

RESEARCH

Open Access

Some results on asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense and equilibrium problems

Chunyan Huang¹ and Xiaoyan Ma^{2*}*Correspondence: kfmaxy@yeah.net²Basic Experimental & Teaching Center, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a common fixed point problem of a finite family of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense and an equilibrium problem. Strong convergence theorems of common solutions are established in a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property.

MSC: 47H09; 47J25; 90C33**Keywords:** asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping; asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense; generalized projection; equilibrium problem; fixed point

1 Introduction-preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space. Recall that E is said to be strictly convex if $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$ for all $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $x \neq y$. It is said to be uniformly convex if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ for any two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in E such that $\|x_n\| = \|y_n\| = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\| = 1$. Let $U_E = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ be the unit sphere of E . Then the Banach space E is said to be smooth if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each $x, y \in U_E$. It is said to be uniformly smooth if the above limit is attained uniformly for $x, y \in U_E$.

Recall that E has Kadec-Klee property if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$, and $x \in E$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$, and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$, then $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For more details of the Kadec-Klee property, the readers can refer to [1] and the references therein. It is well known that if E is a uniformly convex Banach space, then E enjoys the Kadec-Klee property.

Recall that the normalized duality mapping J from E to 2^{E^*} is defined by

$$Jx = \{f^* \in E^* : \langle x, f^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f^*\|^2\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is well known that if E is uniformly smooth, then J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded subset of E . It is also well known that if E is uniformly smooth if and only if E^* is uniformly convex.

Next, we assume that E is a smooth Banach space. Consider the functional defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Observe that, in a Hilbert space H , the equality is reduced to $\phi(x, y) = \|x - y\|^2$, $x, y \in H$. As we all know if C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and $P_C : H \rightarrow C$ is the metric projection of H onto C , then P_C is nonexpansive. This fact actually characterizes Hilbert spaces and consequently, it is not available in more general Banach spaces. In this connection, Alber [2] recently introduced a generalized projection operator Π_C in a Banach space E which is an analog of the metric projection P_C in Hilbert spaces. Recall that the generalized projection $\Pi_C : E \rightarrow C$ is a map that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of the functional $\phi(x, y)$, that is, $\Pi_C x = \bar{x}$, where \bar{x} is the solution to the minimization problem

$$\phi(\bar{x}, x) = \min_{y \in C} \phi(y, x).$$

The existence and uniqueness of the operator Π_C follow from the properties of the functional $\phi(x, y)$ and strict monotonicity of the mapping J ; see, for example, [1, 2]. In Hilbert spaces, $\Pi_C = P_C$. It is obvious from the definition of the function ϕ that

$$(\|x\| - \|y\|)^2 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq (\|y\| + \|x\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\phi(x, y) = \phi(x, z) + \phi(z, y) + 2\langle x - z, Jz - Jy \rangle, \quad \forall x, y, z \in E. \tag{1.2}$$

Remark 1.1 If E is a reflexive, strictly convex, and smooth Banach space, then $\phi(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$; for more details, see [1, 2] and the references therein.

Let C be a nonempty subset of E and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. In this paper, we use $F(T)$ to denote the fixed point set of T . T is said to be asymptotically regular on C if for any bounded subset K of C ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|T^{n+1}x - T^n x\| : x \in K \} = 0.$$

T is said to be closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = y_0$, then $Tx_0 = y_0$. In this paper, we use \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup to denote the strong convergence and weak convergence, respectively.

Recall that a point p in C is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of T [3] iff C contains a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which converges weakly to p such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of T will be denoted by $\tilde{F}(T)$.

A mapping T is said to be relatively nonexpansive iff

$$\tilde{F}(T) = F(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad \phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in F(T).$$

A mapping T is said to be relatively asymptotically nonexpansive iff

$$\tilde{F}(T) = F(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad \phi(p, T^n x) \leq (1 + \mu_n)\phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in F(T), \forall n \geq 1,$$

where $\{\mu_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ is a sequence such that $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.2 The class of relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings were first considered in [4]; see also, [5] and the references therein.

Recall that a mapping T is said to be quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive iff

$$F(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad \phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in F(T).$$

Recall that a mapping T is said to be asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive iff there exists a sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$F(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad \phi(p, T^n x) \leq (1 + \mu_n)\phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in F(T), \forall n \geq 1.$$

Remark 1.3 The class of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings was considered in [6]. The class of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings which was investigated in [7] and [8] includes the class of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings as a special case.

Remark 1.4 The class of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings and the class of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings are more general than the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings and the class of relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings and asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive do not require the restriction $F(T) = \tilde{F}(T)$.

Remark 1.5 The class of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings and the class of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings are generalizations of the class of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and the class of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces.

Recall that T is said to be asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive in the intermediate sense iff $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and the following inequality holds:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p \in F(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x)) \leq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Putting

$$\xi_n = \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{p \in F(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x)) \right\},$$

it follows that $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then (1.3) is reduced to the following:

$$\phi(p, T^n x) \leq \phi(p, x) + \xi_n, \quad \forall p \in F(T), \forall x \in C. \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.6 The class of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense was first considered by Qin and Wang [9].

Remark 1.7 The class of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense is a generalization of the class of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense, which was considered by Kirk [10], in the framework of Banach spaces.

Let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} , where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers. Recall the following equilibrium problem. Find $p \in C$ such that

$$f(p, y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{1.5}$$

We use $EP(f)$ to denote the solution set of the equilibrium problem (1.5). That is,

$$EP(f) = \{p \in C : f(p, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}.$$

We remark here that the equilibrium problem was first introduced by Fan [11]. Given a mapping $Q : C \rightarrow E^*$, let

$$f(x, y) = \langle Qx, y - x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Then $p \in EP(f)$ if and only if p is a solution of the following variational inequality. Find p such that

$$\langle Qp, y - p \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{1.6}$$

To study the equilibrium problems (1.5), we may assume that F satisfies the following conditions:

- (A1) $F(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
- (A2) F is monotone, i.e., $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
- (A3) for each $x, y, z \in C$,

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow 0} F(tz + (1-t)x, y) \leq F(x, y);$$

- (A4) for each $x \in C$, $y \mapsto F(x, y)$ is convex and weakly lower semi-continuous.

Numerous problems in physics, optimization, and economics reduce to find a solution of (1.5). Recently, many authors have investigated common solutions of fixed point and equilibrium problems in Banach spaces; see, for example, [12–33] and the references therein.

In this paper, we consider a projection algorithm for treating the equilibrium problem and fixed point problems of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.8 [2] *Let E be a reflexive, strictly convex and smooth Banach space. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E and let $x \in E$. Then*

$$\phi(y, \Pi_C x) + \phi(\Pi_C x, x) \leq \phi(y, x), \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Lemma 1.9 [2] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth Banach space E and let $x \in E$. Then $x_0 = \Pi_C x$ if and only if*

$$\langle x_0 - y, Jx - Jx_0 \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Lemma 1.10 *Let C be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space E . Let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $r > 0$ and $x \in E$. Then*

(a) [34] *There exists $z \in C$ such that*

$$f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

(b) [6, 24] *Define a mapping $T_r : E \rightarrow C$ by*

$$S_r x = \left\{ z \in C : f(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle, \forall y \in C \right\}.$$

Then the following conclusions hold:

- (1) S_r is single-valued;
- (2) S_r is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, i.e., for all $x, y \in E$,

$$\langle S_r x - S_r y, JS_r x - JS_r y \rangle \leq \langle S_r x - S_r y, Jx - Jy \rangle$$

- (3) $F(S_r) = EP(f)$;
- (4) S_r is quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive;
- (5) $\phi(q, S_r x) + \phi(S_r x, x) \leq \phi(q, x), \forall q \in F(S_r)$;
- (6) $EP(f)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 1.11 [35] *Let E be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $r > 0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function $g : [0, 2r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|tx + (1-t)y\|^2 \leq t\|x\|^2 + (1-t)\|y\|^2 - t(1-t)g(\|x-y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in B_r = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq r\}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 *Let E be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of E . Let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4) and let N be some positive integer. Let $T_i : C \rightarrow C$ an asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense for every $1 \leq i \leq N$. Assume that T_i is closed asymptotically regular on C and $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap$*

$EF(f)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in E \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, \\ x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0, \\ y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n), \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_n = \max\{0, \sup_{p \in F(T_i), x \in C} (\phi(p, T_i^n x) - \phi(p, x))\}$, $\{\alpha_{n,i}\}$ is a real number sequence in $(0, 1)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\{r_n\}$ is a real number sequence in $[k, \infty)$, where k is some positive real number. Assume that $\sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_{n,i} = 1$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,i} > 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)} x_1$, where $\Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)}$ is the generalized projection from E onto $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)$.

Proof First, we show that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)$ is closed and convex. From [9], we find that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$ is closed and convex, which combines with Lemma 1.10 shows that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)$ is closed and convex. Next, we show that C_n is closed and convex. It is obvious that $C_1 = C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that C_h is closed and convex for some positive integer h . For $z \in C_h$, we see that $\phi(z, u_h) \leq \phi(z, x_h) + \xi_h$ is equivalent to

$$2 \langle z, Jx_h - Ju_h \rangle \leq \|x_h\|^2 - \|u_h\|^2 + \xi_h.$$

It is to see that C_{h+1} is closed and convex. This proves that C_n is closed and convex. This in turn shows that $\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1$ is well defined. Putting $u_n = S_{r_n} y_n$, we from Lemma 1.10 see that S_{r_n} is quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive. Now, we are in a position to prove that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f) \subset C_n$. Indeed, $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f) \subset C_1 = C$ is obvious. Assume that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f) \subset C_h$ for some positive integer h . Then, for $\forall w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f) \subset C_h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w, u_h) &= \phi(w, S_{r_h} y_h) \\ &\leq \phi(w, y_h) \\ &= \phi\left(w, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{h,0} Jx_h + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} J T_i^h x_h\right)\right) \\ &= \|w\|^2 - 2 \left\langle w, \alpha_{h,0} Jx_h + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} J T_i^h x_h \right\rangle + \left\| \alpha_{h,0} Jx_h + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} J T_i^h x_h \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|w\|^2 - 2\alpha_{h,0} \langle w, Jx_h \rangle - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} \langle w, J T_i^h x_h \rangle + \alpha_{h,0} \|x_h\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} \|T_i^h x_h\|^2 \\ &= \alpha_{h,0} \phi(w, x_h) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} \phi(w, T_i^h x_h) \\ &\leq \alpha_{h,0} \phi(w, x_h) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} \phi(w, x_h) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} \xi_h \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \phi(w, x_h) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{h,i} \xi_h \\
 &\leq \phi(w, x_h) + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_h,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

which shows that $w \in C_{h+1}$. This implies that $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f) \subset C_n$.

Next, we prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Notice that $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_1$. We find from Lemma 1.9 that $\langle x_n - z, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0$, for any $z \in C_n$. Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f) \subset C_n$, we find that

$$\langle x_n - w, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f). \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from Lemma 1.8 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(x_n, x_1) &\leq \phi(\Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)} x_1, x_1) - \phi(\Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)} x_1, x_n) \\
 &\leq \phi(\Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)} x_1, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the sequence $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$ is bounded. It follows from (1.1) that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is also bounded. Since the space is reflexive, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $x_n \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Next, we prove that $\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)$. Since C_n is closed and convex, we find that $\bar{x} \in C_n$. This implies from $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_1$ that $\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(\bar{x}, x_1)$. On the other hand, we see from the weakly lower semicontinuity of $\|\cdot\|$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(\bar{x}, x_1) &= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jx_1 \rangle + \|x_1\|^2 \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n\|^2 - 2\langle x_n, Jx_1 \rangle + \|x_1\|^2) \\
 &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \\
 &\leq \phi(\bar{x}, x_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) = \phi(\bar{x}, x_1)$. Hence, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|\bar{x}\|$. In view of the Kadec-Klee property of E , we find that $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_n = \Pi_{C_n} x_1$, and $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_1 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we find that $\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_1)$. This shows that $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$ is nondecreasing. We find from its boundedness that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1)$ exists. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= \phi(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{C_n} x_1) \\
 &\leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_1) - \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_1, x_1) \\
 &= \phi(x_{n+1}, x_1) - \phi(x_n, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

In the light of $x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}}x_1 \in C_{n+1}$, we find that

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \xi_n.$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

In view of (1.1), we see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_{n+1}\| - \|u_n\|) = 0$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| = \|\bar{x}\|$. That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ju_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| = \|\bar{x}\|. \tag{2.5}$$

This implies that $\{Ju_n\}$ is bounded. Note that both E and E^* are reflexive. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $Ju_n \rightharpoonup u^* \in E^*$. In view of the reflexivity of E , we see that $J(E) = E^*$. This shows that there exists an element $u \in E$ such that $Ju = u^*$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) &= \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1}, Ju_n \rangle + \|u_n\|^2 \\ &= \|x_{n+1}\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1}, Ju_n \rangle + \|Ju_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on both sides of the equality aboven yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, u^* \rangle + \|u^*\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Ju \rangle + \|Ju\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Ju \rangle + \|u\|^2 \\ &= \phi(\bar{x}, u). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\bar{x} = u$, which in turn implies that $u^* = J\bar{x}$. It follows that $Ju_n \rightharpoonup J\bar{x} \in E^*$. Since E^* enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain from (2.5) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ju_n = J\bar{x}$. Since $J^{-1} : E^* \rightarrow E$ is demicontinuous and E enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain $u_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$\|x_n - u_n\| \leq \|x_n - \bar{x}\| + \|\bar{x} - u_n\|.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Since J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on any bounded sets, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_n - Ju_n\| = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w, x_n) - \phi(w, u_n) &= \|x_n\|^2 - \|u_n\|^2 - 2\langle w, Jx_n - Ju_n \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - u_n\| (\|x_n\| + \|u_n\|) + 2\|w\| \|Jx_n - Ju_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

We, therefore, find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(w, x_n) - \phi(w, u_n)) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Since E is uniformly smooth, we know that E^* is uniformly convex. In view of Lemma 1.11, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w, u_n) &= \phi(w, S_{r_n} y_n) \\ &\leq \phi(w, y_n) \\ &= \phi\left(w, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n\right)\right) \\ &= \|w\|^2 - 2\left\langle w, \alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n \right\rangle + \left\| \alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} J T_i^n x_n \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|w\|^2 - 2\alpha_{n,0} \langle w, Jx_n \rangle - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} \langle w, J T_i^n x_n \rangle + \alpha_{n,0} \|x_n\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} \|T_i^n x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|) \\ &= \alpha_{n,0} \phi(w, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} \phi(w, T_i^n x_n) - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|) \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0} \phi(w, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} \phi(w, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} \xi_n \\ &\quad - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|) \\ &= \phi(w, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n,i} \xi_n - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|) \\ &\leq \phi(w, x_n) + \xi_n - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|) \leq \phi(w, x_n) - \phi(w, u_n) + \xi_n.$$

In view of the restriction on the sequences, we find from (2.8) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\|) = 0$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_n - J T_1^n x_n\| = 0.$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_n - J T_i^n x_n\| = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Notice that $\|J T_i^n x_n - J \bar{x}\| \leq \|J T_i^n x_n - Jx_n\| + \|Jx_n - J \bar{x}\|$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J T_i^n x_n - J \bar{x}\| = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

The demicontinuity of $J^{-1} : E^* \rightarrow E$ implies that $T_i^n x_n \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Note that

$$\| \|T_i^n x_n\| - \|\bar{x}\| \| = \| \|JT_i^n x_n\| - \|J\bar{x}\| \| \leq \|JT_i^n x_n - J\bar{x}\|.$$

This implies from (2.9) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_i^n x_n\| = \|\bar{x}\|$. Since E has the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_i^n x_n - \bar{x}\| = 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$\|T_i^{n+1} x_n - \bar{x}\| \leq \|T_i^{n+1} x_n - T_i^n x_n\| + \|T_i^n x_n - \bar{x}\|.$$

It follows from the uniformly asymptotic regularity of T_i that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_i^{n+1} x_n - \bar{x}\| = 0.$$

That is, $T_i T_i^n x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$. From the closedness of T_i , we find $\bar{x} = T_i \bar{x}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$. This proves $\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$.

Next, we show that $\bar{x} \in EF(f)$. In view of Lemma 1.8, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u_n, y_n) &\leq \phi(w, y_n) - \phi(w, u_n) \\ &\leq \phi(w, x_n) + \mu_n - \phi(w, u_n). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.8) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(u_n, y_n) = 0$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_n\| - \|y_n\|) = 0$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = \|\bar{x}\|.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jy_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| = \|\bar{x}\| = \|J\bar{x}\|.$$

This shows that $\{Jy_n\}$ is bounded. Since E^* is reflexive, we may assume that $Jy_n \rightharpoonup v^* \in E^*$. In view of $J(E) = E^*$, we see that there exists $v \in E$ such that $Jv = v^*$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u_n, y_n) &= \|u_n\|^2 - 2\langle u_n, Jy_n \rangle + \|y_n\|^2 \\ &= \|u_n\|^2 - 2\langle u_n, Jy_n \rangle + \|Jy_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ the both sides of equality above yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, v^* \rangle + \|v^*\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jv \rangle + \|Jv\|^2 \\ &= \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jv \rangle + \|v\|^2 \\ &= \phi(\bar{x}, v). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\bar{x} = v$, which in turn implies that $v^* = J\bar{x}$. It follows that $Jy_n \rightharpoonup J\bar{x} \in E^*$. Since E^* enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain $Jy_n - J\bar{x} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $J^{-1} : E^* \rightarrow E$

is demicontinuous. It follows that $y_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Since E enjoys the Kadec-Klee property, we obtain $y_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$\|u_n - y_n\| \leq \|u_n - \bar{x}\| + \|\bar{x} - y_n\|.$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - y_n\| = 0$. Since J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on any bounded sets, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ju_n - Jy_n\| = 0$. From the assumption $r_n \geq k$, we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Ju_n - Jy_n\|}{r_n} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $u_n = S_{r_n}y_n$, we find that

$$f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

It follows from (A2) that

$$\|y - u_n\| \frac{\|Ju_n - Jy_n\|}{r_n} \geq \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq f(y, u_n), \quad \forall y \in C.$$

In view of (A4), we find from (2.10) that

$$f(y, \bar{x}) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

For $0 < t < 1$ and $y \in C$, define $y_t = ty + (1 - t)\bar{x}$. It follows that $y_t \in C$, which yields $f(y_t, \bar{x}) \leq 0$. It follows from (A1) and (A4) that

$$0 = f(y_t, y_t) \leq tf(y_t, y) + (1 - t)f(y_t, \bar{x}) \leq tf(y_t, y).$$

That is,

$$f(y_t, y) \geq 0.$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, we obtain from (A3) that $f(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. This implies that $\bar{x} \in EP(f)$.

Finally, we turn our attention to proving that $\bar{x} = \Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)} x_1$.

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$\langle \bar{x} - w, Jx_1 - J\bar{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f).$$

In view of Lemma 1.9, we find that $\bar{x} = \Pi_{\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \cap EF(f)} x_1$. This completes the proof. \square

From the definition of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings, we see that every quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive in the intermediate sense. We also know that every uniformly smooth and uniformly convex space is a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property (note that every uniformly convex Banach space enjoys the Kadec-Klee property).

Remark 2.2 Theorem 2.1 can be viewed an extension of the corresponding results in Qin *et al.* [6], Kim [12], Qin *et al.* [22], Takahashi and Zembayashi [24], respectively. The space L^p , where $p > 1$, satisfies the restriction in Theorem 2.1.

3 Applications

Theorem 3.1 *Let E be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of E . Let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ an asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense. Assume that T is closed asymptotically regular on C and $F(T) \cap EF(f)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_0 \in E \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, \\ x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0, \\ y_n = J^{-1}(\alpha_{n,0} Jx_n + \alpha_{n,1} J T^n x_n), \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n) + \xi_n\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \end{array} \right.$$

where $\xi_n = \max\{0, \sup_{p \in F(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x))\}$, $\{r_n\}$ is a real number sequence in $[k, \infty)$, where k is some positive real number, $\{\alpha_{n,0}\}$ and $\{\alpha_{n,1}\}$ are two real number sequence in $(0, 1)$. Assume that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,1} > 0$. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{F(T) \cap EF(f)} x_1$, where $\Pi_{F(T) \cap EF(f)}$ is the generalized projection from E onto $F(T) \cap EF(f)$.

Proof Putting $N = 1$, we draw from Theorem 2.1 the desired conclusion immediately. \square

Remark 3.2 If the mapping T in Theorem 3.1 is quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive, then the restrictions that T is closed asymptotically regular on C and $F(T) \cap EF(f)$ is bounded will not be required anymore.

If $T_i = I$, where I is the identity for every $1 \leq i \leq N$, then we find from Theorem 2.1 the following.

Theorem 3.3 *Let E be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property and let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of E . Let f be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to \mathbb{R} satisfying (A1)-(A4). Assume that $EF(f)$ is nonempty. Let*

$\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_0 \in E \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, \\ x_1 = \Pi_{C_1} x_0, \\ u_n \in C \text{ such that } f(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n} (y - u_n, Ju_n - Jx_n) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, u_n) \leq \phi(z, x_n)\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_0, \end{array} \right.$$

where $\{r_n\}$ is a real number sequence in $[k, \infty)$, where k is some positive real number. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{EF(f)} x_1$, where $\Pi_{EF(f)}$ is the generalized projection from E onto $EF(f)$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed equally to this manuscript.

Author details

¹School of Mathematics and Information Science, North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power, Zhengzhou, China. ²Basic Experimental & Teaching Center, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan, China.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the reviewers for useful suggestions which improved the contents of this paper.

Received: 29 January 2014 Accepted: 2 May 2014 Published: 22 May 2014

References

1. Cioranescu, I: Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems. Kluwer, Dordrecht (1990)
2. Alber, YI: Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications. In: Kartsatos, AG (ed.) Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type. Dekker, New York (1996)
3. Reich, S: A weak convergence theorem for the alternating method with Bregman distance. In: Kartsatos, AG (ed.) Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type. Dekker, New York (1996)
4. Agarwal, RP, Qin, X, Cho, YJ: Generalized projection algorithms for nonlinear operators. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **28**, 1197-1215 (2007)
5. Su, Y, Qin, X: Strong convergence of modified Ishikawa iterations for nonlinear mappings. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **117**, 97-107 (2007)
6. Qin, X, Cho, YJ, Kang, SM: Convergence theorems of common elements for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **225**, 20-30 (2009)
7. Qin, X, Cho, SY, Kang, SM: On hybrid projection methods for asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings. Appl. Math. Comput. **215**, 3874-3883 (2010)
8. Zhou, H, Gao, G, Tan, B: Convergence theorems of a modified hybrid algorithm for a family of quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. J. Appl. Math. Comput. **32**, 453-464 (2010)
9. Qin, X, Wang, L: On asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012**, Article ID 636217 (2012)
10. Kirk, WA: Fixed point theorems for non-Lipschitzian mappings of asymptotically nonexpansive type. Isr. J. Math. **17**, 339-346 (1974)
11. Fan, K: A minimax inequality and applications. In: Shisha, O (ed.) Inequality III, pp. 103-113. Academic Press, New York (1972)
12. Kim, JK: Strong convergence theorems by hybrid projection methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of the asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2011**, Article ID 10 (2011)
13. Hao, Y: On generalized quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings and their projection algorithms. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**, Article ID 204 (2013)
14. Cho, SY, Qin, X: On the strong convergence of an iterative process for asymptotically strict pseudocontractions and equilibrium problems. Appl. Math. Comput. **235**, 430-438 (2014)
15. Zhang, QN: Common solutions of equilibrium and fixed point problems. J. Inequal. Appl. **2013**, Article ID 425 (2013)
16. Chang, SS, Chan, CK, Lee, HWJ: Modified block iterative algorithm for quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium problem in Banach space. Appl. Math. Comput. **217**, 7520-7530 (2011)
17. Chang, SS, Lee, HWJ, Chan, CK: A new hybrid method for solving a generalized equilibrium problem, solving a variational inequality problem and obtaining common fixed points in Banach spaces, with applications. Nonlinear Anal. **73**, 2260-2270 (2010)

18. Yang, S, Zhang, MY: Strong convergence theorems for fixed points of generalized asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings. *Adv. Fixed Point Theory* **4**, 69-90 (2014)
19. Chen, JH: Iterations for equilibrium and fixed point problems. *J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 4 (2013)
20. Qin, X, Su, Y: Strong convergence theorems for relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space. *Nonlinear Anal.* **67**, 1958-1965 (2007)
21. Yang, L, Zhao, F, Kim, JK: Hybrid projection method for generalized mixed equilibrium problem and fixed point problem of infinite family of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 6072-6082 (2012)
22. Qin, X, Cho, SY, Kang, SM: Strong convergence of shrinking projection methods for quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium problems. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **234**, 750-760 (2010)
23. Wu, C, Lv, S: Bregman projection methods for zeros of monotone operators. *J. Fixed Point Theory* **2013**, Article ID 7 (2013)
24. Takahashi, W, Zembayashi, K: Strong and weak convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 45-57 (2009)
25. Qin, X: A regularization method for treating zero points of the sum of two monotone operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 75 (2014)
26. Yuan, Q: Some results on asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. *J. Fixed Point Theory* **2012**, Article ID 1 (2012)
27. Cho, SY: Strong convergence of a splitting algorithm for treating monotone operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 94 (2014)
28. Wang, S, Zhou, C: New iterative scheme for finite families of equilibrium, variational inequality, and fixed point problems in Banach spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 372975 (2011)
29. Zhang, C, Li, J, Liu, B: Strong convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 262-276 (2011)
30. Cho, SY, Kang, SM: Approximation of common solutions of variational inequalities via strict pseudocontractions. *Acta Math. Sci.* **32**, 1607-1618 (2012)
31. Qin, X, Agarwal, RP: Shrinking projection methods for a pair of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **31**, 1072-1089 (2010)
32. Zhang, M: An algorithm for treating asymptotically strict pseudocontractions and monotone operators. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 52 (2014)
33. Qing, Y, Lv, S: A strong convergence theorem for solutions of equilibrium problems and asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 305 (2013)
34. Wang, W, Song, J: Hybrid projection methods for a bifunction and relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 294 (2013)
35. Zălinescu, C: On uniformly convex functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **95**, 344-374 (1983)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-202

Cite this article as: Huang and Ma: Some results on asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense and equilibrium problems. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:202

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
