Oyem et al. SpringerPlus (2015) 4:104 DOI 10.1186/s40064-015-0867-0

RESEARCH

Open Access

Iron, manganese, cadmium, chromium, zinc and arsenic groundwater contents of Agbor and Owa communities of Nigeria

Hector Henry Oyem^{1,2*}, Ifeanyi Mirian Oyem^{1,3} and Amii Isaac Usese⁴

Abstract

Iron, manganese, zinc, cadmium, and chromium heavy metals and arsenic contents of groundwater in area and surrounding environment, of Ika land in the Delta state, Nigeria was studied. Groundwater without any treatment is the predominant source of drinking water by inhabitants of these communities. However, the quality of this water source is not immediately known, raising questions of its safety.

Results of a regional composite of groundwater obtained, shows high iron (27%) and zinc (36%) contents in Boji-Boji Agbor area, manganese (31%) was highest in Boji-Boji Owa. Alihame recorded the lowest value of zinc (8%), while manganese was lowest in Agbor Obi area (12%). Arsenic, cadmium, and chromium were below detection limit in all the sample sites. Correlational matrix analysis revealed no significant relationships between metal types studied. Analyses of chronic daily dose intake (CDI), and hazard index were all very low. A hazard index of 0.01 was obtained. One-way ANOVA show significant statistical difference in the mean concentrations of the heavy metals for the different sample sites, which indicate that different sites contribute differently to the mean concentrations of the groundwater in the study area.

Four conclusions are drawn from this study. Indications are that the heavy metals present in the Nigerian aquifer are very much below the maximum concentration levels and guideline values of national and WHO standards. Secondly, there is a heavier load of these metals in the city centre than in the suburbs; with Boji-Boji area Agbor/Owa urban areas accounting for 27 and 20 percent of load respectively. Thirdly, the below detection limit results for some of the metal ions and the very low concentrations of those detected are pointers to the absence of industrial activities and mining. Finally, the groundwater in the study area is considered to be generally safe with respect to the contaminants studied and results posted for the composite samples. Inhabitants are therefore under no illusion of immediate or remote health challenges with regards to the heavy metals analyzed. More individual sampling, however, is recommended.

Keywords: Ground water; Boji-Boji area; Heavy metals; Chronic daily intake; Hazard Index; Guideline value

Background

Water is a precious and most commonly used resource (Ramesh and Elango 2014). It is one of the most abundant chemical substances on earth, and covers two thirds of the earth's surface (Ramesh and Elango 2014). According to Bresline (2007) and National Academy of Science NAS (2009), over one billion people lack access to clean safe water. The available freshwater to man is

* Correspondence: hectoroyem@yahoo.co.uk

²School of Chemistry, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





© 2015 Oyem et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

¹Department of Integrated Science, College of Education, Agbor, PMB 2090, Delta State, Nigeria

people's health and livelihoods can be severely affected (MacDonald and Calow 2009).

The burden of providing water close to consumers is met by boreholes that tap groundwater. These boreholes are typically deep (more than 100 m), narrow, mechanically-drilled wells fitted with electric pumps to tap groundwater reservoirs.

Groundwater is a vital hidden natural resource (Tularam and Krishna 2009; Lashkaripour and Ghafoori 2011). Groundwater can be found in most environments and generally requires no prior treatment and can be found close to the points of demand often at low cost (MacDonald and Calow 2009). Traditionally regarded as being of good natural quality mostly from its geological environment, this does not mean that natural groundwater is always of good quality (MacDonald and Calow 2009). Water in its natural state may not be pure since it is a universal solvent with the ability to dissolve numerous chemicals and thus carry a lot of impurities that can be injurious to health if it exceeds tolerable limits World Health Organization WHO 1984. Consequently the, groundwater resource itself is not invulnerable given the ability to pump out large quantities of water and the advent of particularly persistent contaminants (MacDonald and Calow 2009). The natural quality can vary from one rock type to another and also within aquifers along its flow paths. There is also the possibility for chemical reactions between the water and rock material through which it flows depending on the flow path (Lashkaripour and Ghafoori 2011), especially since groundwater movement is slow (MacDonald and Calow 2009). Groundwater quality can deteriorate through contamination of the local groundwater, or direct contamination of the water supply itself (MacDonald and Calow 2009). Contaminants can migrate vertically to the aquifer and then to the borehole, or more dangerously, horizontally through permeable soils to poorly constructed supplies (MacDonald and Calow 2009).

Consistent from findings reported in literature is that groundwater is polluted from physical processes and anthropogenic activities (Idoko 2010). Among the chemical contaminants of groundwater are some heavy metals. Drinking groundwater and surface water contaminated by heavy metal ions is detrimental to health (Ohwoghere 2012). Metallic contaminants are serious concerns in many water bodies around the world United Nations Environmental Programme Global Environmental Monitoring System, (United Nations Environmental Programme Global Environmental Monitoring System and UNEP 2007). Many potentially deadly diseases associated with groundwater consumption have been traced to heavy metal contaminants. Interestingly though, some of these same metals are required by the body in trace quantities. However, large doses especially over the course of time are inimical to health.

Water is essential for life but it does transmit diseases in countries in all continents – from the poorest to the wealthiest (World Health Organization WHO 2010). Millions of people are exposed to unsafe levels of chemical contaminants in their drinking water (World Health Organization WHO 2010). Monitoring metals in surface or groundwater supplies provides background information needed to determine the suitability of water resources for human consumption (United Nations Environmental Programme Global Environmental Monitoring System and UNEP 2007).

In Boji-Boji area of Agbor/Owa communities in Delta State Nigeria, groundwater is the dominant source of water for the people. This resource is flagrantly consumed without recourse to its quality. We are not immediately aware of any previous study on the groundwater quality of this area. It is imperative to understand the groundwater resources to ensure it is fit to drink and to protect the water supply from contamination (MacDonald and Calow 2009). Urgent need for water prioritizes borehole development over scientific study of groundwater quality. The continued consumption of untreated and possibly contaminated groundwater should be expected to pose short or long term (or even both) health implications to the people.

The drinking water guidelines applied in this study are the Nigerian Industrial Standard (NIS), World Health Organization (WHO), United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and the European Union (EU) as presented in Table 1. However, emphasis is on the Nigerian and WHO guideline values presented in Table 1.

Human and natural factors have been noted as making it difficult for these guideline values to be maintained generally (United Nations Department of Economics and Social Affairs and UNDESA 2001).

In this exercise, we study some heavy metal characteristics in the groundwater from boreholes in Boji-Boji Agbor/Owa town and its immediate suburbs of Alihame and Owa Alero communities with the intention of evaluating its quality.

Study area

The study area (Agbor/Owa town commonly referred to as *Boji-Boji*) found within longitudes 6° - 6° 30' E and latitudes 6° - 6° 45' N, was mapped out in to five (5) subareas of Agbor Obi, Boji-Boji Agbor, Boji-Boji Owa, Alihame, and Owa Alero (see Figure 1).

The latter two areas (Alihame and Owa Alero) being classified as suburban towns for the purpose of this exercise on the basis of socio-economic stratification and population density. The geology of the area is mainly of

Parameter (element/substance)	Nigerian guideline value (mg/L)	WHO guideline value (mg/L)	USEPA guideline value (mg/L)	EU guideline value (mg/L)
Arsenic (As)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Barium (Ba)	0.7	0.7	2.0	NA
Cadmium (Cd)	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.005
Chlorine (Cl ⁻)	250	250	250	400
Chromium (Cr ⁶⁺)	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.05
Chemical Oxygen Demand	NA	NA	NA	NA
Conductivity (µS/cm)	1000	NA	NA	NA
Cyanide (CN ⁻)	0.01	0.07	0.2	0.05
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	150	180	NA	NA
Iron (Fe ⁺²)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Manganese (Mn ²⁺)	0.2	0.4	0.05	0.001
Mercury (Hg)	0.001	0.006	0.002	0.001
Nitrate	50	50	10	50
Ph	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.0	6.5-8.5	5.5-9.5
Phosphate (PO ₄ ³⁻)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Sodium (Na)	200	200	NA	150
Sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻)	100	250	250	250
Total Dissolved Solids	500	NA	NA	NA
Zinc (Zn)	3.0	3.0	5.0	NA

Table 1 Summary of Nigerian and some international guideline values for drinking water samples

NA No guideline available.



the recent tertiary sedimentary sandstone with fine to coarse reddish (iron, haematite) sediments in texture giving it a porous nature, with the Bini formation as a typical example. This indicates a lee way for easy passage of leachate (like iron) in to the groundwater in the underlying aquifer.

The climate of the study area exhibits the characteristics of a sub-equatorial climate with an annual mean air temperature of 27.0 °C (Odjugo 2008). The rainfall pattern is that of double peaks or maximal with mean annual rainfall of 2,255 mm, while the mean relative humidity is 81%, sunshine is 5.6 hr/day and the soil type is red-yellow ferralsols (Avwunudiogba 2000).

