

A CHARACTERIZATION OF FUZZY NEIGHBORHOOD COMMUTATIVE DIVISION RINGS

T.M.G. AHSANULLAH and FAWZI AL-THUKAIR

Department of Mathematics, College of Science
King Saud University
P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh-11451
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

(Received July 7, 1992 and in revised form November 20, 1992)

ABSTRACT. We give a characterization of fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring; and present an alternative formulation of boundedness introduced in fuzzy neighborhood rings. The notion of β -restricted fuzzy set is considered.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Fuzzy neighborhood system; fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring (FNCDR); bounded fuzzy set; β -restricted fuzzy set.

1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary, 54A40; Secondary, 16W80.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The notions of fuzzy neighborhood division ring and fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring are announced in [1] without producing any characterization theorem on the topics. In this article, our aim is to provide with such a characterization theorem.

Fuzzy neighborhood rings are studied in [2] where the concept of bounded fuzzy set is introduced. We give here an alternative equivalent formulation of boundedness in case of commutative division rings. Finally, we propose a notion of β -restricted fuzzy set where $0 < \beta \leq 1$, an analogue of restricted set in topological commutative division rings.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Like recent works, for instance ([1], [2], [3], [4] and [5]) the key item of this article is the notion of fuzzy neighborhood system originated by R. Lowen [6]. For our convenience, we quote below a few known definitions and useful results.

Throughout the text, we consider the triplet $(D, +, \cdot)$ either a ring, division ring or commutative division ring (whichever we require), while $D^* = D \setminus \{0\}$ stands for multiplicative group of nonzero elements of commutative division ring D and D^+ is the additive group of D .

As usual, $I_0 =]0, 1]$, and $I = [0, 1]$ the unit interval. \square denotes the completion of the proof. For any fuzzy set $\mu \in I^D (= \{\mu: D \rightarrow I\})$ $\mu \sim$ is defined as

$$\mu \sim(x) = \mu(x^{-1}) \quad \forall x \in D^*$$

If $x \in D$ then,

$$x \oplus \mu(y) = 1_{\{x\}} \oplus \mu(y) = \mu(y - x) \quad \forall y \in D$$

where $1_{\{x\}}$ denotes the characteristic function of the singleton set $\{x\}$, while for any $\mu, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in I^D$ and $x \in D^*$

$x \odot \mu, \nu_1 \oplus \nu_2$ and $\nu_1 \odot \nu_2$ are defined successively,

$$x \odot \mu(y) = 1_{\{x\}} \odot \mu(y) = \mu(yx^{-1})$$

$$\nu_1 \oplus \nu_2(y) = \bigvee_{s+t=y} \nu_1(s) \wedge \nu_2(t)$$

$$\text{and } \nu_1 \odot \nu_2(y) = \bigvee_{s,t=y} \nu_1(s) \wedge \nu_2(t)$$

for all $y \in D$.

Also, we define μ/ν as

$$\nu/\nu := \mu \odot \nu \sim$$

and so $1/(1 \oplus \nu)$ is written as

$$1/(1 \oplus \nu)(x) = (1 \oplus \nu) \sim (x) = (1 \oplus \nu)(x^{-1}) \quad \forall x \in D^*$$

We call μ is symmetric if and only if

$$\mu = \sim \mu, \text{ where } \sim \mu(x) = \mu(-x) \quad \forall x \in D.$$

The constant fuzzy set of D with value $\delta \in I$ is given by the symbol $\underline{\delta} \ (\in I^D)$.

We recall the so-called saturation operator [6,7] which is defined on a prefilter base $F \subset I^D$ by

$$\tilde{F} = \{\nu \in I^D : \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu_\delta \in F \ni \nu_\delta - \delta \leq \nu\}.$$

If $\Sigma = (\Sigma(x))_{x \in D}$ is a fuzzy neighborhood system on a set D then $t(\Sigma)$ is the fuzzy neighborhood topology on D , and the pair $(D, t(\Sigma))$ is known as fuzzy neighborhood space [6].

PROPOSITION 2.1. If $(D, t(\Sigma))$ and $(D', t(\Sigma'))$ are fuzzy neighborhood spaces and $f: D \rightarrow D'$, then f is continuous at $x \in D \Rightarrow \forall \mu' \in \Sigma'(f(x))$ and $\forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(x)$ such that $\nu - \underline{\delta} \leq f^{-1}(\mu')$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and Σ a fuzzy neighborhood system on D . Then the quadruple $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is said to be a fuzzy neighborhood ring if and only if the following are satisfied:

- (FR1) The mapping $h: (D \times D, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), (x, y) \mapsto x + y$ is continuous.
- (FR2) The mapping $k: (D, t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), x \mapsto -x$ is continuous.
- (FR3) The mapping $m: (D \times D, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), (x, y) \mapsto xy$ is continuous.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ be a fuzzy neighborhood ring and $x \in D$.

Then

(a) The left homothety $L_x: (D, t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)) \ y \mapsto xy$ (resp. right homothety $R_x: (D, t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), y \mapsto yx$) is continuous. If x is a unit element of D then each homothety is a homeomorphism.

(b) The translation $T_x: (D, t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), y \mapsto y + x$, and the inversion k are homeomorphisms.

(c) $\nu \in \Sigma(0) \Leftrightarrow x \oplus \nu \in \Sigma(x)$, i.e., $T_x(\nu) \in \Sigma(x)$.

(d) $\nu \in \Sigma(x) \Leftrightarrow -x \oplus \nu \in \Sigma(0)$, i.e., $T_{-x}(\nu) \in \Sigma(0)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a division ring, and Σ a fuzzy neighborhood system on D . Then the quadruple $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is said to be a fuzzy neighborhood division ring if and only if the following are true:

(FD1) $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood ring.

(FD2) The mapping $r: (D^*, t(\Sigma|_{D^*})) \rightarrow (D^*, t(\Sigma|_{D^*}))$, $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous, where $\Sigma|_{D^*}$ is the fuzzy neighborhood system on D^* induced by D .

THEOREM 2.5. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and Σ a fuzzy neighborhood system on D . Then the quadruple $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood ring if and only if the following are satisfied:

- (1) $\forall x \in D: \Sigma(x) = \{T_x(\nu) : \nu \in \Sigma(0)\}$
- (2) $\forall x_0 \in D, \forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists x_0 \odot \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$, and $\nu \odot x_0 \leq \mu + \delta$, i.e., the mapping $y \mapsto x_0 y$ and $y \mapsto y x_0$ are continuous at 0.
- (3) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists \nu \oplus \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$, i.e., the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ is continuous at $(0, 0)$.
- (4) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists \nu \leq \sim \mu + \underline{\delta}$, i.e., the mapping $x \mapsto -x$ is continuous at 0.
- (5) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists \nu \odot \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$, i.e., the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is continuous at $(0, 0)$.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF FNCDR AND SOME OTHER RESULTS.

The following is a characterization of fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring. We consider $\Sigma(0)$ to be symmetric fuzzy neighborhoods of zero.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative division ring and $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ a fuzzy neighborhood ring. Then the quadruple $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring if and only if the following are fulfilled:

- (i) $\forall x \in D: \Sigma(x) = \{T_x(\nu) = x \oplus \nu; \nu \in \Sigma(0)\}$.
- (ii) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall x \in D, \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists x \odot \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$; i.e., $y \mapsto yx$ is continuous at 0.
- (iii) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists \nu \oplus \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$, i.e., $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ is continuous at $(0, 0)$.
- (iv) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists \nu \odot \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$, i.e., $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is continuous at $(0, 0)$.
- (v) $\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists (1 \oplus \nu) \sim \leq (1 \oplus \mu) + \underline{\delta}$, i.e., the inversion $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ ($x \neq 0$) is continuous at 1.

PROOF. If $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring, then the conditions (i) - (iv) are immediate from Theorem 2.5. We check condition (v).

Let $\mu \in \Sigma(0)$ and $\delta \in I_0$; then $1 \oplus \mu \in \Sigma(1)$. Since $r: x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous at 1, we can find $\nu \in \Sigma(0)$ such that $1 \oplus \nu \in \Sigma(1)$ and $r(1 \oplus \nu) \leq (1 \oplus \mu) + \underline{\delta}$.

But $r(1 \oplus \nu) \leq (1 \oplus \nu) \sim$, so $(1 \oplus \nu) \sim \leq (1 \oplus \mu) + \underline{\delta}$.

