Bawden, D. (2008). Really big questions, and the meaning of documentation. Journal of Documentation, 64(5), pp. 641-642.



City Research Online

Original citation: Bawden, D. (2008). Really big questions, and the meaning of documentation. Journal of Documentation, 64(5), pp. 641-642.

Permanent City Research Online URL: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/3138/

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at publications@city.ac.uk.

Really Big Questions, and the meaning of documentation In memoriam John Archibald Wheeler (1911-2008)

Professor John Wheeler, who died on April 13 2008 at the age of 86, was one of the giants of twentieth century theoretical physics. He was often coupled, in terms of reputation, with Robert Oppenheim and Edward Teller, with whom he played an important role in the 'Manhatten Project', which developed the first atom bomb. He collaborated with many leading physicists: with Bohr on the 1930s on nuclear physics, with Einsten in the 1950s on relativity and gravitation, and more recently with Stephen Hawking with whom he authored a number of books. Wheeler coined the phrase 'black hole' and proposed for the first time the idea of the 'quantum computer'; both among the most important ideas of late twentieth century physics. He maintained a particular interest in the development of quantum theory, and in the philosophy of this sometimes seemingly mystical subject.

The relevance of Wheeler's distinguished career in physics to the information sciences stems from his focus on the foundations of physics, leading him to formulate what he terms his 'Really Big Questions'. Some of these are indeed expansive: 'How come existence', for example, and 'why the quantum'. [For an detailing and wide-ranging account of Wheeler's ideas, see the contribution in the volume edited by Barrow, Davies and Harper 2004.)

Two of his questions, however, involve information and meaning in the most direct way possible. In asking 'It from bit?', Wheeler queried whether information was a concept playing a significant role at the foundations of physics; whether it was a fundamental physical entity, equivalent to, say, energy. Indeed, he divided his own intellectual career into three phases: from a starting belief that "Everything is particles", he moved through a view that "Everything is fields", to finally conclude that "Everything is information" (Princeton 2008).

In asking 'What makes "meaning"?', he invoked the idea of a 'participatory universe, in which conscious beings may play an active role in determining the nature of the physical universe. In his speculations on these topics, Wheeler essentially pioneered the ideas of 'information physics', and provided ideas of linkage between the concepts of information and meaning as they are understood in the various branches of science which include them as useful concepts.

The validity of this linkage, and the value of considering a unified view of information in different realms of scholarship, is by mo means universally agreed; see, for example, Hj¾rland for a counter-argument. But those who see a value in considering such an approach [see, for example. Bawden 2007, Bates 2005 and Stonier 1990] owe a great intellectual debt to John Wheeler, the extent of which only become clear in decades to come.

David Bawden

References

Barrow, J.D., Davies, P.C.W., and Harper, C.L. (2004), *Science and ultimate reality: quantum theory, cosmology and complexity*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

Bates, M.J. (2005), Information and knowledge: an evolutionary framework, *Information Research*, 10(4), paper 239, available from http://informationr.net/ir/10-4/paper239.html

Bawden, D. (2007), Organised complexity, meaning and understanding: an approach to a unified view of information, *Aslib Proceedings*, 59(4/5), 307-327

Hj¾rland, B. (2007), Information: objective or subjective/situational, *Journal of th American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(10), 1448-1456

Princeton (2008), Obituary of Profesor John Wheeler, Princeton University, available from http://www.princeton.edu/main/news/archive/S20/82/08G77 [accessed 1 June 2008]

Stonier, T. (1990), *Information and the internal structure of the universe*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag