Geraghty et al. Irish Veterinary Journal 2013, 66:7 http://www.irishvetjournal.org/content/66/1/7

RESEARCH





Investigations on the efficacy of routinely used phenotypic methods compared to genotypic approaches for the identification of staphylococcal species isolated from companion animals in Irish veterinary hospitals

Lisa Geraghty^{1,2*}, Mary Booth^{1,2}, Neil Rowan¹ and Andrew Fogarty^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Identification of Staphylococci to species level in veterinary microbiology is important to inform therapeutic intervention and management. We report on the efficacy of three routinely used commercial phenotypic methods for staphylococcal species identification, namely API Staph 32 (bioMérieux), RapID (Remel) and Staph-Zym (Rosco Diagnostica) compared to genotyping as a reference method to identify 52 staphylococcal clinical isolates (23 coagulase positive; 29 coagulase negative) from companion animals in Irish veterinary hospitals.

Results: Genotyping of a 412 bp fragment of the staphylococcal *tuf* gene and coagulase testing were carried out on all 52 veterinary samples along with 7 reference strains. In addition, genotyping of the staphylococcal *rpoB* gene, as well as PCR-RFLP of the *pta* gene, were performed to definitively identify members of the *Staphylococcus intermedius* group (SIG). The API Staph 32 correctly identified all *S. aureus* isolates (11/11), 83% (10/12) of the SIG species, and 66% (19/29) of the coagulase negative species. RapID and Staph-Zym correctly identified 61% (14/23) and 0% (0/23) respectively of the coagulase-positives, and 10% (3/29) and 3% (1/29) respectively of the coagulase-negative species.

Conclusions: Commercially available phenotypic species identification tests are inadequate for the correct identification of both coagulase negative and coagulase positive staphylococcal species from companion animals. Genotyping using the *tuf* gene sequence is superior to phenotyping for identification of staphylococcal species of animal origin. However, use of PCR-RFLP of *pta* gene or *rpo*B sequencing is recommended as a confirmatory method for discriminating between SIG isolates.

Keywords: Companion animals, Staphylococci species identification, Genotyping, tuf, rpoB

Background

Staphylococcal species are considered to be opportunistic pathogens, colonising the skin and mucous membranes of humans and animals. In animals, both coagulase positive and coagulase negative Staphylococci have been associated with infection, with a variety of sources identified [1,2]. International animal surveillance programmes on emerging

* Correspondence: lgeraghty@ait.ie

trends in antibiotic resistance typically focus on food animals. Consequently, there is a dearth of similar information for pets or companion animals that frequently are administered with antibiotics, particularly in veterinary hospitals. Recent studies have reported a link between the isolation of multi-drug resistant bacteria from pet owners with companion animal carriage [1]. Antibiotic resistance to betalactams, including methicillin resistance, has been found in both coagulase negative and coagulase positive Staphylococci carried by healthy and infected cats, dogs and horses [3-5] reported that various coagulase negative species of



© 2013 Geraghty et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹Biosciences Research Institute, Athlone Institute of Technology, Dublin Rd., Athlone, Co. Westmeath, Ireland

²Department of Life and Physical Science, School of Science, Athlone Institute of Technology, Dublin Rd., Athlone, Ireland

Staphylococci from bovine milk differed significantly from each other in antimicrobial resistance profiles (both genotypic and phenotypic) with implications for treatment and management decisions. Accurate speciation of Staphylococci is vital to establish critical links between bacterial species of clinical origin and emerging trends in antibiotic resistance.

Historically, identification of bacterial specimens has been based on conventional microbiological procedures, which include growth on various media, cell morphology, staining reactions and biochemical profiles [6]. Currently in Ireland commercial systems such as API Staph 32 (bioMérieux), Rap-ID (Remel) and Staph-Zym (Rosco Diagnostica) are regularly used to identify species of Staphylococci. There is an absence of published information on the efficacy of using these commercial phenotypic methods for routine Staphylococcal identification particularly from companion animals. Recent reports suggest that phenotypic methods have inherent weaknesses due to the variability in expression of phenotypic characteristics by isolates belonging to the same species and their reliance on subjective interpretation of test results that can also introduce variability [7-11]. Blaiotta and co-workers [8,12] reported a large variation in phenotypic properties of Staphylococci isolated from fermented sausages using laboratory-prepared basal media supplemented separately with fermentable sugars. This group also reported that 25% of these Staphylococci were not identifiable using phenotypic methods. Most phenotypic identification systems have been developed for human healthcare and validated using clinical isolates obtained from human infections [9,11]. When employed on isolates of animal origin, the identification system may be less reliable due to the lack of animal isolates in the reference databases [13,14].

Genotypic methods are reported to have higher discriminatory power, reproducibility and typeability compared to phenotypic methods [10,11,15,16]. Several approaches are available for genotyping bacterial isolates including AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism), ribotyping, PCR-RFLP and DNA sequencing. DNA sequence based species identification of Staphylococci is currently the most accurate method with the largest reference database, and is considered to be the gold standard method [10,11]. Traditionally, the most common target for DNA sequencing in bacteria is 16S-rRNA [11,17]. However, this gene is highly conserved among Staphylococci, and often does not provide sufficient discriminatory power to differentiate closely related staphylococcal species [11]. Alternatives to the 16S rRNA gene which have been successfully applied to staphylococcal genotyping include gap [17], cpn 60 [18], tuf [9], rpoB [19], nuc [20] and sodA [21]. Heikens et al., [9] first proposed partial amplification and sequencing of the *tuf* gene as a reliable and reproducible method for the identification of species of Staphylococci. Subsequent studies have confirmed *tuf* gene sequencing as an accurate method for speciating coagulase negative Staphylococci, [22,23]. Blaiotta et al. [24] revealed diversity among coagulase positive *Staphylococcus* species strains based on partial *kat* (catalase) gene sequences and reported a PCR-RFLP assay for identification of coagulase-positive species (*S. aureus, S. delphini, S. hyicus, S. intermedius, S. pseudomedius, S. schleiferi* subsp. *coagulans*). Similarly, Sasaki et al. [25] used a multiplex-PCR method targeting the staphylococcal thermonuclease gene (*nuc*) to successfully differentiate between the same species.

Based upon best-published literature, there is a dearth of critical information on the efficacy of using phenotypic "quick tests" to identify staphylococcal species associated with companion animals. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the efficacy of three routinely-used phenotypic staphylococcal identification test kits with genotypic methods in order to identify the most accurate method of speciating clinical isolates of Staphylococci from a variety of companion animals (dogs, cats, horses) in primary care veterinary hospitals throughout Ireland.

Methods

Bacterial strains

Fifty two staphylococcal clinical isolates of veterinary origin and 7 reference control strains were analysed in this study. Clinical specimens were isolated from infection site swabs taken at primary care veterinary clinics (Table 1). Animal species included feline (n=21), canine (n=18), equine (n=11) and bovine (n=2). Infection types included flesh wounds and superficial abscesses (n=38), deep seated infections (n=9), post-operative infections (n=4), and ocular infections (n=3). Swabs were streaked onto Columbia blood agar and Staphylococci were identified by colony morphology, haemolysis patterns, Gram's stain characteristics, catalase activity, growth on Baird Parker agar, coagulase activity and Voges-Proskauer (VP) testing. Two different coagulase tests were performed: a tube test for free coagulase and a slide test for bound coagulase of clumping factor. Seven control strains and fiftytwo clinical isolates were tube coagulase tested according to Murray et al. [6] as follows: a mixture of a 0.1 ml nutrient broth incubated with overnight culture was mixed with 0.5 ml of reconstituted rabbit plasma containing EDTA (Rabbit Coagulase Plasma, Cruinn Diagnostics) in a sterile glass tube and incubated at 37°C in a water bath for 4 hrs. The tubes were observed for clot formation by gently tilting at a 90° angle from the vertical. The tubes were then re-incubated and re-read at 24 hrs. Any degree of clotting was read as a positive result. The slide coagulase test was performed using a Staphylase test kit (Oxoid) according to manufacturer's instructions. A positive result

Isolate no.	Staphylococcal species	Companion animal	Infection type	Location of veterinary hospital (Region of Ireland	
1	S. aureus	equine	Joint infection	north	
2	S. aureus	feline	Flesh wound	north	
3	S. aureus	feline	Face abscess	west	
4	S. aureus	bovine	Post caesarian	west	
5	S. aureus	feline	Interdigital infection	west	
6	S. aureus	feline	Interdigital infection	west	
7	S. aureus	equine	Abdominal trauma	south	
8	S. aureus	canine	Post ortho op	east	
9	S. aureus	feline	Cat fight abscess	west	
10	S. aureus	feline	Flesh wound	west	
11	S. aureus	equine	Flesh wound	west	
12	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Post ortho op	west	
13	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Granuloma type	north	
14	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Pyoderma	north	
15	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Flesh wound	north	
16	S. pseudintermedius	feline	Deglove wound	west	
17	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Flesh wound	south	
18	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Flesh trauma	west	
19	S. pseudintermedius	equine	Abdominal wound	south	
20	S. pseudintermedius	equine	Joint infection	north	
21	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Ear tip infection	west	
22	S. pseudintermedius	feline	Granuloma type	east	
23	S. pseudintermedius	canine	Flesh wound	south	
24	S. felis	canine	Flesh wound	north	
25	S. felis	feline	Ocular infection	east	
26	S. felis	canine	Post hysterectomy	west	
27	S. equorum	canine	Eyelid infection	south	
28	S equorum	canine	Flesh wound	north	
29	S. equorum	canine	Face pyoderma	north	
30	S. equorum	canine	Ear infection	north	
31	S. equorum	feline	Trauma to face	south	
32	S. equorum	feline	Cat fight abscess	south	
33	S equorum	equine	Uterine infection	east	
34	S. equorum	feline	Flesh wound	south	
35	S. succinus	equine	Uterine infection	west	
36	S. warneri/pasteuri	canine	Eyelid infection	south	
37	S. warneri/pasteuri	bovine	Chronic mastitis	west	
38	S. carnosus/simulans	feline	Abscess	south	
49	S. carnosus	feline	Rhinitis	north	
40	S. carnosus	feline	Pyoderma	north	
41	S. carnosus /simulans	feline	Puncture wound	west	
42	S. carnosus/simulans	equine	Chronic flesh wound	west	
43	S. carnosus/simulans	feline	Abscess	south	
44	S. carnosus	feline	Ear infection	west	
45	S. carnosus/simulans	feline	Puncture wound	west	

Table 1 Source of Staphylococci isolated from companion animals in veterinary hospitals

46	S. carnosus /simulans	equine	Uterine infection	east
47	S. xylosus	feline	Laceration flesh	east
48	S. xylosus	canine	Flesh wound	east
49	S. xylosus	equine	Uterine infection	west
50	S. xylosus	canine	Deglove RTA	south
51	S. saprophyticus	feline	Flesh wound	north
52	S saprophyticus	feline	Infection in paw	south

Table 1 Source of Staphylococci isolated from companion animals in veterinary hospitals (Continued)

was read when clumping occurred within 10 seconds. Reference strain identity and source are shown in Table 2.

Phenotypic identification testing

Phenotypic identification to species level was carried out using three test kits which are commercially available to veterinary laboratories in Ireland, i.e. API Staph 32 (bioMérieux), RapID (Remel) and Staph-Zym (Rosco Diagnostica). Prior to testing, isolates were cultured overnight at 37°C on Columbia blood agar. Tests were carried out according to manufacturers' instructions and results were interpreted using the appropriate laboratory computer software or reference indices recommended by the manufacturer. The results of the phenotypic tests in this study are also described in terms of sensitivity, specificity and predictive value positive (PVP) of the three test kits and were calculated in comparison with *tuf* genotyping [5]. Sensitivity was calculated as the proportion of the true positive isolates that are correctly identified with the phenotypic tests. Specificity was calculated as the proportion of the true negatives that are correctly identified with the phenotypic tests. The predictive value positive (PVP) for each test was calculated as the proportion of isolates identified as a specific species based on phenotypic testing that truly represented that particular species.

Genotypic identification testing

Genomic DNA from overnight liquid cultures in nutrient broth was extracted using a DNeasy kit (QIAGEN) according to manufacturer's instructions. For genotyping, a 412 bp fragment of the *tuf* gene was amplified for all clinical isolates and reference strains according to Heikens et al. [9]. Amplification of a 750 bp *rpoB* gene segment was carried out on all SIG isolates (3 reference strains and 12 clinical isolates) according to Drancourt and Raoult [19], with the following modifications in PCR cycling conditions: 2 minutes at 95°C for 1 cycle, 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 47°C, 1 minute at 72°C for 35 cycles, and 5 minutes at 72°C for 1 cycle.

DNA sequencing

A total of 59 *tuf* amplicons (from 7 reference and 52 clinical isolates) and 15 *rpoB* amplicons (3 reference and 12 clinical isolates) were sequenced by Sequiserve, Germany and Functional Biosciences, USA using amplification primers [9,19]. Forward and reverse sequences were analysed using the BLASTn alignment program and the NCBI nucleotide database NCBI [26].

PCR-RFLP to differentiate SIG species

Twelve clinical isolates were identified by both *tuf* and *rpoB* genotyping as members of the *Staphylococcus intermedius* group (SIG). PCR-RFLP involving amplification of the *pta*

Species ID	Source*	tuf	rpoB	pta-RFLP	API Staph 32	RapID	Staph- Zym
S. aureus IMD247	Athlone Institute of Technology	S. aureus	-	-	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. vitulans
S. hycius 11249	University College Dublin	S. hycius	-	-	S. hycius	S. aureus	S. hycius
S. aureus 25923	ATCC	S. aureus	-	-	S. aureus	S. aureus	No result
S. aureus 43300	ATCC	S. aureus	-	-	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. vitulans
S. intermedius CCUG 6520	University of Copenhagen	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	No result
S. delphini M4 University of Copenhagen		S. delphini	S. delphini	S. delphini	S. intermedius	No result	S. vitulans
S. pseudinter -medius Y19	University of Copenhagen	S. pseudinter - medius	S. pseudinter - medius	S. pseudinter - medius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	S. vitulans

Table 2 Identification of staphylococcal reference strains

*American Typed Culture Collection (ATCC).

gene followed by digestion with Alu1 was used in this study to distinguish between the three known species in the SIG group according to Bannhoer et al., [27] and Kadlec et al. [28].

Results

Comparative use of phenotypic 'quick tests' and genotypic methods to identify reference strains of staphylococcal species

Seven reference staphylococcal strains were analysed in this study using each of the speciation methods: tuf genotyping, API Staph 32, RapID and Staph-Zym. The results are displayed in Table 2. The *tuf* genotyping correctly identified all reference strains. The API Staph 32 test correctly identified S. aureus, S. hycius, and S. intermedius reference strains. However S. pseudintermedius and S. delphini, were both misidentified indicating that this test does not distinguish SIG species. The RapID test correctly identified all of the S. aureus reference strains and S. intermedius, but misidentified S. hycius and S. pseudintermedius. RapID gave no result for S. delphini. Staph-Zym identified only one (S. hycius) of the 7 control strains, correctly. The three SIG reference strains in the study were also analysed by rpoB genotyping and PCR-RFLP of the pta gene and were correctly identified by both tests.

Speciation of staphylococcal clinical isolates

Based on using *tuf* genotyping as the reference identification method for this study, the clinical isolate collection (n=52) was found to comprise of a range of staphylococcal species. The results are shown in Table 3. Forty four percent (23/52) were identified as coagulase positive species, of which 47.8% (11/23) were S. aureus and 43% (10/23) were S. pseudintermedius (Table 3). For two of the coagulase positive isolates, tuf did not distinguish between S. pseudintermedius and S. delphini (Isolate No's. 18 and 23). All twelve SIG clinical isolates were confirmed to be S. pseudintermedius according to banding patterns using PCR-RFLP of the *pta* gene and *rpoB* genotyping. Fifty six percent (29/52) of isolates were identified by tuf genotyping as coagulase negative Staphylococci (CONS) (Table 3). These included, S. equorum (n=8), S. xylosus (n=4), S. carnosus/simulans (n=6), S. carnosus (n=3), S. felis (n=3), S. warneri/pasteuri (n=2), S. saprophyticus (n=2) and S. succinus (n=1). For six of the CONS isolates, *tuf* genotyping did not distinguish between two closely related coagulase negative species, identifying them as S. carnosus or S. simulans, a finding which correlates with research carried out by Ghebremedhin et al. [29]. For two additional isolates a result of S. pasteuri or S. warneri was obtained. The results of *tuf* genotyping were consistent with the coagulase test results in all cases with five exceptions, namely S. aureus (Isolate No. 1) and S. pseudintermedius (Isolate No's 16, 17, 22 and 23). These strains failed to Page 5 of 9

coagulate plasma in both slide and tube coagulation tests, but were subsequently confirmed as coagulase positive species by PCR-RPLP of the *pta* gene and *rpo*B sequencing.

The results of the phenotypic tests in this study are summarised in Table 4, where the sensitivity, specificity and predictive value positive (PVP) of the three test kits are calculated in comparison with tuf species identification. The API Staph 32 test showed greatest sensitivity for S. aureus isolates (100%). A majority (10/12) of the S. pseudintermedius isolates were positively identified as members of the SIG group (83.3%), however, none were accurately speciated. The remaining S. pseudintermedius isolates gave either no result (n=1) or was misidentified (n=1). Specificity was 100% for S. aureus, and 95% for the SIG group. For the remaining 29 isolates, which were CONS, 31% (9/29) were correctly identified. Isolates identified by tuf genotyping as S. carnosus/simulans were correctly identified by API Staph 32 as either S. carnosus or S. simulans in six out of nine cases (66.6% sensitivity). S. xylosus species were correctly identified by API Staph 32 with a sensitivity of 75%. In this test, specificity for these two species was 95.3 and 83.3% respectively. Sensitivity was 0% for S. equorum, S. felis and S. succinus while specificity was 97.7, 98 and 100% respectively. In the case of S. warneri and S. saprophyticus sensitivity was 50%, with 100% specificity in each case. The API Staph 32 demonstrated the highest PVP with the coagulase positive isolates, with S. aureus at 100% and the SIG group at 83.3%. PVP values could not be interpreted for some coagulase negative species, as the test did not identify the species in some cases. S. xylosus demonstrated a PVP of 27.2%, due to the high number of false positive results and low specificity (83.3%). Overall, the API Staph 32 had a sensitivity value of 61.5%, a specificity value of 98% and a PVP value of 80% compared to identification of staphylococcal species by *tuf* genotyping.

RapID correctly identified 81.8% (9/11) of the *S. aureus* isolates (sensitivity 81.8%, specificity 100%), but misidentified all of the *S. pseudintermedius* isolates. On consideration of ability to identify the SIG group, the RapID test had a sensitivity of 41.6% and specificity of 95.2%. Only 7% (2/29) of the CONS were correctly identified, 58.6% (17/29) were misidentified, and no result was obtained for 34% (10/29). When attempting to identify *S. xylosus*, RapID demonstrated a sensitivity value of 50%, but with a specificity of 66.6%, resulting in a PVP of just 15.8%. Overall, the RapID Staph had a sensitivity value of 32.7%, a specificity value of 95.4% and a PVP value of 47.2% compared to identification of staphylococcal species by *tuf* genotyping.

Staph-Zym correctly identified none of the coagulase positive isolates in this study, and only one of the CONS isolates (Isolate No. 39; *S. carnosus*). For 11.5% (6/52) of isolates, Staph-Zym yielded more than one species name. For 44.2% (23/52) Staph-Zym yielded "no result".

Table 3 Identification of coagulase positive and negative staphylococcal clinical isolates

No	tuf	API Staph 32	RapID	Staph-Zym
1	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	no result
2	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. capitus
3	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. capitus	no result
4	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	no result
5	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	no result
6	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	no result
7	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. warneri
8	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. warneri
9	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. aureus	S. vitulans
10	S. aureus st398	S. aureus	S. aureus	no result
11	S. aureus st398	S. aureus	S. gallinarum/xylosus	S. warneri
12	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. xylosus	no result
13	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. gallinarum/xylosus	no result
14	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. xylosus	no result
15	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius/xylosus	S. capitus
16	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. capitus	no result
17	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	S. capitus/hycius
18	S. pseud/delphini*	S. intermedius	S. gallinarum/xylosus	no result
19	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. epidermidis	S. vitulans
20	S. pseudintermedius	no result	no result	no result
21	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	no result
22	S. pseudintermedius	S. intermedius	S. intermedius	no result
23	S. pseud/delphini*	Kocuria rosea	S. hominus/ capitus	S. lentus/fleurettii
No	tuf	API 32	RapID	Staph-Zym
24	S. felis	S.chromogens	S.chromogenes	S. vitulans
25	S. felis	S. carnosus	S. intermedius	S. capitus
26	S. felis	s. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result
27	S. equorum	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result
28	S. equorum	S. warnerei	S. warnerei	no result
29	S. equorum	no result	S. xylosus	S.xylosus/scuiri
30	S. equorum	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result
31	S. equorum	no result	no result	no result
32	S. equorum	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	S. lentus
33	S. equorum	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result
34	S. equorum	S. epidermidis	S. epidermidis	no result
35	S. succinus	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result
36	S.warnerei/ pasteureii	S. warnerei	no result	S. vitulans
37	S.warnerei/ pasteureii	S. carnosus	no result	S.lentus/fleurettii
			no result	S. warnerei
38	S. carnosus /simulans	S. carnosus		
	S. carnosus /simulans S. carnosus	S. carnosus S. simluans		S. carnosus
39			<i>S. simulans</i> no result	
39 40	S. carnosus	S. simluans	S. simulans no result	S. carnosus no result
39 40 41	S. carnosus S. carnosus	S. simluans S. carnosus S. simulans	<i>S. simulans</i> no result no result	S. carnosus no result S.capitus/ hyicus
39404142	S. carnosus S. carnosus S. carnosus/simulans S. carnosus/simulans	S. simluans S. carnosus S. simulans S. simulans	S. simulans no result no result no result	S. <i>carnosus</i> no result
 39 40 41 42 43 	S. carnosus S. carnosus S. carnosus/simulans	S. simluans S. carnosus S. simulans	<i>S. simulans</i> no result no result	S. carnosus no result S.capitus/ hyicus S. vitulans
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	S. carnosus S. carnosus S. carnosus/simulans S. carnosus/simulans S. carnosus/simulans	S. simluans S. carnosus S. simulans S. simulans s. xylosus	S. simulans no result no result S. xylosus	S. carnosus no result S.capitus/ hyicus S. vitulans S. lentus

47	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result	
48	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result	no result	
49	S. xylosus	S. intermedius	no result	S. vitulans	
50	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	S. scuiri	
51	S. sapraphyticus	S.sapraphytics	S. xylosus	S. lentus	
52	S. sapraphyticus	S. xylosus	S. xylosus	no result	

Table 3 Identification of coagulase positive and negative staphylococcal clinical isolates (Continued)

*= S. pseudintermedius or S. delphini.

Discussion

The results presented herein emphasise the importance of choosing the correct identification test for accurate speciation of staphylococcal species of animal origin. The accurate identification of staphylococcal species impacts directly and positively on treatment outcomes and on the epidemiological analysis of emerging trends in multi-drug resistant staphylococcal infections in veterinary medicine.

This present study revealed that all three phenotypic test systems yielded inaccurate speciation results when compared to *tuf* genotyping (Table 3). When considering phenotypic test kits on their own, one must consider the reliability of reading a result with a high "apparent" accuracy. For example, RapID identified one isolate as *S. xylosus* with a 97% probability value; however this isolate was subsequently identified by *tuf* as *S. pseudintermedius*, which could mislead the diagnostician. One of the arguments for using phenotypic test kits is that they are less costly than genotyping. When comparing the costs of phenotyping one must consider the potential consequences of misidentification including unnecessary morbidity and mortality of infected animals.

Of the phenotypic tests utilized, the API Staph 32 correctly identified 100% of *S. aureus* isolates, 83.3% of SIG isolates and 31% of the CONS; the RapID test correctly

identified 81.8% of S. aureus, 33% of SIG isolates and 6.8% of the CONS; while the Staph-Zym test correctly identified only 2% of all isolates. Each of these tests is based on the evaluation of expression of genetically encoded characteristics by bacterial isolates. Inaccurate speciation may be due to variable expression of biochemical traits within species, as previously reported by Blaiotta et al. [8]. This is supported in the present study where it was observed that in each of the test systems, some biochemical tests frequently gave a misleading response for a given species tested. In particular, tests for arginine dihydrolase, arginine arlyamidase, β-glucuronidase, fructose and mannitol fermentation, novobiocin resistance and nitrate reduction, were observed in one or more systems to generate a response contrary to the expected result for a given species (data not shown). In addition, species identification kits such as these are manufactured for the human diagnostics market and are interpreted against databases with reference strains of human origin. This suggests that the reproducibility and therefore reliability of these tests is questionable when applied to veterinary isolates. When the identification of S. aureus by the three phenotyping test kits is considered, it was observed that while all of the S. aureus isolates were identified by API Staph 32, they were not consistently identified by either Rap-ID or Staph-Zym, demonstrating a lack of correlation between tests systems for a

Species	API Staph 32		RapID			Staph-Zym			
	Sens ¹	Spec ²	PVP ³	Sens	Spec	PVP	Sens	Spec	PVP
Overall	61.5	98	80	32.7	95.4	47.2	1.9	99	20
S. aureus	100	100	100	81.8	100	100	0	100	n/a
SIG	83.3	95	83.3	41.6	95.2	71.4	0	95	n/a
S. felis	0	98	n/a ⁴	0	98	n/a	0	98	n/a
S. equorum	0	97.7	n/a	0	100	n/a	0	100	n/a
S. succinus	0	100	n/a	0	100	n/a	0	100	n/a
S. warneri	50	98	50	0	98	n/a	0	98	n/a
S. carnosus	66.6	95.3	75	11.1	100	100	11.1	100	100
S. xylosus	77	83.3	27.2	50	66.6	15.8	0	66.6	n/a
S. saprophyticus	50	100	50	0	100	n/a	0	100	n/a

Table 4 Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value positive of API Staph 32, RapID Staph and Staph-Zym tests showing individual results for species isolated in this study and overall values for test kits

Values calculated using *tuf* genotyping as a reference method.

¹Sensitivity ²specificity ³predictive value positive ⁴not applicable.

commonly isolated species. In addition, of the eight *S. equorum* isolates identified by genotyping, API Staph 32 identified four as *S. xylosus*, one as *S. epidermidis*, one as *S. warneri* and did not identify two, suggesting within species variability for the test system. With respect to the newly recognised *Staphylococcus intermedius* group [30], the failure of the phenotypic test kits to correctly speciate members of this group is of concern due to the relevance of *S. pseudintermedius*, not only as a veterinary pathogen, but as a source of nosocomial infection [31].

DNA sequencing of housekeeping genes is regularly used to definitively type staphylococcal isolates, [9,18-21,32]. In this study, *tuf* and *rpoB* gene segments were amplified by PCR and sequenced according to published methods ([9,19], respectively). Both genes constitute more discriminatory targets than the 16S-rRNA gene to differentiate closely related staphylococcal species. The results of this study demonstrated 100% accuracy for reference strains using tuf genotyping. Among the clinical isolates, 23 were identified by *tuf* genotyping as coagulase positive species (Table 3). Interestingly, five of these isolates failed to coagulate in both the tube and slide agglutination tests. These findings are not atypical however. According to Murray et al. [6] up to 30% of S. aureus field isolates fail to display coagulase activity. Reduced coagulase activity in S. aureus is also reported to be associated with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin [33]. It is worth noting that in the present study, each of the four S. pseudintermedius isolates which failed to coagulate also showed reduced susceptibility to vancomycin (data not shown). *tuf* genotyping identified 29 coagulase negative isolates and 5 distinct species (Table 3). The species S. carnosus and S. simulans, however, could not be definitively differentiated from each other by tuf genotyping. Likewise, S. warneri and S. pasteuri were not differentiated by this method. Previous authors have documented a close phylogenetic link between these pairs of species [29,34,35] and the current findings support this.

All three of the reference SIG species were identified correctly by tuf genotyping (S. intermedius, S. delphini, S. pseudintermedius). Ten of the clinical isolates were identified as S. pseudintermedius, but for two additional isolates, tuf could not differentiate between S. pseudintermedius and S. delphini. In an attempt to clarify the identity of these two isolates, both of canine origin, rpoB sequencing and PCR-RFLP of the pta gene were performed. Both isolates were confirmed as S. pseudintermedius by the two methods. Given the clinical significance of S. pseudintermedius in veterinary medicine, and the published evidence that MRSP (methicillin resistant S. pseudintermedius) is emerging as a nosocomial infection, the importance of an accurate identification is paramount. Our findings suggest the use of *rpoB* genotyping or PCR-RFLP of the *pta* gene as a confirmatory method for discriminating between SIG isolates until a larger cohort of these species are entered into the *tuf* gene database, thereby enhancing its accuracy.

Conclusion

Of the three biochemical tests used, the API Staph 32 test performed with the highest degree of accuracy for the coagulase positive Staphylococci. When compared to *tuf* genotyping all three of the rapid biochemical tests performed poorly for the speciation of coagulase negative Staphylococci. This study highlights the importance of choosing the correct identification test for accurate speciation of staphylococcal species of companion animal origin, as failure to correctly identify specific pathogens may impact on subsequent antimicrobial interventions.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LG collected all experimental the data, participated in the study design, sequence alignment and drafting of the manuscript. MB participated in the study design, sequence alignment and drafting of the manuscript. AF participated in the design of the study and drafting the manuscript. NR participated in the study design and drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the help of Dr. Arshnee Moodley, University of Copenhagen, in the preparation of this paper, and for the donation of some of the reference strains used. We would also like to thank Dr. Damien Brady, Athlone Institute of Technology, and Ms. Yvonne Abbott, University College Dublin, for the donation of reference strains. We would like to thank Dr. Rory Breathnach, University College Dublin, for his contribution to this research work. This work was jointly funded by Irish Strand 1 Technological Sector Research Funding programme and by the President's Seed Funding Initiative at Athlone Institute of Technology, Ireland.

Received: 12 January 2013 Accepted: 2 April 2013 Published: 1 May 2013

References

- Guardabassi L, Loeber ME, Jacobson A: Transmission of multiple antimicrobial-resistant *Staphylococcus intermedius* between dogs affected by deep pyoderma and their owners. *Vet Microbiol* 2004, 98:23–27.
- Leonard FC, Abbott Y, Rossney A, Quinn PJ, O'Mahony R, Markey BK: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from a veterinary surgeon and five dogs in one practice. *Vet Res* 2006, 58:155–159.
- Malik S, Peng H, Barton MD: Partial nucleotide sequencing of the mecA genes of Staphylococcus aureus isolates from cats and dogs. J Clin Microbiol 2006, 44:413–416.
- Weese JS, Dick H, Willey BM, McGeer A, Kreiswirth BN, Innis B, Low DE: Suspected transmission of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* between domestic pets and humans in veterinary clinics and in the household. *Vet Microbiol* 2006, 115:148–155.
- Sampimon AC, Lam TG, Mevius DJ, Schukken YH, Zadoks RN: Antimicrobial susceptibility of coagulase negative staphylococci isolated from bovine milk samples. *Vet Microbiol* 2011, 150:173–179.
- Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Landry ML, Pfaller MA: Manual of Clinical Microbiology, 9th Edition. American Society Microbiology 2007:390–411.
- Coppola R, Mauriello G, Aponte M, Moscheitti G, Villani F: Microbial succession during ripening of Naples-type salami, a southern Italian fermented product. *Meat Sci* 2000, 56:321–329.

- Blaiotta G, Pennacchia C, Villani F, Ricciardi R, Tofalo R, Parente E: Diversity and dynamics of communities of coagulase-negative staphylococci in traditional fermented sausages. J Appl Microbiol 2004, 97:271–284.
- Heikens E, Fleer A, Paauw A, Florijn A, Fluit AC: Comparison of genotypic and phenotypic methods for species-level identification of clinical isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci. J Clin Microbiol 2005, 43:2286–2290.
- Capurro A, Artursson K, Waller KP, Bengtsson B, Ericsson-Unnerstad H, Aspán A: Comparison of a commercialized phenotyping system, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and *tuf* gene sequence-based genotyping for specieslevel identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from cases of bovine mastitis. *Vet Microbiol* 2009, 134:327–233.
- Zadoks RN, Watts JL: Species identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci: genotyping is superior to phenotyping. *Vet Microbiol* 2009, 134:20–28.
- Blaiotta G, Pennachia C, Ercolin D, Moschetti G, Villani F: Combining denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of 16S rDNA V3 region and 16S-23S rDNA spacer region polymorphism analyses for the identification of staphylococci from Italian fermented sausages. *Sys Appl Microbiol* 2003, 26:423–433.
- Burriel AR, Scott M: A comparison of methods used in species identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from the milk of sheep. Vet J 1998, 155:183–188.
- Jousson O, Di Bello D, Vanni M, Cardini G, Soldani G, Pretti C, Intorre L: Genotypic versus phenotypic identification of staphylococcal species of canine origin with special reference to *Staphylococcus schleiferi* subsp. coagulans. *Vet Microbiol* 2007, **123**:238–244.
- Thorberg BM, Brändström B: Evaluation of two commercial systems and a new identification scheme based on solid substrates for identifying coagulase-negative staphylococci from bovine mastitis. J Vet Med 2000, 47:683–691.
- Taponen S, Pyörälä S: Coagulase-negative staphylococci as cause of bovine mastitis- not so different from Staphylococcus aureus? Vet Microbiol 2009, 134:29–36.
- Park JY, Fox LK, Seo KS, McGuire MA, Park YH, Rurangirwa FW, Sischo WM, Bohach GA: Comparison of genotypic and phenotypic methods for the phenotypic identification of coagulase-negative Staphylococcal isolates from bovine intramammary infections. *Vet Microbiol* 2010, 147:142–148.
- Shah MM, lihara H, Noda M, Song SX, Nhung PH, Ohkusu K, Kawamura Y, Ezaki T: *DnaJ* gene sequence-based assay for species identification and phylogenetic grouping in the genus *Staphylococcus*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2007, 57:25–30.
- Drancourt M, Raoult D: *RpoB Gene Sequence-Based Identification of Staphylococcus Species*. J Clin Microbiol 2002, 40:1333–1338.
- Becker K, von Eiff C, Keller B, Brück M, Etienne J, Peters G: Thermonuclease gene as a target for specific identification of *Staphylococcus intermedius* isolates: use of a PCR-DNA enzyme immunoassay. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005, 51:237–244.
- Poyart C, Quesne G, Boumaila C, Trieu-Cuot P: Rapid and accurate specieslevel identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci by using the sodA gene as a target. J Clin Microbiol 2001, 39:4296–4301.
- Bergeron M, Dauwalderm O, Gouym M, Freydiere AM, Bes M, Meugnier H, Benito Y, Etienne J, Lina G, Vandenesch F, Boisset S: Species identification of staphylococci by amplification and sequencing of the *tuf* gene compared to the *gap* gene and by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010, 30:343–354.
- Hwang SM, Kim MS, Park KU, Song J, Kim EC: *tuf* gene sequence analysis has greater discriminatory power than 16S rRNA sequence analysis in identification of clinical isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011, 49:4142–4149.
- Blaiotta G, Fusco V, Ercolini D, Pepe O, Coppola S: Diversity of Staphylcoccus species strains based on partial kat (catalase) gene sequences and design of a PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism assay for identification and differentiation of coagulase-positive species (S. aureus, S. delphini, S. hyicus, S. schleiferi subsep. coagulans). J Clin Microbiol 2010, 48:192–201.
- Sasaki T, Tsubakishita S, Tanaka Y, Ohtsuka M, Hirotaki S, Kawakami T, Fukata T, Hiramatsu K: Multiplex- PCR method for species identification of coagulase positive staphylococci. J Clin Microbiol 2010, 48:765–769.
- 26. NCBI; 2012. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi? id=1279.

- Bannoehr J, Franco A, Iurescia M, Battisti A, Fitzgerald JR: Molecular diagnostic identification of *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009, 47:469–471.
- Kadlec K, Rohde J, Schwar S: Identification and presence of different staphylococcal species within the Staphylococcus intermedius group among various animal hosts. In Methicillin-resistant Staphylococci in animals: Veterinary and public health implications. ASM conference; 2009:42.
- Ghebremedhin B, Layer F, König W, König B: Genetic classification and distinguishing of Staphylococcus species based on different partial gap, 16S rRNA, hsp60, rpoB, sodA, and tuf gene sequences. J Clin Microbiol 2008, 46:1019–1025.
- Devriese LA, Vancanneyt M, Baele M, Vaneechoutte M, De Graef E, Snauwaert C, Cleenwerck I, Dawyndt P, Swings J, Decostere A, Haesebrouck F: Staphylococcus pseudintermedius sp. nov., a coagulase-positive species from animals. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2005, 55:1569–1573.
- Paul NC, Moodley A, Ghibaudo G, Guardabassi L: Carriage of methicillinresistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in small animal veterinarians: indirect evidence of zoonotic transmission. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2011, 58:533–539.
- Barros EM, Iório NL, Bastos Mdo C, dos Santos KR, Giambiagi-deMarval M: Species-level identification of clinical staphylococcal isolates based on polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of a partial groEL gene sequence. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007, 59:251–257.
- Moreira B, Boyle-Varva S, deLonge BL, Daum RS: Increased production of penicillin binding protein 2, increased detection of other penicillinbinding proteins, and decreased activity associated with glycopeptide resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41:1788–1793.
- Mellman A, Becker K, van Eiff C, Keckevoet U, Schumann P, Harmsen D: Sequencing and Staphylococci identification. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006, 12:333–336.
- Shin JH, Kim SH, Jeong HS, Oh SH, Kim HR, Lee JN, Yoon YC, Kim YW, Kim YH: (Identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis fluid using 16S ribosomal RNA, *tuf*, and *sodA* gene sequencing. *Perit Dial Int* 2011, 31:340–346.

doi:10.1186/2046-0481-66-7

Cite this article as: Geraghty *et al.*: Investigations on the efficacy of routinely used phenotypic methods compared to genotypic approaches for the identification of staphylococcal species isolated from companion animals in Irish veterinary hospitals. *Irish Veterinary Journal* 2013 **66**:7.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit