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#### Abstract

Let $a>1$ be a fixed integer. We prove that there is no first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in one free variable $X$, written in the language of rings, such that for any prime $p$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$ the set of all elements in the finite prime field $F_{p}$ satisfying $\phi$ coincides with the range of the discrete exponential function $t \mapsto a^{t}(\bmod p)$.
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1. Introduction. Let $\phi(X)$ be a formula in one free variable $X$, written in the firstorder language of rings. Then for every ring $R$ with identity, $\phi(X)$ defines a subset of $R$ consisting of all elements of $R$ satisfying $\phi(X)$. For example, the formula $(\exists Y)\left(X=Y^{2}\right)$ will define in every ring $R$ the set of perfect squares in $R$ (for an introduction to the basic concepts arising in model theory of first-order languages, we refer to [5]).

The value sets (ranges) of polynomials over finite fields have been studied by various authors, and many interesting results have been proved (see [3, pages 379-381]). Note that if $f(X)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients, the formula $(\exists Y)(X=f(Y))$ will define in every finite field $F_{q}$ the value set of the function from $F_{q}$ to $F_{q}$ induced by $f$. The value sets of the discrete exponentials are no less interesting. For example, if $a>1$ is an integer that is not a square, Artin's conjecture for primitive roots [4] implies that the range of the function $t \rightarrow a^{t}(\bmod p)$ has $p-1$ elements for infinitely many primes $p$. In the present note, we investigate the ranges of exponential functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp _{a}: Z \rightarrow F_{p}, \quad \exp _{a}(t)=a^{t}(\bmod p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the point of view of definability. Note that the range of $\exp _{a}: Z \rightarrow F_{p}$ coincides with $\langle a\rangle$, the cyclic subgroup of $F_{p}^{*}$ generated by $a$ (modulo $p$ ). Our main result will be the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $a>1$ be a fixed integer. Then there is no formula $\phi(X)$ in one free variable $X$, written in the first-order language of rings, such that for any prime $p$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$, the set of all elements in the finite prime field $F_{p}$ satisfying $\phi$ coincides with the range of the discrete exponential $\exp _{a}: Z \rightarrow F_{p}$.

Here is a brief outline of the proof. We will first prove a result (Theorem 2.1) concerning the existence of primes with respect to which a fixed integer $a>1$ has sufficiently small orders. This, in conjunction with a seminal result of Chatzidakis et al. [1] on definable subsets over finite fields, will lead to the proof of Theorem 1.1.
2. Small orders modulo $p$. In what follows, we will prove that there exist infinitely many primes with respect to which a given integer $a>1$ has "small order." More precisely, the following result holds true.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a>1$ be an integer. Then, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist infinitely many primes $q$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)$, the order of a modulo $q$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)<q \varepsilon . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $k$ be an integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{k}<\varepsilon \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $p$ be a prime satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
p>a,  \tag{2.3}\\
p \equiv 1(\bmod (k+1)!) . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Due to Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions [2], there are infinitely many primes $p$ satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). We select a prime $q$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \mid 1+a+a^{2}+\cdots+a^{p-1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that both $p$ and $q$ are necessarily odd. Since from (2.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{p} \equiv 1(\bmod q), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\operatorname{order}^{\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)}$ can be either 1 or $p$. We will rule out the possibility $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)=1$. Indeed, if $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \mid a-1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $1+X+X^{2}+\cdots+X^{p-1}=(X-1) Q(X)+p$ with $Q(X)$ a polynomial with integer coefficients, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+a+a^{2}+\cdots+a^{p-1}=(a-1) Q(a)+p \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5), (2.7), and (2.8) it follows $q \mid p$ and, since $p, q$ are primes, $q=p$. This, together with (2.7), leads us to $p \mid a-1$, and therefore $a>p$, which contradicts assumption (2.3). This leaves us with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)=p \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.9) and from $a^{q-1} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$ it follows that $p \mid q-1$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=t p+1 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive integer $t$. We will show that $t>k$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q>k p+1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we assume, for contradiction, that $t \leq k$. From (2.4), we get $p=(k+1)!s+1$ for some positive integer $s$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=t p+1=t((k+1)!s+1)+1=t(k+1)!s+(t+1) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $t+1$ is, under the assumption $t \leq k$, a divisor of $(k+1)$ !. Then, from (2.12), $q$ will be a multiple of $t+1$, a contradiction, since $2 \leq t+1<q$. Thus, (2.11) holds true and, consequently, since $1 / k<\varepsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)}{q}=\frac{p}{q}<\frac{p}{k p+1}<\frac{1}{k}<\varepsilon \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)}{q}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all primes $q>a$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
3. Proof of the main result. We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We will use the following result which is a corollary of the main theorem in [1, page 108].

THEOREM 3.1. If $\phi(X)$ is a formula in the first-order language of rings, then there are constants $A, C>0$, such that for every finite field $K$, either $|(\phi(K))| \leq A$ or $|(\phi(K))| \geq$ $C|K|$, where $\phi(K)$ is the set of elements of $K$ satisfying $\phi$.

We are now ready to proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume, for contradiction, that for some integer $a>1$ there exists a first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in the language of rings such that for every prime $p \nmid a$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(F_{p}\right)=\exp _{a}\left(F_{p}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi\left(F_{p}\right)\right|=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \nmid a$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)>\log _{a}(p) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p \nmid a$. From (3.2), (3.3), and Theorem 3.1, it follows that for every large enough prime $p$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a) \geq C p \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, (3.4) is in contradiction to Theorem 2.1 proved above, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a)}{p}=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. From Theorem 1.1, it follows as an immediate corollary that, if $a>1$ is a fixed integer, then there is no first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in the first-order language of rings, such that for any prime $p$, the set of all elements in $F_{p}$ satisfying $\phi$ is $\left\{a^{t} \bmod p \mid t \geq 1\right\}$. Indeed, assuming such a formula exists, it would define in any $F_{p}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$ the range of the discrete $\operatorname{exponential~}^{\exp _{a}: Z \rightarrow F_{p}}$.
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