

DOUBT FUZZY BCI-ALGEBRAS

ZHAN JIANMING and TAN ZHISONG

Received 10 August 2001

The aim of this note is to introduce the notion of doubt fuzzy p -ideals in BCI-algebras and to study their properties. We also solve the problem of classifying doubt fuzzy p -ideals and study fuzzy relations on BCI-algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 06F35, 03E72.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. The concept of a fuzzy set is applied to generalize some of the basic concepts of general topology [2]. Rosenfeld [6] constituted a similar application to the elementary theory of groupoids and groups. Xi [7] applied the concept of fuzzy set to BCK-algebras. Jun [4] defined a doubt fuzzy subalgebra, doubt fuzzy ideal, doubt fuzzy implicative ideal, and doubt fuzzy prime ideal in BCI-algebras, and got some results about it. In this note, we define a doubt fuzzy p -ideal of a BCI-algebra and investigate its properties.

A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of BCI-algebras is called homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. A nonempty subset I of a BCI-algebra X is called an ideal of X if (i) $0 \in I$, (ii) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$. We recall that a fuzzy subset μ of a set X is a function μ from X into $[0, 1]$. Let $\text{Im } \mu$ denote the image set of μ . We will write $a \wedge b$ for $\min\{a, b\}$, and $a \vee b$ for $\max\{a, b\}$, where a and b are any real numbers.

Given a fuzzy set μ and $t \in [0, 1]$, let $\mu_t = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ and $\mu^t = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \leq t\}$. These could be empty sets. The set $\mu_t \neq \emptyset$ (resp., $\mu^t \neq \emptyset$) is called the t -confidence (resp., t -doubt) set of μ (see [3]).

DEFINITION 1.1 (see [7]). For any x, y in a BCI-algebra X ,

- (i) if $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$, then μ is called a fuzzy subalgebra of X ;
- (ii) if $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ and $\mu(x) \geq \mu(x * y) \wedge \mu(y)$, then μ is called a fuzzy ideal of X .

DEFINITION 1.2 (see [4]). Let X be a BCI-algebra. A fuzzy set μ in X is called (i) a doubt fuzzy subalgebra (briefly, DF-subalgebra) of X if $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$; and (ii) a doubt fuzzy ideal (briefly, DF-ideal) of X if $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ and $\mu(x) \leq \mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 1.3 (see [5]). A nonempty subset I of BCI-algebra X is called p -ideal if

- (i) $0 \in I$;
- (ii) $(x * z) * (y * z) \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

DEFINITION 1.4 (see [5]). A fuzzy subset μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a fuzzy p -ideal of X if

- (i) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ for any $x \in X$;
- (ii) $\mu(x) \geq \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \wedge \mu(y)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$.

2. Doubt fuzzy p -ideals

DEFINITION 2.1. A fuzzy subset μ of a BCI-algebra X is called a doubt fuzzy p -ideal (briefly, DF p -ideal) of X if

- (i) $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for any $x \in X$;
- (ii) $\mu(x) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y)$ for any $x, y, z \in X$.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	c	b	0

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra. Let $t_0, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ be such that $t_0 < t_1 < t_2$. Define $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = t_0$, $\mu(a) = t_1$, and $\mu(b) = \mu(c) = t_2$. Routine calculations give that μ is a DF p -ideal of X .

PROPOSITION 2.3. If μ is a DF p -ideal of a BCI-algebra X , then $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0 * (0 * x))$ for all $x \in X$.

PROOF. Since μ is a DF p -ideal of X , we have $\mu(x) \leq \mu((x * x) * (0 * x)) \vee \mu(0) = \mu(0 * (0 * x)) \vee \mu(0) = \mu(0 * (0 * x))$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.4. Every DF p -ideal is a DF-ideal.

PROOF. Let μ be a DF p -ideal of X . We have $\mu(x) \geq \mu((x * 0) * (y * 0)) \vee \mu(y) = \mu(x * y) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Hence μ is a DF-ideal. \square

REMARK 2.5. The converse of [Proposition 2.4](#) is not true in general as shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $X = \{0, a, 1, 2, 3\}$ in which $*$ is defined by

$*$	0	a	1	2	3
0	0	0	3	2	1
a	a	0	3	2	1
1	1	1	0	3	2
2	2	2	1	0	3
3	3	3	2	1	0

Then X is a BCI-algebra. Let $t_0, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ be such that $t_0 < t_1 < t_2$. Define $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = t_0$, $\mu(a) = t_1$, and $\mu(1) = \mu(2) = \mu(3) = t_3$. Routine calculations give that μ is a DF-ideal of X . But μ is not a DF p -ideal of X , because $\mu(a) = t_1$, and $\mu((a * 1) * (0 * 1)) \vee \mu(0) = \mu(0) = t_0$, that is, $\mu(a) > \mu((a * 1) * (0 * 1)) \vee \mu(0)$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. If μ is a DF p -ideal of a BCI-algebra X , then $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu((x * z) * (y * z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

PROOF. Note that in a BCI-algebra X the inequality $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$ holds. It follows that $((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0$. Since μ is a DF-ideal by [Proposition 2.4](#).

We have $\mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \geq \mu(((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y)) \vee \mu(x * y) = \mu(0) \vee \mu(x * y) = \mu(x * y)$. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let μ be a DF-ideal of a BCI-algebra X . If μ satisfies $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z))$ for any $x, y, z \in X$, then μ is a DF p -ideal of X .*

PROOF. Let μ be a DF-ideal of X satisfying $\mu(x * y) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then $\mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y) \geq \mu(x)$. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let μ be a DF-ideal of a BCI-algebra X . Then $\mu(0 * (0 * x)) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

PROOF. We have that $\mu(0 * (0 * x)) \leq \mu((0 * (0 * x)) * x) \vee \mu(x) = \mu(0) \vee \mu(x) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let μ be a DF-ideal of a BCI-algebra X satisfying $\mu(0 * (0 * x)) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

PROOF. Let $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) &\geq \mu(0 * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z)))) \\ &= \mu((0 * y) * (0 * x)) \\ &= \mu(0 * (0 * (x * y))) \\ &\geq \mu(x * y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It follows from [Proposition 2.8](#) that μ is a DF p -ideal of X . \square

THEOREM 2.11. *Let μ be a fuzzy subset of a BCI-algebra X . If μ is a DF p -ideal of X , then the set $I = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$ is a p -ideal of X .*

PROOF. Assume that μ is a DF p -ideal of X . Clearly $0 \in I$. Let $(x * z) * (y * z) \in I$ and $y \in I$. Then $\mu(x) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y) = \mu(0)$. But $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus $\mu(0) = \mu(x)$. Hence $x \in I$. This completes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 2.12 (see [\[6\]](#)). Let f be a mapping defined on a set X . If μ is a fuzzy subset of X , then the fuzzy subset ν of $f(x)$, defined by

$$\nu(y) = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu(x) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $y \in f(x)$, is called the image of μ under f . Similarly, if ν is a fuzzy subset of $f(x)$, then the fuzzy subset $\mu = \nu \circ f$ in X (i.e., the fuzzy subset defined by $\mu(x) = \nu(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$) is called the preimage of ν under f .

THEOREM 2.13. *An onto homomorphic preimage of a DF p -ideal is also a DF p -ideal.*

PROOF. Let $f: X \rightarrow X'$ be an onto homomorphism of BCI-algebras, ν a DF p -ideal of X' , and μ the preimage of ν under f . Then $\nu(f(x)) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since $f(x) \in X'$ and ν is a DF p -ideal of X' , it follows that $\nu(0') \leq \nu(f(x)) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$, where $0'$ is the zero element of X' . But $\nu(0') = \nu(f(0)) = \mu(0)$, and so $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Since v is a DF p -ideal, we have $\mu(x) = v(f(x)) \leq v((f(x) * z') * (y' * z')) \vee v(y')$ for any $y', z' \in X'$. Since f is onto, there exist $y, z \in X$ such that $f(y) = y'$ and $f(z) = z'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x) &\leq v((f(x) * z') * (y' * z')) \vee v(y') \\ &= v((f(x) * f(z)) * (f(y) * f(z))) \\ &= v(f(x * z) * f(y * z)) \vee v(f(y)) \\ &= v(f(x * z) * (y * z)) \vee v(f(y)) \\ &= \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since y' and z' are arbitrary elements of X' , the above result is true for all $y, z \in X$, that is, $\mu(x) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. This completes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 2.14 (see [6]). A fuzzy subset μ of X has inf property if for any subset T of X , there exists $t_0 \in T$ such that

$$\mu(t_0) = \inf_{t \in T} \mu(t). \tag{2.4}$$

THEOREM 2.15. An onto homomorphic image of a DF p -ideal with inf property is a DF p -ideal.

PROOF. Let $f: X \rightarrow X'$ be an onto homomorphism of BCI-algebras, μ a DF p -ideal of X with inf property, and v the image of μ under f . Since μ is a DF p -ideal of X , we have $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Note that $0 \in f^{-1}(0')$, where 0 and $0'$ are the zero elements of X and X' , respectively. Thus $v(0') = \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \mu(t) = \mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$, which implies that $v(0') \leq \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \mu(t) = v(x')$ for any $x' \in X'$. For any $x', y', z' \in X'$, let $x_0 \in f^{-1}(x')$, $y_0 \in f^{-1}(y')$, and $z_0 \in f^{-1}(z')$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_0) &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \mu(t), & \mu(y_0) &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu(t), \\ \mu((x_0 * z_0) * (y_0 * z_0)) &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}((x' * z') * (y' * z'))} \mu(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(x') &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \mu(t) \\ &= \mu(x_0) \leq \mu((x_0 * z_0) * (y_0 * z_0)) \vee \mu(y_0) \\ &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}((x' * z') * (y' * z'))} \mu(t) \vee \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \mu(t) \\ &= v((x' * z') * (y' * z')) \vee v(y'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Hence v is a DF p -ideal of X' . \square

THEOREM 2.16. A fuzzy subset μ of a BCI-algebra X is a DF p -ideal if and only if, for every $t \in [0, 1]$, μ^t is a p -ideal of X , when $\mu^t \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. Assume that μ is a DF p -ideal of X . By [Definition 2.1](#), we have $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Therefore, $\mu(0) \leq \mu(x) \leq t$ for $x \in \mu^t$, and so $0 \in \mu^t$. Let $(x * z) * (y * z) \in \mu^t$ and $y \in \mu^t$. Since μ is a DF p -ideal, it follows that $\mu(x) \leq \mu((x * z) * (y * z)) \vee \mu(y) \leq t$, and that $x \in \mu^t$. Hence μ^t is a p -ideal of X . Conversely, we only need to show that μ is a DF p -ideal of X . If [Definition 2.1](#)(i) is not true, then there exists $x' \in X$ such that $\mu(0) > \mu(x')$. If we take $t' = (\mu(x') + \mu(0))/2$, then $\mu(0) > t'$ and $0 \leq \mu(x') < t' \leq 1$. Thus $x' \in \mu^{t'}$ and $\mu^{t'} \neq \emptyset$. As $\mu^{t'}$ is a p -ideal of X , we have $0 \in \mu^{t'}$, and so $\mu(0) \leq t'$. This is a contradiction. Now assume that [Definition 2.1](#)(ii) is not true. Suppose that there exist $x', y', z' \in X$ such that $\mu(x') > \mu((x' * z') * (y' * z')) \vee \mu(y')$. Putting $t' = (\mu(x') + \mu((x' * z') * (y' * z')) \vee \mu(y'))/2$, then $\mu(x') > t'$ and $0 \leq \mu((x' * z') * (y' * z')) \vee \mu(y') \leq 1$. Hence, $\mu((x' * z') * (y' * z')) < t'$ and $\mu(y') < t'$, which imply that $(x' * z') * (y' * z') \in \mu^{t'}$ and $y' \in \mu^{t'}$, since $\mu^{t'}$ is a p -ideal, it follows that $x' \in \mu^{t'}$, and $\mu(x') \leq t'$. This is also a contradiction. Hence, μ is a DF p -ideal of X . \square

COROLLARY 2.17. *If a fuzzy subset μ of a BCI-algebra X is a DF p -ideal, then for every $t \in \text{Im}\mu$, μ^t is a p -ideal of X , when $\mu^t \neq \emptyset$.*

3. Doubt Cartesian product of doubt fuzzy p -ideals

DEFINITION 3.1 (see [\[1\]](#)). A fuzzy relation on any set S is a fuzzy subset $\mu : S \times S \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

DEFINITION 3.2. If μ is a fuzzy relation on a set S and v is a fuzzy subset of S , then μ is a doubt fuzzy relation on v if $\mu(x, y) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let μ and v be fuzzy subsets of a set S . The doubt Cartesian product of μ and v is defined by $(\mu \times v)(x, y) = \mu(x) \vee v(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let μ and v be fuzzy subsets of a set S . Then (i) $\mu \times v$ is a fuzzy relation on S ; (ii) $(\mu \times v)_t = \mu_t \times v_t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

DEFINITION 3.5. If v is a fuzzy subset of a set S , the smallest doubt fuzzy relation on S that is a doubt fuzzy relation on v is μ_v , given by $\mu_v(x, y) = v(x) \vee v(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

LEMMA 3.6. *For a given fuzzy subset v of a set S , let μ_v be the smallest doubt fuzzy relation on a set S . Then for $t \in [0, 1]$, $(\mu_v)_t = v_t \times v_t$.*

PROPOSITION 3.7. *For a given fuzzy subset v of a BCI-algebra X , let μ_v be the smallest doubt fuzzy relation on X . If μ_v is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$, then $v(x) \geq v(0)$ for all $x \in X$.*

PROOF. Since μ_v is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$, it follows that $\mu_v(x, x) \geq \mu_v(0, 0)$, where $(0, 0)$ is the zero element of $X \times X$. But this means that $v(x) \vee v(x) \geq v(0) \vee v(0)$, which implies that $v(x) \geq v(0)$. \square

THEOREM 3.8. *Let μ and v be DF p -ideal of a BCI-algebra X . Then $\mu \times v$ is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$.*

PROOF. Note first that for every $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $(\mu \times v)(0, 0) = \mu(0) \vee v(0) \leq v(x) \vee v(y) = (\mu \times v)(x, y)$. Now let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mu \times v)((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * ((y_1, y_2) * (z_1, z_2)) \vee (\mu \times v)(y_1, y_2) \\
&= (\mu \times v)((x_1 * z_1, x_2 * z_2) * (y_1 * z_1, y_2 * z_2)) \vee (\mu \times v)(y_1, y_2) \\
&= (\mu \times v)((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee (\mu \times v)(y_1, y_2) \\
&= (\mu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee v((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2))) \vee (\mu(y_1) \vee v(y_2)) \quad (3.1) \\
&= (\mu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee \mu(y_1)) \vee (v((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee v(y_2)) \\
&\leq \mu(x_1) \vee v(x_2) \\
&= (\mu \times v)(x_1, x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3.9. Let μ and v be fuzzy subsets of a BCI-algebra X such that $\mu \times v$ is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$. Then

- (i) either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ or $v(x) \geq v(0)$ for all $x \in X$;
- (ii) if $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ for all $x \in X$, then either $\mu(x) \geq v(0)$ or $v(x) \geq v(0)$;
- (iii) if $v(x) \geq v(0)$ for all $x \in X$, then either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ or $v(x) \geq \mu(0)$;
- (iv) either μ or v is a DF p -ideal of X .

PROOF. (i) Suppose that $\mu(x) < \mu(0)$ and $v(y) < v(0)$ for some $x, y \in X$. Then

$$(\mu \times v)(x, y) = \mu(x) \vee v(y) < \mu(0) \vee v(0) = (\mu \times v)(0, 0). \quad (3.2)$$

This is a contradiction and we obtain (i).

(ii) Assume that there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $\mu(x) < v(0)$ and $v(y) < v(0)$. Then $(\mu \times v)(0, 0) = \mu(0) \vee v(0) = v(0)$. It follows that $(\mu \times v)(x, y) = \mu(x) \vee v(y) < v(0) = (\mu \times v)(0, 0)$, which is a contradiction. Hence (ii) holds.

(iii) Its proof follows by a similar method to (ii).

(iv) Since by (i) either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ or $v(x) \geq v(0)$ for all $x \in X$; without loss of generality, we may assume that $v(x) \geq v(0)$ for all $x \in X$. From (iii) it follows that either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ or $v(x) \geq \mu(0)$. If $v(x) \geq \mu(0)$ for any $x \in X$, then $(\mu \times v)(0, x) = \mu(0) \vee v(x) = v(x)$. Let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$, since $\mu \times v$ is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$. We have $(\mu \times v)(x_1, x_2) \leq (\mu \times v)((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * ((y_1, y_2) * (z_1, z_2)) \vee (\mu \times v)(y_1, y_2) = (\mu \times v)((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee (\mu \times v)(y_1, y_2)$. If we take $x_1 = y_1 = z_1 = 0$, then $v(x_2) = (\mu \times v)(0, x_2) \leq (\mu \times v)(0, (x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee (\mu \times v)(0, y_2) = (\mu(0) \vee v((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2))) \vee (\mu(0) \vee v(y_2)) = v((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee v(y_2)$. This proves that v is a DF p -ideal of X . Now we consider the case $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$ for all $x \in X$, suppose that $v(y) < \mu(0)$ for some $y \in X$. Then $v(0) \leq v(y) < \mu(0)$, since $\mu(x) \geq \mu(0)$. It follows that $\mu(x) > v(0)$ for any $x \in X$. Hence $(\mu \times v)(x, 0) = \mu(x) \vee v(0) = \mu(x)$. Taking $x_2 = y_2 = z_2 = 0$, then $\mu(x_1) =$

$(\mu \times \nu)(x_1, 0) \leq (\mu \times \nu)((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), 0) \vee (\mu \times \nu)(y_1, 0) = \mu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee \mu(y_1)$, which proves that μ is a DF p -ideal of X . Hence either μ or ν is a DF p -ideal of X . \square

THEOREM 3.10. *Let ν be a fuzzy subset of a BCI-algebra X and let μ_ν be the smallest doubt fuzzy relation on X . Then ν is a DF p -ideal of X if and only if μ_ν is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$.*

PROOF. Assume that ν is a DF p -ideal of X , we note that $\mu_\nu(0, 0) = \nu(0) \vee \nu(0) \leq \nu(x) \vee \nu(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times X$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_\nu(x_1, x_2) &= \nu(x_1) \vee \nu(x_2) \\
 &\leq (\nu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee \nu(y_1)) \vee (\nu((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee \nu(y_2)) \\
 &= (\nu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee \nu((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2))) \vee (\nu(y_2) \vee \nu(y_2)) \\
 &= \mu_\nu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee \mu_\nu(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= \mu_\nu((x_1 * z_1, x_2 * z_2) * (y_1 * z_1, y_2 * z_2)) \vee \mu_\nu(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= \mu_\nu(((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * ((y_1, y_2) * (z_1, z_2))) \vee \mu_\nu(y_1, y_2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$. Hence μ_ν is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$.

Conversely, suppose that μ_ν is a DF p -ideal of $X \times X$. Then for all $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X$, $\nu(0) \vee \nu(0) = \mu_\nu(0, 0) \leq \mu_\nu(x, x) = \nu(x) \vee \nu(x)$. It follows that $\nu(0) \leq \nu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Now let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in X \times X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(x_1) \vee \nu(x_2) &= \mu_\nu(x_1, x_2) \\
 &\leq \mu_\nu(((x_1, x_2) * (z_1, z_2)) * ((y_1, y_2) * (z_1, z_2))) \vee \mu_\nu(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= \mu_\nu((x_1 * x_2, x_2 * z_2) * (y_1 * z_1, y_2 * z_2)) \vee \mu_\nu(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= \mu_\nu((x_1, z_1) * (y_1 * z_1), (x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee \mu_\nu(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= (\nu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee \nu(y_1)) \vee (\nu((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee \nu(y_2)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, if we take $x_2 = y_2 = z_2 = 0$ (resp., $x_1 = y_1 = z_1 = 0$) then $\nu(x_1) \leq \nu((x_1 * z_1) * (y_1 * z_1)) \vee \nu(y_1)$ (resp., $\nu(x_2) \leq ((x_2 * z_2) * (y_2 * z_2)) \vee \nu(y_2)$). This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bhattacharya and N. P. Mukherjee, *Fuzzy relations and fuzzy groups*, Inform. Sci. **36** (1985), no. 3, 267-282.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182-190.
- [3] F. Y. Huang, *Another definition of fuzzy BCI-algebras*, Selected Papers on BCK/BCI-Algebras (China), vol. 1, 1992, pp. 91-92.
- [4] Y. B. Jun, *Doubt fuzzy BCK/BCI-algebras*, Soochow J. Math. **20** (1994), no. 3, 351-358.
- [5] Y. B. Jun and J. Meng, *Fuzzy p -ideals in BCI-algebras*, Math. Japon. **40** (1994), no. 2, 271-282.
- [6] A. Rosenfeld, *Fuzzy groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **35** (1971), 512-517.

- [7] O. Xi, *Fuzzy BCK-algebra*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), no. 5, 935-942.

ZHAN JIANMING: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUBEI INSTITUTE FOR NATIONALITIES,
ENSHI CITY, HUBEI PROVINCE, 445000, CHINA

E-mail address: zhanjianming@hotmail.com

TAN ZHISONG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUBEI INSTITUTE ENSHI, HUBEI PROVINCE,
44500, CHINA



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

