

Clinical Study Intravitreal Melphalan for Vitreous Seeds: Initial Experience in China

Xunda Ji,¹ Peiyan Hua,¹ Jing Li,¹ Jiakai Li,¹ Junyang Zhao,² and Peiquan Zhao¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, 1665 Kongjiang Road, Shanghai 200092, China

²Department of Ophthalmology, Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University, 56 Nanlishi Road, Xicheng District, Beijing 100045, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Junyang Zhao; zhaojunyang@gmail.com and Peiquan Zhao; zhaopeiquan@126.com

Received 20 October 2015; Revised 29 December 2015; Accepted 12 January 2016

Academic Editor: Ji-jing Pang

Copyright © 2016 Xunda Ji et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. To evaluate the efficacy of intravitreal melphalan for vitreous seeds from retinoblastoma in Chinese patients. *Methods.* This is a retrospective review of 17 consecutive Chinese patients (19 eyes) with viable vitreous seeds from retinoblastoma. The patients received multiple intravitreal injections of 20 ug melphalan. *Results.* The International Classification of Retinoblastoma groups were B in 1 eye, C in 5 eyes, D in 11 eyes, and E in 2 eyes. On average, 6 injections (range: 1–15) were given to each eye at the interval of 2–4 weeks. Successful control of vitreous seeds was achieved in 16 of 19 eyes (84.21%). Globe retention was achieved in 73.68% (14/19) eyes. The patients were followed up for 27 months on average (median: 26; range: 17–42 months). There is a significant difference in response to intravitreal melphalan for cloud, spheres, and dust seeds with a median number of injections of 9, 6, and 3, respectively (P = 0.003). Complications related to intravitreal melphalan included vitreous hemorrhage, cataract, salt-and-pepper retinopathy, and pupil posterior synechia. There was no case of epibulbar extension or systemic metastasis within the period of follow-up. *Conclusion.* Intravitreal melphalan achieved a high local control rate for vitreous seeds without extraocular extension and with acceptable toxicity in Chinese retinoblastoma patients.

1. Introduction

Retinoblastoma is the most common primary intraocular malignancy of infancy and childhood, with an incidence of 1 per 15,000 to 1 per 20,000 live births [1]. Intravenous chemotherapy (IVC) is an effective way of treating the disease when there is no vitreous or subretinal seeding. According to the International Classification of Retinoblastoma, the success rate of IVC combined with local therapy was 90% to 100% for groups A, B, and C; 47% for group D; and 25% for group E retinoblastoma, though these articles rarely stated the percent of D or E eyes that were primarily enucleated [2, 3]. Although there was no randomized study which compared the outcome of ophthalmic artery chemosurgery (OAC) and IVC, single institution retrospective case series seemed to suggest that OAC may have a higher success rate and less systemic side effects than IVC for D or E eyes [4, 5].

Despite the dramatic increase in ocular salvage with OAC, vitreous seeding is still one of the main reasons for subsequent enucleation in treated eyes [6]. Vitreous seeds respond poorly to chemotherapeutical drugs delivered via intravenous, intra-arterial, or periocular route. More than 50 years ago, Ericson and his group reported on the intravitreal delivery of chemotherapeutical drugs targeting vitreous seeds [7]. However, this method was not employed in routine use due to the concern on possible extraocular spread of tumor cells and inconsistent successes [8]. Half a century later, several groups revisited the chemotherapeutical drugs and intravitreal drug delivery methods for treating vitreous seeds. In 2011, Suzuki and Kaneko reported the results on intravitreal delivery of melphalan to treat 237 eyes of 227 patients with vitreous seeds [9]. Only one eye (0.4%) had extraocular metastasis. These same patients also received OAC and half also received external beam irradiation. So it is

difficult to know the contribution of the intravitreal injection to overall success. Other groups in Europe and America then showed that intravitreal chemotherapy with melphalan is an effective and safe modality for eliminating vitreous seeds from retinoblastoma when the dose was increased to 20– 30 ug [10–12].

Here, we report our experience on intravitreal melphalan in treating vitreous seeds in 17 Chinese retinoblastoma patients.

2. Methods

This is a retrospective, noncomparative, interventional study. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was undertaken with the understanding of each guardian of participating patient. Informed written consent was obtained from each guardian.

2.1. Patients. Seventeen consecutive Chinese retinoblastoma patients with active vitreous seeds who received intravitreal injection of melphalan between November 2011 and August 2013 were included. There were 6 eyes with resistant vitreous seeds and 13 eyes with recurrent vitreous seeds after completion of 2-3 sessions of OAC and/or 4–6 sessions of IVC. Informed written consent was obtained from each guardian.

2.2. Treatment. Intravitreal injection was performed under general anesthesia. Before injection, the location of retinal tumor and vitreous seeds was identified using RetCam and indirect ophthalmoscope. In order to minimize reflux, hypotony was induced by aspirating 0.1 mL of anterior chamber fluid using a 27-gauge needle prior to intravitreal injection. Anterior chamber fluid was sent for cytopathological analysis. Scleral entry site was selected at 2.5-3.0 mm away from the limbus to avoid touching the tumor tissue, vitreous seeds, and detached retina. A 30-gauge needle attached to a tuberculin syringe was placed perpendicularly through the sclera entry. Twenty-microgram melphalan in 0.1 mL solution was injected slowly and continuously within 3 seconds. After pulling out the needle, the scleral injection site was pressed using cotton swab for about 5 seconds. Forty-microgram melphalan in 0.2 mL was administered subconjunctivally around the scleral injection site. Tobradex eye drops were used three times a day for 3 days. Retinal tumors were treated with OAC, IVC, and focal consolidation such as transpupillary thermotherapy, laser coagulation, and cryotherapy if necessary. We assessed response to treatment and complications under anesthesia every 2-4 weeks with RetCam and indirect ophthalmoscope. According to Munier's study [11], complete response is established if the seeds present completely disappear (vitreous seeds regression type 0), refringent and/or calcified residues (type I), amorphous often nonspherical inactive residues (type II), or a combination of the latter two (type III). Multiple injections were performed to control vitreous seeds. When complete response is noted, intravitreal injection would be stopped.

Data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 19) after Levene's test for the equity of variance. *P* value equal to or less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Nine patients with bilateral and 8 with unilateral retinoblastoma (10 boys and 7 girls) were included in the study. The median age at diagnosis was 19 months (range 8–73 months). Based on the International Classification of Retinoblastoma, these eyes were classified as group B (n = 1), C (n = 5), D (n = 11), or E (n = 2). A total of 19 eyes were treated, which included 11 eyes (58%) with localised vitreous seeds (confined to one quadrant) and 8 (42%) with extensive vitreous seeds (more than one quadrant). Based on morphologic features, vitreous seeds were classified as dust (n = 5), spheres (n = 8), and cloud (n = 6) [12]. The median age at the first injection was 27 months (range 11–109 months).

The detailed information of each treated patient was listed in Table 1. In total, these cases received 123 (median, 6 times; range, 1–15 times) intravitreal injections delivered every 2–4 weeks. Two patients received bilateral injection and others received unilateral treatment. In this study, vitreous seeds in all cases regressed after the completion of intravitreal injection with type 0 (n = 10), type I (n = 3), and type III (n = 6) (Figures 1 and 2). There is a significant difference in response to intravitreal melphalan for cloud, spheres, and dust seeds with a median number of injections of 9, 6, and 3, respectively (P = 0.003). No significant difference was noted in the number of injections to control diffuse and localised seeds, and between recurrent and resistant seeds.

Overall, vitreous seeds were successfully controlled in 16 out of 19 eyes (84.21%). Extensive recurrence of vitreous seeds was found in 3 eyes which resulted in enucleation. The interval between the end of intravitreal injection and recurrence was 2, 3, and 7 months, respectively. No recurrence of retinal tumor was noted in the 3 eyes. Except for the 3 eyes, 2 more eyes were removed due to retinal tumor recurrence (n = 1) and hypotony after vitrectomy (n = 1). Globe retention was achieved in 14/19 (73.68%). The patients were followed up for 27 months on average (median: 26; range: 17–42 months). Cytopathological examination of the anterior chamber fluid was negative for malignant cells in each case.

Mild vitreous hemorrhage developed and cleared within 2 months in 2 cases. Cataract was noted in 3 cases, 1 of which received cataract surgery. A localised peripheral salt-and-pepper retinopathy was found in 8 eyes near the site of injection. Pupil posterior synechia was noted in 1 case. No occurrence of endophthalmitis or rhegmatogenous retinal detachment was noted. There was no case of extraocular extension or metastasis within the period of follow-up.

4. Discussion

This study summarises our experience performing intravitreal injection of melphalan to treat vitreous seeds from retinoblastoma. It showed that intravitreal injection with melphalan could achieve high control rate with 84% (16/19) for vitreous seeds. This is close to what was reported by other groups. Munier et al. showed an unprecedented success

27 36 37 34 29 29 29 29 v-up (mo) 29 TABLE 1: Characteristics and treatment details of each treated patient.

Follow-up (ry 29	27	36	3	29	42	34	29	5	25
Additional treatment	Cataract surge	NA	2IVC Focal	Enu (VS recurrenc	NA	Focal	Focal	NA	lIVC Enu (VS recurrence)	Focal
Complication	Severe CC SPR	SPR	Mild VH	None	SPR	None	None	SPR	None	SPR
Times of injection	И	9	11	Q	4	9	10	9	9	6
VS regression type	0	0		n	n	0	n	0	б	0
VS type before injection	Recurrent Extensive Spheres	Recurrent Localised Spheres	Resistant Extensive Cloud	Recurrent Extensive Spheres	Recurrent Extensive Dust	Recurrent Localised Spheres	Resistant Extensive Cloud	Recurrent Localised Spheres	Recurrent Extensive Spheres	Recurrent Localised
Previous treatment	6IVC 10AC Focal	4IVC 10AC	4IVC Focal	5IVC	4IVC 10AC	6IVC	6IVC 20AC	3IVC 60AC	6IVC	5IVC Focal
Group	D	D	D	D	D	D	ш	C	D	В
Eye treated	SO	SO	SO	OD	OD	SO	OD	OD	SO	SO
Patient	1	5	3	4	5	6		×	6	10

Journal of Ophthalmology

G	roup	treatment	VS type before injection	VS regression type	Times of injection	Complication	treatment	Follow-up (mo)
	C	5IVC Focal	Resistant Localised	0	~	None	Focal	22
		1 0001	Spheres					
	C	8IVC	Resistant	-	o	Mild CC	Eocol	¢¢
)	Focal	Cloud	Т	D		1 0041	F 7
		UNU VIIV	Resistant				1040	
	D	20AC	Extensive	0	4	None	Focal	23
			Cloud					
	Ĺ	EBRT	Recurrent	ç	L T	Mild VH	Enu	c
	L	5IVC	Cloud	C .	CI	SPR	(iuiiior recurrence)	N
1		J111	Resistant					
	D		Localised	0	1	None	Focal	20
		20102	Dust					
			Recurrent			Mild CC		
	C		Localised	0	4	DDS DITA	Focal	26
			Dust			011		
			Recurrent				Vitractomu Enu	
	щ		Extensive	1	5	SPR	Villecionity Ellu	6
			Dust				(http://www.	
			Recurrent				Цан	
	D		Localised	0	3	SPR	(A/S raciirranca)	7
		6IVC	Dust					
		20AC	Recurrent					
	U		Localised	33	8	None	Plaque	17
			Cloud					

TABLE 1: Continued.

Journal of Ophthalmology



FIGURE 1: Control of resistant seeds in case 3 (upper) and case 13 (lower). (a) and (c) Vitreous seeds (arrow) and tumor (arrow head) were still active after 4 cycles of IVC and focal consolidation in case 3 and 4 cycles of IVC and 2 cycles of OAC in case 13. (b) After 11 cycles of intravitreal melphalan, 2 additional IVC, and focal consolidation, vitreous seeds regressed into type 1 and tumor (arrow head) shrank and completely calcified. (d) After 4 cycles of intravitreal melphalan, one additional OAC, and focal consolidation, vitreous seeds regressed into type 0 and tumor (arrow head) shrank and completely calcified.

rate of tumor control in the presence of vitreous seeds with intravitreal melphalan [13]. Globe retention was achieved in 87% (20/23) of their case series. None of the treated eyes required EBRT to control vitreous seeds. According to the work of Japanese group, 68% of eyes treated with intravitreal melphalan achieved complete vitreous seed remission in the long follow-up [14].

In this study, although 3 patients (cases 3, 14, and 9) received additional IVC and/or OAC during the period of intravitreal melphalan, we think that regression of vitreous seeds in these cases was mainly due to the intravitreal melphalan. Case 3 received 4 IVC and case 13 received 4 IVC and 2 OAC before intravitreal melphalan. But there were still cloud vitreous seeds. So IVC and OAC had minimal effect on vitreous seeds in the 2 cases. Case 9 received additional 1 IVC and intravitreal melphalan for vitreous seeds, but the treatments failed to control vitreous seeds that resulted in enucleation.

Francis et al. found that eyes with dust seeds received fewer injections and a lower cumulative dose of melphalan, whereas eyes with clouds seeds received more injections and a higher cumulative dose of melphalan [12]. In this study, we also found that eyes with dust seeds have the best response to intravitreal melphalan, while eyes with cloud seeds have the worst response. Recent reports by Ghassemi et al. showed that the combination of intravitreal melphalan and topotecan injection was effective for refractory vitreous seeds from retinoblastoma [15]. Complete control of vitreous seeds was achieved in all 9 eyes. So combination of multiple chemotherapeutical agents may be needed to maximize the therapeutical power for cloud vitreous seeds.

One of the major concerns regarding intravitreal injection for vitreous seeds was the risk of having cancer cell spread extraocularly. Different techniques have been employed to minimize the risk, such as the employment of repetitive freeze and thaw cycles at the injection site when pulling out needle [11]. Francis et al. pointed out that irrigation with sterile distilled water submersion on the surface of the eye for at least 3 minutes could further reduce the risk in addition to freezethaw cryotherapy [16]. We took the following measures: (1) choosing the entry site far away from tumor and vitreous seeds, (2) performing paracentesis to soften the globe before injection to prevent retroflex of intraocular fluid when pulling out the needle, (3) pressing the scleral injection site for about 5 seconds after retracting the needle, and (4) injecting 40 ug of melphalan in 0.2 mL subconjunctivally around the scleral injection site. The absence of metastasis in our cases suggested that these precautions may be effective.

The dose of melphalan for intravitreal injection was another important issue. In this study, we used the dose of



FIGURE 2: Control of recurrent seeds in case 1 (upper) and case 10 (lower). (a) Extensive spheres vitreous seeds (arrow) were noted after 6 IVC, 1 OAC, and focal consolidation in case 1. (c) Localised spheres vitreous seeds (arrow) were noted after 5 IVC and focal consolidation in case 10. (b) and (d) After intravitreal melphalan, vitreous seeds in both cases regressed into type 0.

20 ug in order to minimize the damage to the retina. No severe complications related to intravitreal melphalan were observed in our study. Ghassemi and Shields reported that 50 ug melphalan could lead to the severe complications such as subretinal hemorrhage, severe hypotonia, and phthisis [17]. In another study, Ghassemi et al. used 40 ug melphalan [15]. The results showed no changes in the a and b waves of brightflash electroretinograms. However, it is generally accepted that melphalan of less than 30 ug is safer for the retina [6]. Except for electroretinograms, other various modalities such as vision acuity test, visual evoked potentials, fluorescein angiography, and optic coherence tomography should be included to evaluate the safety dose of melphalan injection.

In summary, our study confirmed that the intravitreal delivery of melphalan is both an effective and a safe approach in controlling vitreous seeds from retinoblastoma in Chinese patients.

Disclosure

Xunda Ji and Peiyan Hua are co-first authors.

Conflict of Interests

None of the authors have any financial disclosure or conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation Project of China (81271045) and Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (12YZ048). The authors are thankful to Professor David H. Abramson from Weill-Cornell Medical College, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, for providing excellent advice and polishing this paper.

References

- I. Aerts, L. Lumbroso-Le Rouic, M. Gauthier-Villars, H. Brisse, F. Doz, and L. Desjardins, "Retinoblastoma," *Orphanet Journal* of Rare Diseases, vol. 1, article 31, 2006.
- [2] C. L. Shields, A. Mashayekhi, A. K. Au et al., "The international classification of retinoblastoma predicts chemoreduction success," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 113, no. 12, pp. 2276–2280, 2006.
- [3] C. L. Shields, A. Ramasubramanian, A. Thangappan et al., "Chemoreduction for group E retinoblastoma: comparison of chemoreduction alone versus chemoreduction plus low-dose external radiotherapy in 76 eyes," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 544–551, 2009.
- [4] Y. P. Gobin, I. J. Dunkel, B. P. Marr, S. E. Brodie, and D. H. Abramson, "Intra-arterial chemotherapy for the management of retinoblastoma four-year experience," *Archives of Ophthalmology*, vol. 129, no. 6, pp. 732–737, 2011.

- [5] P. Schaiquevich, A. Ceciliano, N. Millan et al., "Intra-arterial chemotherapy is more effective than sequential periocular and intravenous chemotherapy as salvage treatment for relapsed retinoblastoma," *Pediatric Blood and Cancer*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 766–770, 2013.
- [6] J. H. Francis, P. Schaiquevich, E. Buitrago et al., "Local and systemic toxicity of intravitreal melphalan for vitreous seeding in retinoblastoma: a preclinical and clinical study," *Ophthalmol*ogy, vol. 121, no. 9, pp. 1810–1817, 2014.
- [7] L. A. Ericson and B. H. Rosengren, "Present therapeutic resources in retinoblastoma," *Acta Ophthalmologica*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 569–576, 1961.
- [8] Z. A. Karcioglu, "Fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) for retinoblastoma," *Retina*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 707–710, 2002.
- [9] S. Suzuki and A. Kaneko, "Vitreous injection therapy of melphalan for retinoblastoma," in *Proceedings of the 15th Biannual Meeting*, International Society of Ocular Oncology, Buenos Aires, Argentina, November 2011.
- [10] S. Tuncer, Ö. Balci, B. Tanyildiz, R. Kebudi, and C. L. Shields, "Intravitreal lower-dose (20 μg) melphalan for persistent or recurrent retinoblastoma vitreous seeds," *Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers and Imaging Retina*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 942–948, 2015.
- [11] F. L. Munier, M.-C. Gaillard, A. Balmer, and M. Beck-Popovic, "Intravitreal chemotherapy for vitreous seeding in retinoblastoma: recent advances and perspectives," *Saudi Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 147–150, 2013.
- [12] J. H. Francis, D. H. Abramson, M. Gaillard, B. P. Marr, M. Beck-Popovic, and F. L. Munier, "The classification of vitreous seeds in retinoblastoma and response to intravitreal melphalan," *Ophthalmology*, vol. 122, no. 6, pp. 1173–1179, 2015.
- [13] F. L. Munier, M. C. Gaillard, A. Balmer et al., "Intravitreal chemotherapy for vitreous disease in retinoblastoma revisited: from prohibition to conditional indications," *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 96, no. 8, pp. 1078–1083, 2012.
- [14] S. Suzuki, Y. Aihara, M. Fujiwara, S. Sano, and A. Kaneko, "Intravitreal injection of melphalan for intraocular retinoblastoma," *Japanese Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 164– 172, 2015.
- [15] F. Ghassemi, C. L. Shields, H. Ghadimi, A. Khodabandeh, and R. Roohipoor, "Combined intravitreal melphalan and topotecan for refractory or recurrent vitreous seeding from retinoblastoma," *JAMA Ophthalmology*, vol. 132, no. 8, pp. 936–941, 2014.
- [16] J. H. Francis, X. L. Xu, Y. P. Gobin, B. P. Marr, S. E. Brodie, and D. H. Abramson, "Death by water: precautionary water submersion for intravitreal injection of retinoblastoma eyes," *The Open Ophthalmology Journal*, vol. 8, pp. 7–11, 2014.
- [17] F. Ghassemi and C. L. Shields, "Intravitreal melphalan for refractory or recurrent vitreous seeding from retinoblastoma," *Archives of Ophthalmology*, vol. 130, no. 10, pp. 1268–1271, 2012.





The Scientific World Journal



Research and Practice









Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine

Behavioural Neurology





Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity