Burns & Trauma

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Assessment of some demographic risk factors associated with diaphyseal humeral fractures among Nigerians

Vitalis Chukwuma Ezeuko^{*}, Agbonluai Richard Ehimigbai and Ehijele Loveth Esechie

Abstract

Background: The epidemiology of fractures of the humeral shaft has received little attention in Nigeria. This study was aimed at investigating the possible risk factors associated with diaphyseal humeral fractures among Nigerians.

Methods: The study was carried out retrospectively at the Department of Medical Records, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Igbobi, Lagos State, Nigeria, between 2007 and 2012. Case notes and plain films of X-ray from a total number of 206 patients of ages from birth to one hundred years (0–100 years), comprising of 140 males and 66 females, were used for the study. The analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 and presented in bar charts. The frequencies were reported as percentages. The differences in frequencies were compared using chi-square test.

Results: The results showed that the percentage frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in males than in females. The main cause (P < 0.05) of diaphyseal humeral fractures was road traffic accident followed by fall.

Conclusions: The major causes of diaphyseal humeral fractures are road traffic accidents and falls. Since diaphyseal humeral fracture is an issue of harsh economic consequences, adequate measures should be taken by all the parties involved, especially government agencies, to address this menace in term of road maintenance and general well-being of the citizens. Furthermore, since it was observed that different regions of the bones of interest present diverse characteristics with respect to associated risk factors, it is recommended that such studies as this should be region-based rather than whole-bone based.

Keywords: Humerus fracture, Diaphyseal, Nigerian, Risk factor

Background

The humeral diaphysis extends from the proximal border of the insertion of the pectoralis major above to the distal flare of humeral metaphysis [1]. Humeral diaphyseal fractures is not uncommon, accounting for between 1 and 3 % of all adult fractures [2] and for up to 20 % of all humeral fractures [3] in some populations. It has also been noted to account to severe burden to some populations with consequences including reduced productivity and income [4]. Most of the studies on humeral diaphyseal fractures had given little attention to epidemiology but focused more to the treatment regimen.

* Correspondence: chuksy4love2001@yahoo.com

Department of Anatomy, School of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Edo, Nigeria





© 2015 The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http:// creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

socioeconomic, cultural differences as well as urbanization and other populational characteristics [4]. This study could facilitate treatment plans, choices of priorities in training, and proper understanding of orthopedic traumatology [5], especially in developing countries where poor road conditions as a result of neglect on the part of the government had led to loss of lives and incapacitations of the citizens.

Methods

The study was carried out retrospectively (with ethical approval) at the Department of Medical Records, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Igbobi, Lagos State, Nigeria, using plain of X-ray films (both anteroposterior and lateral views) that were taken between 2007 and 2012 from a total number of 206 patients of ages from birth to one hundred years (0–100 years), comprising of 140 males and 66 females that had humeral diaphyseal fractures. The subjects that were selected for the study were strictly Nigerians based on the information given by the subjects and filled in their case notes. A humeral diaphysis extends from the proximal border of the insertion of the pectoralis major above to the distal flare of humeral metaphysis [1].

Collection of data

Information that were gathered from the patients' case notes included age, gender, affected side, and causes of the fractures. The causes were grouped into three: those that occurred as a result of falls, those occurred as a result of RTA, and those that occurred as a result of other causes (these included birth injuries, pathological, industrial machines, gunshot, and arm twisting). The subjects were grouped according to their ages into four groups: below 21 years, 21–40 years, 41–60 years, and above 60 years.

Data analyses

The analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 and presented in bar charts. The frequencies were reported as percentages. Because uniform distribution means there are equal expected frequencies in all categories, the differences in frequencies were compared using chi-square test in non-parametric tests, and exact test was performed for correction of continuity when the total sample size was less than 30 or the theoretical frequency was less than 5. The differences were considered statistically significant at 95 % confidence level i.e., when probability is less than 0.05 (P < 0.05).

Results

The results showed that when both sides were combined, the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was significantly higher (P = 0.000) in males (140/206; 68 %) than in females (66/206; 32 %) (Fig. 1). More so, on the right side, the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was significantly higher (P = 0.000) in males (64/88; 72.7 %) than in females (24/88; 27.3 %) (Fig. 1). On the left side, the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was significantly higher (P = 0.002) in males (76/118; 64.4 %) than in females (42/118; 35.6 %) (Fig. 1).

When both sexes were combined, the frequency diaphyseal humeral fractures on the left side (118/206; 57.3 %) was significantly higher (P = 0.037) than on the right side (88/206; 42.7 %) (Fig. 2). In males, there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.310) between the frequencies of diaphyseal humeral fractures on the right (64/140; 45.7 %) and left (76/140; 54.3 %) sides (Fig. 2). In females, the frequency diaphyseal humeral fractures on the left side (42/66; 63.6 %) was significantly higher (P = 0.027) than on the right side (24/66; 36.4 %) (Fig. 2).

When the sexes were combined, the modal frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was seen in age-group 21–40





years (86/206; 41.8 %) (Fig. 3). In males, the modal frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was seen in agegroup 21–40 years (64/140; 45.7 %) (Fig. 3). In females, the modal frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was seen in age-group 21–40 years (22/66; 33.3 %) (Fig. 3).

When the sexes were combined, the major cause of diaphyseal humeral fractures was RTA (118/206; 57.3 %; P = 0.000), followed by falls (62/206; 30.1 %) (Fig. 4). More so, in males, the major cause of diaphyseal humeral fractures was RTA (86/140; 61.4 %; P = 0.000) followed by falls (34/140; 24.3 %) (Fig. 4). However, in females, whereas it was noted that the major causes of diaphyseal humeral fractures were RTA (32/66; 48.5 %) and falls (28/ 66; 42.4 %), statistically significant difference (P = 0.000) was found between the two (Fig. 4).

The frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of RTA was significantly higher (P = 0.000) in males (86/118; 72.9 %) than in females (32/118; 27.1 %) (Fig. 5). Also, the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of miscellaneous causes was significantly higher (P = 0.006) in males (20/26; 76.9 %) than in females (6/26; 23.1 %) (Fig. 5). However, there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.446) between frequencies of males (34/62; 54.8 %) and females (28/62; 45.2 %) that had diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of falls (Fig. 5).

When sexes were combined, there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.854) in the frequencies of diaphyseal humeral fractures caused by RTA between the right (58/118; 49.2 %) and left (60/118; 50.8 %) sides; the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures caused by fall was significantly higher (P = 0.001) on the left side (44/ 62; 71 %) than on the right side (18/62; 29 %) (Fig. 6). More so, in males, there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.829) in the frequencies of diaphyseal humeral fractures caused by RTA between the right (44/ 86; 51.2 %) and left (42/86; 48.8 %) sides; the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures caused by fall was significantly higher (P < 0.016) on the left side (24/34; 70.6 %) than on the right side (10/34; 29.4 %) (Fig. 6). In females, there was no statistically significant difference (P = 0.480) in the frequencies of diaphyseal humeral fractures caused by RTA between the right (14/32; 43.7 %) and left (18/32; 56.3 %) sides; the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures caused by fall was significantly higher (P < 0.023) on the left side (20/28; 71.4 %) than on the right side (8/28; 28.6 %) (Fig. 6).





Discussion

The present study illustrates some of the risk factors associated with diaphyseal humeral fractures among Nigerians.

The frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in males than in females. The predominance of males in distal humeral fractures had been noted in other studies [6].

There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) in the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures between right and left in males. In females, the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was significantly higher (P < 0.05) on the left side than on the right side. A left-sided dominance had been associated with proximal humeral fractures in another study [7]. Earlier studies had hinted the likelihood of protective role of the left upper extremity during injury while the right upper limb is in use; regardless of the hand dominance, the less mature neuromuscular coordination in non-dominant limb may also be responsible [6].

However, studies had indicated that humeral fractures occurred more in women than in men over the age of 65 years [8, 9]. Studies had shown that in subjects below 50 years, the fractures occurred more in men (70 %) and that more than 66.6 % resulted from trauma ranging from moderate to severe [4].

Modal age-group for the frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was 21-40 years in males. In females, the percentage frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures was bimodal in distribution having a modal agegroup of 21-40 years, followed by age-group above 60 years. A retrospective study of 240 fractures of the humeral shaft by Mast et al. [10] had shown that 60 % occurred in the under 35 years old and that there was a fairly even distribution of injury within the shaft. A study by Rose et al. [3] noted a bimodal distribution of humeral fractures with highest frequencies occurring in subjects within the age-groups below 30 years and those over 30 years and that close to 70 % of the fractures occurred in the age-group below 30 years, and resulted from severe trauma which was slightly higher in males. Another analysis by Tytherleigh-Strong et al. [4] also supported this bimodal distribution with the highest frequencies in their study occurring in the third and seventh decades of life.





Buhr and Cooke [11] had used a "J"-shaped curve to describe the pattern of age-specific incidence of fractures which they called the "post-wage-earning" fracture pattern. The percentage of the elderly, especially the females, affected by this injury should prompt a review of treatments. The two commonest methods available had been noted to present challenges when applied in the elderly patients. Plating osteoporotic bone cannot be relied on as a result of poor screw purchase while antegrade intramedullary nailing affects the rotator cuff which can cause significant complications when applied in elderly subjects [12].

In both males and females, the main cause (P < 0.05) of diaphyseal humeral fractures was RTA, followed by fall. However, in females, whereas the main causes were RTA and fall, no statistically significant difference (P > 0.05) was found between the two. This is in contrast to a previous study by Tytherleigh-Strong et al. [4] which showed that 80 % of humeral diaphyseal fractures results from simple falls.

RTA is one of the major causes of mortality across the globe with the developing world more affected. A previous study [13] had shown that lack of airbags in vehicle, non-usage of helmets, and over-speeding are important factors associated with RTA. Bad road conditions such as pot holes, sharp bends, and unstable bridges are all conditions seen in most African and Asian countries [14, 15].

Deaths as a result of RTA had been estimated at almost 1.2 million across the globe while associated injuries are estimated at 50 million [16]. Motor vehicle accidents stand ninth in the ranking of disease burden and could rank as high as third by the 2020 AD [17]. Close to three quarters of mortalities as a result of motor vehicle accidents occur in developing countries [18].

In Nigeria, reports had shown that on average, 23 accidents occurred everyday and that three deaths occurred daily as a result of these accidents between January and March, 2009 [15]. Statistics has also shown that, whereas only 32 % of the world's vehicles were own by developing countries, 75 % of accident casualties were accounted by them yearly [18].

Nigeria was ranked 191 out of 192 countries in the world (second worst) with unsafe roads with a death rate of 162 per 100,000 population from RTA [15]. Factors responsible for the increase in RTA include human, vehicle, and road factors. Nigerians have a general apathy to obeying law and order. Most motorists never attended driving schools, thus are ignorant of road traffic laws.

In this study, the percentage frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of RTA was significantly higher (P < 0.05) in males than in females. There was no statistically significant difference (P > 0.05) in the percentage frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of falls between males and females.

In both males and females, there was no statistically significant difference (P > 0.05) between percentage frequencies of diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of RTA on the right and left sides. However, the percentage frequency of diaphyseal humeral fractures as a result of falls was significantly higher (P < 0.05) on the left side than on the right side. The dominance of non-dominant arm in distal humeral fractures had been associated with falls [6].

Conclusions

In conclusion, the major causes of diaphyseal humeral fractures are RTA and falls. Since diaphyseal humeral fracture is an issue of harsh economic consequences, adequate measures should be taken by all the parties involved, especially government agencies, to address this menace in term of road maintenance and general wellbeing of the citizens. Furthermore, since it was observed that different regions of the bones of interest present diverse characteristics with respect to associated risk factors, it is recommended that such studies as this should be region-based rather than whole-bone based.

Availability of supporting data

The data set supporting the results of this article is available in Additional file 1.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Raw data of some demographic risk factors

associated with diaphyseal humeral fractures among Nigerians. Information that were gathered from the patients' case notes included age, gender, affected side, and causes of the fractures. The causes were grouped into three: those that occurred as a result of falls, those occurred as a result of RTA, and those that occurred as a result of other causes (these included birth injuries, pathological, industrial machines, gunshot, and arm twisting). The subjects were grouped according to their ages into four groups: below 21 years, 21–40 years, 41–60 years, and above 60 years. These were analyzed and presented as bar charts in the result section.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

VC conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination and statistical analysis, and helped to draft the manuscript. AR participated in its design and data acquisition and helped to draft the manuscript. EL participated in data acquisition and statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

VC (BSc, MSc, MSECAN, MASN) is a lecturer of Anatomy at the University of Benin. AR (MBBS, MSc) is a Medical Doctor and a lecturer of Anatomy at the University of Benin. EL (BSc) is a graduate of Anatomy and a research assistant at the University of Benin.

Received: 1 May 2015 Accepted: 5 May 2015 Published online: 18 June 2015

References

- Walker M, Palumbo B, Badman B, Brooks J, Van Gelderen J, Mighell M. Humeral shaft fractures: a review. J Shoulder Elb Surg. 2011;20:833–44.
- Schemitsch EH, Bhandari M, Talbot M. Fractures of the humeral shaft. In: Browner BD, Jupiter JB, Levine AM, Trafton PG, Krettek C, editors. Skeletal trauma: basic science, management and reconstruction, vol. 2. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2008. p. 593–4.
- Rose SH, Melton 3rd LJ, Morrey BF, Ilstrup DM, Riggs BL. Epidemiologic features of humeral fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1982;168:24–30.
- Tsai CH, Fong YC, Chen YH, Hsu CJ, Chang CH, Hsu HC. The epidemiology of traumatic humeral shaft fractures in Taiwan. Int Orthop. 2009;33:463–7.
- Tytherleigh-Strong G, Walls N, McQueen MM. The epidemiology of humeral shaft fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1998;80:249–53.
- Tripathi RB, Sah RP, Ali R, Bachhar B, Singh A. Clinico epidemiological study on pediatric fractures at Narayani Sub Regional Hospital, Birganj. J Gandaki Med Coll - Nepal. 2009;2:39–44.
- Luetters CM, Kelsey JL, Keegan TH, Quesenberry CP, Sidney S. Left-handedness as a risk factor for fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2003;14:918–22.
- Mast JW, Spiegel PG, Harvey Jr JP, Harrison C. Fractures of the humeral shaft: a retrospective study of 240 adult fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1975;112:254–62.
- 9. Buhr AJ, Cooke AM. Fracture patterns. Lancet. 1959;1:531-6.
- Robinson CM, Bell KM, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM. Locked nailing of humeral shaft fractures: experience in Edinburgh over a two-year period. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1992;74:558–62.

- Baron JA, Barrett J, Malenka D, Fisher E, Kniffin W, Bubolz T, et al. Racial differences in fracture risk. Epidemiology. 1994;5:42–7.
- 12. Baron JA, Karagas M, Barrett J, Kniffin W, Malenka D, Mayor M, et al. Basic epidemiology of fractures of the upper and lower limb among Americans over 65 years of age. Epidemiology. 1996;7:612–8.
- Odero W. Alcohol related road traffic injuries in Eldoret, Kenya. East Afr Med J. 1998;75:708–11.
- Kumar A, Lalwani S, Agrawal D, Rautji R, Dogra TD. Fatal road traffic accidents and their relationship with head injuries: an epidemiological survey of five years. Indian J Neurotrauma. 2008;5:63–7.
- Silas OA, Adoga AA, Echejoh GO, Dauda AM, Manasseh MN, Olu-Silas RA. Pattern of injuries associated with deaths following road traffic accidents as seen in a tertiary health centre Jos University Teaching Hospital (JUTH), Jos, North Central, Nigeria. J Health Sci. 2012;2:5–7.
- Johnston I, Abraham J, Khayesi M, Vinand N, Tingvall C, Breen J, et al. The fundamentals. In: Peden M, Scurfield R, Sleet D, Mohan D, Hyder AA, Jarawan E, et al., editors. World report on road traffic injury prevention. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. p. 3–29. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/ 2004/9241562609_chapter1.pdf.
- Odelowo EO. Factors affecting mobidity and mortality from road traffic accidents: a Nigerian peri-urban study. Afr J Med Med Sci. 1993;22:69–74.
- Kual A, Sinha US, Pathak YK, Aparajita S, Kapoor AK, Susheel S, et al. Fatal road traffic accidents, study of distribution, nature and type of injury. J Indian Acad Forensic Med. 2005;27:0971–3.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit