

Cornell University ILR School

Cornell University ILR School DigitalCommons@ILR

Federal Publications

Key Workplace Documents

1-2014

New Education Classification Better Reflects Income and Spending Patterns in the Consumer Expenditure Survey

Ann C. Foster Bureau of Labor Statistics

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/key_workplace Thank you for downloading an article from DigitalCommons@ILR. Support this valuable resource today!

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Key Workplace Documents at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Federal Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact catherwood-dig@cornell.edu.

If you have a disability and are having trouble accessing information on this website or need materials in an alternate format, contact web-accessibility@cornell.edu for assistance.

New Education Classification Better Reflects Income and Spending Patterns in the Consumer Expenditure Survey

Abstract

An individual's level of education and associated earnings profoundly influence spending patterns. Published Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE) data shown average expenditures, income, and other consumer unit (CU) characteristics classified by education of the reference person. With the release of calendar year 2012 CE data on September 10, 2013, the education of reference person classification was replaced by the highest education level of any member in the consumer unit.

The major reason for this change is that the highest level of education attained by any household member more accurately reflects income and spending patterns than does the education level of the reference person only. For example, data from the Census Bureau show that the proportion of married couples where the wife is the more educated spouse increased during the 1996–2010 period. This means that the education level in families where the husband is designated as the reference person could be understated.

Table 1 shows selected characteristics, mean annual expenditures, and expenditure shares for consumer units classified by the highest level of education of any CU member, which is the new breakdown. Table 2 presents the same data classified by education of the reference person, which is the old breakdown. (Both tables show data for 2012.)

Keywords

Bureau of Labor Statistics, BLS, Consumer Expenditure Survey, spending, education

Comments

Suggested Citation

Foster, A. C. (2014). New education classification better reflects income and spending patterns in the Consumer Expenditure Survey. *Beyond the Numbers* (Vol. 3, No. 1). Washington, DC: Bureau of Labor Statistics.



PRICES AND SPENDING



New education classification better reflects income and spending patterns in the Consumer Expenditure Survey

Author: Ann C. Foster

n individual's level of education and associated earnings profoundly influence spending patterns.¹ Published Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE) data tables have shown average expenditures, income, and other consumer unit (CU) characteristics classified by education of the reference person. With the release of calendar year 2012 CE data on September 10, 2013, the education of reference person classification was replaced by the highest education level of any member in the consumer unit.²

The major reason for this change is that the highest level of education attained by any household member more accurately reflects income and spending patterns than does the education

Related articles

More BLS articles and information related to public assistance are available online at the following links:

- "The job market for recent college graduates in the United States," www.bls.gov/opub/ ted/2013/ted_20130405.htm
- "Educational attainment of women in the labor force, 1970–2010," www.bls.gov/ opub/ted/2011/ted_20111229.htm
- "Back to College," www.bls.gov/spotlight/ 2010/college/

PRICES AND SPENDING

BEYOND THE NUMBERS

level of the reference person only.³ For example, data from the Census Bureau show that the proportion of married couples where the wife is the more educated spouse increased during the 1996–2010 period.⁴ This means that the education level in families where the husband is designated as the reference person could be understated.

Table 1 shows selected characteristics, mean annual expenditures, and expenditure shares for consumer units classified by the highest level of education of any CU member, which is the new breakdown. Table 2 presents the same data classified by education of the reference person, which is the old breakdown. (Both tables show data for 2012.)

Category and pretax income differences

Classification by highest level of education shifted almost 7 percent of the CUs in the sample from the less-thancollege-graduate section to the college-graduate section, resulting in 61.7 percent of CUs categorized as less than college graduate and 38.3 percent categorized as college graduate. The greatest drop was in the less than high school graduate from 13.1 percent to 8.5 percent, a reduction of 5.7 million households, and the high school graduate categories from 24.9 percent to 21.4 percent, a reduction of 4.4 million households. The proportion of CUs falling into the "high school graduate with some college" and the "associate's degree" categories was similar in both tables. Using the new breakdown increased the proportion of CUs falling into the bachelor's degree category (22.6 percent, compared with 19.9 percent in the old breakdown) and master's, professional, doctoral degree category (15.7 percent, compared with 11.6 percent in the old breakdown).

Tables 1 and 2 show that average pretax income increased with the level of education. With one exception, the average dollar amount of pretax income was higher when using the old breakdown. In the new breakdown, pretax income for the master's, professional, doctoral degree group was \$119,023—compared with 117,233 for the same group classified by the reference person's education.

Annual expenditures

In tables 1 and 2, total annual expenditures also increased with the level of education and associated income. Except for the master's, professional, doctoral degree level, mean annual expenditures at every level of education were less when classified by highest level of education of any member (the new breakdown), compared with those classified by the reference person's education (the old breakdown).

Food, housing, and transportation

The dollar amount spent on each of the three categories increased with level of education and associated level of income. The relative share of these amounts differed by level of education. For example, food spending accounted for a greater share of the household budget at lower education levels. One exception is found in the old breakdown where households at the high-schoolgraduate-with-some-college level spent 13.1 percent of the budget on food, compared with 13.3 percent of the budget for households at the associate's degree level. The reason is that food at home—a component of food spending—accounted for a greater share of the budget of households at the associate's level 7.9 percent, compared with 7.7 percent for the high-school-graduate-with-somecollege category).

Housing expense patterns varied somewhat between the new and the old breakdowns, most likely because of the differences in housing tenure. When classified by highest education level, the homeownership rate ranged from 47 percent for the less-than-high-school-graduate category to 79 percent for the master's, professional, doctoral degree category. When classified by reference person's education, these proportions were 51 and 78 percent, respectively. These differences may account for the greater differential in dollar outlays between the less-than-high-schoolgraduate category and the master's, professional, doctoral degree category in the new breakdown (\$9,388 compared with \$26,512). In the old breakdown this differential was \$11,197 compared with \$26,322). The budget shares accounted for by housing narrowly declined as education and associated income increased in both breakdowns.

Table 1

Highest education level of any member: Annual expenditure means and shares, 2012

ltem		Less than college graduate						College graduate		
	All consumer units	Total	Less than high school graduate	High school graduate	High school graduate with some college	Associate's degree	Total	Bachelor's degree	Master's, professional, doctoral degree	
Number of consumer units (in thousands)		76,789	10,571	26,601	25,793	13,825	47,626	28,069	19,557	
Percentage of consumer units	100.0	61.7	8.5	21.4	20.7	11.1	38.3	22.6	15.7	
Consumer unit characteristic:	445 504	<i></i>	405450	400 057	± 40.004	+ c2 000	***	405.000	4440.000	
Pretax income	\$65,596	\$44,603	\$25,159	\$39,357	\$48,224	\$62,809	\$99,444	\$85,802	\$119,023	
Age (reference person)	50.0	50.7	56.2	53.0	47.5	48.3	48.9	47.4	51.1	
Average number in consumer unit: Persons	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.7	
Children under 18	.6	.6	.7	.6	.6	.7	.6	.6	.6	
Persons 65 and older	.0	.0	.5	.0	.3	.3	.3	.3	.4	
Earners	1.3	1.2	.7	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Vehicles	1.9	1.7	1.1	1.7	1.8	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.2	
Percent distribution:									·	
Sex (reference person):										
Male	47	44	43	45	45	41	51	50	52	
Female	53	56	57	55	55	59	49	50	48	
Race (reference person):	12	15	16	15	10	14	8	9	8	
Black or African-American White, Asian, and all other races	13 87	85	84	85	16 84	86	92	9	92	
Hispanic or Latino origin (reference per		60	04	65	04	00	92	91	92	
Hispanic or Latino	13	16	35	14	12	12	7	8	5	
Not Hispanic or Latino	87	84	65	86	88	88	93	92	95	
Housing tenure:	0,	01	03	00	00	00		72	23	
Homeowner	64	58	47	59	56	68	74	71	79	
With mortgage	39	31	16	28	33	45	51	49	54	
Without mortgage	26	27	32	32	23	22	23	22	25	
Renter	36	42	53	41	44	32	26	29	21	
At least one vehicle owned or leased	88	84	70	84	86	93	93	93	94	
Total annual expenditures:	\$51,442	\$39,107	\$24,582	\$34,786	\$43,041	\$50,836	\$71,151	\$63,135	\$82,606	
Food	-									
Mean	6,599	5,409	3,913	4,944	5,749	6,658	8,435	7,928	9,143	
Share	12.8	13.8	15.9	14.2	13.4	13.1	11.9	12.6	11.1	
Food at home	2 0 2 1	2.442	2.072	2.262	2.5.42	2 000	4 65 4	4 200	5 000	
Mean	3,921	3,442	2,862	3,263	3,542	3,980	4,654	4,380	5,032	
Share Food away from home	7.6	8.8	11.6	9.4	8.2	7.8	6.5	6.9	6.1	
Mean	2,678	1,967	1,051	1,682	2,207	2,678	3,782	3,549	4,111	
Share	5.2	5.0	4.3	4.8	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.6	5.0	
Housing	5.2	5.0	1.5	1.0	5.1	5.5	5.5	5.0	5.0	
Mean	16,887	13,197	9,388	12,143	14,241	16,146	22,815	20,230	26,512	
Share	32.8	33.7	38.2	34.9	33.1	31.8	32.1	32.0	32.1	
Apparel and services										
Mean	1,736	1,329	1,042	1,083	1,540	1,588	2,366	2,226	2,565	
Share	3.4	3.4	4.2	3.1	3.6	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.1	
Transportation	0.000	7 201	2.025	6 2 2 5	0.422	10.242	11 504	10.017	10 710	
Mean	8,998	7,381	3,835	6,225	8,422	10,342	11,594		12,710	
Share Healthcare	17.5	18.9	15.6	17.9	19.6	20.3	16.3	17.1	15.4	
Mean	3,556	2,885	2,004	2,823	2,949	3,560	4,635	4,196	5,263	
Share	6.9	7.4	8.2	8.1	6.9	7.0	4,033	4,190	6.4	
Entertainment	0.7	, , , , ,	0.2	0.1	0.9	7.0	0.5	0.0	U.T	
Mean	2,605	1,901	1,134	1,630	2,118	2,571	3,725	3,220	4,443	
Share	5.1	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.9	5.1	5.2		5.4	
Pensions and Social Security										
Mean	5,238	3,220	1,374	2,645	3,598	5,032	8,493	7,139	10,436	
Share	10.2	8.2	5.6	7.6	8.4	9.9	11.9	11.3	12.6	
Other ¹					1					
Mean	5822	3784	1894	3293	4424	4937	9088	7379	11533	
Share	11.3	9.7	7.7	9.5	10.3	9.7	12.8	11.7	14.0	

1. Includes cash contributions, alcohol, tobacco, personal care products and services, reading, education, life and personal insurance, and miscellaneous expenses. SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Consumer Expenditure Survey.

Table 2

Education of reference person: Annual expenditure means and shares, 2012

	All consumer units	Less than college graduate						College graduate		
		Total	Less than high school graduate	High school graduate		Associate's degree	Total	Bachelor's degree	Master's, professional, doctoral degree	
Number of consumer units (in thousands)		85,178	16,246	31,022	25,623	12,287	39,238	24,798	14,440	
Percentage of consumer units	100.0	68.5	13.1	24.9	20.6	9.9	31.5	19.9	11.6	
Consumer unit characteristic:		1		1	1	1		1		
Pretax income	\$65,596	\$49,901	\$33,154	\$47,221	\$55,987	\$66,122	\$99,667	\$89,438	\$117,233	
Age (reference person)	50.0	50.7	54.6	52.4	47.5	47.9	48.6	46.9	51.4	
Average number in consumer unit:		1	1	1						
Persons	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.5	
Children under 18	.6	.6	.8	.6	.6	.7	.6	.6	.6	
Persons 65 and older	.3	.4	.5	.4	.3	.3	.3	.2	.4	
Earners Vehicles	1.3 1.9	1.2	1.0	1.2 1.9	1.3 1.9	1.5 2.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	
Percent distribution:	1.9	1.0	1.4	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.0	Z.1	
Sex of Reference Person:										
Male	47	44	44	46	45	40	51	51	52	
Female	53	56	56	54	55	60	49	49	48	
Race (reference person):				r					10	
Black or African-American	13	15	15	14	14	15	8	8	8	
White, Asian, and all other races	87	85	85	86	86	85	92	92	92	
Hispanic or Latino origin (reference perso	n):									
Hispanic or Latino	13	16	35	12	10	10	6	7	4	
Not Hispanic or Latino	87	84	65	88	90	90	94	93	96	
Housing tenure:										
Homeowner	64	60	51	63	59	68	73	70	78	
With mortgage	39	33	21	33	36	46	50	49	52	
Without mortgage	26	27	30	31	23	22	23	21	26	
Renter	36	40	49	37	41	32	27	30	22	
At least one vehicle owned or leased	88	85	75	86	87	93	93	92	93	
Total annual expenditures:	\$51,442	\$41,983	\$31,194	\$39,989	\$46,118	\$52,414	\$71,926	\$66,420	\$81,363	
Food										
Mean	6,599	5,799	4,813	5,604	6,040	6,970	8,314	7,944	8,943	
Share	12.8	13.8	15.4	14.0	13.1	13.3	11.6	12.0	11.0	
Food at home	2 0 2 1	2 6 4 1	2 402	2 5 9 5	2555	4 1 5 0	4 5 1 7	4 251	4 700	
Mean Share	3,921 7.6	3,641 8.7	3,492	3,585 9.0	3,555 7.7	4,150 7.9	4,517 6.3	4,351 6.6	4,799 5.9	
Food away from home	7.0	0./	11.2	9.0	1.1	7.9	0.5	0.0	5.9	
Mean	2,678	2,157	1,320	2,020	2,485	2,820	3,797	3,593	4,144	
Share	5.2	5.1	4.2	5.1	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.1	
Housing	5.2	5.1	1,2	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.5	5.1	5.1	
Mean	16,887	13,913	11,197	13,327	14.992	16,721	23,339	21,598	26,322	
Share	32.8	33.1	35.9	33.3	32.5	31.9	32.4	32.5	32.4	
Apparel and services										
Mean	1,736	1,441	1,327	1,272	1,603	1,653	2,369	2,294	2,498	
Share	3.4	3.4	4.4	3.2	3.5	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.2	
Transportation										
Mean	8,998	7,902	5,514	7,695	8,721	9,863	11,374	11,050	11,933	
Share	17.5	18.8	17.7	19.2	18.9	18.8	15.8	16.6	14.7	
Healthcare		2 0 7 2	2.240	2 1 1 0	2.200	2 (2 0	4.600	4.2.40		
Mean	3,556	3,072	2,218	3,119	3,289	3,630	4,608	4,340	5,066.59	
Share	6.9	7.3	7.1	7.8	7.1	6.9	6.4	6.5	6.2	
Entertainment Mean	2,605	2,059	1,393	1,812	2,367	2,883	2 707	3,434	4,392	
Share	2,605	2,059	4.5	4.5	2,367	2,883	<u>3,787</u> 5.3	5.2	4,392	
Pensions and Social Security	5.1	4.9	4.3	4.3	5.1	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.4	
Mean	5,238	3,643	2,085	3,401	4,137	5,285	8,701	7,586	10,615	
Share	10.2	8.7	6.7	8.5	9.0	10.1	12.1	11.4	13.0	
Other ¹	10.2	0.7	0.7	0.5	2.0	10.1	14.1	11.7	15.0	
Mean	5,822	4,155	2,647	3,758	4,970	5,410	9,434	8,175	11,593	
Share	11.3	9.9	8.5	9.4	10.8	10.3	13.1	12.3	14.2	
Snare 1. Includes cash contributions, alcohol, tobacco, p										

1. Includes cash contributions, alcohol, tobacco, personal care products and services, reading, education, life and personal insurance, and miscellaneous expenses. SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Consumer Expenditure Survey.

One factor could be the higher outlays for mortgage interest, property taxes, and repair and maintenance by homeowners.

Transportation expense patterns also varied between the old and the new breakdowns. In both breakdowns, the dollar amount accounted for by transportation expenses increased with level of education and associated level of income. The share of the household budget accounted for by transportation varied by breakdown. In the new breakdown, transportation's share of the household budget increased from 15.6 percent for those with less than a high school education to 20.3 percent for those with an associate's degree and then declined from 17.1 percent for those with a bachelor's degree to 15.4 percent for those at the master's, professional, doctoral degree level. In the old breakdown, transportation expenses claimed 17.7 percent of the budget of households in the less-than-highschool-graduate category, increasing to 19 percent for each of the other three categories in the less-than-collegegraduate section before declining to 16.6 percent for those in the bachelor's degree category and 14.7 percent for those in the master's, professional, and doctoral degree category. In both breakdowns, households in the lessthan-high-school-graduate category were less apt to own a vehicle than households in the remaining categories; 70 percent in the new breakdown and 75 percent in the old breakdown did not own a car. As a comparison, almost all (94 percent in the new breakdown and 93 percent in the old breakdown) households in the master's, professional, and doctoral degree categories owned at least one vehicle. In both breakdowns, lower vehicle ownership among households with less than a high school education would reduce the amount spent on vehicle purchases, insurance, and repairs, lowering transportation costs relative to households in the remaining categories.

Other spending

In both breakdowns, the dollar amount spent on healthcare increased with level of education and associated income. Households at higher levels of education and associated income spent a lower share of the budget on healthcare than those at lower levels, regardless of breakdown. Entertainment spending followed similar patterns in both the old and the new breakdowns, increasing in dollar amount with level of education and associated income. In both breakdowns, entertainment spending narrowly ranged from about 4.5 percent of the household budget for the less-than-high-school-graduate category to 5.4 percent of spending for households in the master's, professional, doctoral degree category.

Outlays on pensions and Social Security differed in the old and new breakdowns. In both breakdowns, the average annual outlay and share of the household budget increased with level of education and associated level of income. In the new breakdown, however, the average annual outlay and share of the household budget were below comparable categories in the old breakdown, particularly at the lowest levels of education and associated levels of income. For example, households classified as less than high school graduate averaged \$1,374 (5.6 percent of total spending) for pensions and Social Security in the new breakdown, compared with \$2,085 (6.7 percent) in the old breakdown. Households in the high school graduate category averaged \$2,645 (7.6 percent of total spending) in the new breakdown, compared with \$3,401 (8.5 percent of total spending) in the old breakdown. One reason could be that there were fewer earners in the new breakdown compared with the old. For example, households classified as less than high school averaged 0.7 earners in the new breakdown compared with 1.0 earner in the old breakdown; for households classified as high school graduate these averages were 1.0 and 1.2 earners, respectively. Another reason could be the lower pretax income, earlier mentioned, among household groups in the new breakdown in relation to comparable households in the old breakdown. Because saving usually increases with income, households in the new breakdown might have reduced voluntary pension contributions to 401(k) plans or IRAs, to free up additional funds for consumption.

Income sources

For households at the lowest levels of education, sources of pretax income differed somewhat between the old and the new breakdowns. These differences might explain some of

the variations in spending patterns mentioned earlier. One difference is that in the new breakdown (table 3), wages and salaries accounted for a lower proportion of pretax income for those households with less than a college degree than in the old breakdown. (See table 4.) For example, in the new breakdown, wages and salaries averaged 56.8 percent of pretax income for households classified as less than a high school graduate and 68.7 percent of pretax income for households classified as high school graduate, compared with 67.4 percent and 72.8 percent, respectively, in the old breakdown. Another reason is that in the new breakdown, Social Security, private, and government retirement benefits accounted for a higher proportion of pretax income for households with less than a college education than in the old breakdown. For example, in the new breakdown, Social Security, private, and government retirement benefits

Table 3

ltem	All consumer units		Less th	an college	College graduate				
		Total	Less than high school graduate	High school graduate	High school graduate with some college	Associate's degree	Total	Bachelor's degree	Master's, profession doctoral degree
Number of consumer units (in thousands)	124,416	76,789	10,571	26,601	25,793	13,825	47,626	28,069	19,557
Percentage of consumer units	100.0	61.7	8.5	21.4	20.7	11.1	38.3	22.6	15.7
Pretax income:	\$65,596	\$44,603	\$25,159	\$39,357	\$48,224	\$62,809	\$99,444	\$85,802	\$119,02
Wages and salaries			-						
Mean	51,730	32,845	14,294	27,021	36,477	51,460	82,180	71,135	98,03
Share	78.9	73.6	56.8	68.7	75.6	81.9	82.6	82.9	82.
Self-employment income									
Mean	2,917	1,874	1,327	1,780	1,950	2,329	4,598	4,211	5,15
Share	4.4	4.2	5.3	4.5	4.0	3.7	4.6	4.9	4.
Social Security, private and government retirement									
Mean	8,021	7,420	7,234	8,388	6,888	6,692	8,991	7,242	11,50
Share	12.2	16.6	28.8	21.3	14.3	10.7	9.0	8.4	9.
Interest, dividends, rental income, other property income		-							
Mean	1,358	691	304	607	930	705	2,432	1,861	3,25
Share	2.1	1.6	1.2	1.5	1.9	1.1	2.4	2.2	2.
Unemployment and workers' compensation, veterans' benefits									
Mean	428	464	357	353	544	611	370	408	31
Share	0.7	1.0	1.4	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.
Public assistance, supplemental security income, food stamps									
Mean	534	719	1181	765	571	552	235	279	17
Share	0.8	1.6	4.7	1.9	1.2	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.
Regular contributions for support									
Mean	380	366	206	277	529	356	401	471	30
Share	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.
Other income									
Mean	229	224	257	167	334	104	235	195	29
Share	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.

Highest education level of any member: Annual pretay income means and shares 2012

Table 4

Education of reference person: Annual pretax income means and shares, 2012

ltem	All consumer units		Less th	an college	College graduate				
		Total	Less than high school graduate	High school graduate	High school graduate with some college	Associate's degree	Total	Bachelor's degree	Master's, professiona doctoral degree
Number of consumer units (in thousands)	124,416	85,178	16,246	31,022	25,623	12,287	39,238	24,798	14,440
Percentage of consumer units	100.0	68.5	13.1	24.9	20.6	9.9	31.5	19.9	11.6
Pretax income:	\$65,596	\$49,901	\$33,154	\$47,221	\$55,987	\$66,122	\$99,667	\$89,438	\$117,233
Wages and salaries									
Mean	51,730	37,707	22,359	34,368	43,408	54,543	82,171	74,554	95,254
Share	78.9	75.6	67.4	72.8	77.5	82.5	82.4	83.4	81.3
Self-employment income									
Mean	2,917	2,060	1,512	2,028	2,198	2,574	4,778	4,736	4,850
Share	4.4	4.1	4.6	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.8	5.3	4.1
Social Security, private and government retirement				·	·	·		·	·
Mean	8,021	7,592	7,054	8,570	7,182	6,691	8,953	7,053	12,215
Share	12.2	15.2	21.3	18.1	12.8	10.1	9.0	7.9	10.4
Interest, dividends, rental income, other property income									
Mean	1,358	829	289	720	1,361	710	2,506	1,843	3,643
Share	2.1	1.7	0.9	1.5	2.4	1.1	2.5	2.1	3.1
Unemployment and workers' compensation, veterans' benefits									
Mean	428	462	376	406	506	626	355	356	354
Share	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.4	0.4	0.3
Public assistance, supplemental security income, food stamps									
Mean	534	688	1,165	678	498	478	198	207	184
Share	0.8	1.4	3.5	1.4	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2
Regular contributions for support									
Mean	380	348	189	276	516	392	447	496	362
Share	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.3
Other income									
Mean	229	215	211	174	318	108	259	193	371
Share	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Consumer Expenditure Survey.

accounted for 28.8 percent of pretax income for households classified as less than a high school graduate and 21.3 percent of pretax income for households classified as high school graduate, compared with 21.3 percent and 18.1 percent, respectively, in the old breakdown. It was mentioned earlier that in the new breakdown, the average annual outlay and share of the household budget for pensions and Social Security for households at the lowest levels of education were below those found in the old breakdown. The variation in income sources may explain some of the variation in outlays for pensions and Social Security.

Conclusions and implications

With the release of calendar year 2012 CE data, classification of household expenditures by the education of the reference person breakdown was replaced by classification by the highest level of education attained by any consumer

unit (CU) member. The rationale for the change was that the highest level of education attained by any household member more accurately reflects income and spending patterns than does the education level of the reference person only.

A comparison of expenditures was made using the old and new breakdowns. The new breakdown reduced the proportion of households in the less-than-collegegraduate section, with the greatest reduction in the less-than-high–school-and-high-school-graduate classifications. With the exception of the master's, professional, doctoral degree classification in the collegegraduate section, pretax income and annual expenditures were lower in the new breakdown.

In both breakdowns, pretax income and total annual expenditures increased with level of education. Spending on most items followed similar patterns in both breakdowns. One exception was outlay for pensions and Social Security in the less-than-high-school and highschool-graduate classification which was lower in dollar amount and share of the household budget in the new breakdown, compared with the old.

Wages and salaries, the largest component of most households' pretax income, is a reflection of the value of the productivity the marketplace assigns to a worker. Michael's neutrality model also assumes that education increases efficiency in all nonmarket activities and that its influence is neutral (has the same effect on each activity).⁵ This greater efficiency increases a household's real income at any given level of money income, thus increasing a household's ability to purchase goods and services. Michael hypothesized that the increase in real income associated with increased education would result in proportionately higher outlays on luxury goods—but proportionately lower outlays on necessities.

Existing research using CE data provides partial support for Michael's neutrality model, indicating that the relationship between education and household expenditures is significant, but complex. Michael, using data from the 1960–1961 CE found that, controlling for the effects of income and other factors, education was associated with a proportionately greater outlays on food away from home, household operations, leisure (recreation), and education, which were classified as luxuries. Of the items classified as necessities, education was associated with a proportionately lower outlay on food at home, tobacco, and personal care.⁶ Abdel-Ghany and Foster, using data from the 1972–1973 CE, also found that, controlling for the effects of income and other factors, education was associated with a proportionately greater outlay on household operations, recreation (leisure), and education and proportionately lower outlay on tobacco and utilities.⁷ Because of the nature of the data examined in this article, whether education has an influence on spending separate from its association with household income cannot be determined.

This **BEYOND** THE **NUMBERS** summary was prepared by Ann C. Foster, an economist in the Office of Prices and Living Conditions, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Email: **foster.ann@bls.gov**; telephone: (202) 691-5174.

Information in this article will be made available to sensory-impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: (202) 691-5200. Federal Relay Service: 1 (800) 877-8339. This article is in the public domain and may be reproduced without permission.

Suggested citation:

Ann C. Foster, "New education classification better reflects income and spending patterns in the Consumer Expenditure Survey," *Beyond the Numbers: Prices and Spending*, vol. 3, no. 1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, January 2014), www.bls.gov/opub/btn/volume-3/ new-education-classification-better-reflectsincome-and-spending-patterns-in-the-Consumer-Expenditure-Survey.htm.

Upcoming articles

- "Persistence of a high unemployment rate in New York City during the recovery"
- "An overview of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) groups"

Visit our online archives to access past publications at http://www.bls.gov/opub/btn/archive/home.htm.

Notes

For example, in 2012, median weekly earnings for full-time workers age 25 and over were \$471 for those without a high school diploma, \$652 for high school graduates (no college), and \$1,735 for those with a professional degree, such as medicine or law. For more information, see "Earnings and unemployment rates by educational attainment," (Bureau of Labor Statistics, May 23, 2013), www.bls.gov/emp/ep_chart_001.htm.

Data from the Census Bureau's 2011 American Community Survey were used to estimate work-life earnings—the expected earnings over a 40-year time period for the population age 25-64 who maintain full-time, year-round employment for the entire period. Median earnings were \$936,000 for workers with an eighth grade education or less, compared with \$1,371,000 for those with a high school degree, but no college classes and \$4,159,000 for those with a professional degree. For more information, see Tiffany Julian, "Work-Life Earnings by Field of Degree and Occupation for People with a Bachelor's Degree: 2011" (U.S. Census Bureau, October 2012), www.census.gov/prod/2012pubs/acsbr11-04.pdf.

- 2. The reference person is the first household member mentioned by the respondent when asked to "Start with the name of the person or one of the persons who owns or rents the home." It is with respect to this person that the relationship of the other consumer unit members is determined. In two-parent families, the reference person can be male or female. In one-parent families, the gender of the reference person is usually that of the sole parent.
- In the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE), the consumer unit is the entity on which expenditure reports are collected. 3. Consumer units include families, single persons living alone or sharing a household with others but who are financially independent, or two or more persons living together who share expenses. While "consumer unit" is the proper technical term for the purposes of the CE, "household" or "family" often is used interchangeably for convenience. This article will use "household" instead of consumer unit. For more information, see the BLS Handbook of Methods, chapter 16, "Consumer Expenditures and Income," www.bls.gov/opub/hom/homch16.htm.
- The proportion of married couples where the husband has more education than the wife decreased, while the proportion 4. where the husband and wife had the same level of education remained about the same. For more information, see Rebecca Chenevert, "Changing Levels of Spousal Education and Labor Force Supply," Working Paper 2012-22 (U.S. Census Bureau, 2012) www.census.gov/people/laborforce/publications/Chenevert_MEA2012.pdf.
- 5. For more information, see Robert T. Michael, "The Effect of Education on Efficiency in Consumption," the National Bureau of Economic Research Occasional Paper, no. 116 (New York: Columbia University, 1972), at http://papers.nber.org/books/mich72-1.
- For more information, see Robert T. Michael, "The Effect of Education on Efficiency in Consumption," the National Bureau of Economic 6. Research Occasional Paper, no. 116 (New York: Columbia University, 1972), at http://papers.nber.org/books/mich72-1.
- 7. For more information, see Mohamed Abdel-Ghany and Ann C. Foster, "Impact of Income and Wife's Education on Family Consumption Expenditures," Journal of Consumer Studies and Home Economics, vol. 6, no. 1, March 1982, pp. 21–28.

