finally, in the Bold's environment, which is the benign environment, none of the L lines 320 and none of the LDS lines go extinct, while 25% of the LD lines on average go extinct. 321 The extinction risk of the L lines and LDS lines is significantly lower than that of the LD lines (Fisher's exact test: $P = 5.6 \times 10^{-8}$). The yield of surviving LD lines is no different 322 from that of L lines ($t_{12} = 1.2$, P = 0.24) and no different from that of LDS lines ($t_{12} =$ 323 324 0.14, P = 0.89). 325 326 Overall extinction risk in novel environments is lowest in the L lines 327 To determine if the risk of extinction in novel environments is lower for populations with 328 a history of selection in stressful environments than for populations with a history of

329 selection in a benign environment, we compared the general extinction risk (see Methods)

330 of the LD lines and the LDS lines to that of the L lines.

332	We find that adaptation to a stressful environment increases the extinction risk in a novel
333	environment in comparison to adaptation to a benign environment. That is, over all novel
334	environments, the LD lines and LDS lines, with 39% and 29% of spores extinct on
335	average respectively, have a higher general extinction risk than the L lines with 24% of
336	spores extinct on average over all novel environments (Fisher's exact test: $LD - L$
337	comparison: $P = 0.0031$; LDS - L comparison: $P = 0.28$). Although the LDS lines do not
338	have a significantly higher probability of extinction than the L lines, they do go extinct at
339	a significantly faster rate (coxme survival model: $L - LDS$ comparison $z = 1.98$, $P =$
340	0.048; L – LD comparison $z = 1.85$, P = 0.064;). While the LDS lines have a lower
341	extinction risk than the LD lines, this difference is not statistically significant (Fisher's
342	exact test: $P = 0.075$) nor are the extinction dynamics significantly different (coxme
343	survival model $z = 0.14$; P = 0.89). The difference in extinction dynamics between the
344	selection histories cannot be explained by differences in population size at the start of the
345	assays (coxme survival analysis using yield at the end of cycle 1 in the home
346	environments as a proxy for population size at the start of the assay, and assay
347	environment, line, and spore as explanatory variables: $z = -1.16$, $P = 0.25$).
348	
349	Examination of the general extinction risk in each novel environment reveals the same
350	overall pattern of higher extinction risk in lines with prior selection in stressful
351	environments: in Atrazine the LD lines have a significantly greater extinction risk than
352	the light and LDS lines (Fisher's exact test: $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ for both LD - L and LD - LDS

353 comparisons; L - LDS comparison: P = 1.0); and in pH4, the LDS and LD lines have a

354	significantly greater extinction risk than the L lines (Fisher's exact test: LD - L P = 0.12 ;
355	L - LDS P = 0.038; LD - LDS P = 0.79) and significantly different extinction dynamics
356	(coxme survival model: LD - L comparison: $z = -3.12$, P = 0.0018; L - LDS comparison:
357	z = 1.70, P = 0.0073; LD -LDS comparison: $z = -0.45$, P = 0.66). This is with the
358	exception of the CuSO ₄ environment where all lines have an equivalent extinction risk
359	(Fisher's exact test: $P = 0.11$ for both LD - L and LD - LDS comparisons).
360	
361	Yield of surviving lines in novel environment is similar no matter selection history
362	The surviving lines all reach similar yields in the novel environments (Figure 4; Atrazine:
363	L - LDS comparison t_{11} = -1.4, P = 0.19; CuSO ₄ : LD - L comparison t_{12} = -1.5, P = 0.16,
364	LDS - L comparison t_{12} = -0.73, P = 0.48; pH4: LDS - L comparison: t_{11} = 0.41, P =
365	0.69), except in Atrazine, where the L lines reach greater yield by cycle 11 than the
366	surviving LD lines ($t_{11} = -2.9$, P = 0.014).
367	
368	Repeatability of extinction
369	The amount of variance in the extinction dynamics provides an estimate of the
370	repeatability of extinction. That is, if all populations from a given history go extinct at the
371	same rate or all survive, variance in extinction will be low and repeatability high. High
372	repeatability is an indication that history plays an important role in extinction. If
373	populations from a given history respond in different ways to environmental change,
374	variance in extinction will be high, and repeatability of extinction low. Low repeatability
375	is an indication that chance plays an important role in extinction.
376	

377 By estimating variance among lines within selection histories, among spores within lines, 378 and among novel environments, we found that the repeatability of extinction is highest in 379 the LD lines, and lowest in the salt and L lines (Table 2, Figure 3). Both the LDS and L 380 lines are very sensitive to different environments, having either very high or very low 381 extinction rates depending on the environment, and thus a high amount of variance across 382 environments. The LD lines on the other hand tend to have more similar and intermediate 383 rates of extinction across all environments, and hence much lower environmental 384 variance. On the other hand, genetic variance is higher in the LD lines, as seen by the 385 significantly higher variance among lines, and in the spore by environment interaction. 386 This result is driven mainly by one of the five LD lines consistently having higher 387 extinction rates than the other four lines. Hence, the repeatability of extinction is higher in the LD lines because of a more consistent albeit poor ability to survive in a range of 388 389 novel environments.

390

391 Variance in yield of surviving populations

392 The amount of variance in proportion to mean yield, i.e. the variance-to-mean ratio, can 393 provide an estimate of the ability of populations to respond to natural selection, with 394 larger ratios predicted to increase rates of adaptation, and lower ratios predicted to slow 395 or even prevent adaptation. Hence the variance-to-mean ratio is an indication of the 396 evolvability of populations (Houle, 2002). We estimated the variance-to-mean ratio 397 among lines, among spores (i.e. within lines), among environments, and among line by 398 environment and spore by environment interactions. The total ratio is the sum of all these 399 ratios. The total amount of variation in yield is highest in the surviving LD lines, with

400 close to two times more variation than in the surviving L lines, and more than three times

401 more variation than in the surviving LDS lines (Table 3, Figure 5). We obtain the same

402 qualitative results when using variance instead of the variance-to-mean ratio.

403

404 Contrary to variance in extinction which is driven mainly by variance among 405 environments, we find that variation in yield is driven mainly by genetic and gene by 406 environment variation. The L lines have high line-by-environment and spore-by-407 environment variation, indicating that the surviving spores and lines from the light history 408 respond differently to different environments. The LD lines have the highest amount of 409 line-by-environment variation, and almost no other sources of variation, indicating 410 limited variation within lines, but high variability among lines in their response to 411 different environments. Finally, the LDS lines have the highest amount of variation 412 among lines, indicating significant differences among lines that are independent of the 413 environment of assay.

414

415

416 **Discussion**

We made use of lineages that have undergone two back-to-back events of selection in stressful environments to test for a role of selection history on extinction risk in novel environments. Survival in this case occurs as a correlated response to selection in the previous environment. We exposed four spores from each of five lines from before any selection in stressful environments (L lines), after selection in one stressful environment (LD lines), and after selection in two stressful environments (LDS lines) to a range of

423 novel and severe environmental changes. The general extinction risk in a novel424 environment tended to be higher for lines with a history of selection in stressful

- 425 environments than for lines with a history of selection in a benign environment.
- 426

427 Our main finding of greater extinction risk after selection in stressful environments is in 428 agreement with what Samani and Bell (2016) found in yeast populations, where 429 populations that had been exposed to long-term starvation had a higher probability of 430 going extinct after exposure to a novel stressor than populations selected in conditions of 431 plenitude. It is also in part in agreement with findings by Gonzalez and Bell (2013) who 432 selected replicate populations of two species of yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae and S. 433 paradoxus in different concentrations of salt before exposing all surviving populations to an initially lethal concentration of 150 gL^{-1} NaCl. In accordance with our results, in S. 434 435 *cerevisiae*, selection in stressful salt concentrations increased the extinction risk. 436 However, the opposite was found in S. paradoxus, where selection in stressful salt 437 concentrations reduced the extinction risk. Hence, while there is evidence that selection 438 in stressful environments increases extinction risks during environmental change, other 439 factors, such as species identity, can mediate the effect of selection history. 440

441 Extinction risk depends on latest stress encountered

442 Given that our experimental lines have survived two back-to-back stressful environments,

443 it gives us the opportunity to ask whether the number of past stressful environments itself,

- i.e. one or two, affects the extinction risk. If stressful environments select for greater
- 445 evolvability or positive genetic correlations for fitness among environments, selection in

446 two back-to-back stressful environments should lead to even lower extinction risks than 447 after selection in one stressful environment. We found that there was no general trend of 448 increasing or decreasing extinction risk with number of stressful environments survived 449 in the past. How much of this result is down to the history of stress per se, and how much 450 down to the specific stresses that these populations have encountered is impossible to say 451 from this data. Replication of this study using different selection histories would be 452 needed to determine the generality of the results with regards to the effect of the number 453 of events of evolutionary recue on extinction risk. The lack of general trend in extinction 454 risk with number of stressful environment survived in the past could be because it is only 455 the latest stressful environment that determines evolvability and/or costs of adaptation 456 (i.e. there is no accumulation of effects from multiple stressful environments), or 457 although additive, the effects of different stressful environments can be opposite in 458 direction and/or magnitude and thus can lead to a reduction in extinction risk over 459 sequential selection in stressful environments.

460

461 The fact that the LDS lines have the same extinction risk in the Dark environment as the 462 L lines, and that LDS lines have significantly different patterns of variance in extinction 463 risk and yield in novel environments than the LD lines, suggests that selection in salt 464 erased the prior signature of selection in the dark. Hence, our results suggest that the 465 latest stressful environment to have survived is more important than the accumulation of 466 evolutionary rescue events. This is in agreement with findings by Lagator et al. (2014a) 467 who selected replicate populations of the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii in one 468 of three herbicides before exposing all surviving populations to the two other herbicides

sequentially. Survivability during exposure to the second and third herbicides was either
increased, decreased, or not affected, depending on what herbicide in particular was used
for the initial selection phase.

472

473 The importance of the particular stressor experienced is also indicated by the different 474 results in different novel environment. The CuSO₄ environment was not stressful enough 475 and barely any populations went extinct in it. It was therefore not very informative for 476 distinguishing extinction risks between selection histories. As for the other two novel 477 environments, in Atrazine, it is the LD lines that have the highest extinction risk and rate 478 of extinction, whereas in pH4 it is the LDS lines that have the highest extinction risk and 479 both LD and LDS have the highest rate of extinction. Hence, selection history in stressful 480 environments leads to higher extinction risks and rates overall, but this effect does vary 481 between novel environments depending on the identity of the previous stressor.

482

483 Factors other than the stress per se can also affect extinction risks and evolutionary 484 responses. For example, differences in the severity of the stress can affect population 485 sizes and the fraction of beneficial mutations available (Gonzalez & Bell, 2013; Samani 486 & Bell, 2016); differences in the genetic basis of adaptation to different stresses, such as 487 the presence and amplitude of antagonistic epistasis, can lead to differences in how much 488 of a reduction there is in the fitness costs of resistance mutations (Lagator *et al.*, 2014a); 489 and finally, the tempo of environmental change, such as a gradual increase in the stressor 490 or a sudden exposure to high levels of the stressor, can lead to differences in the 491 magnitude of costs of adaptation (Collins & De Meaux, 2009; Lindsey et al., 2013). We

therefore cannot exclude the possibility that the greater extinction risk of the LD lines is

493 due, for example, to the fact that survival in the LD lines occurred after a sudden change,

494 which has been shown to involve greater costs than adaptation to gradually changing

495 environments such as in the LDS lines (Collins & De Meaux, 2009; Lindsey *et al.*, 2013).

496

497 The role of plasticity in extinction in novel environments

498 The spores that survived in the novel environments follow a diverse range of dynamics in 499 yield over time, from constant, to steady increase, steady increase followed by a plateau, 500 and U-shaped dynamics (Figure 4). All populations were initiated from a single spore. 501 The only genetic variation present at the time of environmental change was therefore 502 limited to novel mutations generated during the four generations of growth prior to the 503 assay. Population decline upon environmental change would have also reduced the 504 supply of mutations and reduced the probability of fixation. Changes in yield over time 505 are therefore unlikely to be due to genetic changes given the absence of standing genetic 506 variation, and the short evolutionary timescale of the experiment. They are more likely to 507 be due to physiological acclimation or positive growth rates in initially bottlenecked 508 populations. Given that most of the spores that go extinct do so within the first five cycles 509 (about 25 generations) in the new environment, survival during severe environmental 510 change will depend almost entirely on the presence of spores in the population that can 511 either plastically respond or constitutively withstand the novel stressor enough to prevent 512 population extinction. Significant differences in the magnitude of the plastic response to 513 novel stressors have been found in yeast populations with different selection histories 514 (Samani & Bell, 2016). Hence prior selection regimes can affect the probability of

515 survival in novel environments by favouring or hindering the evolution of plastic

responses (Lande, 2009) or by altering the health of the population and therefore its

517 ability to physiologically respond to stressors.

518

519 Within and among line variance in extinction risk

520 By characterizing the rates of extinction of different spores within lines, of different 521 independent lines within selection histories, and of different selection histories, in 522 multiple novel environments, we are able to quantify precisely the repeatability of 523 extinction across a whole range of environments. History played an important role in 524 driving the repeatability of extinction, as lines and spores from each given history tended 525 to go extinct at a similar rate in a given novel environment. Almost all variation in 526 extinction rates arose from differences among novel environments, as histories tended to 527 go extinct at different rates in different novel environments. This is with the exception of 528 the LD lines, which showed even greater levels of repeatability than the L and LDS lines, 529 by having similar rates of extinction in all novel environments.

530

Repeatability in yield differed significantly from repeatability of extinction in terms of what is the source of variation. The environment appears to be the most important determinant of the probability of extinction given it is the largest source of variation in extinction, whereas genetic and gene by environment interactions appear to be the most important determinants of yield. This suggests that chance plays an important role in yield and contributes to low repeatability of yield. The difference between extinction and yield in the main source of variation could be due to extinction being a binary trait (rather

538 than a continuous trait like yield), meaning that subtler genetic differences are not 539 detected; it could be due to the fact that variation in yield was calculated for surviving 540 populations, thus eliminating all the values of zero and leading to a much reduced 541 environmental variance; or it could be due to differences in the genetic underpinning of 542 extinction risk and yield. It is interesting to note that although the extinction risk was 543 overall highest for the LD lines, the LD lines had the highest overall variance in yield 544 amongst surviving populations. Hence, surviving LD lines have the highest potential 545 evolvability in spite of sustaining the highest rate of extinction.

546

547 To conclude, selection in stressful environments tends to increase the risk of extinction in 548 novel environments compared to selection in benign conditions. We also found that back-549 to-back episodes of selection in stressful environments did not increase or decrease that 550 risk further, suggesting that effects of selection in stressful environments do not 551 accumulate over time. Rather, our results suggest that it is the latest environment of 552 selection that determines the evolvability of the population and the magnitude of costs of 553 adaptation. By examining not only averages but also the amount variation in extinction 554 risk and yield, we found that rates of extinction were highly repeatable within selection 555 histories, despite there being significant amounts of genetic and gene by environment 556 variation in yield within histories. Hence, lineages from the same selection history will 557 have a similar probability of going extinction during environmental change, and this 558 probability will be higher if the last selection environment was stressful.

559

560

561 Acknowledgements

- 562 This work was supported by a NSERC grant and a studentship from the University of
- Edinburgh to JL.
- 564

565 **References**

- Agashe, D., Falk, J.J. & Bolnick, D.I. 2011. Effects of founding genetic variation on
 adaptation to a novel resource. *Evolution* 65: 2481–2491.
- 568 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. 2015. Package "lme4."
- Bell, G. 2012. Experimental evolution of heterotrophy in a green alga. *Evolution* 67: 468–476.
- 571 Bell, G. 2005. Experimental sexual selection in Chlamydomonas. *J Evol Biol* 18: 722–
 572 734.
- Bell, G. & Gonzalez, A. 2011. Adaptation and Evolutionary Rescue in Metapopulations
 Experiencing Environmental Deterioration. *Science* 332: 1327–1330.
- Bell, G. & Gonzalez, A. 2009. Evolutionary rescue can prevent extinction following
 environmental change. *Ecol Lett* 12: 942–948.
- Buckling, A., Wills, M.A. & Colegrave, N. 2003. Adaptation limits diversification of
 experimental bacterial populations. *Science* 302: 2107–2109.
- 579 Colegrave, N. 2002. Sex releases the speed limit on evolution. *Nature* **420**: 664–666.
- Colegrave, N. & Collins, S. 2008. Experimental evolution: experimental evolution and
 evolvability. *Heredity* 100: 464–470.
- 582 Collins, S. & De Meaux, J. 2009. Adaptation to different rates of environmental change
 583 in Chlamydomonas. *Evolution* 63: 2952–2965.
- Erill, I., Campoy, S., Mazon, G. & Barbé, J. 2006. Dispersal and regulation of an
 adaptive mutagenesis cassette in the bacteria domain. *Nucl Acids Res* 34: 66–77.
- 586 Fry, J.D. 1996. The evolution of host specialization: are trade-offs overrated? *Am Nat*587 148: S84–S107.
- Gonzalez, A. & Bell, G. 2013. Evolutionary rescue and adaptation to abrupt
 environmental change depends upon the history of stress. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 368:
 20120079.

- Harris, E.H. 2009. *The Chlamydomonas sourcebook second edition*. Elsevier, San Diego,
 CA.
- Houle, D. 2002. Comparing Evolvability and Variability. *Genetics* **130**: 195–204.
- Kawecki, T.J. 1994. Accumulation of deleterious mutations and the evolutionary cost of
 being a generalist. *Am Nat* 144: 833–838.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B. & Christensen, R. 2014. *ImerTest: Tests in linear mixed effects models (version 2.0-20).*
- Lachapelle, J. & Bell, G. 2012. Evolutionary rescue of sexual and asexual populations in
 a deteriorating environment. *Evolution* 66: 3508–3518.
- Lachapelle, J., Bell, G. & Colegrave, N. 2015. Experimental adaptation to marine
 conditions by a freshwater alga. *Evolution* 2662–2675.
- Lagator, M., Colegrave, N. & Neve, P. 2014a. Selection history and epistatic interactions
 impact dynamics of adaptation to novel environmental stresses. *Proc R Soc B* 281:
 20141679–20141679.
- Lagator, M., Morgan, A., Neve, P. & Colegrave, N. 2014b. Role of sex and migration in
 adaptation to sink environments. *Evolution* 68: 2296–2305.
- Lagator, M., Vogwill, T., Colegrave, N. & Neve, P. 2013. Herbicide cycling has diverse
 effects on evolution of resistance in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Evol Appl* 6: 197–
 206.
- Lande, R. 2009. Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic
 plasticity and genetic assimilation. *J. Evol. Biol.* 22: 1435–1446.
- Lindsey, H.A., Gallie, J., Taylor, S. & Kerr, B. 2013. Evolutionary rescue from extinction
 is contingent on a lower rate of environmental change. *Nature* 494: 463–467.
- MacLean, R.C., Bell, G. & Rainey, P.B. 2004. The evolution of a pleiotropic fitness
 tradeoff in Pseudomonas fluorescens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 8072–8077.
- McDonald, M.J., Rice, D.P. & Desai, M.M. 2016. Sex speeds adaptation by altering the
 dynamics of molecular evolution. *Nature* 531: 233–236.
- 618 Metzgar, D. & Wills, C. 2000. Evidence for the Adaptive Evolution of Mutation Rates.
 619 *Cell* 101: 581–584.
- Perron, G.G., Gonzalez, A. & Buckling, A. 2008. The rate of environmental change
 drives adaptation to an antibiotic sink. *J Evol Biol* 21: 1724–1731.
- Poon, A. & Chao, L. 2004. Drift increases the advantage of sex in RNA bacteriophage
 Phi6. *Genetics* 166: 19–24.

- Rodriguez-Verdugo, A., Gaut, B.S. & Tenaillon, O. 2013. Evolution of Escherichia coli
 rifampicin resistance in an antibiotic-free environment during thermal stress. *BMC Evol Biol* 13: 50.
- Samani, P. & Bell, G. 2016. The ghosts of selection past reduces the probability of plastic
 rescue but increases the likelihood of evolutionary rescue to novel stressors in
 experimental populations of wild yeast. *Ecol Lett* 19: 289–298.
- 630 Shaver, A.C., Dombrowski, P.G., Sweeney, J.Y., Treis, T., Zappala, R.M. & Sniegowski,
 631 P.D. 2002. Fitness evolution and the rise of mutator alleles in experimental
 632 Escherichia coli populations. *Genetics* 162: 557–566.
- 633 Therneau, T.M. 2015. Package "coxme."
- Trindade, S., Sousa, A., Xavier, K.B., Dionisio, F., Ferreira, M.G. & Gordo, I. 2009.
 Positive Epistasis Drives the Acquisition of Multidrug Resistance. *PLoS Genet* 5: e1000578.
- 637 Vogwill, T., Lagator, M., Colegrave, N. & Neve, P. 2012. The experimental evolution of
 638 herbicide resistance in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii results in a positive correlation
 639 between fitness in the presence and absence of herbicides. *J Evol Biol* 25: 1955–
 640 1964.
- Walley, K.A., Khan, M.S.I. & Bradshaw, A.D. 1974. The potential for evolution of heavy
 metal tolerance in plants I. Cooper and zinc tolerance in Agrostis tenuis. *Heredity* 32:
 309–319.
- Ward, H., Perron, G.G. & MacLean, R.C. 2009. The cost of multiple drug resistance in
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Evol Biol* 22: 997–1003.
- 646 Weinreich, D.M., Delaney, N.F., De Pristo, M.A. & Hartl, D.L. 2006. Darwinian
 647 Evolution Can Follow Only Very Few Mutational Paths to Fitter Proteins. *Science*648 312: 111–114.
- 649 Willi, Y. & Hoffmann, A.A. 2009. Demographic factors and genetic variation influence
 650 population persistence under environmental change. *J Evol Biol* 22: 124–133.
- 651
- 652

653 Tables

Table 1. Proportion of spores extinct per line per selection history, in each of the assay
environments. The proportions for the LD lines in novel assay environments (i.e.
Atrazine, CuSO₄, and pH4) are corrected proportions (see Methods). The proportions
represent the number of spores over three assays that were extinct by the end of the
assay (4 spores x 3 replicate assays = 12 total spores), such that a number of 1 means

		Selection history	
Assay environment	L	LD	LDS
Bold's	0	0.75	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0.42	0
Dark	0.75	0	0.33
	0.58	0	0.67
	0.83	0	0.83
	0.75	0	1
	0.42	0	0.67
NaCl	1	1	0.08
	1	1	0
	1	1	0.08
	1	1	0.08
	1	1	0.75
Atrazine	0	1	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0.33	0
CuSO ₄	0	0.25	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
pH4	0.33	1	1
	1	1	0.42
	0.67	0.67	1
	1	0.92	1
	0.58	0.67	1

that all 12 spores went extinct. Each row represents one of five lines.

Table 2. Significance of differences in variance in extinction dynamics in novel environments between selection histories. Only data from the three novel environments

			5
663	(i.e. Atrazine,	CuSO ₄ , pH4)) are included in the model.

(i.e. Attazine, CuSO4, pirr) are included in the model.						
Source	Selection histories	Df (numerator,	F ratio	P value		
		denominator)				
	LD - LDS	1, 1	$7.86 \ge 10^3$	7.18 x 10 ⁻³		
Line	LD - L	1, 1	$7.90 \ge 10^3$	7.16 x 10 ⁻³		
	LDS - L	1, 1	1.00	0.499		
	LD - L	1, 1	1.87	0.402		
Line : Environment	LD - LDS	1, 1	1.91	0.399		
	L - LDS	1, 1	1.02	0.497		

	LDS - LD	1, 1	1.40	0.446
Spore	LDS - L	1, 1	82.3	0.0699
	LD - L	1, 1	58.7	0.0826
	LD - LDS	2, 2	22.4	0.0427
Spore : Environment	LD - L	2, 2	$1.87 \ge 10^3$	5.35 x 10 ⁻⁴
	LDS - L	2, 2	83.3	0.0119
	L - LDS	2, 2	1.24	0.446
Environment	L - LD	2, 2	31.8	0.0305
	LDS - LD	2, 2	25.6	0.0376
	L - LDS	7, 7	1.24	0.392
Total	L - LD	7, 7	13.3	1.47 x 10 ⁻³
		7, 7		2.83 x 10 ⁻³
	LDS - LD		1.07	

664

665

666	Table 3.	Significan	nce of differen	ces in variar	ice-to-mean	ratios in	optical	density	between
000	100100	~- <u>B</u>		•••••••••••••••			0 p	••••j	

selection histories when cultured in all three novel environments (i.e. Atrazine, CuSO₄,

667 select 668 pH4).

Source	Selection histories	Df (numerator, denominator)	F ratio	P value
Line	L - LD	4,4	Inf	0.00
	LDS - L	4, 4	4.20	0.0969
	LDS - LD	4,4	Inf	0.00
Line : Environment	L - LDS	6, 4	Inf	0.00
	LD - L	3, 6	3.97	0.0710
	LD - LDS	3, 4	Inf	0.00
Spore	L - LD	15, 12	4.90	4.23 x 10 ⁻³
	L - LDS	15, 15	4.05	5.13 x 10 ⁻³
	LDS - LD	15, 12	1.21	0.374
Spore : Environment	L - LD	22, 9	27.7	8.48 x 10 ⁻⁶
	L - LDS	22, 17	Inf	0.00
	LD - LDS	9, 17	Inf	0.00
Environment	LD - L	1, 2	Inf	0.00
	LDS - L	2, 2	Inf	0.00
	LDS - LD	2, 1	8.72×10^{13}	7.57 x 10 ⁻⁸
Total	L - LDS	49, 42	2.88	3.28×10^{-4}
	LD - L	29, 49	1.92	0.0218
	LD - LDS	29, 42	5.52	3.56×10^{-7}

669

670

671 Figure legends

- Figure 1. Schematic of the selection history of the L, LD, and LDS lines.

674	Figure 2. Extinction dynamics of the different selection histories in each assay
675	environment. Survivorship in the selection environments (i.e. Bolds, Dark, NaCl)
676	corresponds to the proportion of lines and spores alive, whereas survivorship in the novel
677	environments corresponds to the proportion of lines and spores alive corrected by the
678	special risk of constant light and no acetate in the case of the LD lines. The survivorship
679	sometimes increases in the novel environments due to correction. That is, when at a given
680	time point survivorship decreased in Bolds but not in the novel environment, this leads to
681	an increase in survivorship in the novel environment. There are three lines per selection
682	history, one for each of the three replicate assays. In the Bolds, Atrazine, and $CuSO_4$
683	environments, the extinction dynamics of the L and LDS lines are exactly the same and
684	fall exactly on top of each other at 1. Time corresponds to the growth cycle number.
685	
686	Figure 3. Variance in extinction in novel environments depending on selection history.
687	
688	Figure 4. Yield over time of the L, LD, and LDS spores and lines that survived to the end
689	of the assay in each of the three historical environments and the three novel
690	environments. Each point represents one replicate (total of 3 replicates per spore per line).
691	Curves are smoothed trend lines fitted using loess, with 95% confidence interval shading.
692	Time corresponds to the growth cycle number.
693	
694	Figure 5. Variance-to-mean ratio in yield in novel environments at the end of the assay
695	depending on selection history.



····· L lines — LD lines — - LDS lines





Selection — L lines •••• LD lines — LDS lines



Time