Conversely, if the conditions (i) - (v) are fulfilled then only we need to prove that the inversion $r: x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous, i.e., we show that

$$\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall x \in D, \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists (x \oplus \nu) \sim \leq (x \sim \oplus \mu) + \delta. \tag{*}$$

Let $x \in D^*, \mu \in \Sigma(0)$ and $\delta \in I_0$. Then in view of (ii), there is a $\mu_1 \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$\mu_1 \odot x \sim \leq \mu + \delta/\underline{3} \tag{3.1}$$

Now due to (v), corresponding to μ_1 we can find $\nu_1 \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$(1 \oplus \nu_1) \sim \leq (1 \oplus \mu_1) + \delta/\underline{3}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then by (ii), there exists a $\nu \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$(x \sim \odot \nu) \leq \nu_1 + \delta/\underline{3}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \oplus (x \sim \odot \nu)) \sim &\leq (1 \oplus \nu_1) \sim + \delta/\underline{3} \text{ (from (3.3))} \\ &\leq (1 \oplus \mu_1) + 2\delta/\underline{3} \text{ (from (3.2))} \\ &\leq (1 \oplus (x \odot \mu)) + (2\delta/\underline{3}) + (\delta/\underline{3}) \text{ (from (3.1)).} \end{aligned}$$

But then with simplification, we have

$$(x \oplus \nu) \sim = x \sim \odot (1 \oplus (x \sim \odot \nu)) \sim \leq (x \sim \oplus \mu) + \underline{\delta}, \quad \square$$

which proves (*).

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ be a fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring. If the conditions (i) - (v) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied then the following inequality hold good.

$$\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \ni \nu / (1 \oplus \nu) \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that the condition (i) - (v) hold good. Let $\mu \in \Sigma(0)$ and $\delta \in I_0$. Then there are $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$\mu_1 \oplus \mu_1 \leq \mu + \delta/\underline{3}; \mu_2 \leq \mu_1;$$

and

$$\mu_2 \odot \mu_2 \leq \mu_1 + \delta/\underline{3}. \tag{3.4}$$

By (v), for every $\mu_2 \in \Sigma(0) \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0), \nu \leq \mu_2$ such that

$$(1 \oplus \nu) \sim \leq (1 \oplus \mu_2) + \delta/\underline{3}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu / (1 \oplus \nu) &= \nu \odot (1 \oplus \nu) \sim \text{ (by definition)} \\ &\leq \nu \odot (1 \oplus \mu_2) + \delta/\underline{3} \leq (\nu \odot 1) \oplus (\nu \odot \mu_2) + \delta/\underline{3} \text{ (by (3.5))} \\ &\leq \mu_2 \oplus (\mu_2 \odot \mu_2) + \delta/\underline{3} \\ &\leq (\mu_2 \oplus \mu_1) + 2\delta/\underline{3} \\ &\leq (\mu_1 \oplus \mu_1) + 2\delta/\underline{3} \\ &\leq \mu + \underline{\delta} \\ &\Rightarrow \nu / (1 \oplus \nu) \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.3. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative division ring equipped with a fuzzy neighborhood topology $t(\Sigma)$. If $(D, +, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood group with respect to addition $h: (D \times D, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), (x, y) \mapsto x + y$ and $(D^*, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood group with respect to multiplication $m: (D^* \times D^*, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D^*, t(\Sigma)), (x, y) \mapsto xy$, then $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ is a fuzzy neighborhood commutative division ring.

PROOF. As the inversion, the addition and subtraction, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} r: (D^*, t(\Sigma)) &\rightarrow (D^*, t(\Sigma)), x \mapsto x^{-1}, \\ h: (D \times D, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) &\rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), (x, y) \mapsto x + y; \\ h': (D \times D, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) &\rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma)), (x, y) \mapsto x - y \end{aligned}$$

are continuous, it is sufficient to show that the multiplication $m: (D \times D, t(\Sigma) \times t(\Sigma)) \rightarrow (D, t(\Sigma))$, $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$ is continuous.

Let $\Sigma(0)$ be symmetric fuzzy neighborhoods of zero in the additive group D^+ of D , and

$$\Sigma(x) = \{x \oplus \nu: \nu \in \Sigma(0)\} \sim .$$

We show that

$$\forall x \in D, \forall y \in D, \forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \ni (\nu \oplus x) \odot (\nu \oplus y) - \underline{\delta} \leq \mu \oplus xy. \tag{**}$$

Condition (**) is satisfied for all $x \in D^*, y \in D^*$.

Indeed,

$$\forall x, y \in D^*, \forall \mu_{xy} \in \Sigma(xy), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \theta_x \in \Sigma(x) \exists \theta_y \in \Sigma(y) \ni \theta_x \odot \theta_y - \underline{\delta} \leq \mu_{xy}. \tag{***}$$

We let $\mu_{xy} = \mu \oplus xy$ with $\mu \in \Sigma(0)$,

$$\theta_x = x \oplus \theta \in \Sigma(x) \quad \theta_y = y \oplus \theta' \in \Sigma(y) \text{ with } \theta, \theta' \in \Sigma(0).$$

Put $\nu = \theta \wedge \theta'$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu \oplus x) \odot (\nu \oplus y) - \underline{\delta} &\leq (\theta \oplus x) \odot (\theta' \oplus y) - \underline{\delta} \\ &\leq \theta_x \odot \theta_y - \underline{\delta} \leq \mu_{xy} = \mu \oplus xy, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

It remains to show that if $xy = 0$, then (**) is satisfied. First, let $x = y = 0$; suppose $\mu \in \Sigma(0)$ and $\delta \in I_0$; then by Proposition 2.3(c), $1 \oplus \mu \in \Sigma(1)$.

There exists $\theta \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$\theta \oplus \theta \oplus \theta \leq \mu + \delta/2. \tag{3.6}$$

Consequently, as multiplication $m: (x, y) \rightarrow xy$ is continuous at $(1, 1)$, there exists $\nu_1 \in \Sigma(1)$ such that

$$\nu_1 \odot \nu_1 \leq (1 \oplus \theta) + \delta/2. \tag{3.7}$$

Then in view of Proposition 2.3(d), $-1 \oplus \nu_1 \in \Sigma(0)$. Let us put

$$\nu = -1 \oplus \nu_1 \text{ and } \nu = \nu \wedge \theta$$

then $\nu \in \Sigma(0)$ and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \odot \nu &= (-1 \oplus \nu_1) \odot (-1 \oplus \nu_1) \\ &\leq 1 \oplus ((-1) \odot \nu_1) \oplus (\nu_1 \odot (-1)) \oplus (\nu_1 \odot \nu_1) \\ &\leq (1 \oplus (\sim \nu_1)) \oplus (\sim \nu_1) \oplus (1 \oplus \theta) + \delta/2 \\ &\leq \theta \oplus \theta \oplus \theta + \delta/2 \leq \mu + \underline{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

which proves that

$$\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \ni \nu \odot \nu \leq \mu + \delta.$$

Next, let $x \neq 0 = y$. Since the multiplication $m:(x,y) \rightarrow xy$ is continuous at $(1,x)$, then (***) implies that

$$\forall \mu_x \in \Sigma(x), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu_1 \in \Sigma(1) \exists \nu_x \in \Sigma(x) \exists \nu_1 \odot \nu_x - \underline{\delta} \leq \mu_x. \tag{3.8}$$

Choose

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_x &= \mu \oplus x, \text{ with } \mu \in \Sigma(0); \\ \nu_1 &= 1 \oplus \theta, \nu_x = x \oplus \theta' \text{ with } \theta, \theta' \in \Sigma(0). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\nu = \theta \wedge \theta'$. Then it follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \oplus \nu) \odot (x \oplus \nu) &\leq (\mu \oplus x) + \underline{\delta}, \\ &\text{(by (3.8))} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, $(\nu \oplus x) \odot \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}$ which proves that

$$\forall \mu \in \Sigma(0), \forall x \in D^*, \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists (\nu \oplus x) \odot \nu \leq \mu + \underline{\delta}. \quad \square$$

DEFINITION 3.4. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative division ring and $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ a fuzzy neighborhood ring. Then a fuzzy set $\mu \in I^D$ is said to be bounded in $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ if and only if for all $\mu \in \Sigma(0)$ and for all $\delta \in I_0$ there exists $\theta \in \Sigma(0)$ such that $\mu \odot \theta \leq \nu + \underline{\delta}$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative division ring and $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ a fuzzy neighborhood ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (B1): $\mu \in I^D$ is bounded in $(D, +, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$;
- (B2): $\forall \nu \in \Sigma(0), \forall \delta \in I_0 \exists x \in D^* \exists \mu \odot x \leq \nu + \underline{\delta}$.

PROOF. (B1) \Rightarrow (B2) is trivial, we prove (B2) \Rightarrow (B1). Let $\mu \in I^D, \nu \in \Sigma(0)$ and $\delta \in I_0$. Then in view of Theorem 3.1 (iv) there exists a $\nu' \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$\nu' \odot \nu' - \delta/\underline{3} \leq \nu \tag{3.9}$$

By hypothesis, there is $x \in D^*$ such that

$$\mu \odot x - \delta/\underline{3} \leq \nu' \tag{3.10}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu' \odot (\mu \odot x) &\stackrel{(b\psi(3.10))}{\leq} \nu' \odot \nu' + \delta/\underline{3} \\ &\stackrel{(b\psi(3.9))}{\leq} \nu + 2\delta/\underline{3} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Again applying Theorem 3.1(ii), we can find $\theta \in \Sigma(0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \odot x &\sim \leq \nu' + \delta/\underline{3} \\ \Rightarrow \theta &\leq \nu' \odot x + \delta/\underline{3} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

So for any $z \in D$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \odot \theta(z) &= \bigvee_{st=z} \mu(s) \wedge \theta(t) \\ &\leq \bigvee_{st=z} \mu(s) \wedge (\nu' \odot x)(t) + \delta/3 \\ &= \mu \odot (\nu' \odot x)(z) + \delta/3 \\ &\leq \nu(z) + 2\delta/3 + \delta/3 = \nu(z) + \delta \\ &\text{(b\psi(3.11))} \\ \Rightarrow \mu \odot \theta &\leq \nu + \underline{\delta}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 3.6. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative division ring and $(D, +, \cdot, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ a fuzzy neighborhood ring. A fuzzy set $\mu \in I^D$ is said to be β -restricted in $(D, +, \cdot, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ for $0 < \beta \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\overline{\mu}^-(0) < \beta,$$

Where $\overline{}$ is the fuzzy closure operator given in Proposition 2.3 [6]

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a division ring and $(D, +, \cdot, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ a fuzzy neighborhood ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(R1): $\mu \in I^D$ is β -restricted in $(D, +, \cdot, \cdot, t(\Sigma))$ for $0 < \beta \leq 1$;

(R2): $\exists \nu \in \Sigma(0) \exists \mu \circ \nu(1) < \beta$.

PROOF. (R1) \Rightarrow (R2). Let $0 < \beta \leq 1$, and $\mu \in I^D$ be β -restricted. Suppose that $\nu \in \Sigma(0)$ is such that $\mu \circ \nu(1) \geq \beta$; i.e., $\bigvee_{xy=1} \mu(x) \wedge \nu(y) \geq \beta$
 $\Rightarrow \exists x \in D, y \in D^*$ such that $xy = 1$, i.e., $x = y^{-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(y^{-1}) \wedge \nu(y) &\geq \beta \\ \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{\nu \in \Sigma(0)} \bigvee_{y \in D^*} \mu(y^{-1}) \wedge \nu(y) &\geq \beta \\ \Rightarrow \bigwedge_{\nu \in \Sigma(0)} \bigvee_{y \in D^*} \mu^{\sim}(y) \wedge \nu(y) &\geq \beta \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \overline{\mu}^-(0) \geq \beta$, contradiction with the fact that μ is β -restricted. (R2) \Rightarrow (R1). Let $\mu \in I^D$ be not β -restricted for $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

This means simply that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{\nu \in \Sigma(0)} \bigvee_{y \in D^*} \mu^{\sim}(y) \wedge \nu(y) &\geq \beta \\ \Rightarrow \forall \nu \in \Sigma(0): \bigvee_{y \in D^*} \mu^{\sim}(y) \wedge \nu(y) &\geq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \circ \nu(1) &= \bigvee_{st=1} \mu(s) \wedge \nu(t) \\ &= \bigvee_{s=t^{-1} \in D^*} \mu^{\sim}(t) \wedge \nu(t) \geq \beta \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction with (R2). □

REFERENCES

1. AHSANULLAH, T.M.G., Fuzzy neighborhood modules and algebra, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **35**, (1990), 219-229.
2. AHSANULLAH, T.M.G. & GANGULY, S., Fuzzy neighborhood rings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **34**, (1990), 255-262.
3. AHSANULLAH, T.M.G. & MORSI, N.N., Invariant probabilistic metrizable of fuzzy neighborhood groups, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **47**, (1992), 233-245.
4. KATSARAS, A.K., Linear fuzzy neighborhood spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **16**, (1985), 25-40.
5. MORSI, N.N., Nearness concepts in fuzzy neighborhood spaces and in their fuzzy proximity spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **31**, (1989), 83-109.

6. LOWEN, R., Fuzzy neighborhood spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 7, (1982), 165-189.
7. LOWEN, E., LOWEN, R. & WUYTS, P., The categorical topology approach to fuzzy topology and fuzzy convergence, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 40, (1991), 347-373.
8. KAPLANSKY, I., Topological rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 69, (1947), 153-182.
9. WANG-JIN, L., Operations on fuzzy ideals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 11, (1983), 31-41.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

