# RESEARCH





# New upper bounds for $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$ of strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrices

Feng Wang<sup>\*</sup>, De-shu Sun and Jian-xing Zhao

\*Correspondence: wangf991@163.com College of Science, Guizhou Minzu University, Guiyang, Guizhou 550025, P.R. China

## Abstract

A new upper bound for the infinity norm of inverse matrix of a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix is given, and the lower bound for the minimum eigenvalue of the matrix is obtained. Furthermore, an upper bound for the infinity norm of inverse matrix of a strictly  $\alpha$ -diagonally dominant *M*-matrix is presented. Finally, we give numerical examples to illustrate our results.

MSC: 15A42; 15A45

**Keywords:** diagonal dominance; *M*-matrix; infinity norm; upper bound; minimum eigenvalue

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  real matrices,  $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  $(n \ge 2)$ . A matrix A is called a nonsingular M-matrix if there exist a nonnegative matrix Band some real number s such that

$$A = sI - B, \quad s > \rho(B),$$

where *I* is the identity matrix,  $\rho(B)$  is the spectral radius of *B*.  $\tau(A)$  denotes the minimum of all real eigenvalues of the nonsingular *M*-matrix *A*.

Very often in numerical analysis, one needs a bound for the condition number of a square  $n \times n$  matrix A,  $\text{Cond}(A) = ||A||_{\infty} \cdot ||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$ . Bounding  $||A||_{\infty}$  is not usually difficult, but a bound of  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  is not usually available unless  $A^{-1}$  is known explicitly.

However, if  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix, Varah [1] bound  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  quite easily by the following result:

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{\min_{i \in N}\{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \ne i} |a_{ij}|\}}.$$
(1)

**Remark 1** [2] If the diagonal dominance of *A* is weak, *i.e.*,  $\min_{i \in N} \{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|\}$  is small, then using (1) in estimating  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$ , the bound may yield a large value.

In 2007, Cheng and Huang [2] presented the following results. If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix, then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{a_{11}(1-u_1l_1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii}(1-u_il_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left( 1 + \frac{u_j}{1-u_jl_j} \right) \right].$$
 (2)

© 2015 Wang et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix, then the bound in (2) is sharper than that in Theorem 3.3 in [3], *i.e.*,

$$\frac{1}{a_{11}(1-u_1l_1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii}(1-u_il_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left( 1 + \frac{u_j}{1-u_jl_j} \right) \right] < \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ a_{ii} \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1-u_j) \right]^{-1}.$$

In 2009, Wang [4] obtained the better result: Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{a_{11}(1-u_1l_1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii}(1-u_il_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1-u_jl_j} \right].$$
(3)

In this paper, we present new upper bounds for  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  of a strictly ( $\alpha$ -)diagonally dominant *M*-matrix *A*, which improved the above results. As an application, a lower bound of  $\tau(A)$  is obtained.

For convenience, for  $i, j, k \in N$ ,  $j \neq i$ , denote

$$\begin{split} R_{i}(A) &= \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|, \qquad C_{i}(A) = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}|, \qquad d_{i} = \frac{R_{i}(A)}{|a_{ii}|}, \\ J(A) &= \{i \in N | d_{i} < 1\}, \qquad u_{i} = \frac{\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |a_{ij}|}{|a_{ii}|}, \qquad l_{k} = \max_{k \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k \leq j \leq n} |a_{ij}|}{|a_{ii}|} \right\} \\ l_{n} &= u_{n} = 0, \qquad r_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}|}{|a_{jj}| - \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}|}, \qquad r_{i} = \max_{j \neq i} \{r_{ji}\}, \\ \sigma_{ji} &= \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}|r_{i}}{|a_{jj}|}, \qquad h_{i} = \max_{j \neq i} \left\{ \frac{|a_{ji}|}{|a_{jj}|\sigma_{ji} - \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}|\sigma_{ki}} \right\}, \\ u_{ji} &= \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}|\sigma_{ki}h_{i}}{|a_{jj}|}, \qquad \omega_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}|u_{ki}}{|a_{jj}|}. \end{split}$$

We will denote by  $A^{(n_1,n_2)}$  the principal submatrix of *A* formed from all rows and all columns with indices between  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  inclusively; *e.g.*,  $A^{(2,n)}$  is the submatrix of *A* obtained by deleting the first row and the first column of *A*.

**Definition 1** [3]  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a weakly chained diagonally dominant if for all  $i \in N$ ,  $d_i \leq 1$  and  $J(A) \neq \phi$ , and for all  $i \in N$ ,  $i \notin J(A)$ , there exist indices  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$  in N with  $a_{i_r, i_{r+1}} \neq 0, 0 \leq r \leq k - 1$ , where  $i_0 = i$  and  $i_k \in J(A)$ .

**Definition 2** [5]  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is called a strictly  $\alpha$ -diagonally dominant matrix if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$|a_{ii}| > \alpha R_i(A) + (1 - \alpha)C_i(A), \quad \forall i \in N.$$

### 2 Upper bounds for $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$ of a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix

In this section, we give several bounds of  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  and  $\tau(A)$  for a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix *A*.

**Lemma 1** [2] Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a weakly chained diagonally dominant *M*-matrix,  $B = A^{(2,n)}$ ,  $A^{-1} = (\alpha_{ij})$ , and  $B^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$ . Then, for i, j = 2, ..., n,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{11} = \frac{1}{\Delta}, \qquad \alpha_{i1} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \beta_{ik}(-a_{k1}), \qquad \alpha_{1j} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \beta_{kj}(-a_{1k}), \\ &\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{ij} + \alpha_{1j} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \beta_{ik}(-a_{k1}), \qquad \Delta = a_{11} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_{1k} \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n} \beta_{ki} a_{i1} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if J(A) = N, then

$$\triangle \ge a_{11}(1-d_1l_1) \ge a_{11}(1-d_1).$$

**Lemma 2** [2] If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix, then

 $\Delta \geq a_{11}(1-d_1l_1) > a_{11}(1-d_1) > 0.$ 

**Lemma 3** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then, for  $A^{-1} = (\alpha_{ij})$ ,

 $\alpha_{ji} \leq \omega_{ji} \alpha_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, j \neq i.$ 

*Proof* This proof is similar to the one of Lemma 2 in [6].

**Lemma 4** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then, for  $A^{-1} = (\alpha_{ij})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{a_{ii}} \leq \alpha_{ii} \leq \frac{1}{a_{ii} - \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \omega_{ji}}, \quad i \in N.$$

*Proof* This proof is similar to the one of Lemma 2.3 in [7].

**Lemma 5** [3] Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a weakly chained diagonally dominant *M*-matrix,  $A^{-1} = (\alpha_{ij})$ , and  $\tau = \tau(A)$ . Then

$$\tau \leq \min_{i \in N} \{a_{ii}\}, \qquad \tau \leq \max_{i \in N} \left\{ \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} \right\}, \qquad \tau \geq \min_{i \in N} \left\{ \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} \right\}, \quad \frac{1}{M} \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{m},$$

where

$$M = \max_{i \in N} \left\{ \sum_{j \in N} \alpha_{ij} \right\} = \left\| A^{-1} \right\|_{\infty}, \qquad m = \min_{i \in N} \left\{ \sum_{j \in N} \alpha_{ij} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 1** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix,  $B = A^{(2,n)}$ ,  $A^{-1} = (\alpha_{ij})$ , and  $B^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})$ . Then

$$\left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} |a_{1j}|\omega_{j1}|} + \frac{1}{1 - d_1 l_1} \left\|B^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}$$

Proof Let

$$\eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n lpha_{ij}, \qquad M_A = \|A^{-1}\|_{\infty}, \qquad M_B = \|B^{-1}\|_{\infty}.$$

Then

$$M_A = \max_{i \in N} \{\eta_i\}, \qquad M_B = \max_{2 \le i \le n} \left\{ \sum_{j=2}^n \beta_{ij} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 1, Lemma 2, and Lemma 4,

$$\eta_{1} = \alpha_{11} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{1j} = \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=2}^{n} (-a_{1k}) \sum_{j=2}^{n} \beta_{kj} \le \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{\Delta} a_{11} d_{1} M_{B}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{d_{1} M_{B}}{1 - d_{1} l_{1}} \le \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} |a_{1j}| \omega_{j1}} + \frac{M_{B}}{1 - d_{1} l_{1}}.$$
(4)

Let  $2 \le i \le n$ . Then, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 3,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \beta_{ik}(-a_{k1}) = \triangle \cdot \alpha_{i1} \le \triangle \omega_{i1}\alpha_{11} = \omega_{i1} < 1,$$
  
$$\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{ij} + \alpha_{1j} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \beta_{ik}(-a_{k1}) \le \beta_{ij} + \alpha_{1j}\omega_{i1} < \beta_{ij} + \alpha_{1j}.$$

Therefore, for  $2 \le i \le n$ , we have

$$\eta_{i} = \alpha_{i1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{ij} \le \alpha_{11}\omega_{i1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n} (\beta_{ij} + \alpha_{1j}\omega_{i1}) = \eta_{1}\omega_{i1} + M_{B} \le \eta_{1}l_{1} + M_{B}$$
$$\le \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{d_{1}M_{B}}{1 - d_{1}l_{1}}\right)l_{1} + M_{B} \le \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{M_{B}}{1 - d_{1}l_{1}} \le \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} |a_{1j}|\omega_{j1}|} + \frac{M_{B}}{1 - d_{1}l_{1}}.$$
(5)

Furthermore, from (4) and (5), we obtain

$$M_A \le \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{j=2}^n |a_{1j}| \omega_{j1}} + \frac{1}{1 - d_1 l_1} \left\| B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty}.$$
(6)

The result follows.

**Theorem 2** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_j l_j} \right].$$
 (7)

*Proof* The result follows by applying the principle of mathematical induction with respect to k on  $A^{(k,n)}$  in (6).

By Lemma 5 and Theorem 1, we can obtain a new bound of  $\tau(A)$ .

**Corollary 1** If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix, then

$$\tau(A) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_j l_j} \right] \right\}^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 3** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. Then the bound in (7) is better than that in (3), i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_j l_j} \right]$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{a_{11}(1 - u_1 l_1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii}(1 - u_i l_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_j l_j} \right].$$

*Proof* Since *A* is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix, so  $0 \le u_j$ ,  $l_j < 1$  for all *j*. By the definition of  $u_i$ ,  $l_i$ ,  $\omega_{ki}$ , we have  $\omega_{ki} \le l_i$  and  $a_{ii}u_i = \sum_{k=i+1}^n |a_{ik}|$  for all *i*. Obviously, the result follows.

# 3 Upper bounds for $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$ of a strictly $\alpha$ -diagonally dominant *M*-matrix

In this section, we present an upper bound of  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  for a strictly  $\alpha$ -diagonally dominant *M*-matrix *A*.

**Lemma 6** [8] Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . If A and A - B are nonsingular, then

$$(A - B)^{-1} = A^{-1} + A^{-1}B(I - A^{-1}B)^{-1}A^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 7** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrix, and  $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . If  $\varphi_0 \cdot \|B\|_{\infty} < 1$ , then  $\|A^{-1}B\|_{\infty} < 1$ , where

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{a_{11} - \sum_{k=2}^n |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \frac{1}{a_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^n |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_j l_j} \right]$$

Proof By Theorem 2, we get

$$||A^{-1}B||_{\infty} \le ||A^{-1}||_{\infty} ||B||_{\infty} \le \varphi_0 ||B||_{\infty} < 1.$$

The result follows.

**Lemma 8** [8] If  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty} < 1$ , then I - A is nonsingular and

$$\|(I-A)^{-1}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{1-\|A\|_{\infty}}.$$

**Theorem 4** Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a strictly  $\alpha$ -diagonally dominant matrix,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and A be an M-matrix. If  $\{i \in N | R_i(A) > C_i(A)\} \neq \emptyset$ , and

$$\varphi_1 < \frac{1}{\max_{1 \le i \le n} \alpha(R_i(A) - C_i(A))},$$

then

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} < \frac{\varphi_1}{1 - \varphi_1 \max_{1 \le i \le n} \alpha(R_i(A) - C_i(A))},\tag{8}$$

where

$$\varphi_{1} = \frac{1}{\nu_{1} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\nu_{i} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_{j} l_{j}} \right],$$
$$\nu_{i} = \max_{1 < i < n} \left\{ a_{ii}, a_{ii} + \alpha \left( R_{i}(A) - C_{i}(A) \right) \right\}.$$

*Proof* Let A = B - C, where  $B = (b_{ij})$ ,  $C = (c_{ij})$ , and

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ii} + \alpha (R_i(A) - C_i(A)), & i = j, R_i(A) > C_i(A), \\ a_{ij}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha (R_i(A) - C_i(A)), & i = j, R_i(A) > C_i(A), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any  $i \in \{i \in N | R_i(A) > C_i(A)\}$ , we get

$$b_{ii} = a_{ii} + \alpha (R_i(A) - C_i(A)) > R_i(A) = R_i(B).$$

For any  $i \in \{i \in N | R_i(A) \le C_i(A)\}$ , we have

$$b_{ii} = a_{ii} > \alpha R_i(A) + (1 - \alpha)C_i(A) \ge R_i(A) = R_i(B).$$

Thus, *B* is a strictly diagonal dominant *M*-matrix. By Lemma 7, we get  $||B^{-1}C||_{\infty} < 1$ . By Lemma 6, Lemma 8, and Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{1}{b_{11} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Bigg[ \frac{1}{b_{ii} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_{j} l_{j}} \Bigg] \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu_{1} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} |a_{1k}| \omega_{k1}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Bigg[ \frac{1}{\nu_{i} - \sum_{k=i+1}^{n} |a_{ik}| \omega_{ki}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_{j} l_{j}} \Bigg]. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\|B^{-1}C\|_{\infty} \leq \varphi_1 \max_{1\leq i\leq n} \alpha (R_i(A) - C_i(A)).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| A^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} &= \left\| (B - C)^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} = \left\| B^{-1} + B^{-1} C \left( I - B^{-1} C \right)^{-1} B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \left\| B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| B^{-1} C \right\|_{\infty} \cdot \left\| \left( I - B^{-1} C \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \cdot \left\| B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \left\| B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} + \frac{\left\| B^{-1} C \right\|_{\infty}}{1 - \left\| B^{-1} C \right\|_{\infty}} \left\| B^{-1} \right\|_{\infty} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{\|B^{-1}\|_{\infty}}{1 - \|B^{-1}C\|_{\infty}} \le \frac{\varphi_1}{1 - \varphi_1 \max_{1 \le i \le n} \alpha(R_i(A) - C_i(A))}$$

The result follows.

#### **4** Numerical examples

In this section, we present numerical examples to illustrate the advantages of our derived results.

Example 1 Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 37 & -1 & -3 & -1 & -2 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -1 & -5 \\ -4 & 30 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -4 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -3 \\ -1 & -3 & 30 & -4 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -2 & -4 & -5 \\ -3 & -5 & -3 & 40 & -1 & -2 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -4 \\ -5 & -2 & 0 & -5 & 25.01 & -5 & 0 & -1 & -5 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -4 & 30 & -5 & -2 & -5 & -3 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -4 & 40 & -2 & -3 & -4 \\ -1 & -3 & -2 & -3 & -2 & -1 & -2 & 40 & -4 & -1 \\ -2 & -4 & -3 & -1 & -3 & -3 & -4 & 0 & 27 & -2 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 & -2 & -4 & -3 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 25 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that A is a strictly diagonally dominant M-matrix. By calculations with Matlab 7.1, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} &\leq 100 \quad (\text{by (1)}), \qquad \left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 11.2862 \quad (\text{by (2)}), \\ \left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} &\leq 5.2305 \quad (\text{by (3)}), \qquad \left\|A^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1.0003 \quad (\text{by (7)}), \end{split}$$

respectively. It is obvious that the bound in (7) is the best result.

#### Example 2 Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that *A* is a strictly  $\alpha$ -diagonally dominant *M*-matrix by taking  $\alpha = 0.5$ , and *A* is not a strictly diagonally dominant matrix. Thus the bound of  $||A^{-1}||_{\infty}$  cannot be estimated by (1), (2), and (3), but it can be estimated by (8). By (8), we get

$$||A^{-1}||_{\infty} \le 8.0322.$$

**Competing interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11361074, 71161020) and IRTSTYN, Applied Basic Research Programs of Science and Technology Department of Yunnan Province (2013FD002).

#### Received: 4 January 2015 Accepted: 17 May 2015 Published online: 30 May 2015

#### References

1. Varah, JM: A lower bound for the smallest singular value of a matrix. Linear Algebra Appl. 11, 3-5 (1975)

- Cheng, GH, Huang, TZ: An upper bound for ||A<sup>-1</sup> ||∞ of strictly diagonally dominant M-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 426, 667-673 (2007)
- Shivakumar, PN, Williams, JJ, Ye, Q, Marinov, CA: On two-sided bounds related to weakly diagonally dominant M-matrices with application to digital circuit dynamics. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 17, 298-312 (1996)
- Wang, P: An upper bound for ||A<sup>-1</sup> ||<sub>∞</sub> of strictly diagonally dominant *M*-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 431, 511-517 (2009)
- Zhang, YL, Mo, HM, Liu, JZ: α-Diagonal dominance and criteria for generalized strictly diagonally dominant matrices. Numer. Math. 31, 119-128 (2009)
- Li, YT, Wang, F, Li, CQ, Zhao, JX: Some new bounds for the minimum eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of an M-matrix and an inverse M-matrix. J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 480 (2013)
- Li, YT, Chen, FB, Wang, DF: New lower bounds on eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of an M-matrix and its inverse. Linear Algebra Appl. 430, 1423-1431 (2009)
- 8. Xu, S: Theory and Methods about Matrix Computation. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing (1986)

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com