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Nonlinear conjugate gradient method is one of the useful methods for unconstrained optimization problems. In this paper, we consider three kinds of nonlinear conjugate gradient methods with Wolfe type line search for unstrained optimization problems. Under some mild assumptions, the global convergence results of the given methods are proposed. The numerical results show that the nonlinear conjugate gradient methods with Wolfe type line search are efficient for some unconstrained optimization problems.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we focus our attention on the global convergence of nonlinear conjugate gradient method with Wolfe type line search. We consider the following unconstrained optimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in R^{n}} f(x) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (1), $f$ is continuously differentiable function, and its gradient is denoted by $g(x)=\nabla f(x)$. Of course, the iterative methods are often used for (1). The iterative formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{k}, x_{k+1} \in R^{n}$ is the kth and (k+1)th iterative step, $\alpha_{k}$ is a step size, and $d_{k}$ is a search direction. Here, in the following, we define the search direction by

$$
d_{k}= \begin{cases}-g_{k}, & \text { if } k=1  \tag{3}\\ -g_{k}+\beta_{k} d_{k-1}, & \text { if } k \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

In (3), $\beta_{k}$ is a conjugate gradient scalar, and the well-known useful formulas are $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{FR}}, \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{PRP}}, \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{HS}}$, and $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{DY}}$ (see [1-6]). Recently, some kinds of new nonlinear conjugate gradient methods are given in [7-11]. Based on the new method, we give some new kinds of nonlinear conjugate gradient
methods and analyze the global convergence of the methods with Wolfe type line search.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the methods and the global convergence results for them. In the last section, numerical results and some discussions are given.

## 2. The Methods and Their Global Convergence Results

Firstly, we give the Wolfe type line search, which will be used in our new nonlinear conjugate gradient methods. In the following section of this paper, $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the 2-norm.

We have used the Wolfe type line search in [12].
The line search is to compute $\alpha_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}\right) \leq f\left(x_{k}\right)-\rho \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}  \tag{4}\\
g\left(x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}\right)^{T} d_{k} \geq-2 \sigma \alpha_{k}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\rho, \sigma \in(0,1), \rho<\sigma$.
Now, we present the nonlinear conjugate gradient methods as follows.

Algorithm 1. We have the following steps.
Step 0 . Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}$, set $d_{0}=-g_{0}, k:=0$. If $\left\|g_{0}\right\|=0$, then stop.

Step 1. Find $\alpha_{k}>0$ satisfying (4) and (5), and by (2), $x_{k+1}$ is given. If $\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|=0$, then stop.

Step 2. Compute $d_{k}$ by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\beta_{k} d_{k-1}-\left(1+\beta_{k} \frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right) g_{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\beta_{k}=-\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2} / d_{k-1}^{T} g_{k-1}$. Set $k:=k+1$, and go to Step 1.

Before giving the global convergence theorem, we need the following assumptions.

Assumption 1. (A1) The set $L_{0}=\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid f(x) \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded.
(A2) In the neighborhood of $L_{0}$, denoted as $U, f$ is continuously differentiable. Its gradient is Lipschitz continuous; namely, for $x, y \in U$, there exists $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g(x)-g(y)\| \leq L\|x-y\| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to establish the global convergence of Algorithm 1, we also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds; then, (4) and (5) are well defined.

The proof is essentially the same as Lemma 1 of [12]; hence, we do not rewrite it again.

Lemma 3. Suppose that direction $d_{k}$ is given by (6); then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}^{T} g_{k}=-\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $k \geq 0$. So, one knows that $d_{k}$ is descent search direction.

Proof. From the definitions of $d_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$, we can get it.
Lemma 4. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds, and $\alpha_{k}$ is determined by (4) and (5); one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (4), (5), Lemma 3, and Assumption 1, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(2 \sigma+L) \alpha_{k}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq g_{k}^{T} d_{k} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \sigma+L) \alpha_{k}\left\|d_{k}\right\| \geq-\frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By squaring both sides of the previous inequation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \sigma+L)^{2} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4), we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2 \sigma+L)^{2} \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{(2 \sigma+L)^{2}}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{f\left(x_{k}\right)-f\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right\}  \tag{13}\\
& <+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

So, we get (9), and this completes the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 5. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds, $d_{k}$ is computed by (6), and $\alpha_{k}$ is determined by (4) and (5); one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemmas 3 and 4, we can obtain (14).
Theorem 6. Consider Algorithm 1, and suppose that Assumption 1 holds. Then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We suppose that the theorem is not true. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{k}\right\| \geq \epsilon \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $k \geq 0$.
From (6) and Lemma 3, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}= & \left(\beta_{k}\right)^{2}\left\|d_{k-1}\right\|^{2}-\left(1+\beta_{k} \frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right)^{2}\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& -2\left(1+\beta_{k} \frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right) d_{k}^{T} g_{k} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing the previous inequation by $\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}}= & \frac{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{\beta_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(1+\beta_{k} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1} /\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\left(d_{k}^{T} g_{k}\right)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{2\left(1+\beta_{k} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1} /\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)}{d_{k}^{T} g_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{\left\|d_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{4}}+\frac{1}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}} \\
&-\frac{\beta_{k}^{2}\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}\right)^{2} /\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{\left\|d_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{4}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{k}{\epsilon^{2}} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}} \geq \sum_{k \geq 1} \epsilon^{2} \frac{1}{k}=+\infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (14). Hence we get this theorem.
Remark 7. In Algorithm 1, we also can use the following equations to compute $d_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\beta_{k} d_{k-1}-\beta_{k} \frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}-g_{k} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{k}=\max \left\{\min \left\{\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{FR}}, \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{PRP}}\right\}, 0\right\}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\beta_{k} d_{k-1}-\beta_{k} \frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}-g_{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{k}=\max \left\{\min \left\{\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{LS}}, \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{CD}}\right\}, 0\right\}$.
Algorithm 8. We have the following steps.
Step 0. Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}$, set $d_{0}=-g_{0}, k=0$. If $\left\|g_{0}\right\|=0$, then stop.

Step 1. Find $\alpha_{k}>0$ satisfying (4) and (5), and by (2), $x_{k+1}$ is given. If $\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|=0$, then stop.

Step 2. Compute $\beta_{k}$ by formula

$$
\beta_{k}= \begin{cases}\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{DY}}=\frac{g_{k}^{T} g_{k}}{\left(g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right)^{T} d_{k-1}}, & \left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{2} \leq g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}  \tag{22}\\ \beta_{k}^{\mathrm{FR}}=\frac{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}, & \left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{2}>g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}\end{cases}
$$

and compute $d_{k+1}$ by (3). Set $k:=k+1$, and go to Step 1 .
Lemma 9. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds, and $\beta_{k}$ is computed by (22); if $\left\|g_{k}\right\| \neq 0$, then one gets $g_{k}^{T} d_{k}<0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and $\beta_{k}^{\mathrm{FR}} \geq\left|\beta_{k}\right|$ (see [9]).

Lemma 10. Suppose that $l>0$, and $v$ is a constant. If positive series $\zeta_{k}$ satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \zeta_{i} \geq l k+v \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_{i}^{2}}{i}=+\infty \\
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_{k}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \zeta_{i}}=+\infty \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the previous analysis, we can get the following global convergence result for Algorithm 8.

Theorem 11. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds, and $\|g(x)\|^{2} \leq$ $\bar{c}$, where $\bar{c}$ is a constant. Then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2} \geq \varepsilon \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $k$. From (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\beta_{k} d_{k-1}-g_{k} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Squaring both sides of the previous equation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}=\left(\beta_{k}\left\|d_{k-1}\right\|\right)^{2}-\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}-2 g_{k}^{T} d_{k} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\vartheta_{k}=\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} /\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}$ and $r_{k}=-g_{k}^{T} d_{k} /\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}$; from (22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{k} \leq \vartheta_{k-1}-2 \frac{r_{k}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}-\frac{1}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\vartheta_{1}=1 /\left\|g_{1}\right\|^{2}, r_{1}=1$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\left|r_{i}\right|-\frac{k}{\bar{c}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (30), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{\vartheta}_{k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\left|r_{i}\right|,  \tag{31}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|r_{i}\right| \geq 2 \frac{\varepsilon k}{\bar{c}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (31) and Lemma 10, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\left(g_{k}^{T} d_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}=+\infty \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts Lemma 4. Therefore, we get this theorem.

Algorithm 12. We have the following steps.
Step 0. Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}, \mu>1 / 4$, set $d_{0}=-g_{0}, k:=0$. If $\left\|g_{0}\right\|=0$, then stop.

Step 1. Find $\alpha_{k}>0$ satisfying (4) and (5), and by (2), $x_{k+1}$ is given. If $\left\|g_{k+1}\right\|=0$, then stop.

Step 2. Compute $d_{k}$ by

$$
d_{k}= \begin{cases}-g_{k}, & k=0  \tag{33}\\ \beta_{k} d_{k-1}-\left(1+\beta_{k} \frac{g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2}}\right) g_{k}, & k \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}=\frac{g_{k}^{T}\left(g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right)}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}-\mu \frac{\left\|g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right\|^{2} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{4}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $k:=k+1$, and go to Step 1 .
Lemma 13. Suppose that direction $d_{k}$ is given by (33) and (34); then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}^{T} g_{k}=-\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq 0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $k \geq 0$.
Lemma 14. Suppose that Assumption 1 holds, $d_{k}$ is generated by (33) and (34), and $\alpha_{k}$ is determined by (4) and (5); one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 4 and Lemma 13, we obtain (36).
Lemma 15. Suppose that $f$ is convex. That is, $d^{T} \nabla^{2} f(x) d \geq 0$, for alld $\in R^{n}$, where $\nabla^{2} f(x)$ is the Hessian matrix of $f$. Let $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{k}\right\}$ be generated by Algorithm 12; one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \alpha_{k}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} \leq-g_{k}^{T} d_{k} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Taylor's theorem, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{k+1}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right)+g_{k}^{T} s_{k}+\frac{1}{2} s_{k}^{T} G_{k} s_{k} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{k}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}$, and $G_{k}=\int_{0}^{1} \nabla^{2} f\left(x_{k}+\tau s_{k}\right) d \tau s_{k}$.
By Assumption 1, (4), and (38), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho \alpha_{k}^{2}\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2} \geq f\left(x_{k+1}\right)-f\left(x_{k}\right) \geq g_{k}^{T} s_{k}=\alpha_{k} g_{k}^{T} d_{k} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we get (37).
Theorem 16. Consider Algorithm 12, and suppose that Assumption 1 and the assumption of Lemma 15 hold. Then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We suppose that the conclusion is not true. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{k}\right\| \geq \epsilon \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $k \geq 0$.
By Lemma 13, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}=-\frac{g_{k}^{T}\left(g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right)}{g_{k-1}^{T} d_{k-1}}-\mu\left(\frac{\left\|g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right\|}{-g_{k-1}^{T} d_{k-1}}\right)^{2} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Assumption 1, Lemma 15, and (42), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{k}\right| \leq\left(\frac{\mu L^{2}+\rho L}{\rho^{2}}\right) \frac{\left\|g_{k}\right\|}{\left\|d_{k-1}\right\|} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (33), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|d_{k}\right\| & \leq\left\|g_{k}\right\|+2\left(\frac{\mu L^{2}+\rho L}{\rho^{2}}\right)\left\|g_{k}\right\| \\
& =\left(1+2 \frac{L}{\rho}+2 \frac{\mu L^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right)\left\|g_{k}\right\| \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\left\|g_{k}\right\|^{4}}{\left\|d_{k}\right\|^{2}} \geq+\infty \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (36). Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we complete the proof of this theorem.
Remark 17. In Algorithm 12, $\beta_{k}$ can also be computed by the following formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{k}= & \frac{g_{k}^{T}\left(g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right)}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{2}} \\
& -\min \left\{\frac{g_{k}^{T}\left(g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right)}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{2}}, \mu \frac{\left\|g_{k}-g_{k-1}\right\|^{2} g_{k}^{T} d_{k-1}}{\left\|g_{k-1}\right\|^{4}}\right\}, \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu>1 / 4$.

## 3. Numerical Experiments and Discussions

In this section, we give some numerical experiments for the previous new nonlinear conjugate gradient methods with Wolfe type line search and some discussions. The problems that we tested are from [13]. We use the condition $\left\|g_{k+1}\right\| \leq$ $10^{-6}$ as the stopping criterion. We use MATLAB 7.0 to test the chosen problems. We give the numerical results of Algorithms 1 and 12 to show that the method is efficient for unconstrained optimization problems. The numerical results of Algorithms 1 and 12 are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Test results for Algorithm 1.

| Name | Dim | NI | NF | NG |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GULF | 3 | 2 | 52 | 3 |
| VARDIM | 2 | 3 | 54 | 6 |
| LIN | 50 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| LIN | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| LIN | 1000 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| LIN1 | 2 | 1 | 51 | 2 |
| LIN1 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| LIN0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |

Name: the test problem name; Dim: the problem dimension; NI: the iterations number; NF: the function evaluations number; NG: the gradient evaluations number.

Table 2: Test results for Algorithm 12.

| Name | Dim | NI | NF | NG |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GULF | 3 | 2 | 52 | 3 |
| BIGGS | 6 | 1000 | 1149 | 1095 |
| IE | 50 | 1000 | 1053 | 1003 |
| IE | 3 | 1000 | 1101 | 1052 |
| TRIG | 1000 | 1103 | 1052 | 3 |
| BV | 10 | 5 | 203 | 55 |
| BV | 3 | 6 | 109 | 9 |

Name: the test problem name; Dim: the problem dimension; NI: the iterations number; NF: the function evaluations number; NG: the gradient evaluations number.

Discussion 1. From the analysis of the global convergence of Algorithm 1, we can see that if $d_{k}$ satisfies the property of efficient descent search direction, we can get the global convergence of the corresponding nonlinear conjugate gradient method with Wolfe type line search without other assumptions.

Discussion 2. In Algorithm 8, we use a Wolfe type line search. Overall, we also feel that nonmonotone line search (see [14]) also can be used in our algorithms.

Discussion 3. From the analysis of the global convergence of Algorithm 12, we can see that when $d_{k}$ is an efficient descent search direction, we can get the global convergence of the corresponding conjugate gradient method with Wolfe type line search without requiring uniformly convex function.

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (11101231 and 10971118), Project of Shandong Province Higher Educational Science and Technology Program (J10LA05), and the International Cooperation Program for Excellent Lecturers of 2011 by Shandong Provincial Education Department.

## References

[1] B. T. Polyak, "The conjugate gradient method in extremal problems," USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 94-112, 1969.
[2] R. Fletcher and C. M. Reeves, "Function minimization by conjugate gradients," The Computer Journal, vol. 7, pp. 149-154, 1964.
[3] M. R. Hestenes, "E.Stiefel. Method of conjugate gradient for solving linear equations," Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, vol. 49, pp. 409-436, 1952.
[4] Y. H. Dai and Y. Yuan, "A nonlinear conjugate gradient method with a strong global convergence property," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 177-182, 1999.
[5] R. Fletcher, Practical Methods of Optimization, Unconstrained Optimization, Wiley, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1987.
[6] M. Raydan, "The Barzilai and Borwein gradient method for the large scale unconstrained minimization problem," SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 26-33, 1997.
[7] L. Zhang and W. Zhou, "Two descent hybrid conjugate gradient methods for optimization," Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 251-264, 2008.
[8] A. Zhou, Z. Zhu, H. Fan, and Q. Qing, "Three new hybrid conjugate gradient methods for optimization," Applied Mathematics, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 303-308, 2011.
[9] B. C. Jiao, L. P. Chen, and C. Y. Pan, "Global convergence of a hybrid conjugate gradient method with Goldstein line search," Mathematica Numerica Sinica, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 137-146, 2007.
[10] G. Yuan, "Modified nonlinear conjugate gradient methods with sufficient descent property for large-scale optimization problems," Optimization Letters, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 11-21, 2009.
[11] Z. Dai and B. Tian, "Global convergence of some modified PRP nonlinear conjugate gradient methods," Optimization Letters, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 615-630, 2011.
[12] C. Y. Wang, Y. Y. Chen, and S. Q. Du, "Further insight into the Shamanskii modification of Newton method," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 180, no. 1, pp. 46-52, 2006.
[13] J. J. Moré, B. S. Garbow, and K. E. Hillstrom, "Testing unconstrained optimization software," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 17-41, 1981.
[14] L. Grippo, F. Lampariello, and S. Lucidi, "A nonmonotone line search technique for Newton's method," SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 707-716, 1986.


Advances in Operations Research $-$


The Scientific World Journal


Advances in
Decision Sciences
= -


## Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com


Mathematical Problems in Engineering


Journal of Function Spaces
$\underline{=}$



International Journal of Differential Equations 5