Experimental

A total of 50 borehole water sources was sampled from these areas, with an average of about 12–15 per area for Agbor Obi, Boji-Boji Agbor and Owa areas, and five (5) for Owa Alero and Alihame communities on the basis of fewer wells per unit area. Samples were collected in clean new 300 ml sterile bottles with corks (Burubai et al. 2007). Borehole water sample sites were randomly spaced and samples collected in sterile bottles filled to the brim, then preserved by cooling in dark ice bag (Tomar, 1999) before taken to the laboratory for analysis immediately after sampling without filtration and acidification (EPA 2000).

Analyses of samples were done in the laboratories of the College of Education Agbor and at a private laboratory. At the laboratory, the samples were carefully transferred in to a clean 4 L container and a composite sample (Patil 2012) was thus formed per sample subarea. An average of 12-15 individual borehole water samples were combined in to a composite per sub-area in the three main sub-areas. One sub-area at a time was sampled. These composites were analyzed each in triplicates along with a blank (distilled deionized water, DDW) using Solar Unicam Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008) model 969 series, with a detection limit of 0.0001 mg/L and limit of quantification of 0.0003 mg/L respectively. Methods were consistent with APHA (2005)and (United Nations

International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008).

All samples were collected in the mornings in the month of August, 2013 during the wet season, with rainfall at its peak in the months of July/August in southern Nigeria (UNOCHA 2013).

Typical water parameters like pH, total dissolved solids, turbidity, conductivity, and cyanide and other anions and cations together with some microbiological parameters were all studied, some of these are already published (Oyem *et a*l., 2014).

Results and discussion

The results of selected heavy metals in groundwater of the study area are displayed in Table 2. Generally, the studied metal ions concentration were below WHO guideline values.

Iron

Iron is a secondary priority chemical contaminant (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008). The concentration of iron from the sampled areas show very distinctly that the largest concentration of iron (0.13 mg/L) representing 26.5% (Table 3) was obtained at Boji-Boji Agbor sample subarea, this is typical of the area bearing in mind that the commercial activities of automobile mechanics and iron/ metal works artisans, and carcasses of abandoned and unserviceable cars are strewn about.

Percentage heavy metal detected is an expression of the concentration of these metals in a sample sub-area relative to the total concentration of the same metal in the entire study area in percentage term. Boji Boji Agbor is highest in concentration of Fe (26.50%). Alihame was next with an iron concentration of 0.11 mg/L (22.5%) which is rather surprising giving its rural setting. However, this may not be unconnected with the hydrogeological nature of the area. Owa Alero (0.07 mg/L) was the least Fe (14.3%). In all, the study area was observed to have a mean iron concentration of 0.10 mg/L. Iron is frequently found in groundwater due to large deposits in the earth's surface; however, a limit of 0 – 0.3 mg/L is acceptable (Edet *et al.* 2011).

Table 2 Presents the concentrations of selected heavy metals in the ground water of the study area

Sample Area	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Zn (mg/L)	Cr (mg/L)	As (mg/L)	Cd (mg/L)
Agbor Obi	0.090	0.007	0.019	BDL	BDL	BDL
<i>Boji-Boji</i> Agbor	0.130	0.011	0.028	BDL	BDL	BDL
<i>Boji-Boji</i> Owa	0.090	0.018	0.010	BDL	BDL	BDL
Owa Alero	0.070	0.009	0.015	BDL	BDL	BDL
Alihame	0.110	0.013	0.006	BDL	BDL	BDL
Mean	0.100	0.010	0.020	BDL	BDL	BDL

BDL Below detection limit (<0.0001 mg/L).

	Agbor Obi	<i>Boji-Boji</i> Agbor	Boji-Boji Owa	Owa Alero	Alihame
Fe detected (%)	18.4	26.5	18.4	14.3	22.5
Minimum conc. Detected (mg/L)	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.06	0.10
Maximum conc. Detected (mg/L)	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.12
WHO Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) (mg/L)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Number above MCL	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3 Comparative analysis of iron contents of individual sample sub-areas relative to WHO maximum contaminant level

The levels of Fe in groundwater can be increased by dissolution of ferrous borehole and hand pump components (Lenntech 2009). Results of Fe and Mn reported in this study generally agree with those reported by Edet *et al.* (2011).

The use of groundwater for drinking is in many cases limited by the presence of dissolved iron, and to a lesser extent, manganese. These give the water an unpleasant metallic taste, and stain food, sanitary wares and laundry (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008). Therefore the results obtained for the iron content of the groundwater in this study are rather encouraging, and suggests portable water quality with reference to the metals under study; especially as values recorded are all far below the Nigerian (Nigerian Industrial Standard 2007), WHO, United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and European Union (EU) guideline values.

Manganese

Manganese like iron is a secondary priority chemical contaminant. The values derived for manganese are expressed in Table 2 as well. Boji-Boji Owa recorded the highest manganese value of 0.018 mg/L representing 31.0% (Table 4).

This again is expected in view of the economic density of automobile and allied metal activities in the Boji-Boji area. 0.013 mg/L (22.4%) was the second highest value obtained for Alihame. Again, Alihame showing high metal ion content with reference to Fe and Mn indicating a natural hydrogeologic factor rather than anthropogenic. Boji-Boji Agbor posted a significant value when compared with those of Owa Alero and Agbor Obi (with the least value of all sampled areas). However the entire study area reported an average manganese value of 0.010 mg/L. This again is far lower than the guideline value recommended by Nigerian, WHO, EU, and USEPA, indicating yet again palatability quality wise, since it is one of the secondary priority chemical contaminants, and also responsible in this case also for water being rejected for aesthetic purposes (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008).

Zinc

Zinc was observed in all the sub-areas of the study as can be seen in Table 5. However, an average concentration of 0.02 mg/L was determined for the area under study. 0.028 mg/L obtained in Boji Boji Agbor was the highest value noted in the entire sampled areas, representing 36.0% (Table 5). All others were below 0.020 mg/L (0.19, 0.015, 0.010, and 0.006 mg/L). The result obtained in Boji Boji Agbor could be attributed to the fact that zinc being a constituent of roofing sheets, has been washed down by rainfall into the soil before ending up in the underground water by leaching over decades of time in these semi-urban areas. Alihame recorded near zero concentration. Nonetheless, it is speculated that the zinc content of this study area could be attributed to natural causes rather than human activities. Zinc imparts an undesirable astringent taste to water at concentrations exceeding 3 mg/L (as ZnSO₄). However, drinking water seldom contains zinc above 0.1 mg/L. Pipe line water supply with galvanized plumbing material records higher levels of zinc (World Health Organization WHO 2010). More so, an average zinc value of 0.02 mg/L observed for the study area is acceptable, since both the Nigerian and WHO guideline is set at 3.0 mg/L for zinc in drinking water.

	Agbor Obi	<i>Boji-Boji</i> Agbor	Boji-Boji Owa	Owa Alero	Alihame
Mn detected (%)	12.1	19.0	31.0	15.5	22.4
Minimum conc. Detected (mg/L)	0.006	0.008	0.016	0.008	0.012
Maximum conc. Detected (mg/L)	0.008	0.015	0.020	0.010	0.014
WHO Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) (mg/L)	0.400	0.400	0.400	0.400	0.400
Number above MCL	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5 Comparative analysis of zinc contents of the study area

	Agbor Obi	<i>Boji-Boji</i> Agbor	<i>Boji-Boji</i> Owa	Owa Alero	Alihame
Zn detected (%)	24.4	36.0	12.8	19.2	7.7
Minimum conc. Detected (mg/L)	0.017	0.027	0.009	0.013	0.005
Maximum conc. Detected (mg/L)	0.019	0.029	0.012	0.017	0.008
WHO Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) (mg/L)	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000
Number above MCL	0	0	0	0	0

Arsenic, cadmium and chromium

Arsenic, cadmium, and chromium were all *below detection limit* in the groundwater of the study area. This implies that the groundwater in the study had no traces of the metal ions being mentioned, or that their concentrations were just too low to be detected by the instrument of analysis. This is good news, since cadmium and chromium might be considered a threat, and certainly arsenic in drinking water is a global threat to health (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008; World Health Organization WHO 2010). None of these metals were detected in water from all five sampled areas collected for analysis.

Chromium

Chromium occurs in several forms in the environment, most of which are Cr^{3+} and Cr^{6+} with varying health importance; but drinking water standards are typically made for total chromium (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008). Concentrations of chromium in natural water is usually low, however, elevated concentrations result from mining and industrial processes (Momodu and Anyakora 2010). Chromium (VI) has severe health impact (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008) when inhaled. However, there's no evidence of its carcinogenicity when taken orally (Flegal and Last 2001). Hence its health impact is still a subject of controversy. A guideline value of 0.05 mg/L is given to chromium by the WHO.

The non-detect result for chromium in this study is an indication and likely confirmation of the absence of mining and other forms of industrial activities.

Cadmium

Cadmium metal is used in steel, plastic, and battery industries (World Health Organization WHO 2010). Its presence in drinking water could be as a result of impurities from galvanized zinc pipes and solder, together with other metal fittings. Cadmium accumulates in the kidney being its target organ of toxicity (World Health Organization WHO 2010). Cadmium is potentially carcinogenic in humans; it is the cause of itai itai disease observed in Japan due to excess intake (Lauwerys 1979). It has long biological half-life of 10–35 years in humans

(Orisakwe, et al. 2006) leading to chronic effects as a result of accumulation in the liver and renal cortex (Hammer and Hammer 2004). Although there is no evidence of its carcinogenicity, the WHO has a guideline value for Cadmium of 0.003 mg/L.

Arsenic

Arsenic in water is mostly present as arsenate (5+), but in anaerobic conditions, it is likely present as arsenite (3 +) (World Health Organization WHO 2010). Arsenic in drinking water is a global threat to health (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008; World Health Organization WHO 2010). It is considered by some researchers to have more serious health repercussions than any other environmental contaminant (Smith and Steinmaus 2007). Signs of chronic exposure to arsenic, including dermal lesions such as hyper-and hypo-pigmentation, peripheral neuropathy, skin cancer, bladder and lung cancers and peripheral vascular disease, have been observed in populations ingesting arsenic-contaminated drinking water (World Health Organization WHO 2010); with both As³⁺ and As⁵⁺ rapidly and extensively absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. Although arsenic contaminations occur in surface water, it is more common in ground water (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008), where arsenic remain tightly bound to sediments under geochemical conditions, and dissolved levels remain very low until released by the parent rock. There is no effective treatment for chronic arsenic poisoning, except for switching to arsenic-free drinking water source (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNICEF 2008). A guideline value of 0.01 mg/L is given to it by the (World Health Organization WHO 2010).

Table 6, gives a picture of the total detected heavy metal content of the individual study sub-areas in percentage. Noticeably, that the highest concentration (~27 per cent) of heavy metals in the groundwater is concentrated in the Boji-Boji Agbor area. Both Boji-Boji Agbor and Owa areas being the centre of town and hub of commercial and sociological activities has a high combined heavy metals capacity of close to 50 percent of the total study area. However, a striking report of note is the total heavy metals content determined for Alihame.

Sample site	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Zn (mg/L)	Total (mg/L)	Percentage (%)
Agbor Obi	0.090	0.007	0.019	0.116	18.53
Boji-Boji Agbor	0.130	0.011	0.028	0.169	26.99
Boji Boji Owa	0.090	0.018	0.010	0.118	18.85
Owa Alero	0.070	0.009	0.015	0.094	15.02
Alihame	0.110	0.013	0.006	0.129	20.60
				Total = 0.626 mg/L	

Table 6 Iron, manganese, and zinc metals combined distribution in groundwater of the individual sample sites in the study area

Although, considered a suburban area, it is nonetheless a budding commercial hub and residential area, hosting students of the College of Education, Agbor, and State School of Nursing, Agbor, as well as staff of these institutions, civil servants and indigenous settlers.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is designed for simultaneous testing of equality of three or more populations of independent groups to determine if each group mean is identical assuming each has a normal distribution around its mean (Helsel and Hirsch 2002). Therefore in this study, to ascertain if data from the sampled subareas are identical or significantly different, we employed the use of a single factor ANOVA tool (Helsel and Hirsch 2002; Muhammad et al. 2011).

Table 7, presents a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical comparison of selected heavy metal contaminants from the different sample subareas. Results indicate that the groundwater from individual sample sub-areas are individually different from each other and are thus not the same. This obviously shows that different sites contribute differently to the mean heavy metal concentrations of the water in the study areas' aquifer. Variations in this regard probably arise from changes along the groundwater flow path, and slight variations in net effect of the pH dependent processes of minerals dissolution and precipitations (hydrogeology).

Chronic daily intake

Health risks associated with ingestion of heavy metals in the groundwater of this study area are assessed using the chronic daily intake and hazard index parameters. The CDI through water ingestion was calculated according to the modified equation from USEPA (1992) and Chrostowski (1994):

$$CDI = C \ x \ DI/BW \tag{1}$$

Where, C, DI, and BW represent the concentration of heavy metals in water (μ g/L), average daily intake rate (2 L/day) and body weight (72 kg), respectively (USEPA 2005).

Results suggest that groundwater in the study area contains some dissolved heavy metals. CDI values obtained ranged from 0.02 to 0.13 for Fe, 0.0002 to 0.0005 for Mn, and 0.0002 to 0.0008 for Zn. Therefore, the order of heavy metal mean toxicity for the groundwater of the study area is Fe > Mn > Zn respectively; with Cd, Cr, and As none detected. These CDI values, however, give an indication of possible toxicity of these heavy metals found in the aquifer of the area. Since the CDI is below the reference dose, (RfD) values (USEPA 2005), it is assumed that the risk of consuming water with this heavy metals concentration is negligible for all members of an exposed population.

Table 7 One-wa	y ANOVA com	parison of detected	heavy meta	l contaminants in t	he study area
----------------	-------------	---------------------	------------	---------------------	---------------

Metals		Sum of squares	dfa	Mean Square	F ^b	P-value
Fe	Between group	0.0063	2	0.0032	38.4	0.00001
	Within group	0.0010	12	0.000083		
	Groups total	0.0073	14			
Mn	Between group	0.000252	2	0.000126	16.0	0.0004
	Within group	0.000100	12	0.000008		
	Groups total	0.000352	14			
Zn	Between group	0.001158	2	0.000599	217.8	0.0
	Within group	0.000033	12	0.00000275		
	Groups total	0.001191	14			

P < 0.05 ^aDegree of freedom ^bFactor.

Hazard quotient

The hazard quotient (HQ) for non-carcinogenic risk can be calculated from equation:

$$HQ = CDI/RfD \tag{2}$$

(Gerba 2001, and USEPA 1999)

Table 8 also gives a summary of the hazard index on the health of the people of this area through regular consumption of groundwater. The mean hazard index values for the studied heavy metals ranged from 0.001 to 0.006 for Fe, 0.001 to 0.004 for Mn, and 0.001 to 0.003 for Zn respectively, the order of toxicity being Fe > Mn > Zn. Meanwhile, the hazard index calculated from the sum of the mean hazard quotients of the contaminants (eqn 3):

$$HazardIndex_{mean} = HQ_{Fe} + HQ_{Mn} + HQ_{Zn}$$
(3)

(United States Environmental Protection Agency and US 2006)

= 0.004 + 0.002 + 0.002

Hazard Index_{mean} = 0.008 (0.01 approx.)

Therefore, since the hazard index is less than 1.0 (Khan et al. 2008, Krishna and Mohan 2013), the water is confirmed as being safe with reference to the studied parameters and results reported.

Correlational analysis

Result of the correlational matrix as displayed in Table 9 did not reveal any strong or significant inter-metal relationships in the groundwater under study; hence it does not provide enough information on metal sources and pathways (Manta et al. 2002).

Conclusion

This study looked in to the groundwater aquifer quality at the centre of Ika land with specific reference to the Boji-Boji Agbor/Owa metropolis and its immediate suburbs Alihame and Owa Alero.

Table 9 Correlation matrix of selected heavy metals in groundwater of the study area

Correlations			
	Fe	Mn	Zn
Fe	1	0.146	0.407
Mn	0.146	1	-0.493
Zn	0.407	-0.493	1

Of the heavy metal ions studied, arsenic, cadmium, and chromium were below detection limit in all the sample sub-areas, however, iron, manganese, and zinc were all detected. Boji-Boji Agbor posted the highest iron value of all, Agbor Obi and Boji-Boji Owa had the least. Manganese is highest in Boji-Boji Owa, and least in Agbor Obi. Again, Boji-Boji Agbor and Alihame recorded the highest and least values of zinc respectively. By implication therefore, and apart from a few rather high metal ion values, there is an obvious description of high heavy metal load in the city centre more than in the suburban remote areas. Indeed this gives credence to the anthropogenic theory of human activities and socioeconomic effect having a bearing on groundwater quality.

There are no significant inter-metal relationships from the correlational matrix analysis; hence, not enough information on hydro-geologic heavy metal ions sources and pathways are available for the study area.

Inhabitants of this area are under no immediate or remote health threat from the consumption of this water resource. More so, with the results of the analyses of the chronic daily intake (2 L per day at 72Kg body weight) and the hazard index values pointing very strongly on the wholesomeness of the groundwater relative to heavy metal and arsenic contents, with any short and longterm health implications very unlikely and far-fetched.

It becomes very incisive therefore, from the results of these three heavy metal types (chromium, arsenic, and cadmium) that the people of this study area are rather fortunate. As the below detection limit results obtained is a pointer to the wholesomeness of the groundwater aquifer under which they reside. In addition, evidence

Table 8 Chronic daily intake and Hazard quotient indices with Reference dose for studied heavy metals

Heavy metals	Statistics	CDI mg/kg-day	HQ	RfD mg/kg-day ^a
Fe	Min 0.07 Max 0.13 Mean 0.10 SD 0.023	0.002 0.004 0.003 0.0006	0.003 0.006 0.004 0.0009	0.7
Mn	Min 0.007 Max 0.018 Mean 0.010 SD 0.005	0.0002 0.0005 0.0003 0.0004	0.014 0.004 0.002 0.003	0.14
Zn	Min 0.006 Max 0.028 Mean 0.020 SD 0.009	0.0002 0.0008 0.0006 0.0003	0.0007 0.003 0. 002 0.001	0.3
Cd	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.0005
Cr	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.015
As	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.0003

BDL Below detection limit.

^aRfD (USEPA 2005).

suggests that levels of arsenic in ground water aquifers in many parts of the world are acceptably below the WHO drinking water guideline (United Nations Environmental Programme Global Environmental Monitoring System and UNEP 2007).

From these results therefore and the parameters studied, it is fitting to conclude and report that the ground water aquifer of Agbor and Owa area generally meet national and international acceptable standards and is therefore adjudged as being safe for drinking with regards to these heavy metals. However, its use for other sundry domestic and agricultural purposes will require further analyses to decipher. We recommend an analysis of individual boreholes to determine any localized areas of poor water quality.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HH and IM planned and carried out the experiments, HH analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We wish to appreciate the Tertiary Education Trust Fund for this wonderful privilege and opportunity to further of our research endeavours. Appreciation also goes to the Management of the College of Education, Agbor under whose auspices this Grant was offered for the enabling environment for academic growth and development. We also wish to thank individuals and research outfits whose experiences and resources were consulted in this exercise.

Author details

¹Department of Integrated Science, College of Education, Agbor, PMB 2090, Delta State, Nigeria. ²School of Chemistry, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom. ³Department of Microbiology, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. ⁴Department of Marine Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Lagos, Akoka-Yaba, Lagos, Nigeria.

Received: 24 October 2014 Accepted: 30 January 2015 Published online: 01 March 2015

References

- Ahaneku IE, Adeoye PA (2014) Impact of pit laterines on groundwater quality of Fokoslum, Ibadan, Southwestern Nigeria. Brit J of Appl Sci and Tech 4(3):440–449
- APHA (2005) Standard Methods for Water and Wastewater, 21st edn. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C
- Avwunudiogba A (2000) A comparative analysis of soil and nutrient losses on maize plant with different tillage practices in Ikpoba River basin of south-western Nigeria. Niger Geogrhc J New Series 3(4):199–208
- Bresline E (2007) Sustainable water supply in developing countries. *Geolgcl Socty* of Amer. Paper No. 1: 194
- Burubai W, Akor AJ, Lilly MT (2007) Performance evaluation of septic system for high water-table areas. Ameri-Euroasian J Sci Res 2(2):112–116
- Chrostowski PC (1994) Exposure assessment principles. In: Patrick DR (ed) Toxic Air Pollution Handbook. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York
- Edet A, Nganje TN, Ukong AJ, Ekwere AS (2011) Groundwater chemistry and quality of Nigeria: a status review. Afri J Environ Sci Tech 5(13):1152–1169
- EPA (2000) A guide to the sampling and analysis of waters, wastewaters, soils and wastes. Environment Protection Authority State Government of Victoria. http://www.epa.vic.gov.au
- Flegal R, Last J (2001) Scientific review of toxicological and human health issues related to the development of public health goal for chromium (vi). Chromate toxicity review committee, Sacramento, Available via: http://www. oehha.ca.gov/public_info/facts/pdf/CrPanelRptFinal901.pdf

- Ganesh K, Hedge KYS (1995) Quality of lenetic waters of Dharwad district in North Karnataka. J Environ Health 7(1):52–56
- Gerba CP (2001) Risk assessment. In: Lorna F, Jamie B (eds) Water Quality: Guidelines, Standards and Health. IWA publishing, UK, London
- Hammer MJ, Hammer MJ Jr (2004) Water Quality. In: Water and Waste Water Technology, 5th edn. Prentice-hall, New Jersey, pp 139–159
- Helsel DR, Hirsch RM (2002) Statistical methods in water resources technique of water resources investigation. Survey, Geological. Book 4, Chapter A3, Pp 522. available at: http://water.usgs.gov/pubs/twri/twri4a3/
- ldoko OM (2010) Seasonal variation in Iron in rural groundwater of Benue State, Middle Belt, Nigeria. Pakist J Nutrin 9(9):892–895
- Khan S, Cao Q, Zheng YM, Huang YZ, Zhu YG (2008) Health risk of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing China. Environ Pollut 152:686–692
- Krishna AK, Mohan KR (2013) Risk assessment of heavy metals and their source distribution in waters of a contaminated industrial site. Environ Sci Pollut Res 21:3653–3669
- Lashkaripour GR, Ghafoori M (2011) The effects of water table decline on the groundwater quality in aquifer of Torbat Jam Plain, Northeast Iran. InternI J Emerg Sci 1(2):153–163
- Lauwerys RR (1979) Health effects of Cadmium. In: Di Ferrante E (ed) Trace metal: Exposure and Health Effects. Pergamon Press, England, Oxford, pp 43–64
- Lenntech (2009) Iron in groundwater. Lenntech water treatment and purification holding B. V, Rotterdamseweg, Netherlands
- MacDonald A M, & Calow RC (2009) Developing groundwater for secure rural water supplies in Africa. *Desalination*. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2008.05.100
- Majuru B, Michael MM, Jagals P, Hunter PR (2011) Health impact of small-community water supply reliability. Internl J Hygi Environl Health 214(2):162–166
- Manta DS, Angelone M, Bellanca A, Neri R, Sprovieri M (2002) Heavy metals in urban soils: a case study from the city of Palermo (Sicily), Italy. Sci Total Environt 300:229–243
- Momodu MA, Anyakora CA (2010) Heavy metal contamination of groundwater: the Surulere case study. Res J Environtal Earth Scis 2(1):39–43
- Muhammad S, Shah MT, Khan S (2011) Health risk assessment of heavy metals and their source apportionment in drinking water of Kohistan region, northern Pakistan. Microchem J 98:334–343
- National Academy of Science NAS (2009) National Academy of Science: Overview-Safe Drinking Water is Essential. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C
- Nigerian Industrial Standard NIS (2007) Nigerian Standard for drinking water quality. Standards Organization of Nigeria, Abuja
- Odjugo PAO (2008) The effect of tillage systems and mulching on soil microclimate, growth and yield of yellow yam (Dioscora cayenensis) in Midwestern Nigeria. Afri J Biotech 24:4500–4507
- Ohwoghere AO (2012) Heavy metals distribution in degraded land forms in Delta State of the Niger Delta. J Geolgy Min Res 4(3):43–50
- Orisakwe OE, Igwilo OI, Afonne OJ, Maduabuchi JU, Obi E, Nduka JC (2006) Heavy metal hazards of sachet water in Nigeria. Arch Environ Occup Health 61(5):209–213
- Oyem, H. H., Oyem, I. M., and Ezenweali, D. (2014). Temperature, pH, Electrical Conductivity, Total Dissolved Solids and Chemical Oxygen Demand of Groundwater in Boji-BojiAgbor/Owa Area and Immediate Suburbs. *Resrch Jourl of Environtal Science* ISSN 1819-3412/ DOI: 103923/rjes.2014
- Patil GP (2012) Composite sampling. In: El-Shaarawi AH, Piegorsch WW (eds) Encyclopedia of Environmetrics, vol 1 (1). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, ChiChester, pp 387–391
- Ramesh K, Elango L (2014) Impact of groundwater quality from industrial East Coastal Town, Southern India. Intern J Eng Res Appli 4(1):346–354
- Smith A, Steinmaus C (2007) High concentrations of arsenic in drinking water results in the highest known increases in mortality attributable to any environmental exposure. In: Paper presented at the "Arsenic in drinking water a global threat to health" sessions of the Royal Geographic Society Annual Conference, August 2007. Available at http://www.rgs.org
- Tomar M (1999) Quality Assessment of Water and Wastewater. Lewis Publishers CRC press, Florida, USA
- Tularam GA, Krishna A (2009) Long term consequences of groundwater pumping in Australia: a review of impacts around the globe. J of Sci in Environtl Sanitation 4(2):151–166
- United Nations Department of Economics and Social Affairs, UNDESA (2001). Water quality. International decade for action 'water for life' 2005–2015. Retrieved from: http://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/quality.shtml

- United Nations Environmental Programme Global Environmental Monitoring System, UNEP GEMS (2007) Water quality outlook. Available at: http://www. gemswater.org/
- United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) (2008) Handbook on Water Quality. UNICEF, New York
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, US EPA (2006) Drinking water contaminants. Washington DC. Availaible at: http://www.unep.org/gemswater/AboutUs/GEMSWaterintheNews?tabid/78236/Default.aspx
- UNOCHA (2013) Rainy Season Overview for West Africa and Central Africa. United Nations Office for the coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, New York
- USEPA (1992) Guidelines for Exposure Assessment EPA/600/Z-92/001. Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC
- USEPA (1999) Guidance for Performing Adequate Exposure and Risk Assessment Office of Pesticide Programs. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC
- USEPA (2005) Guidelines for Carcinogenic Risk Assessment. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Risk Assessment Forum, Washington, DC
- World Health Organization WHO (1984) Guideline for Drinking Quality. Second Addendum to 3rd Edition. World Health Organization, Geneva
- World Health Organization WHO (2010) Water for Health, WHO Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. World Health Organization, Geneva

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com