

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# Multiple positive doubly periodic solutions for a singular semipositone telegraph equation with a parameter

Fanglei Wang<sup>1\*</sup> and Yukun An<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence:

[wang-fanglei@hotmail.com](mailto:wang-fanglei@hotmail.com)<sup>1</sup>College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing, 210098, P.R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

**Abstract**

In this paper, we study the multiplicity of positive doubly periodic solutions for a singular semipositone telegraph equation. The proof is based on a well-known fixed point theorem in a cone.

**MSC:** 34B15; 34B18**Keywords:** semipositone telegraph equation; doubly periodic solution; singular; cone; fixed point theorem**1 Introduction**

Recently, the existence and multiplicity of positive periodic solutions for a scalar singular equation or singular systems have been studied by using some fixed point theorems; see [1–9]. In [10], the authors show that the method of lower and upper solutions is also one of common techniques to study the singular problem. In addition, the authors [11] use the continuation type existence principle to investigate the following singular periodic problem:

$$\left(|u'|^{p-2}u'\right)' + h(u)u' = g(u) + c(t).$$

More recently, using a weak force condition, Wang [12] has built some existence results for the following periodic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_1u_t + a_{11}(t, x)u + a_{12}(t, x)v = f_1(t, x, u, v) + \chi_1(t, x), \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + c_2v_t + a_{21}(t, x)u + a_{22}(t, x)v = f_2(t, x, u, v) + \chi_2(t, x). \end{cases}$$

The proof is based on Schauder's fixed point theorem. For other results concerning the existence and multiplicity of positive doubly periodic solutions for a single regular telegraph equation or regular telegraph system, see, for example, the papers [13–17] and the references therein. In these references, the nonlinearities are nonnegative.

On the other hand, the authors [18] study the semipositone telegraph system

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + c_1u_t + a_1(t, x)u = b_1(t, x)f(t, x, u, v), \\ v_{tt} - v_{xx} + c_2v_t + a_2(t, x)v = b_2(t, x)g(t, x, u, v), \end{cases}$$

where the nonlinearities  $f, g$  may change sign. In addition, there are many authors who have studied the semipositone equations; see [19, 20].

Inspired by the above references, we are concerned with the multiplicity of positive doubly periodic solutions for a general singular semipositone telegraph equation

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + cu_t + a(t, x)u = \lambda f(t, x, u), \\ u(t + 2\pi, x) = u(t, x + 2\pi) = u(t, x), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant,  $\lambda > 0$  is a positive parameter,  $a(t, x) \in C(R \times R, R)$ ,  $f(t, x, u)$  may change sign and is singular at  $u = 0$ , namely,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, x, u) = +\infty.$$

The main method used here is the following fixed-point theorem of a cone mapping.

**Lemma 1.1** [21] *Let  $E$  be a Banach space, and  $K \subset E$  be a cone in  $E$ . Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let  $T : K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$  be a completely continuous operator such that either*

- (i)  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ; or
- (ii)  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries are given. In Section 3, we give the main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{T}^2$  be the torus defined as

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = (R/2\pi Z) \times (R/2\pi Z).$$

Doubly  $2\pi$ -periodic functions will be identified to be functions defined on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . We use the notations

$$L^p(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad C(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad C^\alpha(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad D(\mathbb{T}^2) = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2), \dots$$

to denote the spaces of doubly periodic functions with the indicated degree of regularity. The space  $D'(\mathbb{T}^2)$  denotes the space of distributions on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ .

By a doubly periodic solution of Eq. (1) we mean that a  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  satisfies Eq. (1) in the distribution sense, *i.e.*,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u(\varphi_{tt} - \varphi_{xx} - c\varphi_t + a(t, x)\varphi) dt dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(t, x, u)\varphi dt dx.$$

First, we consider the linear equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + cu_t - \xi u = h(t, x), \quad \text{in } D'(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad (2)$$

where  $c > 0, \mu \in R$ , and  $h(t, x) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  be the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi u = u_{tt} - u_{xx} + cu_t - \xi u,$$

acting on functions on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Following the discussion in [14], we know that if  $\xi < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  has the resolvent  $R_\xi$ ,

$$R_\xi : L^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad h_i(t, x) \mapsto u_i(t, x),$$

where  $u(t, x)$  is the unique solution of Eq. (2), and the restriction of  $R_\xi$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) or  $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is compact. In particular,  $R_\xi : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is a completely continuous operator.

For  $\xi = -c^2/4$ , the Green function  $G(t, x)$  of the differential operator  $\mathcal{L}_\xi$  is explicitly expressed; see Lemma 5.2 in [14]. From the definition of  $G(t, x)$ , we have

$$\underline{G} := \text{ess inf } G(t, x) = e^{-3c\pi/2} / (1 - e^{-c\pi})^2,$$

$$\overline{G} := \text{ess sup } G(t, x) = (1 + e^{-c\pi}) / 2(1 - e^{-c\pi})^2.$$

For convenience, we assume the following condition holds throughout this paper:

(H1)  $a(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $0 \leq a(t, x) \leq \frac{c^2}{4}$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , and  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} a(t, x) dt dx > 0$ .

Finally, if  $-\xi$  is replaced by  $a(t, x)$  in Eq. (2), the author [13] has proved the following unique existence and positive estimate result.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $h(t, x) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then Eq. (2) has a unique solution  $u(t, x) = P[h(t, x)]$ ,  $P : L^1(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is a linear bounded operator with the following properties:*

- (i)  $P : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is a completely continuous operator;
- (ii) If  $h(t, x) > 0$ , a.e.  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ ,  $P[h(t, x)]$  has the positive estimate

$$\underline{G} \|h\|_{L^1} \leq P[h(t, x)] \leq \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}} \|h\|_{L^1}. \tag{3}$$

### 3 Main result

**Theorem 3.1** *Assume (H1) holds. In addition, if  $f(t, x, u)$  satisfies*

- (H2)  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, x, u) = +\infty$ , uniformly  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ ,
- (H3)  $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  is continuous,
- (H4) there exists a nonnegative function  $h(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  such that

$$f(t, x, u) + h(t, x) \geq 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2, u > 0,$$

(H5)  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} F_\infty(t, x) dt dx = +\infty$ , where the limit function  $F_\infty(t, x) = \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, u)}{u}$ , then Eq. (1) has at least two positive doubly periodic solutions for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ .

$C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|u\| = \max_{(t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2} |u(t, x)|$ . Define a cone  $K \subset C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  by

$$K = \{u \in C(\mathbb{T}^2) : u \geq 0, u(t, x) \geq \delta \|u\|\},$$

where  $\delta = \frac{\overline{G}^2 \|a\|_{L^1}}{\underline{G}} \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\partial K_r = \{u \in K : \|u\| = r\}$ ,  $[u]^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ . By Lemma 2.1, it is easy to obtain the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $h(t, x) \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is a nonnegative function, the linear boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + cu_t + a(t, x)u = \lambda h(t, x), \\ u(t + 2\pi, x) = u(t, x + 2\pi) = u(t, x) \end{cases}$$

*has a unique solution  $\omega(t, x)$ . The function  $\omega(t, x)$  satisfies the estimates*

$$\lambda \underline{G} \|h\|_{L^1} \leq \omega(t, x) = \lambda P(h(t, x)) \leq \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G} \|a\|_{L^1}} \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

**Lemma 3.3** *If the boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + cu_t + a(t, x)u = \lambda [f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)], \\ u(t + 2\pi, x) = u(t, x + 2\pi) = u(t, x) \end{cases}$$

*has a solution  $\tilde{u}(t, x)$  with  $\|\tilde{u}\| > \lambda \frac{\overline{G}^2}{\underline{G}^3 \|a\|_{L^1}^2} \|h\|_{L^1}$ , then  $u^*(t, x) = \tilde{u}(t, x) - \omega(t, x)$  is a positive doubly periodic solution of Eq. (1).*

*Proof of Theorem 3.1* Step 1. Define the operator  $T$  as follows:

$$(Tu)(t, x) = \lambda P[f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)].$$

We obtain the conclusion that  $T(K \setminus \{u \in K : [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+ = 0\}) \subseteq K$ , and  $T : K \setminus \{u \in K : [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+ = 0\} \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

For any  $u \in K \setminus \{u \in K : [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+ = 0\}$ , then  $[u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+ > 0$ , and  $T$  is defined. On the other hand, for  $u \in K \setminus \{u \in K : [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+ = 0\}$ , the complete continuity is obvious by Lemma 2.1. And we can have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tu)(t, x) &= \lambda P[f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)] \\ &\geq \lambda \underline{G} \|f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)\|_{L^1} \\ &\geq \underline{G} \frac{\overline{G} \|a\|_{L^1}}{\underline{G}} \|T(u)\| \\ &\geq \delta \|Tu\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T(K \setminus \{u \in K : u(t, x) \leq \omega(t, x)\}) \subseteq K$ .

Now we prove that the operator  $T$  has one fixed point  $\tilde{u} \in K$  and  $\|\tilde{u}\| > \lambda \frac{\overline{G}^2}{\underline{G}^3 \|a\|_{L^1}^2} \|h\|_{L^1}$  for all sufficiently small  $\lambda$ .

Since  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} F_\infty(t, x) dt dx = +\infty$ , there exists  $r_1 \geq 2$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{f(t, x, u)}{u} dt dx \geq \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad u \geq \delta r_1.$$

Furthermore, we have  $\int_{T^2} f(t, x, \delta r_1) dt dx \geq r_1 \geq 2$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T^2} \left[ \max \left\{ f(t, x, u) : \frac{\delta}{2} r_1 \leq u \leq r_1 \right\} + h(t, x) \right] dt dx \\ & \geq \int_{T^2} f(t, x, \delta r_1) dt dx \geq r_1 \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Phi(t, x) = \max \{ f(t, x, u) : \frac{\delta}{2} r_1 \leq u \leq r_1 \} + h(t, x)$ . Then  $\Phi \in L^1(T^2)$  and  $\int_{T^2} \Phi(t, x) dt dx > 0$ . Set

$$\lambda^* = \min \left\{ \frac{\delta^2}{2\underline{G}\|h\|_{L^1}}, \frac{2\underline{G}\|a\|_{L^1}}{\overline{G}\|\Phi\|_{L^1}} \right\}.$$

For any  $u \in \partial K_{r_1}$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ , we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) - \omega(t, x) & \geq \delta \|u\| - \omega(t, x) \\ & = \delta r_1 - \omega(t, x) \\ & \geq \delta r_1 - \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a\|_{L^1}} \|h\|_{L^1} \\ & \geq \delta r_1 - \frac{\delta r_1}{2} \\ & = \frac{\delta r_1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| & = \lambda \|P[f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)]\| \\ & \leq \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a\|_{L^1}} \|f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)\|_{L^1} \\ & \leq \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a\|_{L^1}} \|\Phi(t, x)\|_{L^1} \\ & < 2 \leq r_1 = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, u - \omega(t, x))}{u} = \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, u)}{u} = F_\infty(t, x).$$

By the Fatou lemma, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{T^2} \frac{f(t, x, u - \omega(t, x)) + h(t, x)}{u} dt dx \\ & \geq \int_{T^2} \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, u) + h(t, x)}{u} dt dx \\ & = \int_{T^2} F_\infty(t, x) dt dx = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a positive number  $r_2 > \delta r_2 > r_1$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{f(t, x, u - \omega(t, x)) + h(t, x)}{u} dt dx \geq \lambda^{-1} \delta^{-1} \underline{G}^{-1} (4\pi^2)^{-1}, \quad u \geq \delta r_2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(t, x, u - \omega(t, x)) + h(t, x) dt dx \geq \lambda^{-1} \underline{G}^{-1} (4\pi^2)^{-1} r_2, \quad u \geq \delta r_2.$$

For any  $u \in \partial K_{r_2}$ , we have  $\delta r_2 = \delta \|u\| \leq u(t, x) \leq \|u\| = r_2$ . On the other hand, since  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ , we can get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) - \omega(t, x) &\geq \delta r_2 - \omega(t, x) \\ &\geq \delta \frac{r_2}{\delta} - \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G} \|a\|_{L^1}} \\ &\geq \delta r_2 - \delta \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

From above, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &\geq \lambda P[f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)] \\ &\geq \lambda \underline{G} \|f(t, x, [u(t, x) - \omega(t, x)]^+) + h(t, x)\|_{L^1} \\ &\geq \lambda \underline{G} 4\pi^2 \lambda^{-1} \underline{G}^{-1} (4\pi^2)^{-1} r_2 \\ &= r_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.1, the operator  $T$  has a fixed point  $\tilde{u}(t, x) \in K$  and

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &\geq \|\tilde{u}\| \geq r_1, \\ \tilde{u}(t, x) - \omega(t, x) &\geq \delta r_1 - \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G} \|a\|_{L^1}} \|h\|_{L^1} \geq \delta r_1 - \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G} \|a\|_{L^1}} \|h\|_{L^1} \frac{\delta^2}{\underline{G} \|h\|_{L^1}} \geq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

So, Eq. (1) has a positive solution  $\hat{u}(t, x) = \tilde{u}(t, x) - \omega(t, x) \geq \delta$ .

Step 2. By conditions (H2) and (H3), it is clear to obtain that

$$u_0 = \inf\{u \in K : f(t, x, u) \leq 0, (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2\} > 0.$$

Let  $r_4 = \min\{\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{\delta \|u_0\|}{2}\}$ . For any  $u \in (0, r_4]$ , we have  $f(t, x, u) > 0$ . Then define the operator  $A$  as follows:

$$(Au)(t, x) = \lambda \widehat{P}[f(t, x, u(t, x))].$$

It is easy to prove that  $A(K \cap \{u \in C(\mathbb{T}^2) : 0 < \|u\| < r_4\}) \subseteq K$ , and  $A : K \cap \{u \in C(\mathbb{T}^2) : 0 < \|u\| < r_4\} \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

And for any  $\rho > 0$ , define

$$M(\rho) = \max\{f(t, x, u) : u \in R^+, \delta \rho \leq u \leq \rho, (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2\} > 0.$$

Furthermore, for any  $u \in \partial K_{r_4}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\| &= \lambda \|\widehat{P}[f(t, x, u(t, x))]\| \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a\|_{L^1}} \|f(t, x, u(t, x))\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{\overline{G}}{\underline{G}\|a\|_{L^1}} M(r_4)4\pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the above inequality, there exists  $\bar{\lambda}$  such that

$$\|Au\| < \|u\|, \quad \text{for } u \in \partial K_{r_4}, 0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}.$$

Since  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, x, u) = \infty$ , then there is  $0 < r_3 < \frac{r_4}{2}$  such that

$$f(t, x, u) \geq \mu u, \quad \text{for } u \in R^+ \text{ with } 0 < u \leq r_3,$$

where  $\mu$  satisfies  $\lambda \underline{G} \mu \delta > 1$ . For any  $u \in \partial K_{r_3}$ , then we have

$$f(t, x, u) \geq \mu u(t, x), \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

By Lemma 2.1, it is clear to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\| &= \lambda \|\widehat{P}[f(t, x, u(t, x))]\| \\ &\geq \lambda \underline{G} \|f(t, x, u(t, x))\|_{L^1} \\ &\geq \lambda \underline{G} \mu \delta r_3 \\ &> r_3 = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.1,  $A$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{u}(t, x) \in K$  and  $\|\bar{u}\| \leq r_4 \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ , which is another positive periodic solution of Eq. (1).

Finally, from Step 1 and Step 2, Eq. (1) has two positive doubly periodic solutions  $\widehat{u}(t, x)$  and  $\bar{u}(t, x)$  for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ . □

**Example** Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2u_t + \sin^2(t + x)u = \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{u} + \min\left\{u^2, \frac{u}{|1-\frac{t}{\pi}| |1-\frac{x}{\pi}|}\right\} - 10 \right], \\ u(t + 2\pi, x) = u(t, x + 2\pi) = u(t, x). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $f(t, x, u)$  satisfies the conditions (H1)-(H5).

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

This paper is concerned with a singular semipositone telegraph equation with a parameter and represents a somewhat interesting contribution in the investigation of the existence and multiplicity of doubly periodic solutions of the telegraph equation. All authors typed, read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing, 210098, P.R. China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, 210016, P.R. China.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for valuable comments and suggestions for improving this paper.

Received: 26 July 2012 Accepted: 29 December 2012 Published: 16 January 2013

#### References

1. Chu, J, Torres, PJ, Zhang, M: Periodic solutions of second order non-autonomous singular dynamical systems. *J. Differ. Equ.* **239**, 196-212 (2007)
2. Chu, J, Fan, N, Torres, PJ: Periodic solutions for second order singular damped differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **388**, 665-675 (2012)
3. Chu, J, Zhang, Z: Periodic solutions of second order superlinear singular dynamical systems. *Acta Appl. Math.* **111**, 179-187 (2010)
4. Chu, J, Li, M: Positive periodic solutions of Hill's equations with singular nonlinear perturbations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69**, 276-286 (2008)
5. Chu, J, Torres, PJ: Applications of Schauder's fixed point theorem to singular differential equations. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39**, 653-660 (2007)
6. Jiang, D, Chu, J, Zhang, M: Multiplicity of positive periodic solutions to superlinear repulsive singular equations. *J. Differ. Equ.* **211**, 282-302 (2005)
7. Torres, PJ: Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. *J. Differ. Equ.* **190**, 643-662 (2003)
8. Torres, PJ: Weak singularities may help periodic solutions to exist. *J. Differ. Equ.* **232**, 277-284 (2007)
9. Wang, H: Positive periodic solutions of singular systems with a parameter. *J. Differ. Equ.* **249**, 2986-3002 (2010)
10. DeCoster, C, Habets, P: Upper and lower solutions in the theory of ODE boundary value problems: classical and recent results. In: Zanolin, F (ed.) *Nonlinear Analysis and Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations CISM-CMS*, vol. 371, pp. 1-78. Springer, New York (1996)
11. Jebelean, P, Mawhin, J: Periodic solutions of forced dissipative  $p$ -Liéard equations with singularities. *Vietnam J. Math.* **32**, 97-103 (2004)
12. Wang, F: Doubly periodic solutions of a coupled nonlinear telegraph system with weak singularities. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* **12**, 254-261 (2011)
13. Li, Y: Positive doubly periodic solutions of nonlinear telegraph equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **55**, 245-254 (2003)
14. Ortega, R, Robles-Perez, AM: A maximum principle for periodic solutions of the telegraph equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **221**, 625-651 (1998)
15. Wang, F, An, Y: Nonnegative doubly periodic solutions for nonlinear telegraph system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **338**, 91-100 (2008)
16. Wang, F, An, Y: Existence and multiplicity results of positive doubly periodic solutions for nonlinear telegraph system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **349**, 30-42 (2009)
17. Wang, F, An, Y: Nonnegative doubly periodic solutions for nonlinear telegraph system with twin-parameters. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **214**, 310-317 (2009)
18. Wang, F, An, Y: On positive solutions of nonlinear telegraph semipositone system. *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Ser. A Math. Anal.* **16**, 209-219 (2009)
19. Xu, X: Positive solutions for singular semi-positone three-point systems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **66**, 791-805 (2007)
20. Yao, Q: An existence theorem of a positive solution to a semipositone Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**, 1401-1406 (2010)
21. Guo, D, Lakshmikantham, V: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, New York (1988)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-7

**Cite this article as:** Wang and An: Multiple positive doubly periodic solutions for a singular semipositone telegraph equation with a parameter. *Boundary Value Problems* 2013 **2013**:7.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)