Provided by MUCC (Crossref)

Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2014, Article ID 679037, 7 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/679037



Research Article

Granular Space Reduction to a β Multigranulation Fuzzy Rough Set

Junyi Zhou, 1,2 Shaohui Ma,1 and Jianzhen Li3

- ¹ School of Economics and Management, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, China
- ² Key Laboratory of Intelligent Perception and Systems for High-Dimensional Information, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Ministry of Education, Nanjing 210094, China
- ³ School of Electronics and Information, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang 212003, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jianzhen Li; jzlijust@gmail.com

Received 2 January 2014; Accepted 24 February 2014; Published 30 March 2014

Academic Editor: Hao Shen

Copyright © 2014 Junyi Zhou et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Multigranulation rough set is an extension of classical rough set, and optimistic multigranulation and pessimistic multigranulation are two special cases of it. β multigranulation rough set is a more generalized multigranulation rough set. In this paper, we first introduce fuzzy rough theory into β multigranulation rough set to construct a β multigranulation fuzzy rough set, which can be used to deal with continuous data; then some properties are discussed. Reduction is an important issue of multigranulation rough set, and an algorithm of granular space reduction to β multigranulation fuzzy rough set for preserving positive region is proposed. To test the algorithm, experiments are taken on five UCI data sets with different values of β . The results show the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

1. Introduction

Qian et al. [1–3] proposed a multigranulation rough set, which is constructed on a family of granular structures and is different from Pawlak's rough set [4–7]. Qian's multigranulation rough set can be used to approximate an unknown concept through a family of binary relations; each binary relation can generate a granulation space, which may be partition [1], covering [8, 9] or even neighborhood system [10–12] on the universe of discourse.

Qian's rough set includes two basic models, one is optimistic multigranulation rough set and the other is pessimistic multigranulation rough set. The word "optimistic" means that at least one of the granulation spaces can be used for approximating while the word "pessimistic" means that all of the granulation spaces should be used for approximating. In these two models, all of the binary relations, or granulation spaces, are presented simultaneously; therefore, optimistic and pessimistic are two special cases of multigranulation rough set. To get a more suitable model for practical application, Xu et al. [13] proposed a more generalized multigranulation rough

set, called β multigranulation rough set that designed by a threshold β for controlling the number of the equivalence classes, which are contained in the target.

In recent years, the multigranulation approach has attracted many researchers' attention [14-18]. Xu et al. generalized multigranulation fuzzy rough sets to tolerance approximation space to construct optimistic and pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough sets models [14]. Qian et al. further generalized their optimistic multigranulation rough set into incomplete information system [15]. In [16] Yang et al. introduced fuzzy theory into multigranulation rough set, which employed the T-similarity relations (reflexive, symmetric, and T-transitive) to construct the multigranulation fuzzy rough sets. Therefore, how to generalize multigranulation rough set is an important research field, as we all know how to introduce the fuzzy case to rough set model plays an important role in the development of rough set theory; fuzzy rough set [19] has attracted increasing attention from the domains of machine learning and intelligence data analysis. So, it is not difficult to introduce fuzzy rough set theory into β multigranulation rough set.

Granular space reduction is an important issue of multigranulation rough set and it is recently researched by many scholars [20–24]. In this paper, we focus on the problem to deal with β multigranulation rough set. Hu et al. in [25] proposed a fuzzy-rough attribute reduction. Motivated by this idea, we will introduce fuzzy rough set into β multigranulation rough set to construct β multigranulation fuzzy rough set model and design an algorithm of granular space reduction to β multigranulation fuzzy rough set. The algorithm can be used to granular space reduction in multigranular structures for preserving positive region of β multigranulation fuzzy rough set and will be very useful in big continuous data.

The purpose of this paper is to further generalize β multigranulation rough set to fuzzy environment. To facilitate our discussion, we first present some basic knowledge of rough set in Section 2. In Section 3, β multigranulation fuzzy rough set will be constructed and the properties will be discussed. In Section 4, an algorithm of granular space reduction to β multigranulation fuzzy rough set will be proposed and experiments are taken on five UCI data sets. In Section 5, conclusion is made.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Rough Sets. Formally, a decision system is an information system $I = \langle U, AT \cup D \rangle$, in which U is a nonempty finite set of objects called the universe of discourse and AT is a nonempty finite set of the condition attributes; D is the set of the decision attributes and $AT \cap D = \emptyset$.

For all $x \in U$, let us denote by a(x) the value that x holds on a ($a \in AT$). For an information system I, one then can describe the relationship between objects through their attributes' values. With respect to a subset of attributes such that $A \subseteq AT$, an indiscernibility relation IND (AT) may be defined as

IND
$$(AT) = \{(x, y) \in U^2 : a(x) = a(y), \forall a \in AT\}.$$
 (1)

The relation IND (AT) is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive; then IND (AT) is an equivalence relation.

Definition 1. Let $I = \langle U, AT \cup D \rangle$ be a knowledge base in which $A \subseteq AT$, for all $X \subseteq U$, the lower and upper approximations of X in terms of the equivalence relation IND(AT) are denoted by AT(X) and $\overline{AT}(X)$, respectively:

$$\underline{AT}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : [x]_{AT} \subseteq X \right\},$$

$$\overline{AT}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : [x]_{AT} \cap X \neq \emptyset \right\},$$
(2)

where $[x]_{AT}$ is the equivalence class based on indiscernibility relation IND(AT) and is denoted as $[x]_{AT} = \{y \in U : (x, y) \in IND(AT)\}.$

 $(\underline{A}(X), \overline{A}(X))$ is referred to as Pawlak's rough set.

2.2. Multigranulation Rough Set. Multigranulation rough set is different from Pawlak's rough set. The former is constructed on a family of the equivalence relations, and the latter is

constructed on an equivalence relation. In Qian et al.'s multigranulation rough set theory, two basic models were defined. The first one is the optimistic multigranulation rough set, and the second one is the pessimistic multigranulation rough set.

Definition 2. Let *S* be an information system in which $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m \subseteq AT$; for all $X \subseteq U$, the optimistic multigranulation lower and upper approximations are denoted by $\underline{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}^O(X)$ and $\overline{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}^O(X)$, respectively:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{O}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : [x]_{A_{1}} \subseteq X \vee \dots \vee [x]_{A_{m}} \subseteq X \right\}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{O}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{O}(X),$$
(3)

where $\sim X$ is the complementary set of X.

Definition 3. Let S be an information system in which $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m \subseteq AT$; for all $X \subseteq U$, the pessimistic multigranulation lower and upper approximations are denoted by $\underline{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}^P(X)$ and $\overline{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}^P(X)$, respectively:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{P}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : [x]_{A_{1}} \subseteq X \wedge \dots \wedge [x]_{A_{m}} \subseteq X \right\}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{P}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{P}(X),$$

$$(4)$$

where $\sim X$ is the complementary set of X.

2.3. β Multigranulation Rough Set. Optimistic and pessimistic are two special cases of multigranulation rough set. Optimistic case is loose since if only one equivalence class of an object is contained in the target, then such object is included into lower approximation; pessimistic is strict since if all the equivalence classes of an object are contained in the target, then such object is included into lower approximation. To solve this problem, Xu et al. [13] proposed a more generalized multigranulation rough set, called β multigranulation rough set that are designed by a threshold β for controlling the number of the equivalence classes, which are contained in the target.

Definition 4. Let *S* be a multigranulation decision system; for all $x \in U$ and $X \subseteq U$, the characteristic function is defined as

$$C_X^i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 : & [x]_{A_i} \subseteq X \\ 0 : & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (5)

where $A_i \in AT$.

Definition 5. Let S be a multigranulation decision system; for all $X \subseteq U$, the β multigranulation lower and upper approximations of X are denoted by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{\beta}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{X}^{i}(x)}{m} \ge \beta \right\};$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}^{\beta}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - C_{\sim X}^{i}(x) \right)}{m} > 1 - \beta \right\},$$
(6)

where $\beta \in (0, 1]$. $\sim X$ is the complementary set of X. $(\underline{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}^{\beta}(X), \overline{\sum_{i=1}^m A_i}^{\beta}(X))$ is referred to as β multigranulation rough set of X.

2.4. Fuzzy Rough Set. Fuzzy rough set is a generalization of rough set. It can be used for decision information system to deal with continuous types of conditional attributes. Usually a fuzzy similarity relation is computed by conditional attributes and is employed to measure similarity between two objects, which then develop upper and lower approximations of fuzzy sets. Fuzzy rough set generalize the objects discussed in rough set to fuzzy set and turn the equivalence relation to fuzzy equivalence relation.

Definition 6. Let $U \neq \emptyset$ be a universe of discourse and \mathcal{R}_A a fuzzy similarity relation of U; for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, the fuzzy lower and upper approximations of F are denoted by

$$\underline{\mathcal{R}_{A}}(F)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in U} S(1 - \mathcal{R}_{A}(x, y), F(y)),$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}_{A}}(F)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in U} T(\mathcal{R}_{A}(x, y), F(y)).$$
(7)

In fuzzy rough set, measurement should be introduced to construct fuzzy similarity relation, such as the max-min method. Then fuzzy similarity matrix can be constructed by fuzzy similarity relation; after that, fuzzy equivalent matrix can be constructed in terms of fuzzy similarity matrix by transitive closure method:

$$M(R) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ r_{21} & r_{2n} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ r_{n1} & r_{n2} & \cdots & r_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where $r_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ is the relation value of x_i and x_j .

R is a fuzzy equivalence relation if R satisfies reflectivity, symmetry, and transitivity.

2.5. Multigranulation Fuzzy Rough Set. In [15], Qian et al. introduced the theory of fuzzy set into multigranulation rough set to construct the optimistic and pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough sets.

Definition 7. Let S be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \dots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are m fuzzy subsets, and D decision attribute; for all $X \subseteq U$, the optimistic multi-granulation fuzzy lower and upper approximations of *X* are denoted by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{O}(X)$$

$$= \left\{ x \in U : [x]_{\widetilde{A_{1}}} \subseteq X \vee [x]_{\widetilde{A_{2}}} \subseteq X \vee \cdots \vee [x]_{\widetilde{A_{m}}} \subseteq X \right\};$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{O}(X) = \sim \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{O}(\sim X) \right),$$
(10)

where $[x]_{\widetilde{A_i}} = \{y \in U : (x, y) \in \text{IND } (\widetilde{AT})\}\$ is the fuzzy equivalent class of x. ~ X is the complementary set of X.

 $(\underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\widetilde{A_{i}}}^{O}(X),\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\widetilde{A_{i}}}^{O}(X))$ is optimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set.

Definition 8. Let S be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}$, $\widetilde{A_2}$, ... $\widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{ATm}$ fuzzy subsets, and D decision attribute; for all $X \subseteq U$, the pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy lower and upper approximations of X are denoted by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{P}(X)$$

$$= \left\{ x \in U : [x]_{\widetilde{A_{1}}} \subseteq X \wedge [x]_{\widetilde{A_{2}}} \subseteq X \wedge \dots \wedge [x]_{\widetilde{A_{m}}} \subseteq X \right\};$$

$$\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}}^{P}(X) = \sim \left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}}^{P}(\sim X) \right),$$
(11)

where $(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^P(X), \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}}^P(X))$ is pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set. $\sim X$ is the complementary set of X.

3. β Multigranulation Fuzzy Rough Sets

In β multigranulation rough sets, by setting different values of β , we can get different reductions from which the most suitable reduction can be used in next research. The fuzzy rough set is very suitable for big continuous data set. So it is natural to introduce the theory of fuzzy set into β multigranulation rough sets to construct β multigranulation fuzzy rough sets model.

In this section, we will give some definitions of β multigranulation fuzzy rough sets model and discuss some properties of it.

Definition 9. Let S be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \dots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{ATm}$ fuzzy subsets, and D is decision attribute; for all $x \in U$ and $X \subseteq U$, the characteristic function is defined as

$$\widetilde{C_X^i}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 : & [x]_{\widetilde{A_i}} \subseteq X \\ 0 : & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (12)

where $[x]_{\widetilde{A_i}} = \{y \in U : (x, y) \in \text{IND}(\widetilde{AT})\}$ is the fuzzy equivalent class of x. Then β multigranulation fuzzy rough set is defined as follows.

Definition 10. Let *S* be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \ldots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are *m* fuzzy subsets, and *D* decision attribute; for all $X \subseteq U$, the β multigranulation fuzzy lower and upper approximations of *X* are denoted by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta}(X) = \left\{ x \in U : \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{C}_{X}^{i}(x)}{m} \ge \beta \right\}; \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta} (X) = \left\{ x \in U : \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \widetilde{C}_{\sim X}^{i}(x) \right)}{m} > 1 - \beta \right\};$$
(14)

where $(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta}(X), \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta}}(X))$ is β multigranulation fuzzy rough set. $\sim X$ is the complementary set of X.

Following Definition 10, we will employ the following denotations:

positive region of X: $POS_{\widetilde{AT}}^{\beta}(X) = \underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{\beta}(X)};$ negative region of X: $NEG_{\widetilde{AT}}^{\beta}(X) = U - \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{\beta}(X)};$ boundary region of X: $BND_{\widetilde{AT}}^{\beta}(X) = \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{A_{i}}^{\beta}(X) - \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{A_{i}}^{\beta}(X)}.$

Theorem 11. Let S be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \ldots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are m fuzzy subsets, and D decision attribute; for all $X \subseteq U$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{1/m} (X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O} (X), \qquad (15)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{-1/m} (X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{-1/m} (X),$$
(16)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{1}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{P}(X), \qquad (17)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{1}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{P}(X).$$
(18)

Proof. We only prove (15); others can be proven analogously. For all $x \in \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{A_i}^{1/m}(X)$, by (13), there exist $\sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{C_X^i}(x)/m \ge 1/m$, $\sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{C_X^i}(x) \ge 1$; there must be $\widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT}$ such that $\widetilde{C_X^i}(x) = 1$, from which we can conclude that $[x]_{\widetilde{A_i}} \subseteq X$, $x \in \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{A_i}^O(X)$.

For all $x \in \overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_{i}}^{O}}(X)$, by (9), there exists $\widetilde{A_{i}} \in \widetilde{AT}$ such that $[x]_{\widetilde{A_{i}}} \subseteq X$. Therefore, by $\widetilde{C_{X}^{i}}(x) = 1$ and

 $\begin{array}{lll} \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{C_X^i}(x) & \geq & 1, \text{ we can get } \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{C_X^i}(x)/m & \geq & 1/m \text{ such that } x \in \sum_{i=1}^m \widetilde{A_i}^{1/m}(X). \end{array}$

Theorem 11 shows that if $\beta = m^{-1}$, β multigranulation fuzzy rough set turns to optimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set. If $\beta = 1$, β multigranulation fuzzy rough set turns to pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set. Obviously, β multigranulation fuzzy rough set is an extension of optimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set and pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set.

Theorem 12. Let S be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \ldots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are m fuzzy subsets, and D decision attribute; for all $X \subseteq U$, $\beta \in (0, 1]$, we can get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{P}(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta}(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O}(X), \qquad (19)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O}(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O}(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O}(X). \tag{20}$$

Proof. For all $x \in \underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^{P}}(X)$, by (17), there exists $x \in \underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^{1}}(X)$; by (13), there must be $x \in \underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^{\beta}}(X)$, such that $\underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^{P}}(X) \subseteq \underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^{\beta}}(X)$.

For all $x \in \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta}(X)$, by (13), there exists $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{C}_{X}^{i}(x)/m \geq \beta$; there must be $[x]_{\widetilde{A}_{i}} \subseteq X$ such that $\widetilde{C}_{X}^{i}(x) = 1$; then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{C}_{X}^{i}(x)/m \geq 1/m$; by (15), there exists $x \in \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O}(X)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{\beta}(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A}_{i}^{O}(X)$.

So
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{A_i}^P(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{A_i}^\beta(X) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{A_i}^O(X)$$
.
Formula (20) can be proven analogously.

4. Reduction of β Multigranulation Fuzzy Rough Sets

In single granular fuzzy rough set, reduction is a minimal subset of the attributes, which is independent and has the same discernibility power as all of the attributes. The method of preserving the positive region is usually used for attribute reduction. In this paper, we consider each attribute as a granular space. It is natural to introduce this method into β multigranulation fuzzy rough set for granular space reduction.

Definition 13. Let *S* be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \ldots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are *m* fuzzy subsets, *D* is decision attribute, *U*/IND (*D*) = { X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n } is the partition induced by a set of decision attributes *D*, and approximation qualities of *U*/IND (*D*) in terms of *β* multigranulation fuzzy rough set are defined as

$$\gamma\left(\widetilde{AT},\beta,D\right) = \frac{\left|\bigcup\left\{\underline{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\widetilde{A_{i}}}^{\beta}\left(X_{j}\right):1\leq j\leq n\right\}\right|}{\left|U\right|},\tag{21}$$

where, |X| is the cardinal number of set X.

4.1. Significance of Granulation

Definition 14. Let *S* be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \ldots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are *m* fuzzy subsets, *D* is decision attribute, and \widetilde{B} is a reduction if and only if

(1)
$$\gamma(\widetilde{B}, \beta, D) = \gamma(\widetilde{AT}, \beta, D)$$
;

(2) for all
$$B' \subseteq \widetilde{B}$$
, $\gamma(B', \beta, D) \neq \gamma(\widetilde{AT}, \beta, D)$.

By Definition 10, we can get a reduction of *S* when preserving the approximation quality.

Definition 15. Let S be a fuzzy decision information system, $\widetilde{A_1}, \widetilde{A_2}, \ldots \widetilde{A_m} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$ are m fuzzy subsets, D is decision attribute, and $\widetilde{B} \subseteq \widetilde{AT}$; for all $\widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{B}$, the significance of granulation of $\widetilde{A_i}$ in terms of D is defined as

$$\operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{in}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i},\widetilde{B},D\right) = \gamma\left(\widetilde{B},\beta,D\right) - \gamma\left(\widetilde{B}-\widetilde{A}_{i},\beta,D\right), \quad (22)$$

where $\operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{in}}(\widetilde{A}_i, \widetilde{B}, D)$ represents the changes of the approximation quality if a set of attributes \widetilde{A}_i is eliminated from \widetilde{AT} . Also, we can define

$$\operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{out}}\left(\widetilde{A}_{i},\widetilde{B},D\right)=\gamma\left(\widetilde{B}\cup\widetilde{A}_{i},\beta,D\right)-\gamma\left(\widetilde{B},\beta,D\right) \tag{23}$$

for all $\widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT} - \widetilde{B}$, $\operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{out}}(\widetilde{A_i}, \widetilde{B}, D)$ represents the changes of the approximation quality if a set of attributes $\widetilde{A_i}$ is put in \widetilde{AT} . These two significances can be used to forward granular structure selection algorithm, and $\operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{in}}(\widetilde{A_i}, \widetilde{B}, D)$ can determine the significance of every granulation in terms of the approximation quality.

4.2. Granular Space Reduction Algorithm. See Algorithm 1.

4.3. Experiment. To demonstrate the above approach, we use 5 data sets gotten from UCI Repository of Machine Learning databases; the description of the selected data sets is listed in Table 1.

In this experiment, each feature is used to construct a granular structure. All features then correspond to multiple granular structures. For each data set, 5 different β are used; then the different results of granular selection of Table 1 under different values of β are listed in Table 2. In Table 2, "u" means the number of features, "0.001" is the smallest value of β , "1" is the biggest value of β , and "1/u" is bigger than 0.01 and smaller than 1.

Through Table 2, we get an interesting outcome that the result of granular selection of each data set is changed with different values of β . The granular selection results are increased with the increased value of β . Take for instance that when $\beta=0.001$, we get the least number of features; when $\beta=1$, we get the most number of features. Obviously, when $\beta=1$ /u, it actually represents the optimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set; when $\beta=1$, it actually represents pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough set, which is too strict for only when all of the granulation spaces satisfy the inclusion condition between the equivalence classes and the target

TABLE 1: Data description.

| ID | Data set | Samples | Feature |
|----|------------|---------|---------|
| 1 | biodeg | 1055 | 41 |
| 2 | Ionosphere | 351 | 34 |
| 3 | Parkinsons | 196 | 22 |
| 4 | sonar | 208 | 60 |
| 5 | wdbc | 569 | 30 |

TABLE 2: Granular space selection.

| ID | Data set | Granular selection with different eta | | | | | |
|----|------------|---|----|-------|-------|------|--|
| | | 1/ <i>u</i> | 1 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.01 | |
| 1 | biodeg | 39 | 41 | 24 | 27 | 31 | |
| 2 | Ionosphere | 28 | 34 | 26 | 26 | 28 | |
| 3 | Parkinsons | 15 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 14 | |
| 4 | sonar | 59 | 60 | 47 | 50 | 55 | |
| 5 | wdbc | 25 | 30 | 14 | 21 | 24 | |

TABLE 3: Accuracy with neural net.

| ID | Data set | Accuracy with different eta | | | | |
|----|------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | 1/ <i>u</i> | 1 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.01 |
| 1 | biodeg | 88.152 | 87.204 | 86.161 | 85.782 | 86.161 |
| 2 | Ionosphere | 83.191 | 88.604 | 85.755 | 85.755 | 83.191 |
| 3 | Parkinsons | 75.385 | 78.462 | 76.923 | 76.923 | 75.385 |
| 4 | sonar | 72.596 | 73.077 | 77.885 | 70.673 | 75.481 |
| 5 | wdbc | 89.279 | 89.279 | 62.742 | 62.742 | 74.165 |

TABLE 4: Accuracy with decision tree.

| ID | Data set | Accuracy with different eta | | | | |
|----|------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | 1/ <i>u</i> | 1 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.01 |
| 1 | biodeg | 94.692 | 94.123 | 94.028 | 94.787 | 95.64 |
| 2 | Ionosphere | 96.581 | 99.43 | 96.866 | 96.866 | 95.581 |
| 3 | Parkinsons | 92.308 | 96.41 | 95.897 | 95.897 | 92.308 |
| 4 | sonar | 98.558 | 98.558 | 96.635 | 96.635 | 98.558 |
| 5 | wdbc | 97.891 | 98.77 | 98.418 | 98.594 | 99.297 |

that the object belongs to the lower approximation. in this experiment, there are no reductions can be gotten, which shows that it is difficult to get a satisfied reduction result under pessimistic condition.

In order to test the performance of the proposed algorithm and to get a proper β , we employ neural network and decision tree as the validation function. The results are listed in Tables 3 and 4.

We can find in Tables 3 and 4 that when $\beta=1$, the selected features are just the same as the original data sets, but the accuracy is not always the biggest, such as the result of "biodeg" in Table 4; when $\beta=0.005$, the accuracy is bigger than $\beta=1$, which shows that when β is set a suitable value it cannot only reduce redundant granular space but also retain the most useful granular space so as to get better accuracy. The selection of granular space is crucial to the performance

```
Input: a fuzzy decision information system S = \left\langle U, \widetilde{AT} \cup D \right\rangle
Output: a granular space reduction RED
Step \ 1. \ \forall \widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT}, \text{ compute fuzzy similarity matrix}
Step \ 2. \ \forall \widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT}, \text{ compute fuzzy equivalence matrix in terms of the result of } Step \ 1, \text{ then get fuzzy equivalence class}
Step \ 3. \ RED \leftarrow \varnothing
Step \ 4. \ \forall \widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT}, \text{ compute } \operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{in}}(\widetilde{A_i}, \widetilde{AT}, D)
Step \ 5. \ RED \leftarrow \widetilde{A_j}, \ \operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{in}}(\widetilde{A_j}, \widetilde{AT}, D) = \max \left\{ \operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{in}}\left(\widetilde{A_i}, \widetilde{AT}, D\right) : \ \widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT} \right\}
Step \ 6. \ \forall \widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT} - RED, \text{ compute } \operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{out}}\left(\widetilde{A_i}, RED, D\right)
If \qquad \qquad \operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{out}}\left(\widetilde{A_k}, RED, D\right) = \max \left\{ \operatorname{sig}_{\operatorname{out}}\left(\widetilde{A_i}, RED, D\right) : \widetilde{A_i} \in \widetilde{AT} - RED \right\}, \ RED = RED \cup \left\{\widetilde{A_k}\right\}
\operatorname{Until} \gamma(RED, \beta, D) = \gamma(\widetilde{AT}, \beta, D)
\operatorname{End}
Step \ 7. \ \operatorname{return} \ RED
```

ALGORITHM 1: Find granular space reduction.

of the sequent learning, so the selection should reflex the structure of the data and patterns. Comparing the results of Table 3 with Table 4, we can see that the accuracy of Table 4 is bigger than Table 3; it shows that the accuracy is also related to the performance of classifier.

This experiment shows that the proposed algorithm is more flexible for selecting granular space than optimistic and pessimistic multigranulation fuzzy rough sets, which can use fewer features to get higher accuracy.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a β multigranulation fuzzy rough set model is proposed, and a corresponding algorithm is proposed; different from other methods, the proposed algorithm is constructed on multigranular spaces, our experiment shows that the granular selection results are increased with the increased value of β , and the algorithm cannot only deal with continuous data but also when β is set properly, the reduction will be suitable to be classified to get a good result. The experiment result shows the effectiveness of our algorithm.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Graduate Student Innovation Plan of Jiangsu Province (no. CXZZ12-0728), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 61203024, 61100116, and 71171100), the Natural Science Fundamental Research Project of Jiangsu Colleges and Universities (no. 12KJB120001), and Key Laboratory of Intelligent Perception and Systems for High-Dimensional Information (Nanjing University of Science and Technology), Ministry of Education (no. 30920130122005).

References

- [1] Y. Qian, J. Liang, and C. Dang, "Incomplete multigranulation rough set," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics A: Systems and Humans*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 420–431, 2010.
- [2] Y. Qian, J. Liang, Y. Yao, and C. Dang, "MGRS: a multi-granulation rough set," *Information Sciences*, vol. 180, no. 6, pp. 949–970, 2010.
- [3] Y. H. Qian, J. Y. Liang, and W. Wei, "Pessimistic rough decision," *Journal of Zhejiang Ocean University: Natural Science*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 440–449, 2011.
- [4] Z. Pawlak, Rough Sets-Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, Springer, 1991.
- [5] Z. Pawlak and A. Skowron, "Rudiments of rough sets," *Information Sciences*, vol. 177, no. 1, pp. 3–27, 2007.
- [6] Z. Pawlak and A. Skowron, "Rough sets: some extensions," Information Sciences, vol. 177, no. 1, pp. 28–40, 2007.
- [7] Z. Pawlak and A. Skowron, "Rough sets and Boolean reasoning," Information Sciences, vol. 177, no. 1, pp. 41–73, 2007.
- [8] W. Zhu, "Relationship between generalized rough sets based on binary relation and covering," *Information Sciences*, vol. 179, no. 3, pp. 210–225, 2009.
- [9] W. Zhu and F.-Y. Wang, "On three types of covering-based rough sets," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering A: Systems and Humans*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 1131–1143, 2007.
- [10] T. Y. Lin, "Granular computing I: the concept of granulation and its formal model," *International Journal of Granular Computing, Rough Sets and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 21–42, 2009.
- [11] X. Yang and M. Zhang, "Dominance-based fuzzy rough approach to an interval-valued decision system," *Frontiers of Computer Science in China*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 195–204, 2011.
- [12] X. Yang and T. Y. Lin, "Knowledge operations in neighborhood system," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Granular Computing (GrC '10)*, pp. 822–825, August 2010.
- [13] W. H. Xu, X. T. Zhang, and Q. R. Wang, "A generalized multigranulation rough set approach," in *Bio-Inspired Computing and Applications*, D.-S. Huang, Y. Gan, P. Premaratne, and K. Han, Eds., vol. 6840 of *Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*, pp. 681–689, 2012.

- [14] W. Xu, Q. Wang, and X. Zhang, "Multi-granulation fuzzy rough sets in a fuzzy tolerance approximation space," *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 246–259, 2011.
- [15] Y. Qian, J. Liang, and C. Dang, "Incomplete multigranulation rough set," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics A: Systems and Humans*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 420–431, 2010.
- [16] X. Yang, X. Song, H. Dou, and J. Yang, "Multi-granulation rough set: from crisp to fuzzy case," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 55–70, 2011.
- [17] W. H. Xu, Q. R. Wang, and S. Q. Luo, "Mult i-granulat ion fuzzy rough sets," *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 26, pp. 1323–1340, 2014.
- [18] W. H. Xu, Q. R. Wang, and X. T. Zhang, "Multi-granulation rough sets based on tolerance relations," *Soft Computing*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1241–1252, 2013.
- [19] D. Dubios and H. Pradesets, "Rough fuzzy sets and fuzzy rough," *International Journal of General Systems*, vol. 17, no. 2-3, pp. 191–209, 1990.
- [20] W. Xu, Y. Li, and X. Liao, "Approaches to attribute reductions based on rough set and matrix computation in inconsistent ordered information systems," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 27, pp. 78–91, 2012.
- [21] W.-H. Xu, X.-Y. Zhang, J.-M. Zhong, and W.-X. Zhang, "Attribute reduction in ordered information systems based on evidence theory," *Knowledge and Information Systems*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 169–184, 2010.
- [22] J. Liang, F. Wang, C. Dang, and Y. Qian, "An efficient rough feature selection algorithm with a multi-granulation view," *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 912–926, 2012.
- [23] G. Lin, Y. Qian, and J. Li, "NMGRS: neighborhood-based multi-granulation rough sets," *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 1080–1093, 2012.
- [24] Y. L. Sang and Y. H. Qian, "A granular space reduction approach to pessimistic multi-granulation rough sets," *Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 361–366, 2012.
- [25] Q. Hu, D. Yu, and Z. Xie, "Information-preserving hybrid data reduction based on fuzzy-rough techniques," *Pattern Recogni*tion Letters, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 414–423, 2006.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























