

LSHTM Research Online

Neuman, Melissa; Taegtmeyer, Miriam; Hatzold, Karin; Johnson, Cheryl C; Weiss, Helen A; Fielding, Katherine; (2019) Challenges in measurement of linkage following HIV selftesting: examples from the STAR Project. Journal of the International AIDS Society, 22 (S1). e25238-e25238. ISSN 1758-2652 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25238

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4652379/

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25238

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/

VIEWPOINT



Challenges in measurement of linkage following HIV self-testing: examples from the STAR Project

Melissa Neuman¹[§] D, Miriam Taegtmeyer², Karin Hatzold³ D, Cheryl C Johnson⁴, Helen A Weiss¹ D and Katherine Fielding¹ **Corresponding author:** Melissa Neuman, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0)20 7927 2887. (melissa.neuman@lshtm.ac.uk)

Keywords: HIV testing; HIV self-testing; linkage to care; research methods

Received 3 May 2018; Accepted 20 December 2018

Copyright © 2019 World Health Organization; licensed by IAS. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution IGO License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/legalcode which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited. In any reproduction of this article there should not be any suggestion that WHO or the article endorse any specific organization or products. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. This notice should be preserved along with the article's URL.

Knowledge of HIV status through HIV testing constitutes the first step towards HIV treatment and prevention services. HIV self-testing (HIVST), whereby individuals collect their own specimen, conduct their own test and interpret the results, allows individuals to learn their HIV status conveniently and privately, as well as to decide when and where to attend posttest services. Accurate estimation of the proportion of those tested who link to additional HIV care, treatment and prevention services is critical in quantifying the health impact of HIV testing. As HIVST becomes integrated into testing programmes worldwide, implementers in diverse settings will need to measure the effectiveness of their programmes to ensure self-testers link to onward care and services. This can be challenging, and community health programmes in many contexts find it difficult to track referral uptake and equity [1].

We draw upon experience from the Self-Testing in AfRica (STAR) Initiative in 2015 to 2017 to identify three lessons for measurement of linkage following HIVST. In STAR, two pragmatic cluster-randomized trials evaluated the effectiveness of continuous HIVST distribution over 12 months in increasing testing coverage and linkage to care in Malawi and Zambia. A third trial, in Zimbabwe, evaluated the effectiveness of an incentive to promote linkage following a short, campaign-style HIVST distribution programme, and included a non-randomized component assessing the association between HIVST distribution and antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiations in nearby clinics. Details are provided elsewhere [2,3]. Each trial incorporated a household survey and data collection from health facilities to evaluate changes in HIV testing coverage and linkage to confirmatory testing, care and prevention (Table 1).

LESSON 1. COLLECT USAGE INFORMATION FROM USERS, NOT JUST FROM DISTRIBUTORS AND CLINICS

User household surveys and qualitative research are typically more time-consuming to collect than routine data from

distributors and clinics. However, this type of data is vital for understanding how self-tests are being used. For example, in Zimbabwe, 289 survey respondents reported a reactive HIVST, of whom 216 (75%) were already on ART [2]. Formative qualitative work indicated that individuals already known to be positive self-tested in trial communities to avoid inadvertent disclosure, to confirm their status or check for cure. Without accounting for unintended use of HIVST by persons already diagnosed and linked into HIV care, the number of HIVSTs used by undiagnosed individuals will be overestimated, and both linkage and cost-effectiveness measures are likely to be overestimated.

LESSON 2. THE QUALITY OF LINKAGE MEASURES USING CLINIC DATA DEPEND NOT ONLY ON THE QUALITY OF CLINIC DATA, BUT ALSO ON THE SELF-TESTING DISTRIBUTION MODEL AND LOCAL CONTEXT

In two STAR trials, we had contrasting findings about the effectiveness of self-test distribution on increasing ART initiations at local clinics, measured by routine clinic data. In Malawi, clinics in areas with self-test distribution had a 14% increase in ART initiations compared with clinics in areas without HIVST distribution (adjusted risk ratio (aRR) = 1.14; 95% CI: 0.75 to 1.75) [4], but the wide confidence intervals suggest limited statistical evidence for effectiveness. In contrast, the study in Zimbabwe showed a somewhat larger increase in local ART initiations in areas with HIVST distribution with stronger evidence for effectiveness (aRR=1.27; 95% CI: 1.14 to 1.43) [5].

These studies used different designs, and the trial in Zimbabwe had more clusters receiving HIVST distribution and more power to detect differences. However, we believe these estimates may also have been driven by the context and the duration of distribution. Universal test-and-treat interventions,

Trial location	Trial research questions	Primary and secondary trial outcomes	Household survey linkage questions	Clinic data linkage measures
Malawi [10]	What is the impact of community- based HIVST distribution over 12 months on HIV testing and	Primary: HIV testing within 12 months of start of HIVST distribution Secondary: Lifetime HIV testing, ART	Confirmatory testing and HIV care: After each of last three HIV tests, if test was reactive:	During 12 months of HIVST distribution: ART initiations and HTS by month for 12 months using
	ART initiation?	initiation	After this HIV test, did you receive a test confirming your HIV diagnosis or additional care related to your HIV status?	 clinic data ART initiations among clients reporting HIVST use
Zambia [11]	What is the impact of the community-based distribution of HIVST over 12 months on recent HIV testing?	Primary: HIV testing within 12 months prior to endline survey Secondary: Lifetime HIV testing, ART initiation, ART retention, uptake of VMMC services among men	 If yes, what care did you receive? Follow-up test to confirm results of this test Start ART for the first time Restarted on ART Other care (not including either confirmatory test or ART) VMMC: After each of last three HIV tests, if test was non-reactive or no result reported, and respondent was male: Did you go for VMMC after this test? 	Zambia study also collected data on HTS by month.
Zimbabwe [12]	Do financial incentives for distributors in two-month HIVST campaigns improve timely linkage among clients? Do community-based HIVST campaigns increased facility- based ART initiation?	Primary: Attendance at any care service Secondary: Self-test uptake, uptake of PSI outreach services, uptake of VMMC among men, uptake of confirmatory testing among respondents with reactive HIVST, uptake of ART among respondents with reactive HIVST, uptake of self- tests among people on ART	 Confirmatory testing: Did you have an HIV test in order to confirm the results you obtained during self-testing? HIV care and VMMC: Since about six weeks ago (when community-based distributors came to your area to distribute self-test kits), have you been to a clinic or HIV testing facility for any service that you wanted for yourself? If yes, what services did you seek? HIV counselling and testing HIV counselling only Filling of my regular prescription/completing my regular medical check-up HIV counselling only CU4 testing Family planning services Blood pressure checks Cervical cancer screening Ountary medical male circumcision Biomarkers for measuring HIV status, viral load, recency of infection and ART use collected 	Count of ART initiations per clinic data at government clinics in districts where HIVST occurred, including data from six months prior to distribution campaign three months after distribution campaign

including the HPTN 071 (PopART) and ANRS 12249 trials, have found that linkage to care remains low even after substantial efforts supporting testing and linkage. This suggests that it is necessary to consider how contextual factors apart from the availability of HIV testing services impact linkage to care [6,7]. The Zimbabwe study used a shorter, campaign approach to distributing HIVST and the implementing organization (Population Services International) had initiatives facilitating linkage-to-care across the study area. In contrast, distribution in Malawi was continuous over 12 months, with linkage more diffuse across an extended period. It is likely easier to detect increased linkage at facilities if HIVST kits are distributed in short concentrated periods and in contexts where linkage after testing is relatively easy for users. For programmes working in areas or in populations where linkage is difficult, or for those distributing HIVST over an extended period, alternative methods of measuring linkage may provide more power to detect effects.

LESSON 3. MEASURE BOTH LINKAGE TO TREATMENT AND TO PREVENTION

Increasing the availability and uptake of HIV prevention services is increasingly recognized as necessary for reducing HIV incidence rates [8]. As testing and treatment expand, the number of new diagnoses identified by testing programmes is likely to decrease, and consequently, the denominators of linkage-to-care measures will shrink. Expanding to include linkage-to-prevention of persons not infected with HIV will increase power to detect impacts of HIVST on population health.

In the STAR trials described above, linkage to prevention was a consideration, but not the focus. For example, in Zambia, voluntary medical male circumcision (VMMC) mobilizers affiliated with HIVST intervention clinics received HIVST kits to distribute to clients. However, only four of the six intervention clinics had affiliated VMMC mobilizers and the proportion of test kits distributed within each area by VMMC mobilizers was low overall (<1% to 11% across areas). There was a positive association between the intervention and self-reported VMMC uptake, but this was not statistically significant (aRR: 1.36; 95% CI: 0.49 to 3.78) [5]. With collaborators, STAR is now undertaking trials to assess whether HIVST can be used to generate demand for prevention services, including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among adolescent girls and young women in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa [9] and VMMC among men in urban Zimbabwe.

To summarize, defining and estimating linkage following HIVST is complex, and there is no single measurement strategy that will fit the needs of all HIVST implementers. Researchers and implementers must account for contextual factors that may affect users' likelihood of linking and the amount of time required to link when developing strategies to measure linkage following HIVST. In STAR studies, it was difficult to anticipate who would use HIVST, and where and when self-testers would link to care. Where possible, collecting data on users' self-test-ing and linkage experiences can provide valuable insight.

AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS

¹MRC Tropical Epidemiology Group, Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom; ²Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom; ³Population Services International, Johannesburg, South Africa; ⁴Department of HIV and Global Hepatitis, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

MN, HAW and KF conceptualized the paper. MN wrote the first draft. All authors provided comments on the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

STAR Initiative collaborators include Population Services International, Society for Family Health, the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, University College London, the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, the World Health Organization, CeSHHAR, Zambart and the Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Unit. We gratefully acknowledge comments on the manuscript from Elizabeth L. Corbett.

FUNDING

The STAR Initiative is funded by UNITAID (PO# 10140-0-600 and PO# 8477-0-600).

REFERENCES

1. McCollum R, Gomez W, Theobald S, Taegtmeyer M. How equitable are community health worker programmes and which programme features influence equity of community health worker services? A systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:419.

 Sibanda E, Neuman M, Tumushime M, Hatzold K, Watadzaushe C, Mutseta M, et al. Linkage to care after HIV self-testing in Zimbabwe: a cluster-randomized trial. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Boston, MA; 2018.
 Neuman M, Indravudh P, Chilongosi R, d'Elbee M, Desmond N, Fielding K, et al. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of community-based lay distribution of HIV self-tests in increasing uptake of HIV testing among adults in rural Malawi and rural and peri-urban Zambia: protocol for STAR (Self-Testing for AfRica) cluster randomized evaluations. BMC Public Health. 2018;18:1234.

4. Indravudh P, Fielding K, Neuman M, Chilongosi R, Mkandawire P, Nyondo E, et al. Increasing knowledge of HIV status and demand for ART using community-based HIV self-testing: a cluster randomised trial in rural Malawi. Paper presented at AIDS 2018, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 23-27 July 2018. http:// programme.aids2018.org/Abstract/Abstract/7310.

5. Tsamwa D, Handima N, Sigande L, Nalubamba M, Simwinga M, Mwinga A, et al. Does community-based distribution of HIV self-test kits increase uptake of HIV testing at population level? Results of a cluster-randomised trial in Zambia. HIVR4P; 2018.

6. Hayes R, Floyd S, Schaap A, Shanaube K, Bock P, Sabapathy K, et al. A universal testing and treatment intervention to improve HIV control: one-year results from intervention communities in Zambia in the HPTN 071 (PopART) cluster-randomised trial. PLoS Med. 2017;14(5):e1002292.

7. Iwuji CC, Orne-Gliemann J, Larmarange J, Balestre E, Thiebaut R, Tanser F, et al. Universal test and treat and the HIV epidemic in rural South Africa: a phase 4, open-label, community cluster randomised trial. Lancet HIV. 2018;5(3):e116–25.

8. Isbell MT, Kilonzo N, Mugurungi O, Bekker L-G. We neglect primary HIV prevention at our peril. Lancet HIV. 2016;3(7):e284–5.

9. Corbett EL, Shahmanesh M, Seeley J, Baisley K, Pillay D, Koole O. Peer delivery of HIV self-screening to support linkage to HIV prevention in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: a Cluster Randomised Control Trial (cRCT) (study protocol). 2018.

10. Desmond N, Corbett EL, Gibson S, Indravudh P, Lora W, Mkandawire P, et al. A cluster randomised trial of providing HIV self-testing kits through community-based distribution agents (study protocol). 2017.

11. Ayles H, Bond V, Chintu N, Handima N, Kapaku K, Maluzi K, et al. Self-testing for HIV (HIVST) amongst urban, peri-urban and rural communities in Zambia, including a cluster-randomised trial of community-based HIVST distribution (study protocol). 2017.

12. Corbett EL, Cowan F. A cluster randomised trial of interventions to improve linkage to care following community-based distribution of HIV self-test kits in rural Zimbabwean communities (study protocol). 2017.