

RESEARCH

Open Access



On Malmquist type theorem of systems of complex difference equations

Jianjun Zhang*

*Correspondence:
zhangjianjun1982@163.com
Mathematics and Information
Technology School, Jiangsu Second
Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu
210013, P.R. China

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to give the Malmquist type result of the meromorphic solutions of a system of complex difference equations of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in J_1} \alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(Z + c_v)^{\lambda_{1,v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(Z + c_v)^{\mu_{1,v}}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p a_i(z)g(z)^i}{\sum_{j=0}^q b_j(z)g(z)^j}, \\ \sum_{\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2} \beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(Z + c_v)^{\lambda_{2,v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(Z + c_v)^{\mu_{2,v}}) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^s d_k(z)f(z)^k}{\sum_{l=0}^t e_l(z)f(z)^l}, \end{cases}$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are distinct, nonzero complex numbers, the coefficients $\alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z)$ ($\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in J_1$), $\beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z)$ ($\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2$), $a_i(z)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, p$), $b_j(z)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, q$), $d_k(z)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, s$), and $e_l(z)$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, t$) are small functions relative to $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, $I_i = \{\lambda_i = (l_{\lambda_i,1}, l_{\lambda_i,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda_i,n}) | l_{\lambda_i,v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $J_j = \{\mu_j = (m_{\mu_j,1}, m_{\mu_j,2}, \dots, m_{\mu_j,n}) | m_{\mu_j,v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are finite index sets. The growth of meromorphic solutions of a related system of complex functional equations is also investigated.

Keywords: systems of complex difference equations; meromorphic functions; Malmquist type theorem; functional equation

1 Introduction and main results

Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane C . We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and results in Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (see e.g. [1–3]). We use $\rho(f)$ to denote the growth order of a meromorphic function $f(z)$. The notation $S(r, f)$ denotes any quantity that satisfies the condition $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ possibly outside an exceptional set of r of finite logarithmic measure. A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is called a small function of $f(z)$ if and only if $T(r, a(z)) = S(r, f)$.

In the last ten years, there has been a great deal of interest in studying the properties of complex difference equations (see e.g. [4–20]). Especially, a number of papers (see e.g. [4, 6, 10, 11, 15, 17–19]) focusing on a Malmquist type theorem of the complex difference equations emerged. In 2000, Ablowitz *et al.* [4] proved some results on the Malmquist theorem of the complex difference equations by utilizing Nevanlinna theory. They obtained the following two results.

Theorem A *If the second-order difference equation*

$$f(z + 1) + f(z - 1) = \frac{a_0(z) + a_1(z)f + \dots + a_p(z)f^p}{b_0(z) + b_1(z)f + \dots + b_q(z)f^q},$$

with polynomial coefficients a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) and b_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$), admits a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $d = \max\{p, q\} \leq 2$.

Theorem B *If the second-order difference equation*

$$f(z + 1)f(z - 1) = \frac{a_0(z) + a_1(z)f + \dots + a_p(z)f^p}{b_0(z) + b_1(z)f + \dots + b_q(z)f^q},$$

with polynomial coefficients a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) and b_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$), admits a transcendental meromorphic solution of finite order, then $d = \max\{p, q\} \leq 2$.

Subsequently, Heittokangas *et al.* [10], Laine *et al.* [15] and Huang *et al.* [11], respectively, gave some generalizations of the above two results. In 2010, the first author in this paper and Liao [18] obtained the following more general result.

Theorem C *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be distinct, nonzero complex numbers, and suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the difference equation*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in I} \alpha_\lambda(z) \left(\prod_{\nu=1}^n f(z + c_\nu)^{l_{\lambda,\nu}} \right) = \frac{a_0(z) + a_1(z)f(z) + \dots + a_p(z)f(z)^p}{b_0(z) + b_1(z)f(z) + \dots + b_q(z)f(z)^q}, \tag{1}$$

with coefficients $\alpha_\lambda(z)$ ($\lambda \in I$), $a_i(z)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, p$), and $b_j(z)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, q$), which are small functions relative to $f(z)$, where $I = \{\lambda = (l_{\lambda,1}, l_{\lambda,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda,n}) | l_{\lambda,\nu} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a finite index set, and denote

$$\sigma_\nu = \max_{\lambda} \{l_{\lambda,\nu}\} \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad \sigma = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sigma_\nu.$$

If the order $\rho(f)$ is finite, then $d = \max\{p, q\} \leq \sigma$.

If all the coefficients in the complex difference equation (1) are rational functions, then in [19], we have the following Malmquist type result, which is reminiscent of the classical Malmquist theorem in complex differential equations.

Theorem D *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be distinct, nonzero complex numbers and suppose that $f(z)$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation*

$$P[z, f] := \sum_{\lambda \in I} \alpha_\lambda(z) \left(\prod_{\nu=1}^n f(z + c_\nu)^{l_{\lambda,\nu}} \right) = R(z, f(z)) = \frac{P(z, f(z))}{Q(z, f(z))},$$

where $I = \{\lambda = (l_{\lambda,1}, l_{\lambda,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda,n}) | l_{\lambda,\nu} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a finite index set, P and Q are relatively prime polynomials in f over the field of rational functions, the coefficients α_λ ($\lambda \in I$) are rational functions. Denoting the degree of $P[z, f]$ by

$$\gamma_P := \max_{\lambda \in I} \{l_{\lambda,1} + l_{\lambda,2} + \dots + l_{\lambda,n} | \lambda = (l_{\lambda,1}, l_{\lambda,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda,n})\}.$$

If $f(z)$ is finite order and has at most finitely many poles, then $R(z, f)$ reduces to a polynomial in f of degree $d \leq \gamma_p$.

More recently, people began to study the properties of meromorphic solutions of systems of complex difference equations. In [21], Gao discussed the proximity function and counting function of meromorphic solutions of some classes of systems of complex difference equations. In 2013, Wang *et al.* [16] investigated the growth of meromorphic solutions of systems of complex difference equations.

Now, we give the Malmquist type result of a system of complex difference equations as follows.

Theorem 1 *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be distinct, nonzero complex numbers and suppose that $(f(z), g(z))$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of a system of complex difference equations of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in J_1} \alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{l_{\lambda_1, v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{m_{\mu_1, v}}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p a_i(z) g(z)^i}{\sum_{j=0}^q b_j(z) g(z)^j}, \\ \sum_{\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2} \beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{l_{\lambda_2, v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{m_{\mu_2, v}}) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^s d_k(z) f(z)^k}{\sum_{l=0}^t e_l(z) f(z)^l}, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

with coefficients $\alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z)$ ($\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in J_1$), $\beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z)$ ($\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2$), $a_i(z)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, p$), $b_j(z)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, q$), $d_k(z)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, s$), and $e_l(z)$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, t$) are small functions relative to $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, $a_p(z), b_q(z), d_s(z), e_t(z) \not\equiv 0$, where $I_i = \{\lambda_i = (l_{\lambda_i, 1}, l_{\lambda_i, 2}, \dots, l_{\lambda_i, n}) | l_{\lambda_i, v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $J_j = \{\mu_j = (m_{\mu_j, 1}, m_{\mu_j, 2}, \dots, m_{\mu_j, n}) | m_{\mu_j, v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are finite index sets, and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{1, v} &= \max_{\lambda_1 \in I_1} \{l_{\lambda_1, v}\}, & \eta_{1, v} &= \max_{\mu_1 \in J_1} \{m_{\mu_1, v}\}, \\ \xi_{2, v} &= \max_{\lambda_2 \in I_2} \{l_{\lambda_2, v}\}, & \eta_{2, v} &= \max_{\mu_2 \in J_2} \{m_{\mu_2, v}\} \end{aligned}$$

($v = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and

$$\sigma_{11} = \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1, v}, \quad \sigma_{12} = \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1, v}, \quad \sigma_{21} = \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2, v}, \quad \sigma_{22} = \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2, v}.$$

If $\max\{p, q\} > \sigma_{12}$, $\max\{s, t\} > \sigma_{21}$, and $\max\{\rho(f), \rho(g)\} < +\infty$, then $\rho(f) = \rho(g)$ and $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) \cdot (\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) \leq \sigma_{11} \sigma_{22}$.

Example 1 It is easy to check that $(f(z), g(z)) = (\tan z, \cot z)$ satisfies the following system of difference equations:

$$\begin{cases} f(z + \frac{\pi}{4})g(z + \frac{\pi}{3})^2 + zg(z + \frac{\pi}{4}) \\ = \frac{(3z+1)g^4 + [(2\sqrt{3}-6)z+2-2\sqrt{3}]g^3 + (4-4\sqrt{3})(z+1)g^2 + [(2\sqrt{3}-2)z+6-2\sqrt{3}]g+z+3}{3g^4+2\sqrt{3}g^3-2g^2-2\sqrt{3}g-1}, \\ f(z + \frac{\pi}{3})^2g(z + \frac{\pi}{4}) + f(z + \frac{\pi}{3})g(z + \frac{\pi}{4})^2 = \frac{-(\sqrt{3}+1)f^4 - 2f^3 + 2f^2 - 2f + 3 + \sqrt{3}}{3f^4 + (6-2\sqrt{3})f^3 + (4-4\sqrt{3})f^2 + (2-2\sqrt{3})f + 1}. \end{cases}$$

In Example 1, we have $\max\{p, q\} = 4$, $\max\{s, t\} = 4$, $\sigma_{11} = 1$, $\sigma_{12} = 3$, $\sigma_{21} = 2$, $\sigma_{22} = 2$, $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 1 < +\infty$, and $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) \cdot (\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) = (4 - 3)(4 - 2) = 2 = 1 \times 2 = \sigma_{11} \sigma_{22}$. Therefore, the estimation in Theorem 1 is sharp.

Remark 1 Obviously, if the condition $\max\{p, q\} > \sigma_{12}$, $\max\{s, t\} > \sigma_{21}$ in Theorem 1 is replaced by $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12})(\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) = 0$ or $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12})(\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) < 0$, the estimation $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) \cdot (\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) \leq \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}$ is still correct. If $\sigma_{11} = 0$ or $\sigma_{22} = 0$, then the first or second equation in (2) gets the form of (1). For some results as regards (1), the reader may refer to the paper [18].

Remark 2 If the condition $\max\{p, q\} > \sigma_{12}$, $\max\{s, t\} > \sigma_{21}$ in Theorem 1 is replaced by $\max\{p, q\} < \sigma_{12}$, $\max\{s, t\} < \sigma_{21}$, then the estimation $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) \cdot (\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) \leq \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}$ is not true generally. For example, $(f(z), g(z)) = (\tan z, \cot z)$ satisfies the following system of complex difference equations:

$$\begin{cases} f(z + \frac{\pi}{4})^2 g(z - \frac{\pi}{4}) g(z + \frac{\pi}{4})^5 + 2g(z + \frac{\pi}{4})^2 = \frac{g^2 - 2g + 1}{g^2 + 2g + 1}, \\ f(z + \frac{\pi}{4})^4 f(z - \frac{\pi}{4}) g(z + \frac{\pi}{4}) + zf(z + \frac{\pi}{4}) = \frac{-(z+1)f^2 - 2f + z + 1}{f^2 - 2f + 1}, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $\max\{p, q\} = 2$, $\max\{s, t\} = 2$, $\sigma_{11} = 2$, $\sigma_{12} = 6$, $\sigma_{21} = 5$, $\sigma_{22} = 1$, $\max\{p, q\} < \sigma_{12}$, $\max\{s, t\} < \sigma_{21}$. However, $(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) \cdot (\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) = (-4) \times (-3) = 12 > 2 = \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}$.

If $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = 0$, then we have the following simpler result.

Corollary 1 Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be distinct, nonzero complex numbers, and suppose that $(f(z), g(z))$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of a system of complex difference equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda \in I} \alpha_\lambda(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{l_{\lambda,v}}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p a_i(z) g(z)^i}{\sum_{j=0}^q b_j(z) g(z)^j}, \\ \sum_{\mu \in J} \beta_\mu(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{m_{\mu,v}}) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^s d_k(z) f(z)^k}{\sum_{l=0}^t e_l(z) f(z)^l}, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

with coefficients $\alpha_\lambda(z)$ ($\lambda \in I$), $\beta_\mu(z)$ ($\mu \in J$), $a_i(z)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, p$), $b_j(z)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, q$), $d_k(z)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, s$), and $e_l(z)$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots, t$) are small functions relative to $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, $a_p(z), b_q(z), d_s(z), e_t(z) \not\equiv 0$, where $I = \{\lambda = (l_{\lambda,1}, l_{\lambda,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda,n}) \mid l_{\lambda,v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $J = \{\mu = (m_{\mu,1}, m_{\mu,2}, \dots, m_{\mu,n}) \mid m_{\mu,v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are two finite index sets, and denote

$$\xi_v = \max_{\lambda} \{l_{\lambda,v}\} \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad \sigma_1 = \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_v$$

and

$$\eta_v = \max_{\mu} \{m_{\mu,v}\} \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad \sigma_2 = \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_v.$$

If $\rho(f) < +\infty$ or $\rho(g) < +\infty$, then $\rho(f) = \rho(g)$ and $\max\{p, q\} \cdot \max\{s, t\} \leq \sigma_1\sigma_2$.

Example 2 Let $c_1 = \arctan 2$, $c_2 = \arctan(-2)$. It is easy to check that $(f(z), g(z)) = (\tan z, \cot z)$ satisfies the following system of difference equations:

$$\begin{cases} f(z + c_1)^2 f(z + c_2) + f(z + c_1) f(z + c_2)^2 = \frac{-40g^3 + 10g}{g^4 - 8g^2 + 16}, \\ g(z + c_1) g(z + c_2) + g(z + c_1)^2 = \frac{-20f^2 + 10f}{f^3 + 2f^2 - 4f - 8}. \end{cases}$$

In Example 2, we have $\max\{p, q\} = 4$, $\max\{s, t\} = 3$, $\sigma_1 = 4$, $\sigma_2 = 3$, $\rho(f) = \rho(g) = 1 < +\infty$, and $\max\{p, q\} \cdot \max\{s, t\} = \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 = 12$. Therefore, the estimation in Corollary 1 is sharp.

In [15], Laine *et al.* also considered the growth of meromorphic solutions of some classes of complex difference functional equations and obtained the following result.

Theorem E *Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation*

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_J(z) \left(\prod_{j \in J} f(z + c_j) \right) = f(p(z)),$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $k \geq 2$, \mathcal{J} is the collection of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, we assume that the coefficients $\alpha_J(z)$ are small functions relative to f and that $n \geq k$. Then

$$T(r, f) = O((\log r)^{\alpha + \varepsilon}),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\log n}{\log k}$.

In 2010, Zhang *et al.* [18] got a more generalized result than Theorem E. Next we give the growth of meromorphic solutions of a system of complex functional equations as follows.

Theorem 2 *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be distinct, nonzero complex numbers and suppose that $(f(z), g(z))$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of a system of complex functional equations of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in J_1} \alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{l_{\lambda_1, v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{m_{\mu_1, v}}) = f(p(z)), \\ \sum_{\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2} \beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{l_{\lambda_2, v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{m_{\mu_2, v}}) = g(p(z)), \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $k \geq 2$, $I_i = \{\lambda_i = (l_{\lambda_i, 1}, l_{\lambda_i, 2}, \dots, l_{\lambda_i, n}) \mid l_{\lambda_i, v} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $J_j = \{\mu_j = (m_{\mu_j, 1}, m_{\mu_j, 2}, \dots, m_{\mu_j, n}) \mid m_{\mu_j, v} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are finite index sets, and denote

$$\xi_{1, v} = \max_{\lambda_1 \in I_1} \{l_{\lambda_1, v}\}, \quad \eta_{1, v} = \max_{\mu_1 \in J_1} \{m_{\mu_1, v}\},$$

$$\xi_{2, v} = \max_{\lambda_2 \in I_2} \{l_{\lambda_2, v}\}, \quad \eta_{2, v} = \max_{\mu_2 \in J_2} \{m_{\mu_2, v}\}$$

($v = 1, 2, \dots, n$),

$$\sigma_{11} = \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1, v}, \quad \sigma_{12} = \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1, v}, \quad \sigma_{21} = \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2, v}, \quad \sigma_{22} = \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2, v}$$

and

$$\sigma = \max\{\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{21}, \sigma_{22}\}.$$

Moreover, we assume that the coefficients $\alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z)$ ($\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in J_1$), $\beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z)$ ($\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2$) are small functions relative to $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, and that $2\sigma \geq k$. Then

$$T(r, f) = O((\log r)^{\alpha+\epsilon}), \quad T(r, g) = O((\log r)^{\alpha+\epsilon}),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\log 2\sigma}{\log k}$.

If $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = 0$, then we can obtain the following result easily.

Corollary 2 *Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be distinct, nonzero complex numbers and suppose that $(f(z), g(z))$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of a system of complex functional equations of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda \in I} \alpha_{\lambda}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{l_{\lambda, v}}) = g(p(z)), \\ \sum_{\mu \in J} \beta_{\mu}(z) (\prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{m_{\mu, v}}) = f(p(z)), \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $k \geq 2$, $I = \{\lambda = (l_{\lambda, 1}, l_{\lambda, 2}, \dots, l_{\lambda, n}) \mid l_{\lambda, v} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $J = \{\mu = (m_{\mu, 1}, m_{\mu, 2}, \dots, m_{\mu, n}) \mid m_{\mu, v} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are two finite index sets, and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_v &= \max_{\lambda} \{l_{\lambda, v}\} \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots, n), & \sigma_1 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_v, \\ \eta_v &= \max_{\mu} \{m_{\mu, v}\} \quad (v = 1, 2, \dots, n), & \sigma_2 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_v \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma = \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}.$$

Moreover, we assume that the coefficients $\alpha_{\lambda}(z)$ ($\lambda \in I$), $\beta_{\mu}(z)$ ($\mu \in J$) are small functions relative to $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, and that $2\sigma \geq k$. Then

$$T(r, f) = O((\log r)^{\alpha+\epsilon}), \quad T(r, g) = O((\log r)^{\alpha+\epsilon}),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\log 2\sigma}{\log k}$.

2 Some lemmas

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (see [3]) *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function. Then for all irreducible rational functions in f ,*

$$R(z, f) = \frac{P(z, f)}{Q(z, f)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p a_i(z) f^i}{\sum_{j=0}^q b_j(z) f^j},$$

such that the meromorphic coefficients $a_i(z), b_j(z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} T(r, a_i) = S(r, f), & i = 0, 1, \dots, p, \\ T(r, b_j) = S(r, f), & j = 0, 1, \dots, q, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$T(r, R(z, f)) = \max\{p, q\} \cdot T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

In [22], AZ Mokhon'ko and VD Mokhon'ko gave an estimation of Nevanlinna's characteristic function of

$$F(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{\sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}}{\sum_{\mu \in J} f_1^{m_{\mu,1}} f_2^{m_{\mu,2}} \cdots f_n^{m_{\mu,n}}},$$

where f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are distinct meromorphic functions, $I = \{\lambda = (l_{\lambda,1}, l_{\lambda,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda,n}) | l_{\lambda,v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $J = \{\mu = (m_{\mu,1}, m_{\mu,2}, \dots, m_{\mu,n}) | m_{\mu,v} \in N \cup \{0\}, v = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are two finite index sets. However, the method of the proof was too complex. For $F(z)$ of the form $\sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}$, Zheng *et al.* [20] gave a simpler proof, but the estimation of $T(r, F)$ was not sharp. For completeness, we give the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2 *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n be distinct meromorphic functions. Then*

$$T\left(r, \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j T(r, f_j) + \log t,$$

where $I = \{(l_{\lambda,1}, l_{\lambda,2}, \dots, l_{\lambda,n}) | l_{\lambda,j} \in N \cup \{0\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is an finite index set consisting of t elements and $\sigma_j = \max_{\lambda \in I} \{l_{\lambda,j}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Proof All the poles of the function $\sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}$ are generated by the poles of the functions f_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and every pole of multiplicity k of f_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) has order at most $k\sigma_j$. This implies that

$$n\left(r, \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j n(r, f_j).$$

Thus we obtain

$$N\left(r, \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j N(r, f_j). \tag{7}$$

We next prove that

$$m\left(r, \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \cdots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j m(r, f_j) + \log t, \tag{8}$$

and we define

$$\begin{cases} f_j^*(z) = f_j(z), & |f_j(z)| > 1, \\ f_j^*(z) = 1, & |f_j(z)| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}} \right| &\leq \sum_{\lambda \in I} |f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{\lambda \in I} |f_1^{*l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{*l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{*l_{\lambda,n}}| \\ &= |f_1^{*\sigma_1} f_2^{*\sigma_2} \dots f_n^{*\sigma_n}| \left(\sum_{\lambda \in I} \frac{|f_1^{*l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{*l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{*l_{\lambda,n}}|}{|f_1^{*\sigma_1} f_2^{*\sigma_2} \dots f_n^{*\sigma_n}|} \right) \\ &\leq t |f_1^{*\sigma_1} f_2^{*\sigma_2} \dots f_n^{*\sigma_n}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $m(r, f)$, we immediately conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \sum_{\lambda \in I} f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}} \right| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f_1^{*\sigma_1} f_2^{*\sigma_2} \dots f_n^{*\sigma_n}| d\theta + \log t \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j m(r, f_j) + \log t. \end{aligned}$$

By (7) and (8), the assertion follows. □

Remark 3 If we suppose that $\alpha_\lambda(z) = o(T(r, f_j))$ ($\lambda \in I$) hold for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and denote $T(r, a_\lambda) = S(r, f)$ ($\lambda \in I$), then we have the following estimation:

$$T\left(r, \sum_{\lambda \in I} \alpha_\lambda(z) f_1^{l_{\lambda,1}} f_2^{l_{\lambda,2}} \dots f_n^{l_{\lambda,n}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j T(r, f_j) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 3 (see [6]) *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function with order $\rho = \rho(f)$, $\rho < +\infty$, and c be a fixed non zero complex number, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$T(r, f(z + c)) = T(r, f) + O(r^{\rho-1+\varepsilon}) + O(\log r).$$

Lemma 4 (see [3]) *Let $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow R$, $h : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow R$ be monotone increasing functions such that $g(r) \leq h(r)$ outside of an exceptional set E of finite linear measure. Then, for any $\alpha > 1$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $g(r) \leq h(\alpha r)$ for all r_0 .*

Lemma 5 (see [23]) *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and $p(z) = a_k z^k + a_{k-1} z^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$, $a_k \neq 0$, be a nonconstant polynomial of degree k . Given $0 < \delta < |a_k|$, denote $\lambda = |a_k| + \delta$ and $\mu = |a_k| - \delta$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} kn(\mu r^k, a, f) &\leq n(r, a, f(p(z))) \leq kn(\lambda r^k, a, f), \\ N(\mu r^k, a, f) + O(\log r) &\leq N(r, a, f(p(z))) \leq N(\lambda r^k, a, f) + O(\log r), \\ (1 - \varepsilon)T(\mu r^k, f) &\leq T(r, f(p(z))) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)T(\lambda r^k, f), \end{aligned}$$

for all r large enough.

3 Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1 We assume that $(f(z), g(z))$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the system of complex difference equations (2). By the first equation in (2), Lemma 1, Lemma 2, and Lemma 3, we have, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max\{p, q\}T(r, g) \\
 &= T\left(r, \sum_{\lambda_{1,v}, \mu_{1,v} \in I_1} \alpha_{\lambda_{1,v}, \mu_{1,v}}(z) \left(\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{\lambda_{1,v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{\mu_{1,v}} \right)\right) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1,v} T(r, f(z + c_v)) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1,v} T(r, g(z + c_v)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1,v} T(r, f(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1,v} T(r, g(z)) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &= \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1,v}\right) T(r, f(z)) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1,v}\right) T(r, g(z)) \\
 &\quad + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &= \sigma_{11} T(r, f(z)) + \sigma_{12} T(r, g(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality, we get, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) T(r, g) \\
 &\leq \sigma_{11} T(r, f(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\max\{p, q\} > \sigma_{12}$ by the assumption, we have, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, g) &\leq \frac{\sigma_{11}}{\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}} T(r, f(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the second equation in (2), we obtain, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max\{s, t\}T(r, f) \\
 &= T\left(r, \sum_{\lambda_{2,v}, \mu_{2,v} \in J_2} \beta_{\lambda_{2,v}, \mu_{2,v}}(z) \left(\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{\lambda_{2,v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{\mu_{2,v}} \right)\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2,v} T(r, f(z + c_v)) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2,v} T(r, g(z + c_v)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2,v} T(r, f(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2,v} T(r, g(z))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 = &\left(\sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2,v}\right) T(r, f(z)) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2,v}\right) T(r, g(z)) \\
 &+ O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 = &\sigma_{21} T(r, f(z)) + \sigma_{22} T(r, g(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &+ O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (12) and $\max\{s, t\} > \sigma_{21}$, we have, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) T(r, f) \\
 &\leq \sigma_{22} T(r, g(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, f) &\leq \frac{\sigma_{22}}{\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}} T(r, g(z)) + O(r^{\rho(f)-1+\varepsilon}) + O(r^{\rho(g)-1+\varepsilon}) \\
 &\quad + O(\log r) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (11), we can obtain $\rho(g) \leq \rho(f)$. Similarly, we can get $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$ from (14). Therefore, we have $\rho(f) = \rho(g)$.

It follows from (10) and (13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12})(\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) T(r, f) T(r, g) \\
 &\leq \sigma_{11} \sigma_{22} T(r, f(z)) T(r, g(z)) + o(T(r, f) T(r, g)). \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (15), we conclude that

$$(\max\{p, q\} - \sigma_{12}) \cdot (\max\{s, t\} - \sigma_{21}) \leq \sigma_{11} \sigma_{22}.$$

This yields the asserted result. □

Proof of Theorem 2 We assume that $(f(z), g(z))$ is a transcendental meromorphic solution of a system of complex functional equations (5). Let $C = \max\{|c_1|, |c_2|, \dots, |c_n|\}$. According to the first equation in (5), Lemma 2, Lemma 3, and the last assertion of Lemma 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1 - \varepsilon) T(\mu r^k, f) \\
 &\leq T(r, f(p(z))) \\
 &= T\left(r, \sum_{\lambda_1 \in I_1, \mu_1 \in I_1} \alpha_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(z) \left(\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{\lambda_{1,v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{\mu_{1,v}}\right)\right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1,v} T(r, f(z + c_v)) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1,v} T(r, g(z + c_v)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1,v} T(r + C, f(z)) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1,v} T(r + C, g(z)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &= \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{1,v} \right) T(r + C, f(z)) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{1,v} \right) T(r + C, g(z)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &= \sigma_{11} T(r + C, f(z)) + \sigma_{12} T(r + C, g(z)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(r + C, f) \leq T(\beta r, f)$ and $T(r + C, g) \leq T(\beta r, g)$ hold for r large enough for $\beta > 1$, we may assume r to be large enough to satisfy

$$(1 - \varepsilon) T(\mu r^k, f) \leq \sigma_{11}(1 + \varepsilon) T(\beta r, f) + \sigma_{12}(1 + \varepsilon) T(\beta r, g)$$

outside a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure. By Lemma 4, we know that, whenever $\gamma > 1$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) T(\mu r^k, f) \leq \sigma_{11}(1 + \varepsilon) T(\gamma \beta r, f) + \sigma_{12}(1 + \varepsilon) T(\gamma \beta r, g) \tag{16}$$

holds for all r large enough. Let $t = \gamma \beta r$, then the inequality (16) may be written in the form

$$T\left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma \beta)^k} t^k, f\right) \leq \frac{\sigma_{11}(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon} T(t, f) + \frac{\sigma_{12}(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon} T(t, g). \tag{17}$$

Similarly, by the second equation in (5), for all r large enough and $\beta > 1, \gamma > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \varepsilon) T(\mu r^k, g) \\ &\leq T(r, g(p(z))) \\ &= T\left(r, \sum_{\lambda_2 \in I_2, \mu_2 \in J_2} \beta_{\lambda_2, \mu_2}(z) \left(\prod_{v=1}^n f(z + c_v)^{\lambda_{2,v}} \prod_{v=1}^n g(z + c_v)^{\mu_{2,v}} \right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2,v} T(r, f(z + c_v)) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2,v} T(r, g(z + c_v)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2,v} T(r + C, f(z)) + \sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2,v} T(r + C, g(z)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &= \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \xi_{2,v} \right) T(r + C, f(z)) + \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \eta_{2,v} \right) T(r + C, g(z)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &= \sigma_{21} T(r + C, f(z)) + \sigma_{22} T(r + C, g(z)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \sigma_{21}(1 + \varepsilon) T(\gamma \beta r, f) + \sigma_{22}(1 + \varepsilon) T(\gamma \beta r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \gamma \beta r$, we have

$$T\left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma \beta)^k} t^k, g\right) \leq \frac{\sigma_{21}(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon} T(t, f) + \frac{\sigma_{22}(1 + \varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon} T(t, g). \tag{18}$$

Letting $s = \log t + \frac{\log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}}{k-1}$, then $t = e^s \left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} ks &= k \log t + \frac{k}{k-1} \log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k} \\ &= k \log t + \log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k} + \frac{\log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}}{k-1} \\ &= \log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k} t^k + \log \left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k} t^k = e^{ks} \left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}. \tag{19}$$

Let $T(t, f) = T(e^s \left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}, f) = \Phi(s, f)$, $T(t, g) = T(e^s \left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}, g) = \Phi(s, g)$, $M = \max\{\frac{\sigma_{11}(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}, \frac{\sigma_{12}(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}, \frac{\sigma_{21}(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}, \frac{\sigma_{22}(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon}\}$, then from (17) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(ks, f) &= T\left(e^{ks} \left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-k}}, f\right) \\ &= T\left(\frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k} t^k, f\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma_{11}(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} T(t, f) + \frac{\sigma_{12}(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} T(t, g) \\ &\leq M\Phi(s, f) + M\Phi(s, g). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Similarly, from (18), we can get

$$\Phi(ks, g) \leq M\Phi(s, f) + M\Phi(s, g). \tag{21}$$

The inequalities (20) and (21) hold for all s large enough.

Letting now $\alpha = \frac{\log 2M}{\log k}$, namely, $2M = k^\alpha$. Write $\Psi(s, f) = \frac{\Phi(s, f)}{s^\alpha}$ and $\Psi(s, g) = \frac{\Phi(s, g)}{s^\alpha}$, thus we have

$$\Psi(ks, f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Psi(s, f) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi(s, g) \tag{22}$$

and

$$\Psi(ks, g) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Psi(s, f) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi(s, g). \tag{23}$$

The inequalities (22) and (23) hold for all s large enough, we may assume that (22) and (23) hold for all $s \geq s_0$.

Let $M_1 = \sup_{s_0 \leq s \leq ks_0} \Psi(s, f)$ and $M_2 = \sup_{s_0 \leq s \leq ks_0} \Psi(s, g)$, then by (22) and (23) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [ks_0, k^2s_0]} \Psi(s, f) &= \sup_{s \in [s_0, ks_0]} \Psi(ks, f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [s_0, ks_0]} \Psi(s, f) + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [s_0, ks_0]} \Psi(s, g) \leq \frac{M_1}{2} + \frac{M_2}{2}, \\ \sup_{s \in [ks_0, k^2s_0]} \Psi(s, g) &= \sup_{s \in [s_0, ks_0]} \Psi(ks, g) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [s_0, ks_0]} \Psi(s, f) + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{s \in [s_0, ks_0]} \Psi(s, g) \leq \frac{M_1}{2} + \frac{M_2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [k^2 s_0, k^3 s_0]} \Psi(s, f) &= \sup_{s \in [ks_0, k^2 s_0]} \Psi(ks, f) \leq \frac{1}{2}M_1 + \frac{1}{2}M_2, \\ \sup_{s \in [k^2 s_0, k^3 s_0]} \Psi(s, g) &= \sup_{s \in [ks_0, k^2 s_0]} \Psi(ks, g) \leq \frac{1}{2}M_1 + \frac{1}{2}M_2, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \geq ks_0} \Psi(s, f) &\leq \frac{1}{2}M_1 + \frac{1}{2}M_2 < +\infty, \\ \sup_{s \geq ks_0} \Psi(s, g) &\leq \frac{1}{2}M_1 + \frac{1}{2}M_2 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Psi(s, f)$ and $\Psi(s, g)$ are bounded for all $s \geq s_0$. There exist some constants K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4 , such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$T(t, f) = \Phi(s, f) = \Psi(s, f)s^\alpha \leq K_1 s^\alpha = K_1 \left(\log t + \frac{\log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}}{k-1} \right)^\alpha \leq K_2 (\log t)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$T(t, g) = \Phi(s, g) = \Psi(s, g)s^\alpha \leq K_3 s^\alpha = K_3 \left(\log t + \frac{\log \frac{\mu}{(\gamma\beta)^k}}{k-1} \right)^\alpha \leq K_4 (\log t)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$T(r, f) = O((\log r)^{\alpha+\varepsilon})$$

and

$$T(r, g) = O((\log r)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\log 2M}{\log k} = \frac{\log 2\sigma}{\log k} + o(1).$$

Letting now $\alpha = \frac{\log 2\sigma}{\log k}$, we obtain the required form. Theorem 2 is proved. □

Competing interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of the article.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Liangwen Liao and the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. The research was supported by NSF of China (11426118), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20140767), Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (14KJB110004), Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province and Colonel-level topics (JSNU-ZY-01).

Received: 6 February 2015 Accepted: 14 April 2015 Published online: 28 April 2015

References

- Cherry, W, Ye, Z: Nevanlinna's Theory of Value Distribution. Monographs in Math. Springer, Berlin (2001)
- Hayman, WK: Meromorphic Functions. Clarendon, Oxford (1964)
- Laine, I: Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations. de Gruyter, Berlin (1993)
- Ablowitz, MJ, Halburd, R, Herbst, B: On the extension of the Painlevé property to difference equations. *Nonlinearity* **13**(3), 889-905 (2000)
- Chen, ZX, Shon, KH: On zeros and fixed points of differences of meromorphic functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **344**, 373-383 (2008)
- Chiang, YM, Feng, SJ: On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane. *Ramanujan J.* **16**(1), 105-129 (2008)
- Chiang, YM, Feng, SJ: On the growth of logarithmic differences, difference quotients and logarithmic derivatives of meromorphic functions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **361**(7), 3767-3791 (2009)
- Halburd, RG, Korhonen, RJ: Meromorphic solutions of difference equations, integrability and the discrete Painlevé equations. *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.* **40**, R1-R38 (2007)
- Halburd, RG, Korhonen, RJ: Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **314**, 477-487 (2006)
- Heittokangas, J, Korhonen, R, Laine, I, Rieppo, J, Tohge, K: Complex difference equations of Malmquist type. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **1**(1), 27-39 (2001)
- Huang, ZB, Chen, ZX: Meromorphic solutions of some complex difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2009**, Article ID 982681 (2009)
- Ishizaki, K, Yanagihara, N: Wiman-Valiron method for difference equations. *Nagoya Math. J.* **175**, 75-102 (2004)
- Laine, I, Yang, CC: Clunie theorems for difference and q -difference polynomials. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **76**(2), 556-566 (2007)
- Laine, I, Yang, CC: Value distribution of difference polynomials. *Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. A, Math. Sci.* **83**(8), 148-151 (2007)
- Laine, I, Rieppo, J, Silvennoinen, H: Remarks on complex difference equations. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **5**(1), 77-88 (2005)
- Wang, H, Xu, HY, Liu, BX: The poles and growth of solutions of systems of complex difference equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, 75 (2013)
- Zhang, J, Zhang, JJ: Meromorphic solutions to complex difference and q -difference equations of Malmquist type. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2014**, 16 (2014)
- Zhang, JJ, Liao, LW: Further extending results of some classes of complex difference and functional equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2010**, Article ID 404582 (2010)
- Zhang, JJ, Liao, LW: On Malmquist type theorem of complex difference equations. *Houst. J. Math.* **39**(3), 969-981 (2013)
- Zheng, XM, Chen, ZX: Some properties of meromorphic solutions of q -difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361**, 472-480 (2010)
- Gao, LY: Estimates of N -function and m -function of meromorphic solutions of systems of complex difference equations. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B* **32**(4), 1495-1502 (2012)
- Mokhon'ko, AZ, Mokhon'ko, VD: Estimates for the Nevanlinna characteristics of some classes of meromorphic functions and their applications to differential equations. *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **15**(6), 1305-1322 (1974)
- Goldstein, R: Some results on factorisation of meromorphic functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **4**(2), 357-364 (1971)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
