Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol. 22, No. 1 (1999) 49–54 S 0161-1712(99)22049-2 © Electronic Publishing House

NONWANDERING SETS OF MAPS ON THE CIRCLE

SEUNG WHA YEOM, KYUNG JIN MIN, and SEONG HOON CHO

(Received 30 April 1997 and in revised form 25 July 1997)

ABSTRACT. Let *f* be a continuous map of the circle *S*¹ into itself. And let R(f), $\Lambda(f)$, $\Gamma(f)$, and $\Omega(f)$ denote the set of recurrent points, ω -limit points, γ -limit points, and nonwandering points of *f*, respectively. In this paper, we show that each point of $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{R(f)}$ is one-side isolated, and prove that

(1) $\Omega(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ is countable and

(2) $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ and $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$ are either empty or countably infinite.

Keywords and phrases. Nonwandering point, recurrent point, one-side isolated.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58F.

1. Introduction. Let *I* be the unit interval, *S*¹ the circle, and *X* a topological space. And let $C^0(X,X)$ denote the set of continuous maps from *X* into itself. For any $f \in C^0(X,X)$, let $P(f), R(f), \Lambda(f), \Gamma(f)$, and $\Omega(f)$ denote the set of periodic points, recurrent points, ω -limit points, γ -limit points and nonwandering points of *f*, respectively.

For any $f \in C^0(I,I)$, in 1980, Z. Nitecki [6] has proved that each point of $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{P(f)}$ is isolated in $\Omega(f)$ if f is piecewise monotone and is not flat on any subinterval of I. In 1984, J. C. Xiong [7] has proved that each point of $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{P(f)}$ is one-side isolated in $\Omega(f)$, for a continuous self map of interval I. And, in 1988, J. C. Xiong [9] also showed that $\Omega(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ is countable and that $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ and $\overline{P(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$ are either empty or countably infinite.

In this paper, we obtain the following similar results for maps of the circle:

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then each point of $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{R(f)}$ is one-side isolated in $\Omega(f)$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then

- (1) $\Omega(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ is countable.
- (2) $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ and $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$ are either empty or countably infinite.

2. Preliminaries and definitions. Let *X* be a compact metric space and $f \in C^0(X, X)$. For any positive integer *n*, we define f^n inductively by $f^1 = f$ and $f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$. Let f^0 denote the identity map of *X*. The *forward orbit* Orb(x) of $x \in X$ is the set $\{f^k(x) \mid k = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$. Usually, the forward orbit of *x* is simply called the *orbit* of *x*.

A point $x \in X$ is called a *periodic point* of f if, for some positive integer $n, f^n(x) = x$. The period of x is the least such integer n. We denote the set of periodic points of f by P(f). A point $x \in X$ is called a *recurrent point* of f if there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers with $n_i \to \infty$ such that $f^{n_i}(x) \to x$. We denote the set of recurrent points of *f* by R(f). A point $x \in X$ is called a *nonwandering point* of *f* if, for every neighborhood *U* of *x*, there exists a positive integer *m* such that $f^m(U) \cap U \neq \phi$. We denote the set of nonwandering points of *f* by $\Omega(f)$.

A point $y \in X$ is called an ω -limit point of x if there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers with $n_i \to \infty$ such that $f^{n_i}(x) \to y$. We denote the set of ω -limit points of x by $\omega(x)$. Define $\Lambda(f) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \omega(x)$. A point $y \in X$ is called an α -limit point of x if there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers with $n_i \to \infty$ and a sequence $\{y_i\}$ of points such that $f^{n_i}(y_i) = x$ and $y_i \to y$. The symbol $\alpha(x)$ denotes the set of α -limit points of x. A point $y \in X$ is called a y-limit point of x if $y \in \omega(x) \cap \alpha(x)$. The symbol $\gamma(x)$ denotes the set of y-limit points of x and $\Gamma(f) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \gamma(x)$.

Let *R* be the set of reals and *Z* be the set of integers. Formally, we think of the circle S^1 as R/Z and use $\pi: R \longrightarrow R/Z$ to denote the canonical projection. In fact, the map $\pi: R \longrightarrow S^1$ is an example of a covering map since it wraps *R* around S^1 without doubling back (i.e., without critical points). To study the dynamics of the circle map, it is helpful to use a *lifting*. Let *f* be a continuous map on the circle. We say that a continuous map *F* from *R* into itself is a lifting of *f* if $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ F$. We use the following notations throughout this paper.

Let $a, b \in S^1$ with $a \neq b$, and let $A \in \pi^{-1}(a), B \in \pi^{-1}(b)$ with |A - B| < 1 and A < B. Then we write $\pi((A, B)), \pi([A, B]), \pi([A, B))$ and $\pi((A, B])$ to denote the open, closed, and half-open arcs from a counterclockwise to b, respectively, and we denote it by (a, b), [a, b], [a, b), and (a, b]. For $x, y \in [a, b]$ with $a \neq b$, let $X \in \pi^{-1}(x), Y \in \pi^{-1}(y)$ with $X, Y \in [A, B]$, then we define for $x, y \in [a, b], x > y$ if and only if X > Y. Let C be a subset of a closed arc [a, b], then we define $\sup C = \pi(\sup(\pi^{-1}(C) \cap [A, B]))$ and $\inf C = \pi(\inf(\pi^{-1}(C) \cap [A, B]))$.

In particular, for $a, b, c \in S^1$, a < b < c means that b lies in the open arc (a, c), that is, $b \in (a, c)$.

Let *X* be *I* or *S*¹ and *Y* \subset *X*. Let $x \in Y$. A point $x \in X$ is said to be *left-sided isolated* (resp., *right-sided isolated*) in *Y* if, for some $\epsilon > 0$, $(x - \epsilon, x) \cap Y = \phi$ (resp., $(x, x + \epsilon) \cap Y = \phi$). A point *x* is said to be *one-side isolated* in *Y* if *x* is either left-side or right-side isolated in *Y*, and a point *x* which is both a right-sided and a left-sided isolated in *Y* is said to be *isolated* in *Y*.

Let $x \in S^1$ and $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ be given. Then we use the symbols $\omega_+(x)$ (resp., $\omega_-(x)$) to denote the set of all points $y \in S^1$ such that there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers with $n_i \to \infty$ such that $f^{n_i}(x) \to y$ and $y < \cdots < f^{n_i}(x) < \cdots < f^{n_i}(x) < \cdots < f^{n_i}(x) < f^{n_1}(x)$ (resp. $f^{n_1}(x) < f^{n_2}(x) < \cdots < f^{n_i}(x) < \cdots < y$). It is clear that if $x \notin P(f)$, then $\omega(x) = \omega_+(x) \cup \omega_-(x)$. Define $\Lambda_+(f) = \bigcup_{x \in S^1} \omega_+(x)$ and $\Lambda_-(f) = \bigcup_{x \in S^1} \omega_-(x)$.

Also, we use the symbols $\alpha_+(x)$ (*resp.* $\alpha_-(x)$) to denote the set of all points $y \in S^1$ such that there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ of positive integers with $n_i \to \infty$ and a sequence $\{x_i\}$ of points such that $x_i \to y$, $f^{n_i}(x_i) = x$ for every i > 0 and $y < \cdots < x_i < \cdots < x_2 < x_1$ (*resp.* $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_i < \cdots < y$). It is clear that if $x \notin P(f)$, then $\alpha(x) = \alpha_+(x) \cup \alpha_-(x)$.

Define $\gamma_+(x) = \omega_+(x) \cap \alpha_+(x)$ and $\gamma_-(x) = \omega_-(x) \cap \alpha_-(x)$. Also, we define $\Gamma_+(f) = \bigcup_{x \in S^1} \gamma_+(x)$ and $\Gamma_-(f) = \bigcup_{x \in S^1} \gamma_-(x)$.

Let *Y* be an arc in S^1 and let \overline{Y} denote the closure of *Y* as usual. A point $y \in S^1$ is

called a *right-sided* (resp., *left-sided*) *accumulation point* of *Y* if, for any $z \in S^1$, $(y, z) \cap Y \neq \phi$ (resp. $(z, y) \cap Y \neq \phi$).

The right-side closure \overline{Y}_+ (*resp.* left-side closure \overline{Y}_-) is the union of *Y* and the set of right-sided (*resp.* left-sided) accumulation points of *Y*. A point which is both a right-sided and a left-sided accumulation point of *Y* is called a *two-sided accumulation point* of *Y*.

3. Main results. The following lemmas are founded in [3].

LEMMA 3.1. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ and $x \in \Omega(f)$. Then we have $x \in \alpha(x)$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ and I = [a,b] be an arc for some $a, b \in S^1$ with $a \neq b$, and let $I \cap P(f) = \phi$.

(a) Suppose that there exists $x \in I$ such that $f(x) \in I$ and x < f(x). Then

(i) if
$$y \in I, x < y$$
, and $f(y) \notin [y,b]$, then $[x, y] f$ -covers $[f(x), b]$, and

- (ii) if $y \in I$, y < x, and $f(y) \notin [y,b]$, then [y,x]f-covers [f(x),b].
- (b) Suppose that there exists $x \in I$ such that $f(x) \in I$ and x > f(x). Then
 - (i) if $y \in I, x < y$, and $f(y) \notin [a, y]$, then [x, y] f-covers [a, f(x)], and
 - (ii) if $y \in I$, y < x, and $f(y) \notin [a, y]$, then [y, x] f-covers [a, f(x)].

LEMMA 3.3. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then we have

$$P(f) \subset R(f) \subset \Gamma(f) \subset R(f) \subset \Lambda(f) \subset \Omega(f) \subset CR(f).$$
(1)

The following lemma is due to [5]

LEMMA 3.4. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$, and let $K \subset S^1$ with $f(K) \subset K$. If $x \in \Omega(f) \setminus K$, then $f^n(x) \notin K^\circ$ for any $n \ge 1$.

The idea of the proof of the following lemma is due to [7].

LEMMA 3.5. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$, and let $K \subset S^1$ have only finitely many connected components and f(K) = K. Then we have $\overline{K} \setminus K \subset P(f)$.

PROOF. By continuity of f, we have $f(\overline{K}) \subset \overline{f(K)}$. And by the compactness of $\overline{K}, f(\overline{K}) \subset S^1$ is closed. Thus, $\overline{f(K)} \subset \overline{f(\overline{K})} = f(\overline{K})$. Therefore, $f(\overline{K}) = \overline{f(K)} = \overline{K}$. Hence, for each $x \in \overline{K} \setminus K$, there exists $x' \in \overline{K} \setminus K$ such that f(x') = x, i.e., $f(\overline{K} \setminus K) = \overline{K} \setminus K$. By the finiteness of $\overline{K} \setminus K, \overline{K} \setminus K \subset P(f)$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Suppose that $x \in \Omega(f) \setminus \overline{R(f)}$.

- (1) If $x \in \alpha_+(x)$, then there exists $z \in S^1$ such that $f^i(z, x) \cap (z, x) = \phi$ for all $i \ge 1$.
- (2) If $x \in \alpha_{-}(x)$, then there exists $u \in S^{1}$ such that $f^{i}(x,u) \cap (x,u) = \phi$ for all $i \ge 1$.

PROOF. We only need to prove part (1). There exists $a, b \in S^1$ such that $x \in (a, b)$ and $(a, b) \cap \operatorname{Orb}(x) = \phi$. Let V = (a, x) and let $W = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} f^i(V)$. Then $x \in \overline{W}$. Since $x \in \alpha_+(x)$, there exist a positive integer m and a point $y \in (x, b)$ such that $f^m(y) = x$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$[x,y]f^m \text{-covers}[a,x].$$
(2)

We claim that $x \notin W$. To show this, suppose that $x \in W$. Then there exist a positive integer j and a point $x_0 \in (a, x)$ such that $f^j(x_0) = x$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$[x_0, x] f^j \text{-covers} [x, b]. \tag{3}$$

In particular, $[x_0, x] f^j$ -covers [x, y].

By (2),

$$[x_0, x] f^j \text{-covers} [x_0, y].$$
(4)

Thus,

$$[x_0, x] f^{j+m} \text{-covers itself,}$$
(5)

and, hence, f^{j+m} has a periodic point in (a, b), a contradiction. Hence, we have $x \in \overline{W} \setminus W$.

Assume that the proposition is false, i.e., for each $z \in (a, x)$, there is some $i \ge 1$ such that $(z, x) \cap f^i(z, x) \neq \phi$. Note that $V \subset f(W)$. Because, for each $y' \in V$, there is some $i \ge 1$ such that $(y', x) \cap f^i(y', x) \neq \phi$. There exists $x_0 \in (y', x)$ such that $f^i(x_0) \in (y', x)$. By Lemma 3.2, either

$$[x_0, x] f^i$$
-covers $[f^i(x_0), b]$ or $[x_0, x] f^i$ -covers $[a, f^i(x_0)]$. (6)

Particularly, either

$$[x_0, x] f^i$$
-covers $[x, b]$ or $[x_0, x] f^j$ -covers $[a, f^i(x_0)]$. (7)

If

$$[x_0, x] f^i \text{-covers} [x, b], \tag{8}$$

then

$$[x_0, x] f^j \text{-covers} [x, y].$$
(9)

By (2),

$$[x, y] f^m \text{-covers} [x_0, x].$$
(10)

Hence,

$$[x_0, x] f^{i+m} \text{-covers itself.}$$
(11)

Thus, f^{j+m} has a periodic point in (a, b). This is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$[x_0, x] f^j \text{-covers} [a, f^i(x_0)].$$

$$(12)$$

Thus, $y' \in f^i(x_0, x) \subset f^i(V) \subset f(W)$ since $y' \in (a, f^i(x_0))$. Thus, for each $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., l-1, f^i(V) \cap f^{l+i}(V) \neq \phi$, and $f^{l+i}(V) \cap f^{2l+i}(V) \neq \phi$, Therefore, $U_i = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} f^{ml+i}(V)$ is connected and $W = \bigcup_{i=0}^{l-1} U_i$ has only finitely many connected components. Now, by Lemma 3.5, $x \in \overline{W} \setminus W \subset P(f)$. This is in contradiction with the assumption of this proposition.

52

The following theorem follows immediately from the proposition.

THEOREM 3.7. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then each point of $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{R(f)}$ is one-side isolated in $\Omega(f)$.

COROLLARY 3.8. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{R(f)}$ is countable which is nowhere *dense in* S^1 .

The following proposition is found in [1].

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then we have (1) $\overline{R(f)}_+ \setminus R(f) \subset \Lambda(f)_+$. (2) $\overline{R(f)}_- \setminus R(f) \subset \Lambda(f)_-$.

PROPOSITION 3.10. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then we have $\overline{R(f)}_+ \cap \overline{R(f)}_- \setminus R(f) \subset \Gamma(f)$.

PROOF. If $P(f) = \phi$, then we have the desired results since $\overline{R(f)} = \Gamma(f)$ [2]. Suppose that $P(f) \neq \phi$. Let $z \in \overline{R(f)}_+ \cap \overline{R(f)}_- \setminus R(f)$. Then there exist $a, b \in S^1$ with a < b such that $z \in (a, b)$ and $(a, b) \cap \operatorname{Orb}(z) = \phi$. By Proposition 3.9, $z \in \Lambda(f)_+ \cap \Lambda(f)_-$. Then there exist y_1, y_2 such that $a < y_1 < z < y_2 < b$ with $z \in \omega(y_1) \cap \omega(y_2)$. Since $\overline{P(f)} = \overline{R(f)}$ [4], $z \in \overline{P(f)}_+ \cap \overline{P(f)}_- \setminus P(f)$. Then there exists u_i of periodic point of f with $a < y_1 < u_2 < \cdots < z$ and $u_i \longrightarrow z$. Let p_i be the period of u_i with respect to f. Then $f^{p_i}(u_i) = u_i$ for all $i \ge 1$. Then either $[u_i, z] f^{p_i}$ -covers $[a, u_i]$ or $[u_i, z] f^{p_i}$ -covers $[u_i, b]$.

We may assume that, for infinitely many *i*, either

$$[u_i, z] f^{p_i}$$
-covers $[a, u_i]$ or $[u_i, z] f^{p_i}$ -covers $[u_i, b]$. (13)

Then we consider two cases.

CASE I. $[u_i, z] f^{p_i}$ -covers $[a, u_i]$ for infinitely many *i*. There exists $z_i \in [u_i, z]$ such that $f^{p_i}(z_i) = y_1$. Since $u_i \rightarrow z, z_i \rightarrow z$. Thus, $z \in \alpha(y_1)$ and, hence, $z \in \omega(y_1) \cap \alpha(y_1) \subset \Gamma(f)$.

CASE II. $[u_i, z] f^{p_i}$ -covers $[u_i, b]$ for infinitely many *i*. There exists $z'_i \in [u_i, z]$ such that $f^{p_i}(z'_i) = y_1$. Since $u_i \to z, z'_i \to z$. Thus, $z \in \alpha(y_2)$ and, hence, $z \in \omega(y_2) \cap \alpha(y_2) \subset \Gamma(f)$.

The idea of the proof of the following lemma is due to [8].

LEMMA 3.11. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ and $Y \subset S^1$. Then $\overline{Y} \setminus (\overline{Y} + \cap \overline{Y}_-)$ is countable.

PROOF. For each $y \in \overline{Y}_+ \setminus \overline{Y}_-$, there is some $u_y \in S^1$ such that $(u_y, y) \cap Y = \phi$. The family of $\{(u_y, y) \mid y \in \overline{Y}_+ \setminus \overline{Y}_-\}$ is countable because it is disjoint. Hence, $\overline{Y}_+ \setminus \overline{Y}_-$ is countable. Similarly, $\overline{Y}_- \setminus \overline{Y}_+$ is also countable. Therefore,

$$\overline{Y} \setminus (\overline{Y}_{+} \cap \overline{Y}_{-}) = (\overline{Y}_{+} \setminus \overline{Y}_{-}) \cup (\overline{Y}_{-} \setminus \overline{Y}_{+})$$

$$(14)$$

is countable.

THEOREM 3.12. Let $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$. Then

(1) $\Omega(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ is countable.

(2) $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ and $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$ are either empty or countably infinite.

PROOF. (1) We know that $\overline{R(f)} \setminus (\overline{R(f)}_+ \cap \overline{R(f)}_-)$ is countable by Lemma 3.11. By Proposition 3.10, $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$ is also countable. By Corollary 3.8, $\Omega(f) \setminus \overline{R(f)}$ is countable. Hence, $\Omega(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ is countable.

(2) It is easy to prove that $f(\omega(x)) = \omega(x)$ and $f(\overline{R(f)}) = \overline{R(f)}$ for $x \in S^1$. Hence, $f(\Lambda(f)) = \Lambda(f)$. Suppose that $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f) \neq \phi$ (resp., $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f) \neq \phi$). Then we take $z_1 \in \Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ (resp., $z_1 \in \overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$). We can take $z_2 \in \Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ (resp., $z_2 \in \overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$) such that $z_1 = f(z_2)$. Continuing this process, we can take $z_i \in$ $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ (resp., $z_i \in \overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$) such that $z_i = f(z_{i+1})$ for all i = 1, 2, ... Since $z_i \notin (f)$ for all $i \ge 1$, the points $z_1, z_2, ...$ are pairwise disjoint. Hence, $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ (resp., $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$) is infinite and, hence, $\Lambda(f) \setminus \Gamma(f)$ (resp., $\overline{R(f)} \setminus \Gamma(f)$) is countably infinite.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research was supported by fund of SNUT.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Bae, S. H. Cho, K. J. Min, and S. K. Yang, *Nonwandering points and the attracting centre of a map on the circle*, to appear.
- [2] _____, *Nonwandering points of a map on the circle*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **33** (1996), no. 4, 1115–1121. MR 97m:58061. Zbl 871.58031.
- [3] J. S. Bae, S. H. Cho, and S. K. Yang, *Relations between nonwandering points and turning points on the circle*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (1995), no. 1, 57-66. MR 96b:58028. Zbl 836.54026.
- [4] J. S. Bae and S. K. Yang, $\overline{P} = \overline{R}$ for maps of the circle, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 24 (1987), 151-157. Zbl 718.54047.
- [5] _____, ω-limit sets for maps of the circle, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 25 (1988), no. 2, 233-242.
 MR 90m:58164. Zbl 681.54020.
- [6] Z. Nitecki, Periodic and limit orbits and the depth of the center for piecewise monotone interval maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), no. 3, 511–514. MR 81j:58068. Zbl 478.58019.
- [7] J. C. Xiong, *Nonwandering sets of continuous interval self-maps*, Kexue Tongbao (English Ed.) 29 (1984), no. 11, 1431–1433. MR 86j:58131. Zbl 575.54034.
- [8] _____, Sets of recurrent points of continuous maps of the interval, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
 95 (1985), no. 3, 491-494. MR 87d:58114. Zbl 591.54031.
- [9] _____, The attracting centre of a continuous self-map of the interval, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 8 (1988), no. 2, 205–213. MR 90b:58131. Zbl 658.58032.

YEOM AND MIN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOL-OGY, NOWON-GU, SEOUL, 139-743, KOREA

Cho: Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Chungnam, Seosan, 356-820, Korea



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

