



POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

rAd5/NYVAC-B is superior to NYVAC-B/rAd5 and is dependent on rAd5 dose for neutralizing antibody responses against HIV-1

DC Montefiori^{1*}, Y Huang², S Karuna³, M Allen⁴, N Kochar², S Chappuis⁵, J Gaillard⁵, G Tomaras¹, B Graham⁶, P Bart⁵, G Pantaleo⁵

From AIDS Vaccine 2012

Boston, MA, USA. 9-12 September 2012

Background

HVTN 078 is a phase 1b clinical trial of heterologous vector prime/boost vaccine regimens (NYVAC-B/rAd5 vs. rAd5/NYVAC-B) in healthy, HIV-1 uninfected, Ad5 seronegative adults. The rAd5 expressed a clade B Gag-Pol fusion protein and secreted gp140s of HIV-1 strains 92RW020 (clade A), HxB2/Bal-V3/ V1V2 (clade B) and 97ZA012 (clade C). The NYVAC-B expressed a clade B Gag-Pol-Nef polyprotein and the secreted gp120 of Bx08 (clade B). A total of 80 participants were randomized into a placebo group (P) and four treatment groups: T1, 2x NYVAC-B/1x rAd5 (10^{10}); T2, 1x rAd5 (10^8)/2x NYVAC-B; T3, 1x rAd5 (10^9)/2x NYVAC-B; T4, 1x rAd5 (10^{10})/2x NYVAC-B.

Methods

Binding and neutralizing antibodies were assessed at 2 weeks post-final boosting. Neutralization was assessed with tier 1 and tier 2 Env-pseudotyped viruses in TZM-bl cells, and with tier 2 Env.IMC.LucR viruses in A3R5 cells.

Results

A dose effect for increasing anti-Env binding antibodies was seen, with higher doses of rAd5 being optimal. For neutralizing antibodies, positive response rates/median titers across the treatment groups were highest against MN.3 (69.3%/116) followed by SF162.LS (42.1%/54), BaL.26 (18.4%/15.5), MW965.26 (14.5%/31) and Bx08.16 (11.8%/19.5). Five subjects neutralized all 5 tier 1 viruses, 5 subjects neutralized 4 viruses, 7 subjects neutralized 3 viruses, 14 subjects neutralized 2 viruses (MN.3 and

SF162.LS) and 18 subjects neutralized 1 virus (MN.3). Aggregate magnitude-breadth scores across the tier 1 panel were strongest for T4 followed by T3, T1 and T2. Differences were significant for T1 vs. T3 ($p=0.048$) and T1 vs. T4 ($p=0.004$). Responses against tier 2 viruses were weak and sporadic in the A3R5 assay and were nearly absent in the TZM-bl assay.

Conclusion

A 10^{10} dose of rAd5 was superior to the two lower doses of 10^9 and 10^8 for both binding and neutralizing antibodies. At the highest rAd5 dose tested, rAd5/NYVAC-B was superior to NYVAC-B/rAd5 for neutralizing antibodies.

Author details

¹Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. ²SCHARP, Seattle, WA, USA. ³Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center/HVTN, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁴NIAID-NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA. ⁵Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland. ⁶Vaccine Research Center, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA.

Published: 13 September 2012

doi:10.1186/1742-4690-9-S2-P132

Cite this article as: Montefiori *et al.*: rAd5/NYVAC-B is superior to NYVAC-B/rAd5 and is dependent on rAd5 dose for neutralizing antibody responses against HIV-1. *Retrovirology* 2012 **9**(Suppl 2):P132.

¹Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article