

## Research Article

# Blowup Phenomenon of Solutions for the IBVP of the Compressible Euler Equations in Spherical Symmetry

**Ka Luen Cheung and Sen Wong**

*Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, The Hong Kong Institute of Education, 10 Lo Ping Road, Tai Po, New Territories, Hong Kong*

Correspondence should be addressed to Ka Luen Cheung; [kaluen@ied.edu.hk](mailto:kaluen@ied.edu.hk)

Received 3 December 2015; Accepted 19 January 2016

Academic Editor: Martin Bohner

Copyright © 2016 K. L. Cheung and S. Wong. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The blowup phenomenon of solutions is investigated for the initial-boundary value problem (IBVP) of the  $N$ -dimensional Euler equations with spherical symmetry. We first show that there are only trivial solutions when the velocity is of the form  $c(t)|\mathbf{x}|^{\alpha-1}\mathbf{x} + b(t)(\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|)$  for any value of  $\alpha \neq 1$  or any positive integer  $N \neq 1$ . Then, we show that blowup phenomenon occurs when  $\alpha = N = 1$  and  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) < 0$ . As a corollary, the blowup properties of solutions with velocity of the form  $(\dot{a}(t)/a(t))\mathbf{x} + b(t)(\mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|)$  are obtained. Our analysis includes both the isentropic case ( $\gamma > 1$ ) and the isothermal case ( $\gamma = 1$ ).

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we consider the  $N$ -dimensional Euler equations for compressible fluid:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) &= 0, \\ \rho [\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] + \nabla p &= 0, \\ p &= K\rho^\gamma, \quad \gamma \geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with boundary condition

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{|\mathbf{x}|=1} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$ , and  $p$  represent the density, velocity, and pressure of the fluid, respectively.  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit normal vector on the unit sphere. The  $\gamma$ -law for  $p$  is given by (1)<sub>3</sub>. The fluid is called isentropic if  $\gamma > 1$  and is called isothermal if  $\gamma = 1$ .

Euler equation (1) is one of the most important fundamental equations in inviscid fluid dynamics. Many interesting fluid dynamic phenomena can be described by system (1) [1, 2]. The Euler equations are also the special case of the noted Navier-Stokes equations, whose problem of whether there is

a formation of singularity is still open and long-standing. Thus, the singularity formation in fluid mechanics has been attracting the attention of a number of researchers [3–11].

In particular, in [3, 4], the authors obtain blowup results for the IBVP of the Euler equations, namely, system (1) with boundary condition (2). By making use of the finite propagation speed property [5, 6], they are able to apply the integration method to derive differential inequalities and show that if the initial weighted functionals of velocity or momentum are large enough, then blowup occurs.

In [10], the authors consider the solutions of (1) with velocity of the form

$$\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) = c(t) \mathbf{x} \quad (3)$$

and show that, by using the standard argument of phase diagram, the solutions will be expanding if  $c(0)$  and  $\dot{c}(0)$  satisfy some inequalities. It is natural to consider the more general velocity form:

$$\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) = c(t) \mathbf{x} + b(t) \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|} \quad (4)$$

for the IBVP of system (1) in spherical symmetry, where  $b(t)$  is a time-dependent drifting function.

For solutions in spherical symmetry, namely,  $\rho(t, x) = \rho(t, r)$  and  $\mathbf{u}(t, x) = u(t, r)(\mathbf{x}/r)$ , system (1) together with (2) is transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}\rho u &= 0, \quad t > 0, r > 1, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) + p_r &= 0, \quad t > 0, r > 1, \\ p &= K\rho^\gamma, \quad \gamma \geq 1, \\ u(t, 1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $r = |\mathbf{x}|$  is the length of the spatial variable  $\mathbf{x}$ . Our main contributions in this paper are stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *There are only trivial solutions to the N-dimensional Euler system (5) of the form  $u = c(t)r^\alpha + b(t)$  for any real  $\alpha$  and integer  $N$  in the isentropic and isothermal cases except the case  $\gamma = \alpha = N = 1$ . For  $\gamma = \alpha = N = 1$ , one has the following two cases.*

- (1) *If  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) > 0$ , then, for any  $t$ ,  $c^2(t) + \dot{c}(t) > 0$ , and  $c(t) \rightarrow 0, (\rho, u) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (2) *If  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) < 0$ , then, for any  $t$ ,  $c^2(t) + \dot{c}(t) < 0$ . Moreover, in the region where  $c^2(t) + \dot{c}(t) < 0, c(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (\infty, -\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

As a corollary, we also obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(\rho, u)$  be a solution for (5) with  $u = (\dot{a}(t)/a(t))r + b(t), a_0 := a(0) > 0$ , and  $\gamma = N = 1$ . Then  $a(t)$  satisfies*

$$\ddot{a} = \frac{\lambda}{a} \tag{6}$$

for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, one has the following five cases.

- (1) *If  $\lambda < 0$ , then the solution  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (\infty, -\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow T^*$  for some finite  $T^* > 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\rho$  is bounded above and the solution  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (3) *If  $\lambda = 0$  and  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $\rho$  is bounded above and the solution  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (4) *If  $\lambda = 0$  and  $a_1 = 0$ , then the solution is trivial.*
- (5) *If  $\lambda = 0$  and  $a_1 < 0$ , then the solution  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (\infty, -\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow -a_0/a_1$ .*

## 2. Lemmas

It is well-known that  $\rho$  is always positive if the initial datum  $\rho(0, r)$  is set to be positive. Thus, we suppose  $\rho(0, r) > 0$  in the following to avoid the trivial solutions  $\rho \equiv 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For  $\gamma > 1$ , one has*

$$\left[ \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1} \right]_t + \left[ \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1} \right]_r u + \rho^{\gamma-1} \left[ u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}u \right] = 0. \tag{7}$$

*Proof.* From (5)<sub>1</sub>, one has

$$\rho_t + \rho_r u + \rho \left( u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}u \right) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Multiply both sides by  $\rho^{\gamma-2}$ . Then, the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *For  $\gamma > 1$ , one has*

$$\left[ \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1} \right]_r = -\frac{1}{K\gamma} [u_t + uu_r], \tag{9}$$

$$\rho^{\gamma-1} = \rho^{\gamma-1}(t, 1) - \frac{\gamma-1}{K\gamma} \int_1^r [u_t + uu_r](t, s) ds, \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1} \right]_t &= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}(t, 1)}{\gamma-1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{K\gamma} \int_1^r [u_t + uu_r]_t(t, s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

*Proof.* From (5)<sub>2</sub>, one has

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + uu_r + K\rho^{\gamma-2}\rho_r &= 0, \\ u_t + uu_r + K \left[ \frac{\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma-1} \right]_r &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and the results follow.  $\square$

Similarly, we have the following two lemmas for  $\gamma = 1$ .

**Lemma 5.** *For  $\gamma = 1$ , one has*

$$[\ln \rho]_t + [\ln \rho]_r u + \left( u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}u \right) = 0. \tag{13}$$

**Lemma 6.** *For  $\gamma = 1$ , one has*

$$[\ln \rho]_r = -\frac{1}{K} [u_r + uu_r], \tag{14}$$

$$\ln \rho = \ln \rho(t, 1) - \frac{1}{K} \int_1^r [u_r + uu_r](t, s) ds, \tag{15}$$

$$[\ln \rho]_t = \frac{d}{dt} \ln \rho(t, 1) - \frac{1}{K} \int_1^r [u_r + uu_r]_t(t, s) ds. \tag{16}$$

Lastly, one has the following lemma that will be used to prove that there are only trivial solutions when  $u(t, r) = c(t)r + b(t)$  and  $\gamma > 1$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Consider the following dynamical system*

$$\begin{aligned} c^3 + A_1 c\dot{c} + B_1 \ddot{c} &= 0, \\ c^3 + A_2 c\dot{c} + B_2 \ddot{c} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

with  $A_1 \neq A_2$  or  $B_1 \neq B_2$ . If  $A \neq 0, B \neq 0$ , and

$$A(A_1 B_2 - A_2 B_1) = 2B^2, \tag{18}$$

then (17) is equivalent to

$$\frac{A}{2}c^2 + B\dot{c} = 0, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= A_2 - A_1, \\ B &:= B_2 - B_1. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Otherwise, the solution to (17) is trivial.

*Proof.* If  $A_1B_2 - A_2B_1 = 0$ , then it is clear that  $c = 0$  is the only solution. So we suppose  $A_1B_2 - A_2B_1 \neq 0$ . One has from (17) that

$$Acc + B\ddot{c} = 0. \tag{21}$$

If  $B = 0$  and  $A \neq 0$ , then  $c = 0$  is the only solution. If  $A = 0$  and  $B \neq 0$ , then  $c = 0$  is the only solution. So, we suppose both  $A$  and  $B$  are not zero. From (21), one has

$$\frac{A}{2}c^2 + B\dot{c} = \xi, \tag{22}$$

for some constant  $\xi$ .

From (21) and (22), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{c} &= -\frac{A}{B}c\dot{c}, \\ \dot{c} &= \frac{1}{B}\left(\xi - \frac{A}{2}c^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Thus, from (17)<sub>1</sub>, one has

$$\begin{aligned} c \left[ \left(1 - \frac{A(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)}{2B^2}\right)c^2 + \frac{(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)}{B^2}\xi \right] \\ = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

If  $1 - A(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)/2B^2 \neq 0$ , then  $c = 0$  is the only solution. So we suppose it is zero; that is, (18) holds. Then, if  $\xi \neq 0$ ,  $c = 0$  is the only solution. So we suppose  $\xi = 0$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{A}{2}c^2 + B\dot{c} = 0. \tag{25}$$

Conversely, if one has (18) and (19), then system (17) is satisfied. The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Proofs of Main Results

**Proposition 8.** Assume  $\gamma > 1$ . Then there are only trivial solutions to the  $N$ -dimensional Euler system (5) of the form  $u = c(t)r^\alpha + b(t)$  with  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* First, we set

$$u(t, r) = c(t)r^\alpha + b(t). \tag{26}$$

From (5)<sub>4</sub>, we have

$$u = c(t)[r^\alpha - 1]. \tag{27}$$

Then,

$$u_t + uu_r = \dot{c}r^\alpha + \alpha c^2r^{2\alpha-1} - \alpha c^2r^{\alpha-1} - \dot{c}, \tag{28}$$

$$[u_t + uu_r]_t = \ddot{c}r^\alpha + 2\alpha c\dot{c}r^{2\alpha-1} - 2\alpha c\dot{c}r^{\alpha-1} - \ddot{c}, \tag{29}$$

$$u_r + \frac{N-1}{r}u = [\alpha + N - 1]cr^{\alpha-1} - (N-1)cr^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

For  $N > 1$ , if  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $u = 0$  from (27). It follows from (7) and (9) that  $\rho(t, r)$  is independent of  $t$  and  $r$ , respectively. Thus,  $\rho$  is a constant.

For  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $-1$ , after substituting (28), (29), and (30) into (9), (11), and (7), respectively, we see that (7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D_1r^{3\alpha-1} + D_2r^{2\alpha} + D_3r^{2\alpha-1} + D_4r^{\alpha+1} + D_5r^\alpha \\ + D_6r^{\alpha-1} + D_7r^1 + D_8r^0 + D_9r^{-1} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for all  $r \geq 1$ , where  $D_k$  are functions of  $t$  only. More precisely, one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= -\frac{2\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(\alpha + N - 1)}{2K\gamma}c^3, \\ D_2 &= -\frac{(\gamma - 1)(\alpha + N - 1) + 2\alpha + 2}{K\gamma(\alpha + 1)}c\dot{c}, \\ D_3 &= \frac{(\gamma - 1)(2\alpha + 3N - 3) + 4\alpha}{2K\gamma}c^3, \\ D_4 &= -\frac{1}{K\gamma(\alpha + 1)}\ddot{c}, \\ D_5 &= \left[ (\gamma - 1)(\alpha + N + 1) + \frac{(\gamma - 1)(N - 1)}{\alpha + 1} + 4 \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{1}{K\gamma}c\dot{c}, \\ D_6 &= -\frac{\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(N - 1)}{K\gamma}c^3 + (\alpha + N - 1)Fc, \\ D_7 &= \frac{1}{K\gamma}\ddot{c}, \\ D_9 &= -(N - 1)Fc, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where

$$F := \rho^{\gamma-1}(t, 1) - \frac{\gamma-1}{K\gamma} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\dot{c} + \frac{c^2}{2} \right]. \tag{33}$$

Note that we omitted  $D_8$  as it is irrelevant in the proof.

If  $\alpha \notin \{0, 1/3, 1/2, 2/3, 1, 2\}$ , then the powers  $3\alpha - 1$  and  $2\alpha - 1$  are different and unique among the powers in (31). In this case, one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 0, \\ D_3 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

TABLE 1

$\alpha$	1/3	1/2	2/3	2
$3\alpha - 1 (D_1)$	0	1/2	1	5
$2\alpha (D_2)$	2/3	1	4/3	4
$2\alpha - 1 (D_3)$	-1/3	0	1/3	3
$\alpha + 1 (D_4)$	4/3	3/2	5/3	3
$\alpha (D_5)$	1/3	1/2	2/3	2
$\alpha - 1 (D_6)$	-2/3	-1/2	-1/3	1
$1 (D_7)$	1	1	1	1
$0 (D_8)$	0	0	0	0
$-1 (D_9)$	-1	-1	-1	-1

As the two constants  $2\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(\alpha + N - 1)$  and  $(\gamma - 1)(2\alpha + 3N - 3) + 4\alpha$  cannot be both zero for  $N \neq 1$ , we conclude that  $c = 0$ . Hence,  $u = 0$  and  $\rho$  is a constant.

For  $\alpha \in \{1/3, 1/2, 2/3, 2\}$ , we have Table 1.

For  $\alpha = 1/3$  or  $2/3$ , as  $2\alpha - 1$  is unique among other powers, one has

$$D_3 = \frac{(\gamma - 1)(2\alpha + 3N - 3) + 4\alpha}{2K\gamma} c^3 = 0. \tag{35}$$

As  $(\gamma - 1)(2\alpha + 3N - 3) + 4\alpha \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $c = 0$ . Thus,  $u = 0$  and  $\rho$  is a constant.

For  $\alpha = 1/2$ , as  $\alpha - 1$  and  $-1$  are different and unique among other powers, one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_6 &= 0, \\ D_9 &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

which is reduced to

$$-\frac{\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(N - 1)}{K\gamma} c^3 = 0 \tag{37}$$

for  $N \neq 1$ . As  $\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(N - 1) \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $c = 0$ . Thus,  $u = 0$  and  $\rho$  is a constant.

For  $\alpha = 2$ , as  $3\alpha - 1$  is unique among other powers, one has

$$D_1 = -\frac{2\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(\alpha + N - 1)}{2K\gamma} c^3 = 0. \tag{38}$$

As  $2\alpha + (\gamma - 1)(\alpha + N - 1) \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $c = 0$ . Thus,  $u = 0$  and  $\rho$  is a constant.

Next, we consider the case  $\alpha = -1$ .

For  $\alpha = -1$ , the corresponding equation of (31) is

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 \ln r + E_2 r^{-1} \ln r + E_3 r^{-2} \ln r + E_4 r + E_5 r^0 + E_6 r^{-1} \\ + E_7 r^{-2} + E_8 r^{-3} + E_9 r^{-4} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

for all  $r \geq 1$ , where  $E_k$  are functions of  $t$  only. As  $\ln r$  is not a rational function, one has that all  $E_k = 0$ . In particular, one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_8 &= \frac{-4 + (\gamma - 1)(3N - 5)}{2K\gamma} c^3 = 0, \\ E_9 &= \frac{2 - (N - 2)(\gamma - 1)}{2K\gamma} c^3 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

As  $-4 + (\gamma - 1)(3N - 5)$  and  $2 - (N - 2)(\gamma - 1)$  cannot be both zero for  $N \neq 1$ , we conclude that  $c = 0$  and the solutions are trivial.

For  $N = 1$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$ , one can show that there are only trivial solutions with similar procedures. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Using similar analysis as that given for the case  $\gamma > 1$  in Proposition 8, we obtain the following proposition for the case  $\gamma = 1$ .

**Proposition 9.** Assume  $\gamma = 1$ . Then there are only trivial solutions to the  $N$ -dimensional Euler system (5) of the form  $u = c(t)r^\alpha + b(t)$  with  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

Next, the crucial case  $\alpha = 1$  will be analyzed as follows.

**Proposition 10.** Assume  $\gamma > 1$ . Then there are only trivial solutions to the  $N$ -dimensional Euler system (5) of the form  $u = c(t)r^\alpha + b(t)$  with  $\alpha = 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 + D_2 + D_4 &= 0, \\ D_3 + D_5 + D_7 &= 0, \\ D_6 + D_8 &= 0, \\ D_9 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

(41)<sub>1</sub> and (41)<sub>2</sub> are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} c^3 + A_1 c\dot{c} + B_1 \ddot{c} &= 0, \\ c^3 + A_2 c\dot{c} + B_2 \ddot{c} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{(\gamma - 1)N + 4}{(\gamma - 1)N + 2}, \\ B_1 &= \frac{1}{(\gamma - 1)N + 2}, \\ A_2 &= \frac{3(\gamma - 1)(N + 1) + 8}{(\gamma - 1)(3N - 1) + 4}, \\ B_2 &= \frac{2}{(\gamma - 1)(3N - 1) + 4}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Note that  $B_1 = B_2$  is equivalent to  $N = 1$  and  $A_1 = A_2$  is equivalent to

$$\gamma - 1 = \frac{N - 5}{2N}, \quad N \geq 6. \tag{44}$$

Thus, we have either  $A_1 \neq A_2$  or  $B_1 \neq B_2$ . Moreover, condition (18) is equivalent to

$$\gamma - 1 = -\frac{8}{N(N + 3)}, \tag{45}$$

which is impossible for  $\gamma > 1$ . Thus, we conclude by Lemma 7 that there are only trivial solutions.  $\square$

Next, we consider the remaining case  $\gamma = \alpha = 1$ .

**Proposition 11.** Assume  $\gamma = 1$ . Then there are only trivial solutions to the  $N$ -dimensional Euler system (5) of the form  $u = c(t)r^\alpha + b(t)$  with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $N > 1$ . For  $N = \alpha = 1$ , one has the following two cases.

- (1) If  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) > 0$ , then, for any  $t$ ,  $c^2(t) + \dot{c}(t) > 0$ , and  $c(t) \rightarrow 0, (\rho, u) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (2) If  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) < 0$ , then, for any  $t$ ,  $c^2(t) + \dot{c}(t) < 0$ . Moreover, in the region where  $c^2(t) + \dot{c}(t) < 0, c(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (\infty, -\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* For  $\gamma = \alpha = 1$ , the corresponding system of (41) is

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 + G_2 + G_4 &= 0, \\ G_3 + G_5 + G_7 &= 0, \\ G_6 + G_8 &= 0, \\ G_9 &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= -\frac{1}{K}c^3, \\ G_2 &= -\frac{2}{K}c\dot{c}, \\ G_3 &= \frac{2}{K}c^3, \\ G_4 &= -\frac{1}{2K}\ddot{c}, \\ G_5 &= \frac{4}{K}c\dot{c}, \\ G_6 &= -\frac{1}{K}c^3 + Nc, \\ G_7 &= \frac{1}{K}\ddot{c}, \\ G_8 &= -\frac{1}{K}c\dot{c} + \frac{d}{dt} \ln \rho(t, 1) - \frac{1}{K} \left( \frac{1}{2}\ddot{c} + c\dot{c} \right), \\ G_9 &= -(N - 1)c. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

It is clear that from (46)<sub>4</sub> and (48) we have only the trivial solutions if  $N \neq 1$ . So we suppose  $N = 1$ . Then (46) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 2c^3 + 4c\dot{c} + \ddot{c} &= 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \ln \rho(t, 1) &= -c. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Note that (49)<sub>1</sub> is a special case of equation (7) in [10] when we set the parameter  $N$  in (7) to be zero. Thus, by Theorem 2.1 in [10], the results (1) and (2) in the proposition follow.  $\square$

*Remark 12.* From (49)<sub>2</sub> and (15), the density function  $\rho$  is given by

$$\rho(t, r) = \rho(0, 1) e^{-\int_0^t c(s)ds} e^{-((c^2 + \dot{c})/K)((1/2)r^2 - r + 1/2)}. \tag{50}$$

Thus, the total mass is finite if  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) > 0$  and is infinite if  $c^2(0) + \dot{c}(0) < 0$ . From (1) and (2) in the proposition, we see that blowup can occur only when the total mass is infinite.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Theorem 1 is followed from Propositions 8–11.  $\square$

Finally, we are ready to present the proof of Corollary 2.

*Proof of Corollary 2.* Let  $c = \dot{a}/a$  in (49)<sub>1</sub>. One has

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}\dot{a}}{a^2} = 0. \tag{51}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (a\ddot{a}) &= 0, \\ a\ddot{a} &= \lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where  $\lambda := a_0\ddot{a}(0)$ . Thus,  $a$  satisfies (6). Consider (6) with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a(0) > 0, \\ a_1 &:= \dot{a}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Set

$$T^* := \sup \{t \geq 0 : a > 0 \text{ on } [0, t]\} > 0. \tag{54}$$

First, note that if  $T^*$  is finite, then the one-sided limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} a(t)$  must be zero. More precisely, if  $T^*$  is finite and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} a(t) > 0$ , then we can extend the solution by solving (6) with initial condition  $a(T^*) := \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} a(t) > 0$ . This contradicts the definition of  $T^*$ .

Next, suppose  $\lambda < 0$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a} &= \frac{\lambda}{a}, \\ \dot{a}\dot{a} &= \lambda \frac{\dot{a}}{a}, \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\dot{a})^2 - \lambda \ln a \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} (\dot{a})^2 - \lambda \ln a &= \mu, \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where  $\mu := (1/2)a_1^2 - \lambda \ln a_0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu + \lambda \ln a &= \frac{1}{2} (\dot{a})^2 \geq 0, \\ \ln a &\leq -\frac{\mu}{\lambda}, \\ a &\leq e^{-\mu/\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

From (55), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a} &\leq \lambda e^{\mu/\lambda}, \\ a(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda e^{\mu/\lambda} t^2 + a_1 t + a_0. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

As the coefficient of  $t^2$  is negative, we see that  $a(t)$  will be negative if  $t$  is sufficiently large. This implies that  $T^* < (a_1 + \sqrt{a_1^2 - 2a_0\lambda e^{\mu/\lambda}}) / -\lambda e^{\mu/\lambda}$  is finite.

On the other hand, from (55), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a} &= a_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\lambda}{a(s)} ds \leq a_1 + \lambda e^{\mu/\lambda} t, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \dot{a} &\leq a_1 + \lambda e^{\mu/\lambda} T^* < -\sqrt{a_1^2 - 2a_0\lambda e^{\mu/\lambda}} < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = -\infty. \tag{60}$$

Thus,  $u \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow T^*$ .

For  $\lambda > 0$ , one has

- (i)  $a \geq e^{-\mu/\lambda} > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $T^* = \infty$ ,
- (iii)

$$\rho(t, r) = \frac{a_0 \rho(0, 1)}{a(t)} e^{-(\lambda/2\kappa a^2(t))(r-1)^2}. \tag{61}$$

From (i), (ii), and (iii) above, we see that  $\rho$  is bounded above by

$$\rho(0, 1) a_0 e^{\mu/\lambda}. \tag{62}$$

Moreover, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t) = \infty$ . This is because if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)$  is finite, then  $a(t)$  is bounded by some positive number  $M > 0$ . But, from (6), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{a} &\geq \frac{\lambda}{M}, \\ a(t) &\geq \frac{\lambda}{2M} t^2 + t a_1 + a_0, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

which implies that  $a$  is unbounded as the coefficient of  $t^2$  is positive.

Next, we show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = 0. \tag{64}$$

If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a}$  is finite, then (64) is clearly held. If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a}$  is not finite, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda}{a \dot{a}} = 0. \tag{65}$$

Thus, for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $(\rho, u) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

As the cases for  $\lambda = 0$  can be verified trivially, the proof is complete.  $\square$

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

### Acknowledgment

This research paper is partially supported by Grant no. MIT/SRG02/15-16 from the Department of Mathematics and Information Technology of the Hong Kong Institute of Education.

### References

- [1] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 1, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1998.
- [2] P. L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 2, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1998.
- [3] X. Zhu and A. Tu, "Blowup of the axis-symmetric solutions for the IBVP of the isentropic Euler equations," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 95, pp. 99–106, 2014.
- [4] X. Zhu, "Blowup of the solutions for the IBVP of the isentropic Euler equations with damping," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 432, no. 2, pp. 715–724, 2015.
- [5] T. C. Sideris, "Formation of singularities in three-dimensional compressible fluids," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 101, no. 4, pp. 475–485, 1985.
- [6] T. C. Sideris, B. Thomases, and D. Wang, "Long time behavior of solutions to the 3D compressible Euler equations with damping," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 28, no. 3-4, pp. 795–816, 2003.
- [7] Z. P. Xin, "Blowup of smooth solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with compact density," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 229–240, 1998.
- [8] T. Suzuki, "Irrotational blowup of the solution to compressible Euler equation," *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 617–633, 2013.
- [9] Z. Lei, Y. Du, and Q. T. Zhang, "Singularities of solutions to compressible Euler equations with vacuum," *Mathematical Research Letters*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 41–50, 2013.
- [10] T. Li and D. Wang, "Blowup phenomena of solutions to the Euler equations for compressible fluid flow," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 221, no. 1, pp. 91–101, 2006.
- [11] D. Li, C. X. Miao, and X. Y. Zhang, "On the isentropic compressible Euler equation with adiabatic index  $\gamma = 1$ ," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 262, no. 1, pp. 109–128, 2013.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

