

# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Thomas, Rod (2013) Letter to the Editor. Standpoint (58). p. 15. ISSN 1757-1111

Published by: Social Affairs Unit Magazines

URL: <http://www.standpointmag.co.uk> <<http://www.standpointmag.co.uk>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:  
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/15540/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

[www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl](http://www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl)



So for Richard Dawkins to expect that the prevalence of abusers in the Catholic clergy might be less than 3% was for him to operate with a “deeply flawed premise” (Overrated, November).

I am sure that Dawkins will have no difficulty in lowering his future expectation so that it more accurately reflects the empirical evidence. And he might do so even though “the vast majority of cases were in the past” because it is not as if they could be anywhere else.

However, for my own part, I think I shall abstain from expecting that an Emeritus Fellow and retired Professor for Public Understanding of Science be at the “cutting-edge” of evolutionary biology. I would not wish to incorporate a false premise into my own arguments.