Allen et al. BMC Bioinformatics (2015) 16:98 DOI 10.1186/s12859-015-0515-2

SOFTWARE



Open Access

aTRAM - automated target restricted assembly method: a fast method for assembling loci across divergent taxa from next-generation sequencing data

Julie M Allen^{1*}, Daisie I Huang², Quentin C Cronk² and Kevin P Johnson¹

Abstract

Background: Assembling genes from next-generation sequencing data is not only time consuming but computationally difficult, particularly for taxa without a closely related reference genome. Assembling even a draft genome using *de novo* approaches can take days, even on a powerful computer, and these assemblies typically require data from a variety of genomic libraries. Here we describe software that will alleviate these issues by rapidly assembling genes from distantly related taxa using a single library of paired-end reads: aTRAM, automated Target Restricted Assembly Method. The aTRAM pipeline uses a reference sequence, BLAST, and an iterative approach to target and locally assemble the genes of interest.

Results: Our results demonstrate that aTRAM rapidly assembles genes across distantly related taxa. In comparative tests with a closely related taxon, aTRAM assembled the same sequence as reference-based and *de novo* approaches taking on average < 1 min per gene. As a test case with divergent sequences, we assembled >1,000 genes from six taxa ranging from 25 – 110 million years divergent from the reference taxon. The gene recovery was between 97 – 99% from each taxon.

Conclusions: aTRAM can quickly assemble genes across distantly-related taxa, obviating the need for draft genome assembly of all taxa of interest. Because aTRAM uses a targeted approach, loci can be assembled in minutes depending on the size of the target. Our results suggest that this software will be useful in rapidly assembling genes for phylogenomic projects covering a wide taxonomic range, as well as other applications. The software is freely available http://www.github.com/juliema/aTRAM.

Keywords: Massively parallel sequence data, Next-generation sequencing, Targeted gene assembly, Short-read archive, Phylogenomics, Phylogenetics

Background

Short read sequencing methods have rapidly increased the amount of genetic data that can be obtained in a cost effective manner [1]. The computational skills and time necessary to assemble genes from these short read datasets is quickly increasing. To assemble genomic datasets researchers must first create a genome assembly using either a *de novo* or reference-based approach, if a reference

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





© 2015 Allen et al.; licensee BioMed Central. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: juliema@illinois.edu

 $^{^{1}}$ lllinois Natural History Survey, University of Illinois, Champaign, IL 61820, USA

genomic data become available, the time associated with these assemblies will become more challenging particularly for projects with hundreds of taxa. Approaches that target specific loci or genes from short read datasets will likely reduce the time necessary to assemble genetic datasets.

A few target-based methods have been made available that are shown to work well for very closely related taxa [4,5], RAD-PE fragments [6] and meta-genomic datasets [7]. However, a method is still needed that can target and assemble genes across highly divergent taxonomic datasets. In this article we describe aTRAM, automated Target Restricted Assembly Method, a software package designed to rapidly assemble genes using a single pairedend genomic library from divergent taxa. The aTRAM software is inspired by the Target Restricted Assembly Method (TRAM) idea first outlined by Johnson et al. [8]. TRAM used a targeted approach with local BLAST [9] to assemble genes from short sequencing reads. The aTRAM software is TRAM completely redesigned and fully automated including a number of optimizations to speed up gene assembly, as well as providing computational pipelines for multiple taxon datasets and downstream processing.

The aTRAM software distributes queries of the reads by using a MapReduce approach to parallelize indexing and searching of the short-read dataset. To assemble genes, aTRAM uses a query sequence, searches the short read databases for matches to the gene of interest, finds the matching mates, and uses a *de novo* assembler to assemble those reads. The aTRAM software then uses those contigs as the query sequence in the next iteration and repeats the process to completely assemble the locus of interest. We compare the results from aTRAM to those assembled using reference-based and completely *de novo* assemblies. Finally, we demonstrate the ability of aTRAM to assemble genes from highly divergent taxa.

Implementation

The aTRAM package is downloadable from GitHub, (www.github.com/juliema/aTRAM) and is written as a Perl package that links together widely-cited programs in a novel way. These programs include BLAST [9]; two alternate *de novo* assemblers, Velvet [10] and Trinity [11]; and two multiple sequence aligners, MUSCLE [12] and MAFFT [13], aTRAM was designed so that new assembly and alignment software can be added as they become available. aTRAM has two components. The first component constructs an aTRAM formatted BLAST database from the original paired-end FASTQ or FASTA file and is performed once per sample. The second component is the search for a locus of interest, using an iterative approach aTRAM queries all or a fraction of the

constructed short read database for the locus of interest and performs a *de novo* assembly. The package also includes post-processing scripts for validation of the results and pipeline scripts that automate multiple gene alignments across a number of short-read datasets.

Database creation

The aTRAM software creates a database from a pairedend FASTA or FASTQ sequence file using a MapReduce strategy [14]. Because sequence names are unrelated to the genomic content of the reads, the MapReduce strategy speeds up subsequent searches by a hashing function to distribute the reads across many partitions, or shards. The sizes of the shards are approximately equal and each should contain a random sample of reads from the original run. Searches can now be done more efficiently across a smaller subset of the whole dataset. For each shard, a BLAST database is constructed, corresponding to one end of each paired-end read in the shard. The mate of each read is placed in an easily searchable file (Figure 1A). This sharding process allows aTRAM to be parallelizable, because each shard can be searched independently on its own process. Furthermore, because each shard contains a random sample of the full short read dataset, any number of shards can be searched in an aTRAM run, allowing the user to vary the coverage depth used in the assembly and reducing computational time if genomic coverage is high.

Gene assembly

The aTRAM pipeline iteratively searches the formatted database to assemble the gene of interest. Each shard is searched independently and the results are combined for de novo assembly. The target sequence is provided as either a DNA or amino acid FASTA file. For the first iteration, aTRAM uses BLAST to search each shard for reads that are similar to the target sequence. The top hits and their mates are retrieved, combined across all shards, and used as input to a *de novo* assembler (Velvet or Trinity). The possibility exists for other de novo assemblers to be written into aTRAM as plugins in the future [15-19]. The resulting contigs are then compared to the original target sequence using BLAST, and the most similar ones used as target sequences in the next iteration (Figure 1B). Because the subsequent iterations are using target sequences that were assembled directly from the short read database, further iterations will involve short reads that are not just similar but identical to the contig being assembled. The program stops when the total number of iterations determined by the user have been completed, or if the resulting contigs from any iteration matches exactly the contigs from the previous iteration. Alternatively, an *autocomplete* flag can be set to end the search if one of the contigs has sequence



matching both the beginning and the end of the query sequence, suggesting that the contig includes the entire target. As mentioned, aTRAM can be adjusted to use a fraction of the available shards: the fraction should be

calculated based on expected coverage of the target locus in the sequencing run, for example, a short read dataset that contains 5x coverage of the nuclear genome may contain 200x coverage of the ribosomal DNA and 800x coverage of the chloroplast genome [20]. Although 20-50x coverage may be optimal for many genes, it has been suggested that this method can work with coverage as low as 5x [8].

Pipelines

The aTRAM package also includes ready-made pipelines for running aTRAM on multiple samples for many target sequences. *AssemblyPipeline* runs aTRAM on multiple target sequences for multiple samples; it is ideal for quickly producing a list of putatively orthologous genes from different species. *AlignmentPipeline* produces a set of aligned homologous sequences for a set of genes and a set of samples, allowing straightforward production of multiple gene alignments for gene tree analyses.

Performance

aTRAM Compared to other methods

To compare the performance of aTRAM to genome assembly based methods and verify similar results a dataset of 1,534 single copy orthologs from Pediculus schaeffi, the chimpanzee louse, was chosen. These genes were first assembled using a reference-based approach against the body louse genome P. humanus in Johnson et al. [21]. Their study used one lane of an Illumina HiSeq2000 run, which resulted in 36 GB of data and over ~100X coverage of the genome (NCBI; SAMN02438447). The authors used CLC Genomics Workbench (CLCbio) to map paired-end reads to the reference genome and verified orthology using a reciprocal best-BLAST test (http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.9fk1s). The same set of genes was assembled using aTRAM and a completely de novo approach to compare the three sequence retrieval methods.

An aTRAM database was created from the same *P. schaeffi* paired-end library from Johnson et al. [21] taking a total of 2.37 hours to format the 36 GB library. The 1,534 reference *P. humanus* proteins were used as the target sequences for aTRAM assembly. Because the expected coverage of the genome for the complete Illumina run was over 100x, aTRAM was run using only 25% of the available shards, providing an estimated genomic coverage of ~25x. The program was set to run for five iterations using the *autocomplete* option. This run was performed on the Institute for Genomic Biology's Biocluster at the University of Illinois, which uses two Intel Xeon E5530 2.4GHz quad-core processors per node with 24 GB RAM per node. Both aTRAM steps were run on one node with four processors.

Finally, the same *P. schaeffi* paired-end library was used to create a completely *de novo* assembly. The raw reads were trimmed for nucleotide bias at the 5' end and for low-quality bases at the 3' end using the FASTX toolkit and error-corrected using Quake [22] with c = 2.83 for 19-mers. Paired-end reads were assembled in SOAPdenovo v1.05 [19] using K = 49, which is roughly half of the read lengths, and the optional GapCloser v1.10 algorithm with a minimum overlap = 31. Finally, the 1,534 genes were identified by creating a BLAST-formatted database of the *de novo* assembled contigs and using the *P. humanus* transcripts as targets for a BLAST search. The top hits were selected as the *de novo* contigs.

The aTRAM contigs, top hits from the *de novo* assembly, and the reference-based assembly sequences were each aligned against the original Pediculus humanus reference DNA sequences using MAFFT [13] with the included post-processing PercentCoverage script. Uncorrected p-distances (proportion of sites with differing nucleotides, not corrected with a model of molecular evolution) were calculated using a custom Perl script used originally in Johnson et al. [21] (Available on Github: juliema/publications/). Orthology was verified for the aTRAM and de novo contigs with the same reciprocal best-BLAST test that was previously used for the reference-based assemblies in Johnson et al. [21]. Because each method used BLAST for assembly the resultant contigs were then reciprocally compared to the entire Pediculus humanus protein coding genome, and if the original query sequence was the top hit, the assembled gene was considered to be orthologous to the query gene. Finally, the outputs from aTRAM, the reference-based assembly, and de novo assembly were aligned to each other and uncorrected p-distances calculated to determine if the three methods produced the same sequence for each gene.

aTRAM and Divergent Taxa

Samples of six species of lice were sequenced on an Illumina sequencer combining two species in a lane (NCBI: SAMN03360966 - SAMN03360971). Four species were sucking lice from the suborder Anoplura and thought to range from 25 – 75 million years divergent from the reference sequence P. humanus [23]. The other two species were chewing lice from the suborder Ischnocera and thought to be ~ 110 million years divergent from the reference species [24]. Johnson et al. [8] had previously identified a set of 1,107 genes as single copy orthologs protein coding genes across nine insect genomes, including lice, using OrthoDB [25]. The amino acid sequences from P. humanus for these 1,107 genes were used as query sequences in aTRAM for each of the six louse species. Each aTRAM contig was compared to the entire P. humanus protein-coding genome using the reciprocal best-BLAST test for orthology. The orthologous contigs were then aligned back to the P. humanus genome and uncorrected p-distances were calculated. To determine if a DNA query would also assemble genes across the divergent datasets, we ran 10 genes using the DNA from the reference P. humanus, and only those from the

congener *Pediculus schaeffi* assembled. This suggests that aTRAM can be limited by the success of the initial BLAST search (Additional file 1), and as taxa become more divergent, amino acid sequences are the more optimal target sequence.

Results and discussion

The aTRAM software rapidly assembles genes of interest from short paired-end sequencing reads, even across divergent taxa by iteratively querying and assembling reads. The MapReduce strategy [14] used in aTRAM enables faster searching of large short read data files, by splitting the short – read database into shards. Thus, the search is divided into many smaller parallelizable problems, speeding up computation time. This method also provides a means for further reducing computational time by allowing the user to search only a fraction of the short reads if genomic coverage is expected to be high.

Comparisons with reference and de novo assemblies

Using aTRAM, we quickly assembled the sequences of 1,534 putatively single copy genes from the Pediculus schaeffi short read dataset. A total of 90% of the genes completely assembled before the fifth iteration, and 75% of those finished at the first iteration, taking a mean of 55 seconds per gene. Assemblies of the other genes ranged from 3-7.5 minutes. Although 170 genes were not flagged as complete by the fifth iteration of aTRAM, searching among the best contigs of these genes verified that many had the complete gene but were not flagged in the autocomplete process. These genes had a mean of 96.97% of the gene assembled, with a median of 99.46%. Further investigation revealed three typical reasons the genes were not marked as complete: 1) some were missing one section of the gene, 2) some had high sequence divergences as compared to the reference, and 3) others had a small exon at one end of the gene. Because the original query sequence only included exons and aTRAM assemblies include introns, genes with a small exon at one or both ends are unlikely to have a high BLAST match of these small exons back to the original gene sequence. These results suggest that even though the gene may not be flagged as complete by the end of the iterations, the entire gene may still be assembled. Furthermore, in our experience, as the assembled contig grows with each iteration adding more iterations allows the complete assembly of the locus of interest, this is particularly true for very large genes, where more iterations may be needed to completely assemble the gene.

Gene completeness

When compared to the *P. humanus* reference sequence, aTRAM assembled a greater fraction of the gene than either the reference-based or *de novo* approaches (Table 1;

Table 1 Results from assembling 1,534 protein coding genes using aTRAM, a reference-based and a de novo approach

	Proportion (mean, range)	P-Distance	Reciprocal best-blast	
		(mean, std dev)	(total 1,534)	
aTRAM	0.99 (1-0.20)	0.093 (0.044)	1,530 (99.7%)	
Reference	0.93 (1-0.19)	0.077 (0.022)	N/A	
de novo	0.92 (1-0.16)	0.095 (0.052)	1,512 (98.92%)	

Additional file 2). One possible explanation is that compared to the reference based assembly, aTRAM is more likely to assemble sequences at intron-exon junctions or at the 5' and 3' ends of genes.

Genetic distance to the reference

The contigs returned from all three methods were similarly divergent when compared to their *P. humanus* orthologs, with the aTRAM and the *de novo* contigs having a few genes with higher distances. The mean pdistance to *P. humanus* was lowest for the referencebased contigs, most likely because more divergent regions failed to assemble (Figure 2). The aTRAM contigs had the next lowest p-distance, followed by the *de novo* contigs. All but four of the aTRAM contigs passed the reciprocal best-BLAST test of orthology, whereas 22 of the *de novo* contigs did not pass the test (Table 1). All of the reference-based contigs had previously passed the reciprocal best-BLAST test in Johnson et al. [21] and this resulted in the selection of the gene set used in our current comparisons.



Table 2 Results from assemblin	g 1,107 1:1 orthologous	genes using aTRAM ac	ross different species of lice
--------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------

Suborder, species	Years	Contigs	Reciprocal
	Divergent		Best-BLAST
Anoplura, Pedicinus badii	25 – 30 ^a	1091 (98.6%)	1068 (96.5%)
Anoplura, Haematopinus eurysternus	65 - 70 ^a	1089 (98.4%)	1048 (94.7%)
Anoplura, Linognathus spicatus	65 - 70 ^a	1082 (97.7%)	1031 (93.1%)
Anoplura, Proechinopthirus fluctus	75 - 80 ^a	1090 (98.5%)	1026 (92.7%)
Ischnocera, Brueelia antiqua	~110 ^b	1102 (99.5%)	1060 (95.8%)
Ischnocera, Columbicola liva	~110 ^b	1074 (97.0%)	1053 (95.1%)

Years divergent from the reference taxon were estimated in millions of years from a). Light et al. [23] and b) Smith et al. [24]. Contigs are the number of the 1,107 queries that assembled contigs in aTRAM. The final column has the number of contigs that passed a Reciprocal best-BLAST test against the entire *Pediculus humanus* protein coding genome.

Sequence similarity among methods

Finally, the sequences from all three methods were compared to each other to determine if they assembled the same sequence. The contigs from each method were identical in many cases; when they were not identical, aTRAM contigs tended to be more similar to the reference-based contigs (mean uncorrected p-distance = 0.011) than to the *de novo* contigs (mean = 0.022). The *de novo* contigs tended to be less similar to either of the other methods overall, suggesting that the *de novo* contigs were the least accurate of the three methods tested. This may be a function of the *de novo* assembly method and other assemblers may perform better. Additionally, we aligned aTRAM contigs to previously Sanger-sequenced loci and found identical sequences for two of the three genes, the third gene was only different for two base pairs out of 241 bp and a single N in the Sanger sequence (Additional file 3). Taken together, these results suggest that the contigs assembled by aTRAM are of a similar (or higher) length and quality to those assembled using alternate methods, while taking a fraction of the time to assemble. The alignments from these methods have been made available from the Dryad Digital Repository http://dx.doi.org/10.5061.dryad. kh886.

Assembling genes from divergent taxa

Finally, we used aTRAM to assemble genes from highly divergent taxa from *P. humanus*. Specifically, we assembled 1,107 1:1 orthologous genes from lice ranging from 25–110 million years divergent from the reference sequence [23,24]. aTRAM assembled nearly all of the genes from each of the six divergent taxa, ranging from 97% to 99% recovery (Table 2; Dryad Digital Repository http://dx.doi.org/10.5061.dryad.kh886). It is possible that some of genes that did not assemble were not present in the genomes of those taxa, having been lost over time. Between 2% and 6% of the assembled contigs did not pass the reciprocal best-BLAST test of orthology, leaving well over 1,000 genes for each species that did pass, suggesting these genes are orthologous to the reference

gene and can be used for phylogenomic datasets. The mean p-distance from *P. humanus* for these genes ranged from 0.24–0.30. As expected, the more distantly related lice had higher p-distances from the reference sequences.

Conclusions

Overall these results suggest that aTRAM will likely prove useful for quickly assembling phylogenomic datasets across a wide taxonomic range. Furthermore aTRAM was designed to be agnostic to the type of input data and therefore future testing should include RNA-seq data as well as other types of markers such as UCEs.

Availability and requirements

Project name: aTRAM

Project Home Page: http://www.github.com/juliema/aTRAM

Operating system: Unix, Linux, OSX

Programming language: Perl

Other requirements: Client needs free software including, muscle, mafft, blast, velvet or trinity

License: BSD 3-clause open source license

Additional files

Additional file 1: DNA vs Protein query results.

Additional file 2: Table of individual gene results summarized in Table 2.

Additional file 3: Fasta files of 3 genes (CO1, EF1a and one unknown nuclear locus), from lice in the genus *Degeeriella*. Fasta files include aTRAM contigs as well as Sanger sequences.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

JA and DH programmed the software. DH designed and engineered the software. JA and KPJ tested with example datasets. KPJ and QK provided critical insight into the functionality and testing of the program. JA wrote the manuscript. KPJ, DH and QK edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kim Walden for help with the *de novo* assembly, Therese Catanach for providing Sanger sequences, and David Slater for help with the University of Illinois IGB Biocluster. We would like to thank the students in the Systematics Discussion Group (University of Illinois) for testing aTRAM. Finally, we thank two anonymous reviewers, and Shaun Jackman, for helpful comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Grants [DEB-1050706, DEB-0612938, and DEB-1239788 to K.P.J] and by Genome Canada [Project 168BIO to QCC]; and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada [Discovery Grant 298148 to QCC].

Author details

¹Illinois Natural History Survey, University of Illinois, Champaign, IL 61820, USA. ²Department of Botany and Beaty Biodiversity Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada.

Received: 11 December 2014 Accepted: 24 February 2015 Published online: 25 March 2015

References

- Do K, Qin ZS, Vannucci M. 2010. Advances in Statistical Bioinformatics Models and Integrative Inference for High-Throughput Data. Camb Univ Press
- Metzker M. Sequencing technologies the next generation. Nat Rev Genet. 2011;11:31–46.
- Li C, Hofreiter M, Straube N, Corrigan S, Naylor GJP. Capturing protein-coding genes across highly divergent species. Biotechniques. 2013;54:321–6.
- Warren RL, Holt RA. 2011. Targeted Assembly of Short Sequence Reads. PLoS One. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019816
- Peterlogo P, Chikhi R. Mapsembler, targeted and micro assembly of large NGS datasets on a desktop computer. BMC Bioinformatics. 2012;13:48.
- Etter PD, Preston JL, Bassham S, Cresko WA, Johnson EA. Local De novo assembly of RAD paired End contigs using short sequencing reads. PLoS One. 2011;6:e18561.
- Ruby JG, Bellare P, DeRisi JL. PRICE: Software for the targeted assembly of components of (Meta) Genomic Sequence Data. G3 (Bethesda). 2013;3(5):865–80.
- Johnson KP, Walden KK, Robertson HM. Next-generation phylogenomics using a target restricted assembly method. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2013;66:417–22.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215(3):403–10.
- Zerbino DR. 2010. Using Velvet de novo assembler for short-read sequencing technologies. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. doi:10.1002/0471250953. bi1105s31
- Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29:644–52.
- 12. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;32:1792–7.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30:772–8.
- Dean J, Ghemawat S. MapReduce. 2008. Simplified data processing on large clusters. Commun ACM – 50th Anniversary Issue. 2008;51:107–13.
- Ariyaratne PN, Sung W-K 2010 PE-Assembler: De novo assembler using short paired-end reads Bioinformatics doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq626
- Hossan MS, Azimi N, Skiena S. Crystallizing short-read assemblies around seeds. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10 Suppl 1:S16.
- Simpson JT, Wong K, Jackman SD, Schein JE, Jones SJ, Briol I. ABySS: a parallel assembler for short read sequencing data. Genome Res. 2009;19:1117–23.
- Rausch T, Koren S, Denisov G, Weese D. A consistency-based consensus algorithm for de novo and reference guided assembly of short reads. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1118–24.
- Li Y, Hu Y, Bolund L, Wang J. State of the art de novo assembly of human genomes from massively parallel sequencing data. Hum Genomics. 2010;4:271–7.

- Kane NC, Sveinsson S, Dempewolf H, Yang JY, Zhang D, Engels JMM, et al. Ultra-barcoding in cacao (*Theobroma* spp.; Malvaceae) using whole chloroplast genomes and nuclear ribosomal DNA. Am J Bot. 2012;99:320–9.
- Johnson KP, Allen JM, Olds BP, Mugisha L, Reed DL, Paige KN, et al. Rates of genomic divergence in humans, chimpanzees and their lice. Proc Biol Sci. 2014;281:1777.
- 22. Kelly DR, Schatz MC, Salzberg SL. Quake: quality-aware detection and correction of sequencing errors. Genome Biol. 2010;11:R116.
- Light JE, Smith VS, Allen JM, Durden LA, Reed DL. Evolutionary history of mammalian sucking lice (Phthiraptera: Anoplura). BMC Evol Biol. 2010;10:292.
- Smith VS, Ford T, Johnson KP, Johnson PCD, Yoshizawa K, Light JE. 2011. Multiple lineages of lice pass through the K-Pg boundary. Biol Lett. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0105
- Waterhouse RM, Zdobnov EM, Tegenfieldt F, Li J, Kriventseva EV. OrthoDB: the hierarchical catalog of eukaryotic orthologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39:D283–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit