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#### Abstract

We give new results on Levinson's operator inequality and its converse for normalized positive linear mappings and some large class of ' 3 -convex functions at a point $c$ '.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminary results

Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $H$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ the real subspace of all self-adjoint operators on $H$. Bounds of $X \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ are defined by $m:=\inf \{\langle X \xi, \xi\rangle: \xi \in H,\|\xi\|=1\}$ and $M:=\sup \{\langle X \xi, \xi\rangle: \xi \in H,\|\xi\|=1\}$.
A continuous real valued function $f$ defined on an interval $I$ is said to be operator convex if $f(\lambda X+(1-\lambda) Y) \leq \lambda f(X)+(1-\lambda) f(Y)$ for all self-adjoint operators $X, Y$ with spectra contained in $I$ and all $\lambda \in[0,1]$. If the function $f$ is operator convex, then the so-called Jensen operator inequality $f(\Phi(X)) \leq \Phi(f(X))$ holds for any unital positive linear mapping $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and any $X \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ with spectrum contained in $I$. Many other versions of Jensen's operator inequality can be found in [1, 2].

Assume furthermore that $\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}\right)$ is an $n$-tuple of positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}$ : $\mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$. If in addition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(1_{H}\right)=1_{K}$, we say that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(1_{H}\right)=1_{K}$ is unital.
Now we give the definition of classes of functions for which we observe Levinson's operator inequality.

Definition 1 ([3]) Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(I)$ be a real valued function on an arbitrary interval $I$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $c \in I^{\circ}$, where $I^{\circ}$ is the interior of $I$.

We say that $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}(I)$ (resp. $\left.f \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}(I)\right)$ if there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that the function $F(t)=f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}$ is concave (resp. convex) on $I \cap(-\infty, c]$ and convex (resp. concave) on $I \cap[c, \infty)$. (See Figure 1.)

Moreover, we say that $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{c}(I)$ (resp. $\left.f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{2}^{c}(I)\right)$ if there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that the function $F$ is operator concave (resp. operator convex) on $I \cap(-\infty, c]$ and operator convex (resp. operator concave) on $I \cap[c, \infty)$.

The class of functions $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}(I)$ can be interpreted as functions that are ' 3 -convex at a point $c^{\prime}$ and extends 3 -convex functions in the following sense: a function is 3 -convex on $I$ if and only if it is at every $c \in I^{\circ}$.

Figure 1 Continous 3-convex function at a point $c$.


Next, we will review the history of research of Levison's inequality.
Levinson [4] considered an inequality as follows:
If $f:(0,2 c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f^{\prime \prime \prime} \geq 0$ and $p_{i}, x_{i}, y_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, are such that $p_{i}>0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1,0 \leq x_{i} \leq c$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+y_{1}=x_{2}+y_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}+y_{n}=2 c, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)-f(\bar{x}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(y_{i}\right)-f(\bar{y}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\bar{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}$ and $\bar{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} y_{i}$ denote the weighted arithmetic means.
Numerous papers have been devoted to generalizations and extensions of Levinson's result. Popoviciu [5] showed that the assumptions on the differentiability of $f$ can be weakened for (2); to hold it is enough to assume that $f$ is 3-convex. Bullen [6] gave another proof of Popoviciu's result rescaled to a general interval $[a, b]$.
Mercer [7] made a significant improvement by replacing (1) with the weaker condition that the variances of the two sequences are equal: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$.
Witkowski [8, 9] extended this result in several ways. Firstly, he showed that Levinson's inequality can be stated in a more general setting with random variables. Furthermore, he showed that it is enough to assume that $f$ is 3 -convex and that the assumption of equality of the variances can be weakened to inequality in a certain direction.
Baloch et al. [10] built on and extended the methods of Witkowski [8]. They introduced a new class of functions $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ as in Definition 1.
Mićić et al. [3] built on the methods given in [11] on operators. We give Levinson's operator inequality for unital fields of positive linear mappings and classes of functions given by Definition 1. Moreover, we considered order among quasi-arithmetic means under similar conditions.
Next, we give the main result in [3] for two operators and $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{i}^{c}(I), i=1,2$.

Theorem 1 Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ be self-adjoint operators with spectra contained in $[m, M]$ and $[n, N]$, respectively, such that $a<m \leq M \leq c \leq n \leq N<b$. (See Figure 2.) Let $\Phi, \Psi$ be normalized positive linear mappings $\Phi, \Psi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$.

$$
\text { Iff } \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{c}((a, b)) \text { and } C_{1} \leq C_{2} \text {, then }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X)) \leq C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y)), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2 Spectra conditions in Levinson's inequality for two operators and an operator 3-convex function.

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Phi\left(X^{2}\right)-\Phi(X)^{2}\right], \quad C_{2}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-\Psi(Y)^{2}\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, iff $\in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (3).
Proof This theorem is special case of [3], Theorem 1, for $k=n=1$. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof.
Let $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$. So there is a constant $\alpha$ such that $F(t)=f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}$ is operator concave on $[m, M] \subset(a, c]$. Jensen's inequality for an operator concave function implies

$$
0 \leq F(\Phi(X))-\Phi(F(X))=f(\Phi(X))-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Phi(X)^{2}-\Phi(f(X))+\frac{\alpha}{2} \Phi\left(X^{2}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X)) \leq C_{1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, since $F$ is operator convex on $[n, N] \subset[c, b)$, then Jensen's inequality for an operator convex function implies

$$
0 \leq \Psi(F(Y))-F(\Psi(Y))=\Psi(f(Y))-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-f(\Psi(Y))+\frac{\alpha}{2} \Psi(Y)^{2}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} \leq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining inequalities (5) and (6) and taking into account that $C_{1} \leq C_{2}$ we obtain the desired inequality (3).

Applying Theorem 1 we obtain a version of Levinson's inequality with more operators as follows.

Corollary 2 ([3], Theorem 1) Let $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a $k_{2}$-tuple of self-adjoint operators $X_{i}, Y_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ with spectra contained in $[m, M]$ and $[n, N]$, respectively, such that $a<m \leq M \leq c \leq n \leq N<b$. Let $\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a unital $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(\Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a unital $k_{2}$-tuple of positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{j}: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$.
Iff $\in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \leq D_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \leq D_{1} \leq D_{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(f\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right], \\
& D_{2}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Iff $\in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \geq D_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (7).

Proof This result is proven directly in [3], Theorem 1, using Jensen's operator inequality on the sum of the operators. We will give the proof by applying Theorem 1 . We set $\tilde{X}=\operatorname{diag}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k_{1}}\right)$ and $\tilde{Y}=\operatorname{diag}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k_{2}}\right)$. Then $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(\underbrace{H \oplus \cdots \oplus H}_{k_{1}})$ and $\tilde{Y} \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{h}(\underbrace{H \oplus \cdots \oplus H}_{k_{2}})$, with spectra contained in $[m, M]$ and $[n, N]$, respectively. Also, we set $\tilde{\Phi}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k_{1}}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $\tilde{\Psi}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k_{2}}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(B_{i}\right)$. Then $\tilde{\Phi}$ : $\mathcal{B}(\underbrace{H \oplus \cdots \oplus H}_{k_{1}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ and $\tilde{\Psi}: \mathcal{B}(\underbrace{H \oplus \cdots \oplus H}_{k_{2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ are normalized positive linear mappings. We have

$$
\tilde{C}_{1}=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\tilde{\Phi}\left(\tilde{X}^{2}\right)-\tilde{\Phi}(\tilde{X})^{2}\right]=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right]=D_{1}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{C}_{2}=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\tilde{\Psi}\left(\tilde{Y}^{2}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{Y})^{2}\right]=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right]=D_{2} .
$$

Applying Theorem 1 on $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Psi}$ and taking into account that $D_{1} \lesseqgtr D_{2}$ implies $\tilde{C}_{1} \lesseqgtr \tilde{C}_{2}$, we obtain the desired inequalities (7) or their reverse inequalities.

In this paper, as a continuation of the above consideration, we will observe other results as regards Levinson's operator inequality and its converse. We give a few examples for power functions.

## 2 Converse of Levinson's operator inequality

In this section we give the converse of inequalities (3) and (7) for $f \in \mathcal{K}_{i}^{c}(I), i=1,2$. First, for convenience we introduce some abbreviations.

Let $f:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, m<M$, such that $F(t)=f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, be a convex or a concave function. We denote a linear function through the points ( $m, F(m)$ ) and $(M, F(M))$ by $f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}$, i.e.

$$
f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}(t)=\frac{M-t}{M-m} f(m)+\frac{t-m}{M-m} f(M)-\frac{\alpha}{2}((M+m) t-m M), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

and the slope of the line through $(m, F(m))$ and $(M, F(M))$ by $k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}$, i.e.

$$
k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}=\frac{f(M)-f(m)}{M-m}-\frac{\alpha}{2}(M+m) .
$$

Figure 3 Spectra conditions in the converse of Levinson's inequality for two operators and a 3-convex function.


Next, we give the converse of Levinson's operator inequality for two operators.

Theorem 3 Let $X, Y, m, M, n, N, \Phi, \Psi, C_{1}, C_{2}$ be as in Theorem 1 and $m<M, n<N$. Let $m_{x}, M_{x}\left(m_{x} \leq M_{x}\right)$, and $n_{y}, N_{y}\left(n_{y} \leq N_{y}\right)$ be bounds of the operators $\Phi(X)$ and $\Psi(Y)$, respectively. (See Figure 3.)
Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \geq C_{1} \geq C_{2} \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}=\max _{m_{x} \leq t \leq M_{x}}\left\{f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}-f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}(t)\right\} \geq 0,  \tag{10}\\
& \beta_{2}=\min _{n_{y} \leq t \leq N_{y}}\left\{f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}-f_{\alpha,[n, N]}^{\text {line }}(t)\right\} \leq 0 . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The constants $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ exist for any $\alpha, m, M, m_{x}, M_{x}$ and $n, N, n_{y}, N_{y}$.
The value of the constant $\beta_{1}$ is $\beta_{1}=f\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $t_{0}$ may be determined as follows:

- iff $f_{-}^{\prime}(t)-\alpha t \leq k_{\alpha_{f} f[m, M]}$ for every $t \in\left(m_{x}, M_{x}\right)$, then $t_{0}=m_{x}$,
- iff $f_{-}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\alpha t_{1} \geq k_{\alpha, f[m, M]} \geq f_{+}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\alpha t_{1}$ for some $t_{1} \in\left(m_{x}, M_{x}\right)$, then $t_{0}=t_{1}$,
- iff $f_{+}^{\prime}(t)-\alpha t \geq k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}$ for every $t \in\left(m_{x}, M_{x}\right)$, then $t_{0}=M_{x}$.

The value of $\beta_{2}$ can be determined as $\beta_{1}$ if we replace $m, M, m_{x}, M_{x}$ by $n, N, n_{y}, N_{y}$, respectively, and with reverse inequality signs.
In the dual case, iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \leq C_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (9), where $\beta_{1} \leq 0$ with min instead of $\max$ in (10) and $\beta_{2} \geq 0$ with max instead of $\min$ in (11). The value of the constants $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ can be determined as above with reverse inequality signs.

Proof We will give the proof for $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$. So there is a constant $\alpha$ such that $F(t)=$ $f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}$ is concave on $[m, M] \subset(a, c]$. The converse of Jensen's operator inequality gives (see [12], Theorem 3.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(F(X))-F(\Phi(X)) \geq \min _{m_{x} \leq t \leq M_{x}}\left\{f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}(t)-f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right\} 1_{K} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(f(X))-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Phi\left(X^{2}\right)-f(\Phi(X))+\frac{\alpha}{2} \Phi(X)^{2}+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \geq 0 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \geq C_{1} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, since $F$ is operator convex on $[n, N] \subset[c, b)$, then Jensen's operator inequality gives (see [12], Theorem 3.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi(F(Y))-F(\Psi(Y)) \leq \max _{n_{y} \leq t \leq N_{y}}\left\{f_{\alpha,[n, N]}^{\text {line }}(t)-f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Psi(f(Y))-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-f(\Psi(Y))+\frac{\alpha}{2} \Psi(Y)^{2}+\beta_{2} 1_{K} \leq 0 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_{2} \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining inequalities (12) and (13) and taking into account $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$ we obtain the desired inequality (9). We obtain $\beta_{1}=f\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $t_{0}$ is determined as in the statement of Theorem 3, by applying [12], Theorem 3.4, to $\beta_{1}=-\min _{m_{x} \leq t \leq M_{x}}\left\{f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}(t)-\right.$ $\left.f(t)+\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right\}$. Analogously we get $\beta_{2}=f\left(t_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[n, N]}^{\text {line }}\left(t_{0}\right)$.

Remark 1 Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 be satisfied. If $C_{1} \geq C_{2}, f$ is strictly concave differentiable on $[m, c]$ and strictly convex differentiable on $[c, N]$, then (9) holds for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=f\left(x_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} x_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq f\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} \bar{x}_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right), \\
& \beta_{2}=f\left(y_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} y_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[n, N]}^{\text {line }}\left(y_{0}\right) \geq f\left(\bar{y}_{0}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2} \bar{y}_{0}^{2}-f_{\alpha,[n, N]}^{\text {line }}\left(\bar{y}_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{0}$ may be determined as follows:

- if $f^{\prime}\left(m_{x}\right)-\alpha m_{x} \leq k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}$, then $x_{0}=m_{x}$,
- if $f^{\prime}\left(m_{x}\right)-\alpha m_{x} \geq k_{\alpha, f[m, M]} \geq f^{\prime}\left(M_{x}\right)-\alpha M_{x}$, then $x_{0}$ is the unique solution of the equation $f^{\prime}(t)-\alpha t=k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}$,
- if $f^{\prime}\left(M_{x}\right)-\alpha M_{x} \geq k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}$, then $x_{0}=M_{x}$,
and $\bar{x}_{0}$ is the unique solution in $(m, M)$ of the equation $f^{\prime}(t)-\alpha t=k_{\alpha, f[m, M]}$.
The values of $y_{0}, \bar{y}_{0}$ can be determined as $x_{0}, \bar{x}_{0}$, if we replace $m, M, m_{x}, M_{x}$ by $n, N, n_{y}$, $N_{y}$, respectively, and with reverse inequality signs.

Example 1 Let $\Phi, \Psi, X, Y, m, M \geq 0, n, N \geq 0, m_{x}, M_{x}, n_{y}, N_{y}$ be as in Theorem 3 .
We will apply Theorem 3 putting $f(t)=t^{p}$ on $(0, c]$ and $f(t)=d t^{q}$ on $[c, \infty)$, where $c>0$ and $d=c^{p-q}$.
(i) If $p \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1, \infty), q \in[0,1]$, and $\alpha=0$, then $f \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}([0, \infty))$. So, (5) and the reverse of (9) give

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\beta_{1}^{\circ} 1_{K} \leq 0 \leq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\alpha_{2} 1_{K} \leq \beta_{2}^{\circ} 1_{K},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}^{\circ}=\min _{m_{x} \leq t \leq M_{x}}\left\{t^{p}-\frac{M-t}{M-m} m^{p}-\frac{t-m}{M-m} M^{p}\right\} \leq 0, \\
& \beta_{2}^{\circ}=d \cdot \max _{n_{y} \leq t \leq N_{y}}\left\{t^{q}-\frac{N-t}{N-n} n^{q}-\frac{t-n}{N-n} N^{q}\right\} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $p, q \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1,2], p^{2}-p \geq q^{2}-q$, and $\alpha=c^{p-2}\left(p^{2}-p+q^{2}-q\right) / 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(t^{p}-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right)=p(p-1) t^{p-2}-\alpha \geq p(p-1) c^{p-2}-\alpha \geq 0, \quad \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq c \\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(c^{p-q} t^{q}-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right)=q(q-1) c^{p-q} t^{q-2}-\alpha \leq q(q-1) c^{p-2}-\alpha \leq 0, \quad \text { if } t \geq c
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $f \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}([0, \infty))$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Phi\left(X^{2}\right)-\Phi(X)^{2}\right] \leq C_{2}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-\Psi(Y)^{2}\right] \tag{0<}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the reverse of (9) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \leq C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\beta_{2} 1_{K}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=\min _{m_{x} \leq t \leq M_{x}}\left\{t^{p}-\frac{M-t}{M-m} m^{p}-\frac{t-m}{M-m} M^{p}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left((M+m) t-m M-t^{2}\right)\right\} \leq 0, \\
& \beta_{2}=\max _{n_{y} \leq t \leq N_{y}}\left\{d\left(t^{q}-\frac{N-t}{N-n} n^{q}-\frac{t-n}{N-n} N^{q}\right)+\frac{\alpha}{2}\left((N+n) t-n N-t^{2}\right)\right\} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) If $p, q \in[0,1] \cup[2, \infty), p^{2}-p \leq q^{2}-q$, and $\alpha=c^{p-2}\left(p^{2}-p+q^{2}-q\right) / 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(t^{p}-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right) \leq p(p-1) c^{p-2}-\alpha \leq 0, \quad \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq c \\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(c^{p-q} t^{q}-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right) \geq q(q-1) c^{p-2}-\alpha \geq 0, \quad \text { if } t \geq c
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}([0, \infty))$. If $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$, then (9) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\gamma_{1} 1_{K} \geq C_{1} \geq C_{2} \geq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\gamma_{2} 1_{K}
$$

where $\gamma_{1} \geq 0$ is defined similar to $\beta_{1}$ with max instead of min and $\gamma_{2} \leq 0$ is defined similar to $\beta_{2}$ with min instead of max.

Remark 2 Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 be satisfied. If $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$, we obtain the following extension of (9):

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}+\beta_{1} 1_{K} & \geq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \geq C_{1} \geq C_{2} \\
& \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K} \geq C_{2}+\beta_{2} 1_{K} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

In the dual case, if $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \leq C_{2}$, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (14).

Applying Theorem 3 we obtain a version of the converse of Levinson's inequality with more operators.

Corollary 4 Let $X_{i}, \Phi_{i}\left(i=1, \ldots, k_{1}\right), Y_{j}, \Psi_{j}\left(j=1, \ldots, k_{2}\right), m, M, n, N, D_{1}, D_{2}$ be as in Corollary 2. Let $m_{x}, M_{x}\left(m_{x} \leq M_{x}\right)$, and $n_{y}, N_{y}\left(n_{y} \leq N_{y}\right)$ be bounds of the operators $X=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)$, respectively. If $\in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \geq D_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \\
& \quad \geq D_{1} \geq D_{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(f\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)+\beta_{2} 1_{K} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are as in Theorem 3.
Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \leq D_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (15) with $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ as in Theorem 3 in the dual case.

Proof We use the same technique as in the proof of Corollary 2. We omit the details.

Remark 3 Applying Corollary 4 to positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}, \Psi: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ determined by $\Phi_{i}: B \mapsto p_{i} B, i=1, \ldots, k_{1}$, and $\Psi_{i}: B \mapsto q_{i} B, i=1, \ldots, k_{2}$, we obtain the following obvious result with convex combinations of the operators $X_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k_{1}$, and $Y_{j}$, $j=1, \ldots, k_{2}$ :

Let $X_{i}, Y_{j}$ be operators as in Corollary 4, such that $a<m_{x} \leq M_{x} \leq c \leq m_{y} \leq M_{y}<b$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a $k_{2}$-tuple of positive scalars such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} p_{i}=1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{k_{2}} q_{j}=1$.

If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $P \leq Q$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} p_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)-f(\bar{X})+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \leq P \leq Q \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_{2}} q_{j} f\left(Y_{j}\right)-f(\bar{Y})+\beta_{2} 1_{K}
$$

where $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are as in Theorem 3,

$$
P:=\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} p_{i}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}, \quad Q:=\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{2}} q_{j}\left(Y_{j}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2},
$$

and $\bar{X}:=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} p_{i} X_{i}, \bar{Y}:=\sum_{j=1}^{k_{1}} q_{j} Y_{j}$ denote the weighted arithmetic means of the operators.

## 3 Refined Levinson's operator inequality

In this section we obtain a refinement of Levison's operator inequality (7) given in Section 2 under weaker conditions.
The absolute value of $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is defined by $|B|=\left(B^{*} B\right)^{1 / 2}$.
For convenience, we introduce the abbreviations $\bar{\Delta}$ and $\delta$ as follows:

- $\bar{\Delta} \equiv \bar{\Delta}_{B}(m, M):=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|B-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{K}\right|$,
where $B \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ is a self-adjoint operator, $\Phi$ is a normalized positive linear mapping and $m, M(m<M)$ are some scalars such that spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(X) \subseteq[m, M]$. Since $m 1_{K} \leq B \leq M 1_{K}$, we have $-\frac{M-m}{2} 1_{K} \leq B-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{K} \leq \frac{M-m}{2} 1_{K}$ and $0 \leq\left|\Phi(B)-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{K}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2} 1_{K}$. It follows $\bar{\Delta} \geq 0$.
- $\delta \equiv \delta_{f, \alpha}(m, M):=2 f\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)-f(m)-f(M)+\frac{\alpha}{4}(M-m)^{2}$,

Figure 4 Spectra conditions in a refined Levinson's inequality for two operators and a 3 -convex function.

where $f:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, if $F(t)=f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}$ is concave (resp. convex) then $\delta \geq 0$ (resp. $\delta \leq 0$ ).

First, we give refined Levinson's operator inequality for two pairs of operators.

Theorem 5 Let $\Phi, \Psi: \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be normalized mappings such that $\Phi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right)=\Phi_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right)=\Psi_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)+\Psi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$, where $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$, $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ are positive linear mappings.
Let $X=\operatorname{diag}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Y=\operatorname{diag}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$, where $X_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ are self-adjoint operators with spectra $\operatorname{Sp}\left(X_{1}\right) \subseteq\left[m_{1}, M_{1}\right], \operatorname{Sp}\left(X_{2}\right) \subseteq\left[m_{2}, M_{2}\right], \operatorname{Sp}\left(Y_{1}\right) \subseteq\left[n_{1}, N_{1}\right], \operatorname{Sp}\left(Y_{2}\right) \subseteq\left[n_{2}, N_{2}\right]$ $M_{1}<m_{2}, N_{1}<n_{2}$. Let $a<m_{1} \leq M_{1} \leq m_{x} \leq M_{x} \leq m_{2} \leq M_{2} \leq c \leq n_{1} \leq N_{1} \leq n_{y} \leq N_{y} \leq$ $n_{2} \leq N_{2}<b$, where $m_{x}, M_{x}$ and $n_{y}, N_{y}$ are bounds of $\Phi(X)$ and $\Psi(Y)$, respectively. (See Figure 4.)
Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \leq C_{2}($ see (4)), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X)) & \leq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\delta_{1} \bar{X} \leq C_{1} \\
& \leq C_{2} \leq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\delta_{2} \bar{Y} \leq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y)) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(\bar{m}, \bar{M}) \geq 0, \bar{X}=\bar{\Delta}_{\Phi(X)}(\bar{m}, \bar{M}) \geq 0$ for arbitrary numbers $\bar{m} \in\left[M_{1}, m_{x}\right], \bar{M} \in$ $\left[M_{x}, m_{2}\right], \bar{m}<\bar{M}$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(\bar{n}, \bar{N}) \leq 0, \bar{Y}=\bar{\Delta}_{\Psi(Y)}(\bar{n}, \bar{N}) \geq 0$ for arbitrary numbers $\bar{n} \in$ $\left[N_{1}, n_{y}\right], \bar{N} \in\left[N_{y}, n_{2}\right], \bar{n}<\bar{N}$.
But, iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (16), with $\delta_{1} \leq 0$ and $\delta_{2} \geq 0$.

Proof We will give the proof for $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$. Since $F(t)=f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}$ is concave on $\left[m_{1}, c\right] \subset$ ( $a, c$ ] for some constant $\alpha$, the refined Jensen's operator inequality for a concave function implies (see [13], Theorem 3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(\Phi(X)) \geq \Phi(F(X))+\widetilde{\delta}_{1} \bar{X} \geq \Phi(F(X)) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_{1} \geq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\delta_{1} \bar{X} \geq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X)), \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

since $0 \leq \widetilde{\delta}_{1}=2 F\left(\frac{\bar{m}+\bar{M}}{2}\right)-F(\bar{m})-F(\bar{M})=\delta_{f, \alpha}(\bar{m}, \bar{M})=\delta_{1}$ and

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{\bar{M}-\bar{m}}\left|\Phi_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)-\frac{\bar{m}+\bar{M}}{2} 1_{K}\right|=\bar{\Delta}_{\Phi(X)}(\bar{m}, \bar{M})
$$

Similarly, since $F$ is convex on $\left[c, N_{2}\right] \subset[c, b)$ for some constant $\alpha$, the refined Jensen's operator inequality for a convex function implies (see [13], Theorem 3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(\Psi(Y)) \leq \Psi(F(Y))-\widetilde{\delta}_{2} \bar{Y} \geq \Psi(F(Y)) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_{2} \leq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\delta_{2} \bar{Y} \leq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y)) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

since $0 \leq \widetilde{\delta}_{2}=F(\bar{N})+F(\bar{N})-2 F\left(\frac{\bar{n}+\bar{N}}{2}\right)=-\delta_{f, \alpha}(\bar{n}, \bar{N})=-\delta_{2}$ and

$$
\bar{Y}=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{\bar{N}-\bar{n}}\left|\Psi_{1}\left(Y_{1}\right)+\Psi_{2}\left(Y_{2}\right)-\frac{\bar{n}+\bar{N}}{2} 1_{K}\right|=\bar{\Delta}_{\Psi(Y)}(\bar{n}, \bar{N}) .
$$

Combining inequalities (17) and (18) we obtain the desired inequality (16).

Example 2 Let $\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{i}, X_{i}, Y_{i}, m_{i}, M_{i} \geq 0, n_{i}, N_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \Phi, \Psi, X, Y, m_{x}, M_{x}, n_{y}, N_{y}$ be as in Theorem 5.

We will use the same technique as in Example 1 and we will apply Theorem 5 putting $f(t)=t^{p}$ on $(0, c], f(t)=d t^{q}$ on $[c, \infty)$, where $c>0$ and $d=c^{p-q}$.
(i) If $p \in[0,1], q \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1, \infty)$, and $\alpha=0$, then $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}([0, \infty))$. So, (16) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\delta_{1} \bar{X} \leq 0 \leq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\delta_{2} \bar{Y},
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\delta_{1}=2^{1-p}(\bar{m}+\bar{M})^{p}-\bar{m}^{p}-\bar{M}^{p} \geq 0, & \bar{X}=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{\bar{M}-\bar{m}}\left|\Phi(X)-\frac{\bar{M}+\bar{m}}{2} 1_{K}\right| \geq 0, \\
\delta_{2}=d\left(2^{1-q}(\bar{n}+\bar{N})^{q}-\bar{n}^{q}-\bar{N}^{q}\right) \leq 0, & \bar{Y}=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{\bar{N}-\bar{n}}\left|\Psi(Y)-\frac{\bar{N}+\bar{n}}{2} 1_{K}\right| \geq 0 .
\end{array}
$$

(ii) If $p, q \in[0,1] \cup[2, \infty), p^{2}-p \leq q^{2}-q$, and $\alpha=c^{p-2}\left(p^{2}-p+q^{2}-q\right) / 2$, then $f \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}([0, \infty))$. If

$$
C_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Phi\left(X^{2}\right)-\Phi(X)^{2}\right] \leq C_{2}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-\Psi(Y)^{2}\right],
$$

then (16) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\delta_{1} \bar{X} \leq C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\delta_{2} \bar{Y}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=2^{1-p}(\bar{m}+\bar{M})^{p}-\bar{m}^{p}-\bar{M}^{p}+\alpha(\bar{M}-\bar{m})^{2} / 4 \geq 0, \\
& \delta_{2}=d\left(2^{1-q}(\bar{n}+\bar{N})^{q}-\bar{n}^{q}-\bar{N}^{q}\right)+\alpha(\bar{N}-\bar{n})^{2} / 4 \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\bar{X}, \bar{Y} \geq 0$ as in the case (i).
(iii) If $p, q \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1,2], p^{2}-p \geq q^{2}-q$, and $\alpha=c^{p-2}\left(p^{2}-p+q^{2}-q\right) / 2$, then $f \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}([0, \infty))$. If $C_{1} \geq C_{2}(>0)$, then (16) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\delta_{1} \bar{X} \geq C_{1} \geq C_{2} \geq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\delta_{2} \bar{Y},
$$

where $\delta_{1} \geq 0, \delta_{2} \leq 0$, and $\bar{X}, \bar{Y} \geq 0$ as in the case (ii).
The first and the last inequality in (16) are obvious, so we omit them.

Levinson's operator inequality (7) holds with the weaker condition: $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}(I)$ and with spectra conditions (see [3], Theorem 5). Next, applying Theorem 5 we obtain a refinement of this inequality. The proof is the same as for Corollary 2 and we omit the details.

Corollary 6 Let $\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a unital $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(\Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a unital $k_{2}$-tuple of positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{j}: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$. Let $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a $k_{2}$-tuple of self-adjoint operators $X_{i}$ and $Y_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ with spectra contained in $\left[m_{i}, M_{i}\right]$ and $\left[n_{j}, N_{j}\right]$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a<m_{i} \leq M_{i} \leq c \leq n_{j} \leq N_{j}<b, \quad i=1, \ldots, k_{1}, j=1, \ldots, k_{2}, \\
& \left(m_{x}, M_{x}\right) \cap\left[m_{i}, M_{i}\right]=\varnothing, \quad i=1, \ldots, k_{1}, \quad\left(m_{y}, M_{y}\right) \cap\left[n_{j}, N_{j}\right]=\varnothing, \quad j=1, \ldots, k_{2}, \\
& m<M, \quad n<N,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{x}, M_{x}$ and $n_{y}, N_{y}$ are bounds of $X=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)$, respectively, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m:=\max \left\{M_{i} \mid M_{i} \leq m_{x}, i=1, \ldots, k_{1}\right\}, \quad M:=\min \left\{m_{i} \mid m_{i} \geq M_{x}, i=1, \ldots, k_{1}\right\}, \\
& n:=\max \left\{N_{i} \mid N_{i} \leq n_{y}, i=1, \ldots, k_{2}\right\}, \quad N:=\min \left\{n_{i} \mid n_{i} \geq N_{y}, i=1, \ldots, k_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \leq D_{2}$ (see (8)), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\delta_{1} \bar{X} \leq D_{1} \\
& \quad \leq D_{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(f\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(f\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)+\delta_{2} \bar{Y} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(\bar{m}, \bar{M}) \geq 0, \bar{X}=\bar{\Delta}_{X}(\bar{m}, \bar{M}) \geq 0$ for arbitrary numbers $\bar{m} \in\left[m, m_{x}\right], \bar{M} \in$ $\left[M_{x}, M\right], \bar{m}<\bar{M}$ and $\delta_{2}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(\bar{n}, \bar{N}) \leq 0, \bar{Y}=\bar{\Delta}_{Y}(\bar{n}, \bar{N}) \geq 0$ for arbitrary numbers $\bar{n} \in\left[n, n_{y}\right]$, $\bar{N} \in\left[N_{y}, N\right], \bar{n}<\bar{N}$.

But, if $f \in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \geq D_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (19), with $\delta_{1} \leq 0$ and $\delta_{2} \geq 0$.

## 4 Refined converse of Levinson's operator inequality

In this section we obtain a refined converse of Levison's operator inequality (15) given in Section 2.

For convenience, we introduce the abbreviation

$$
\widetilde{\Delta} \equiv \tilde{\Delta}_{\Phi, B}(m, M):=\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2} 1_{H}-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|B-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right)
$$

where $B \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ is a self-adjoint operator, $\Phi$ is a normalized positive linear mapping and $m, M(m<M)$ are some scalars such that spectra $\operatorname{Sp}(X) \subseteq[m, M]$. Obviously, $\widetilde{\Delta} \geq 0$.

First, we give a refinement of (9) for two pairs of operators.
Theorem 7 Let $\Phi, \Psi: \mathcal{B}(H) \oplus \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ be normalized mappings such that $\Phi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right)=\Phi_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)\right)=\Psi_{1}\left(B_{1}\right)+\Psi_{2}\left(B_{2}\right)$, where $\Phi_{1}$, $\Phi_{2}, \Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ are positive linear mappings. Let $X=\operatorname{diag}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Y=\operatorname{diag}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$, where $X_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ are self-adjoint operators with spectra $\operatorname{Sp}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{Sp}\left(X_{2}\right) \subseteq[m, M]$, $\operatorname{Sp}\left(Y_{1}\right), \operatorname{Sp}\left(Y_{2}\right) \subseteq[n, N]$, such that $a<m \leq M \leq c \leq n \leq N<b$. Let $m_{x}, M_{x}$ and $n_{y}, N_{y}$ be bounds of the operators $\Phi(X)$ and $\Psi(Y)$, respectively (see Figure 3). Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$ (see (4)), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \\
& \quad \geq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K}-\delta_{1} \tilde{X} \geq C_{1} \\
& \quad \geq C_{2} \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K}-\delta_{2} \tilde{Y} \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are defined as in Theorem 3, $\delta_{1}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(m, M) \geq 0, \widetilde{X}=\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Phi, X}(m, M) \geq 0, \delta_{2}=$ $\delta_{f, \alpha}(n, N) \leq 0$, and $\widetilde{Y}=\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Psi, Y}(n, N) \geq 0$.
Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \leq C_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (20), with $\delta_{1} \leq 0$ and $\delta_{2} \geq 0$ and $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ as in Theorem 3 in the dual case.

Proof We will give the proof for $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$. Since $F(t)=f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}$ is concave on $[m, c] \subset$ ( $a, c$ ] for some constant $\alpha$, the refined converse of Jensen's inequality for a concave function implies (see [14], Theorem 8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(F(X))-F(\Phi(X)) \geq \min _{m_{x} \leq t \leq M_{x}}\left\{f_{\alpha,[m, M]}^{\text {line }}(t)-f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right\} 1_{K}-\widetilde{\delta}_{1} \widetilde{X}^{2} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(f(X))-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Phi\left(X^{2}\right)-f(\Phi(X))+\frac{\alpha}{2} \Phi(X)^{2}+\beta_{1} 1_{K}+\widetilde{\widetilde{\delta}}_{1} \widetilde{X}^{2} \geq 0 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K}-\widetilde{\delta}_{1} \widetilde{X}^{2} \geq C_{1} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

since $0 \geq \widetilde{\delta}_{1}=F(m)+F(M)-2 F\left(\frac{m+M}{2}\right)=-\delta_{f, \alpha}(m, M)=-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{X} & =\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{M-m}\left\{\Phi_{1}\left(\left|X_{1}-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\left|X_{2}-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{M-m} \Phi\left(\left|X-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2} 1_{H}-\frac{1}{M-m}\left|X-\frac{m+M}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right)=\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Phi, X}(m, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, since $F$ is convex on $\left[c, N_{2}\right] \subset[c, b)$ for some constant $\alpha$, the refined converse of Jensen's inequality for a convex function implies (see [14], Theorem 8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi(F(Y))-F(\Psi(Y)) \leq \max _{n_{y} \leq t \leq N_{y}}\left\{f_{\alpha,[n, N]}^{\text {line }}(t)-f(t)-\frac{\alpha}{2} t^{2}\right\}-\widetilde{\delta}_{2} \widetilde{Y}^{2} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Psi(f(Y))-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-f(\Psi(Y))+\frac{\alpha}{2} \Psi(Y)^{2}+\beta_{2} 1_{K}+\widetilde{\delta}_{2} \widetilde{Y} \leq 0 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_{2} \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K}-\widetilde{\delta}_{2} \widetilde{Y} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

since $0 \leq \widetilde{\widetilde{\delta}}_{2}=F(n)+F(N)-2 F\left(\frac{n+N}{2}\right)=-\delta_{f, \alpha}(n, N)=-\widetilde{\delta}_{2}$ and

$$
\tilde{Y}=\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} 1_{H}-\frac{1}{N-n}\left|Y-\frac{n+N}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right)=\widetilde{\Delta}_{\Psi, Y}(n, N) .
$$

Combining inequalities (21) and (22) we obtain the desired inequality (20).

Example 3 Let $\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{i}, X_{i}, Y_{i}, i=1,2, m, M \geq 0, n, N \geq 0, \Phi, \Psi, X, Y, m_{x}, M_{x}, n_{y}, N_{y}$ be as in Theorem 7.

We will apply Theorem 7 putting $f(t)=t^{p}$ on $(0, c], f(t)=d t^{q}$ on $[c, \infty)$, where $c>0$ and $d=c^{p-q}$.
(i) If $p \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1, \infty), q \in[0,1]$, and $\alpha=0$, then reverse of (20) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\beta_{1}^{\circ} 1_{K}-\delta_{1} \tilde{X} \leq 0 \leq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\beta_{2}^{\circ} 1_{K}-\delta_{2} \tilde{Y}
$$

where $\beta_{1}^{\circ}, \beta_{2}^{\circ}$ are as in Example 1(i), and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=2^{1-p}(m+M)^{p}-m^{p}-M^{p} \geq 0, \quad \tilde{X}=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{M-m} \Phi\left(\left|X-\frac{M+m}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right), \\
& \delta_{2}=d\left(2^{1-q}(n+N)^{q} / 2-n^{q}-N^{q}\right) \leq 0, \quad \tilde{Y}=\frac{1}{2} 1_{K}-\frac{1}{N-n} \Psi\left(\left|Y-\frac{N+n}{2} 1_{H}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $p, q \in(-\infty, 0] \cup[1,2], p^{2}-p \geq q^{2}-q$, and $\alpha=c^{p-2}\left(p^{2}-p+q^{2}-q\right) / 2$, then $f \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}([0, \infty))$. If
(0<) $\quad C_{1}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Phi\left(X^{2}\right)-\Phi(X)^{2}\right] \leq C_{2}:=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\Psi\left(Y^{2}\right)-\Psi(Y)^{2}\right]$,
then the reverse of (20) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\beta_{1} 1_{K}-\delta_{1} \tilde{X} \leq C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\beta_{2} 1_{K}-\delta_{2} \tilde{Y}
$$

where $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are as in Example 1(ii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=2^{1-p}(m+M)^{p}-m^{p}-M^{p}+\alpha(M-m)^{2} / 4 \geq 0, \\
& \delta_{2}=d\left(2^{1-q}(n+N)^{q}-n^{q}-N^{q}\right)+\alpha(N-n)^{2} / 4 \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\tilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}$ are as in the case (i).
(iii) If $p, q \in[0,1] \cup[2, \infty), p^{2}-p \leq q^{2}-q$, and $\alpha=c^{p-2}\left(p^{2}-p+q^{2}-q\right) / 2$, then $f \in$ $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}([0, \infty))$. If $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$, then (20) gives

$$
\Phi\left(X^{p}\right)-\Phi(X)^{p}+\gamma_{1} 1_{K}-\delta_{1} \widetilde{X} \geq C_{1} \geq C_{2} \geq d \Psi\left(Y^{q}\right)-d \Psi(Y)^{q}+\gamma_{2} 1_{K}-\delta_{2} \widetilde{Y}
$$

where $\gamma_{1} \geq 0$ is defined similar to $\beta_{1}$ with max instead of min and $\gamma_{2} \leq 0$ is defined similar to $\beta_{2}$ with min instead of max, and $\delta_{1} \leq 0, \delta_{2} \geq 0, \widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}$ are as in the case (ii).

The first and the last inequality in (20) are obvious, so we omit them.

Remark 4 Let the assumptions of Theorem 5 be satisfied. If $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{1}^{c}\left(\left[m_{1}, N_{2}\right]\right)$ and $C_{1} \geq C_{2}$, we obtain the following extension of (16):

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}+\beta_{1} 1_{K} & \geq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \\
& \geq \Phi(f(X))-f(\Phi(X))+\beta_{1} 1_{K}-\delta_{1} \bar{X} \geq C_{1} \\
& \geq C_{2} \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K}-\delta_{2} \bar{Y} \\
& \geq \Psi(f(Y))-f(\Psi(Y))+\beta_{2} 1_{K} \geq C_{2}+\beta_{2} 1_{K} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

But, if $f \in \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $C_{1} \leq C_{2}$, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (23).

Applying Theorem 7 we obtain a refinement of (15). We omit the proof.

Corollary 8 Let $\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a unital $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(\Psi_{1}, \ldots, \Psi_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a unital $k_{2}$-tuple of positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}, \Psi_{j}: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$. Let $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k_{1}}\right)$ be a $k_{1}$-tuple and $\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k_{2}}\right)$ be a $k_{2}$-tuple of self-adjoint operators $X_{i}$ and $Y_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{h}(H)$ with spectra contained in $[m, M]$ and $[n, N]$, respectively, such that $a<m \leq M \leq c \leq n \leq N<b$. Let $m_{x}, M_{x}$ and $n_{y}, N_{y}$ be bounds of $X=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)$, respectively.
If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \geq D_{2}$ (see (8)), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)-\delta_{1} \tilde{X}+\beta_{1} 1_{K} \geq D_{1} \\
& \quad \geq D_{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(f\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)+\beta_{2} 1_{K}-\delta_{2} \tilde{Y} \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(f\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \Psi_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)+\beta_{2} 1_{K}, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are defined as in Theorem 3, $\delta_{1}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(m, M) \geq 0, \widetilde{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\Phi_{i}, X_{i}}(m, M) \geq$ $0, \delta_{2}=\delta_{f, \alpha}(n, N) \leq 0$, and $\widetilde{Y}=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{2}} \widetilde{\Delta}_{\Psi_{i} y_{i}}(n, N) \geq 0$.
Iff $\in \mathcal{K}_{2}^{c}((a, b))$ and $D_{1} \leq D_{2}$ holds, then the reverse inequalities are valid in (24), with $\delta_{1} \leq 0$ and $\delta_{2} \geq 0$ and $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ as in Theorem 3 in the dual case.
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